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## PREFACE

This Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the year ended March 2021 has been prepared for submission to the Governor of Uttar Pradesh under Article 151 of the Constitution of India.

The Report contains significant results of compliance audit of the Departments of the Government of Uttar Pradesh under the Revenue Sector including Departments of Commercial Tax, Stamps and Registration, State Excise, Transport and Geology \& Mining.
The instances mentioned in this Report are those, which came to notice in the course of test audit for the period 2020-21 as well as those which came to notice in earlier years, but could not be reported in the previous Audit Reports. Instances relating to the period subsequent to 2020-21 have also been included, wherever necessary.
The Audit has been conducted in conformity with the Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General of India.

## OVERVIEW

This Report contains Compliance Audits on 'Transitional Credits under Goods and Services Tax', 'Processing of refund claims under Goods and Services Tax', 'Levy and collection of stamp and additional stamp duty on mortgage deeds' and 11 paragraphs involving total financial implication of $₹ 1,551.08$ crore, of which the Commercial Tax Department and Stamps and Registration Department accepted audit observations amounting to ₹ 80.87 crore. Replies of other Departments have not been received. Some of the major findings are mentioned below:

## Chapter-I: General

Total receipts of the Government of Uttar Pradesh for the year 2020-21 were $₹ 2,96,176.33$ crore, of which, $₹ 1,31,743.45$ crore ( 44.48 per cent) constituted the State's own receipts. Government of India contributed ₹ $1,64,432.88$ crore ( 55.52 per cent), comprising State's share of divisible Union taxes and duties of ₹ $1,06,687.01$ crore ( 36.02 per cent of total receipts) and grants-in-aid of ₹ $57,745.87$ crore ( 19.50 per cent of total receipts). The State's own tax revenues decreased by ₹ $72,787.46$ crore during the year 2020-21 over the previous year.

Wide variations between the budget estimates approved by the Finance Department and actual revenues during the year 2020-21 under the different heads of revenue (refer Table 1.2 and 1.3) indicated that the budget was not prepared on a realistic basis.
(Paragraph 1.2)

## Chapter-II: State Goods and Services Tax

Compliance Audit on 'Transitional Credits under Goods and Services Tax' revealed the following:

60 tax payers availed excess Input Tax Credit of $₹ 19.50$ crore through TRAN-1 (table 5c) carried over from assessment orders.
(Paragraphs 2.1.8.1)
44 tax payers availed excess Input Tax Credit of ₹ 10.09 crore carried over from last legacy returns.
(Paragraphs 2.1.8.2)
Input Tax Credit amounting to ₹ 1.45 crore wrongly claimed in TRAN-1 was not recovered.
(Paragraphs 2.1.8.6)
12 tax payers availed of non-verified Input Tax Credit of ₹ 5.09 crore of capital goods due to details not furnished in TRAN-1.
(Paragraphs 2.1.9.3)
Input Tax Credit of ₹ 4.73 crore was irregularly allowed in the Table 7c of TRAN-1 on capital goods.
(Paragraphs 2.1.11)

Compliance Audit on 'Processing of refund claims under GST' revealed the following:

Input Tax Credit of ₹ 51.97 crore in table 11 of TRAN-1 of 11 tax payers was not verified.
(Paragraphs 2.1.12)
Refund was sanctioned by tax authority after relevant period of two years.
(Paragraphs 2.2.13)
Excess allowance of refund to three tax payers due to non-consideration of documentary evidences resulted in excess/irregular refund of ₹ 72.80 lakh.
(Paragraphs 2.2.14)
Excess allowance of refund of Integrated Goods and Services Tax payment to a tax payer resulted in excess refund of ₹ 67.22 lakh recoverable with interest of ₹ 35.40 lakh.
(Paragraphs 2.2.15)
Excess allowance of refund to two tax payers due to wrongly claimed amount of services resulted in excess refund of ₹ 58.57 lakh, recoverable with interest of ₹ 26.56 lakh.
(Paragraphs 2.2.21)

## Chapter-III: Stamps and Registration Fees

Compliance Audit on 'Levy and collection of stamp and additional stamp duty on mortgage deeds' revealed the following:

Additional stamp duty of $₹ 4.01$ crore was not levied on mortgage deeds (without possession) having secured amount ranging between ₹ two and ten crore.
(Paragraphs 3.4.5.1)
Stamp and additional stamp duty of ₹ 225.31 crore was short/not levied on mortgage deeds having secured amount above ₹ 10 crore.
(Paragraphs 3.4.5.2)
Stamp duty at the rate of ₹ five for every one thousand rupees or part thereof on the amount secured by mortgage deed is chargeable. However, the subregistrars limited the amount of stamp duty to ₹ 5 lakh which resulted in short levy of stamp duty of $₹ 32.95$ crore.
(Paragraphs 3.4.5.3)
Documents were registered as deposit of title deeds in place of mortgage deeds, which resulted in short levy of stamp duty of $₹ 36.87$ crore.
(Paragraphs 3.4.6)

Documents were registered as security bond instead of mortgage deed, which resulted in the short levy of stamp duty, additional stamp duty and registration fee amounting to ₹ 1.44 crore.
(Paragraphs 3.4.7)
No separate sub-head has been opened by the State Government for segregated accounting of the additional stamp duty which is collected under Uttar Pradesh Urban Planning and Development Act, 1973. Hence, the Department was not in a position to ascertain specifically how much money was received with respect to additional stamp duty.
(Paragraphs 3.4.8.1)

## Other Compliance Audit Paragraphs

The executants did not disclose full/correct particulars of the lands in the documents presented for registration which resulted in short levy of stamp duty and registration fees amounting to ₹ 6.57 crore.
(Paragraphs 3.5)
Potentiality of land and its location of segment/main road was not taken into cognizance by the registering authorities which resulted in short levy of stamp duty and registration fees amounting to ₹ 1.26 crore.
(Paragraphs 3.6)

## Chapter-IV: Mining Receipts

The Department did not promptly cancel the lease on account of royalty and other dues not paid by lessee leading to loss of revenue amounting to ₹ 14.18 crore.
(Paragraph 4.3)
Under existing regulatory framework, as the price of mineral is not defined in cases of mining areas leased out through auction, it is left to the discretion of the district authorities to adopt either Chapter III rates of royalty or rates discovered through auction to work out the price of mineral. As a result, the lessee sometimes paid lower penalty for illegal extraction as against the amount payable for legal extraction, thus encouraging illegal mining.
(Paragraph 4.4)
Price of minerals amounting to ₹ 11.92 crore was not imposed and realised in cases of illegal transportation of mineral without Form MM-11 by lessees.
(Paragraph 4.5)
District Mines Officers did not forfeit pre-bid earnest money of ₹ 3.51 crore for delay in payment of royalty and security deposit.
(Paragraph 4.6)
Contribution payable to the District Mineral Foundation Trust was not included in the consideration of 39 mining lease deeds which resulted in short levy of stamp duty of ₹ 4.85 crore and registration fees of ₹ 1.10 crore.
(Paragraph 4.7)

Royalty of $₹ 1.73$ crore was not deposited by nine lessees in two District Mining Offices.
(Paragraph 4.8)

## Chapter-V: Other Tax Receipts

## (A) Taxes on Vehicles, Goods and Passengers

Additional tax of ₹ 6.27 crore was not recovered from 174 buses operated by UPSRTC.
(Paragraph 5.3)

## (B) State Excise

Failure of the Assistant Excise Commissioner, Radico Khaitan Limited, Rampur to monitor consumption of input excise material shown in excise records vis- $\grave{a}$-vis returns filed in Income Tax Department resulted in not detecting understatement of consumption of input excise material involving excise revenue of ₹ $1,078.09$ crore (including interest of ₹ 482.34 crore) during the period 2013-14 to 2019-20.
(Paragraph 5.6)
The Department failed to ensure timely deposit of the basic license fee, license fee and security deposit on settlement of shops. It did not initiate any action for cancellation of settlement and forfeiture of renewal fee, license fee/basic license fee and security deposit totalling to ₹ 11.05 crore.
(Paragraph 5.7)

## CHAPTER-I: GENERAL

### 1.1 Introduction

This Chapter presents an overview of the trend of revenue receipts of the Government of Uttar Pradesh (GoUP), follow up on Audit Reports, response of the Government/Departments towards Audit, etc.

### 1.2 Trend of receipts

1.2.1 The tax and non-tax revenue raised by the GoUP, the State's share of the net proceeds of the divisible Union taxes and duties assigned to States, grants-in-aid received from the Government of India (GoI) during the year 2020-21, and the corresponding figures for the preceding four years are presented in Table-1.1.

Table-1.1
Trend of revenue receipts

|  |  |  |  |  |  | (₹ in crore) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | Particulars | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 |
| 1 | Revenues raised by the State Government |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - Tax Revenue | 85,965.92 | 97,393.00 | 1,20,121.86 | 1,22,825.83 | 1,19,897.30 |
|  | Percentage of growth compared to previous year | 5.99 | 13.29 | 23.34 | 2.25 | (-) 2.38 |
|  | - Non-tax Revenue | 28,944.07 | 19,794.86 | 30,100.71 | 81,705.08 | 11,846.15 |
|  | Percentage of growth compared to previous year | 25.11 | (-) $\mathbf{3 1 . 6 0}$ | 52.06 | 171.44 | (-) 85.50 |
|  | Total | 1,14,909.99 | 1,17,187.86 | 1,50,222.57 | 2,04,530.91 | 1,31,743.45 |
| 2 | Receipts from the Governm | t of India |  |  |  |  |
|  | ```- Share of net proceeds of divisible Union taxes and duties``` | 1,09,428.29 | 1,20,939.14 | 1,36,766.46 | 1,17,818.30 | $1,06,687.01^{1}$ |
|  | - Grants-in-aid | 32,536.87 | 40,648.45 | 42,988.48 | 44,043.97 | 57,745.87 ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | Total | 1,41,965.16 | 1,61,587.59 | 1,79,754.94 | 1,61,862.27 | 1,64,432.88 |
| 3 | Total revenue receipts of the State Government (1 and 2) | 2,56,875.15 | 2,78,775.45 | 3,29,977.51 | 3,66,393.18 | 2,96,176.33 |
| 4 | Percentage of 1 to 3 | 45 | 42 | 46 | 56 | 44 |

Source: Finance Accounts of the Government of Uttar Pradesh.
The above table indicates that the average annual growth rate in respect of tax revenue and non-tax revenue were 8.50 per cent and 26.30 per cent respectively during the period 2016-21. Revenue raised by the State Government decreased by 35.59 per cent during the year 2020-21 over the previous year. Covid-19 pandemic adversely affected revenue of the State Government during the year 2020-21.

[^0]The break-up of revenue receipts of the State for the year 2020-21 in terms of percentage is shown in Chart-1.1.

## Chart-1.1


1.2.2 Details of tax revenue raised during the period 2016-17 to 2020-21 are given in Table-1.2.

Table-1.2
Details of tax revenue

| Sl. <br> No. |  | Head of revenue | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Percentage of increase <br> (+) or decrease (-) in <br> actuals of 2020-21 in <br> (omparison to |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: Finance Accounts of the Government of Uttar Pradesh and budget estimates as per the Statement of Revenue and Receipts of the Government of Uttar Pradesh.

[^1]The break-up of tax revenue for the year 2020-21 is shown in Chart-1.2
Chart-1.2


The reasons for wide variation in actual receipts during the year 2020-21 over the previous year are discussed below:

- The overall deficit of 2.38 per cent in own tax revenue during the year 2020-21 was mainly due to decrease in 'State Goods and Services Tax (SGST)' (by ₹ $4,372.38$ crore), 'Taxes on Vehicles, Goods and Passengers' (by ₹ $1,232.23$ crore) and other heads of taxes (by ₹ $2,075.74$ crore).
- Taxes on Sales, Trade, etc., increased by ₹ $1,609.93$ crore during the year 2020-21 was mainly due to increase in receipts under Central Sales Tax Act (by ₹ 42.71 crore) and Value Added Tax (by ₹ $1,303.56$ crore) and less refund under State Sales Tax Act (by ₹ 264.78 crore).
- $\quad$ SGST collection decreased by ₹ 4,372.38 crore during the year 2020-21. The main reason for decrease in SGST collection was decrease in receipts from Input Tax Credit Utilisation of SGST and IGST (by ₹ $4,879.95$ crore), receipts awaiting transfer to other minor heads (by ₹ 4,504.63 crore) and increase in receipts under the heads Tax (₹ $1,108.94$ crore) advance apportionment from IGST ( $₹ 3,507.94$ crore) and apportionment of IGST (₹ 382.56 crore).
- The growth in 'State Excise' was due to more receipts on account of sale of country spirits ( $₹ 2,226.14$ crore), foreign liquors and spirits ( $₹ 978.64$ crore) and other receipts under the head ( $₹ 188.50$ crore) and less receipts from sale of malt liquor ( $₹ 656.48$ crore).
- Receipts under 'Stamps and Registration Fees' increased mainly on account of more sale of non-judicial stamps ( $₹ 714.64$ crore) and more receipts from court fees realized in judicial stamps ( $₹ 106.56$ crore) and less sale of judicial stamps ( $₹ 472.22$ crore).
- Receipts under 'Taxes on Vehicles' decreased mainly due to net effect of less receipts under State Motor Vehicle Taxation Act (₹ $1,169.87$ crore),

Indian Motor Vehicles Act ( $₹ 312.47$ crore) and more receipts under Other Receipts ( $₹ 250.09$ crore).

- The decrease of receipts under 'Taxes and duties on Electricity' (from ₹ $3,452.50$ crore in 2019-20 to $₹ 1,586.70$ crore in 2020-21) was due to less receipts from taxes on consumption and sale of electricity ( $₹ 1,796.83$ crore) and fees under the Indian Electricity Rule ( $₹ 82.85$ crore).
1.2.3 Details of non-tax revenue raised during the period 2016-17 to 2020-21 are indicated in Table-1.3.

Table-1.3
Details of non-tax revenue

| Sl. <br> No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: Finance Accounts of the Government of Uttar Pradesh and budget estimates as per the Statement of Revenue and Receipts of Government of Uttar Pradesh.

The break-up of non-tax revenue for the year 2020-21 is shown in Chart-1.3
Chart-1.3

[Figures in percentage indicate share in total non-tax revenue]

[^2]The reasons for wide variation in actual receipts during the year 2020-21 over the previous year are discussed below:

- There was an overall decrease of 85.50 per cent in non-tax receipts amounting to ₹ $69,858.93$ crore during the year 2020-21 over 2019-20, mainly due to decrease under the head 'Miscellaneous General Services' (by ₹ $71,471.70$ crore) as no transfer of balance from Sinking Fund was made during 2020-21 with respect to such transfer of ₹ $71,180.23$ crore in 2019-20.
- Decrease in 'Interest Receipts' during the year 2020-21 as compared to 2019-20 was due to less receipts under Cash Balance Investment Account (by ₹ 346.48 crore ${ }^{5}$ ) and Interest from Public Sector and other undertakings (by ₹ 24.30 crore) ${ }^{6}$.
- The increase of receipts under 'Non-ferrous Mining and Metallurgical Industries' was due to increase in receipts from mineral concession fees, rents and royalties ( $₹ 900.28$ crore).
- Increase under revenue head 'Power' by 25.37 per cent was due to more receipts (₹ 263.68 crore) for rural electrification.

Further, Audit noticed wide variations between the budget estimates approved by the Finance Department and actual revenues during the year 2020-21 under different heads of revenue (refer Table 1.2 and 1.3) which indicated that the budget estimates were not prepared on a realistic basis.

## Recommendation:

## The Finance Department needs to revisit their budgeting methods to make the budget estimates more realistic.

### 1.3 Follow up on the Audit Reports-summarised position

To ensure accountability of the executive in respect of all the issues dealt with in various Audit Reports (ARs), the Department of Finance issued instructions in June 1987 to initiate suo motu action on all Paragraphs/Performance Audits figuring in the Audit Reports irrespective of whether the cases were taken up for examination by the Public Accounts Committee (PAC) or not. Audit Reports for the year 31 March 2015 to 31 March 2020 have not been discussed by the PAC. Moreover, no explanatory notes were received (June 2022) for Audit Reports for the years 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2019-20 which were placed before the State Legislative Assembly between March 2016 and December 2021. Details of pending explanatory notes pertaining to the various Departments are given in Table-1.4.

[^3]Table-1.4

| S. <br> No. | Audit Report <br> ending on | Date of <br> presentation in <br> the Legislature | Number <br> of <br> paragra <br> phs | Number of <br> paragraphs <br> where <br> explanatory <br> notes <br> received | Number of <br> paragraphs <br> where <br> explanatory <br> notes not <br> received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 31 March 2015 | 06 March 2016 | 31 | 00 | 31 |
| 2 | 31 March 2016 | 18 May 2017 | 26 | 00 | 26 |
| 3 | 31 March 2017 | 19 July 2019 | 15 | 00 | 15 |
| 4 | 31 March 2018 <br> (Stand Alone, State <br> Excise) | 19 July 2019 | 08 | 08 | 00 |
| 5 | 31 March 2018 | 24 February 2020 | 17 | 00 | 17 |
| 6 | 31 March 2019 | 18 August 2021 | 23 | 12 | 11 |
| 7 | 31 March 2020 | 17 December 2021 | 18 | 00 | 18 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

In the year 2021-22, the PAC discussed 25 paragraphs $^{8}$.

### 1.4 Response of the Government/Departments towards Audit

On completion of the audit of Government/Departments and the offices, Audit issues Inspection Reports (IRs) to the concerned head of the offices, with copies to their superior officers for corrective action and monitoring. Serious financial irregularities are reported to Heads of the Departments and the Government.

Review of IRs issued up to October 2021 revealed that 48,800 paragraphs relating to 13,190 IRs remained outstanding at the end of March 2022. Department-wise details relating to the revenue sector of the State Government are given in Table-1.5.

Table-1.5
Department-wise details of Inspection Reports

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the Department | Nature of receipts | Number of outstanding IRs | Number of outstanding audit observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Commercial Tax | Taxes on Sales, Trade, etc. | 6,151 | 26,749 |
|  |  | Entertainment tax | 206 | 490 |
| 2 | State Excise | State Excise | 1,200 | 2,140 |
| 3 | Transport | Taxes on vehicles | 1,387 | 7,525 |
| 4 | Stamps and Registration | Stamps and registration fees | 3,974 | 10,379 |
| 5 | Geology and Mining | Non-ferrous mining and metallurgical industries | 272 | 1,517 |
| Total |  |  | 13190 | 48800 |

Even the first replies, required to be received from the heads of offices within four weeks of receipt of IRs, were not received in time. Out of total 115 IRs issued during 2021-22, Audit received first reply from the heads of offices in

[^4]case of four IRs within six months. The first replies had not been received in case of remaining 111 IRs issued during the year 2021-22. This large pendency of the IRs and non-receipt of first replies from the Departments is indicative of the fact that the heads of auditee units have failed to take cognisance of the reported Audit findings and initiate any corrective action in this regard. Irregularities of similar nature are being reported year after year with no improvement/evidence of any corrective action by the concerned Departments visible at the ground level. This adversely affected the effectiveness of Audit.

## Recommendation:

The State Government needs to introduce a mechanism to ensure that the Departmental officers respond to IRs promptly and take corrective action.

### 1.5 Results of audit

## Position of local audit conducted during the year

Audit covered five Departments ${ }^{9}$ of the State Government and test-checked the records of 115 out of 1,498 auditable units ( 7.68 per cent) relating to Goods and Services Tax, Stamps and Registration Fees, Mining receipts, State Excise, Taxes on Vehicles, Goods and Passengers during the year 2021-22. In these five Departments, revenue of ₹ $1,21,118.90$ crore was collected during the year 2020-21, out of which the 115 audited units collected ₹ $24,563.75$ crore. In the 115 audited units, records were test-checked on the basis of turnover/tax payments which revealed underassessment/short levy/loss of revenue aggregating to ₹ $1,683.30$ crore in 18,351 cases (out of 22,497 testchecked cases) which were reported to the Departments through IRs.
The concerned Departments accepted (between April 2021 and June 2022) underassessment and other deficiencies of ₹ 61.43 lakh in 39 cases (including those pointed out in earlier years) and reported recovery of ₹ 43.43 lakh in 16 cases.

## Recommendation:

The State Government should evolve a mechanism to ensure that the Departments recover all underassessments/short levies pointed out by the Audit and accepted by the Departments.

### 1.6 Coverage of this Report

This Report contains Compliance Audits on 'Transitional Credits under Goods and Services Tax', 'Processing of refund claims under Goods and Services Tax', 'Levy and collection of stamp and additional stamp duty on mortgage deeds' and 11 paragraphs from local audits conducted during the year and those of earlier years which could not be included in the previous reports involving financial effect of ₹ $1,551.08$ crore.

The Stamp and Registration Department accepted audit observations amounting to ₹ 40.34 crore and Commercial Tax Department accepted audit observation on Transitional Credits under GST amounting to ₹ 37.27 crore and on Processing of refund claims under GST amounting to ₹ 3.26 crore. Replies

[^5]of other Departments have not been received (June 2022). These are discussed in the succeeding Chapters II to V.

The errors/omissions pointed out are on the basis of a test audit. The Government/Department may, therefore, undertake a thorough review of all units to check whether similar errors/omissions have taken place elsewhere and if so, to rectify them and put in place a system that would prevent such errors/omissions.

## CHAPTER-II: STATE GOODS AND SERVICES TAX

### 2.1 Compliance Audit on 'Transitional Credits under Goods and Services Tax'

### 2.1.1 Introduction

The Goods and Service Tax (GST) replaced multiple taxes levied and collected by the Centre and States. GST, a destination-based tax on supply of goods or services or both, is levied at multi-stages wherein the taxes will move along with supply. The tax is levied simultaneously by the Centre and States on a common tax base and tax will accrue to the tax authority having jurisdiction over the place of supply. Central GST (CGST) and State GST (SGST) /Union Territory GST (UTGST) is levied on intra state supplies, whereas Integrated GST (IGST) is levied on inter-state supplies. Availability of Input Tax Credit (ITC) of taxes paid on inputs, input services and capital goods for set off against the output tax liability is one of the key features of GST. This avoids cascading effect of taxes and ensures uninterrupted flow of credit from the seller to buyer. To ensure a seamless flow of input tax from the existing laws ${ }^{1}$ into the GST regime, 'Transitional arrangements for input tax' were included in the GST Acts to provide for the entitlement and manner of claiming input tax in respect of appropriate taxes or duties paid under the existing laws. Further, Transitional credit provisions are important for both the Government and business. For business, the transitional credit provisions ensure transition of accumulated credits from the legacy returns and also input tax in respect of raw materials, work in progress, finished goods held in stock as on the appointed day as well as credit in respect of capital goods into the GST regime. The provisions enable Taxpayer to transfer such input credits only when they are used in the ordinary course of business or furtherance of business.

### 2.1.2 Transitional arrangements for input tax

Section 140 of the CGST Act 2017 (and SGST Acts/UTGST Acts) enables the taxpayers to carry forward the ITC earned under the existing laws to the GST regime. This section, read with Rule 117 of UPGST Rules 2017, prescribes elaborate procedures in this regard. Under transitional arrangements for ITC, the ITC of various taxes paid under the existing laws such as State Value Added Tax (VAT) and Entry Tax are eligible to be carried forward into GST under the relevant sub-sections of Section 140 of the Act. The claims are to be preferred in the appropriate tables mentioned below, in two FORMS GST TRAN-1 and GST TRAN-2.

Table 2.1: Forms and Tables prescribed for claiming Transitional credit

| Form | Table <br> No. | Transitional credit component |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| TRAN-1 | $5(\mathrm{c})$ | Closing balance of credit from the last legacy returns |
| TRAN-1 | $6(b)$ | Un-availed credit on capital goods |
| TRAN-1 | $7(b)$ | Credit on Input/Input Service in Transit |
| TRAN-1 | 7(c) | Credit on duty paid stock with invoices |

[^6]| Form | Table <br> No. | Transitional credit component |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| TRAN-1 | $7(d)$ | Credit on duty paid stock without invoices |
| TRAN-1 | 10 | Credit of good held in stock as agent on behalf of the principal |
| TRAN-1 | 11 | Credit in respect of tax paid before the appointed day (01 July 2017) <br> and supply made after the appointed day |
| TRAN-2 | 5 | Credit afforded on stocks claimed without invoices |

All registered taxpayers, except those opting for payment of tax under composition scheme (under Section 10 of the Act), are eligible to claim transitional credit by filing TRAN-1 return within 90 days from the appointed day. The time limit for filing TRAN- 1 return was extended initially till $27^{\text {th }}$ December 2017. However, considering that many taxpayers could not file the return within the date due to technical difficulties, sub-rule 1A was inserted under Rule 117 of UPGST Rules, $2017^{2}$ to accommodate such taxpayers. The due date for filing TRAN-1 was further extended to $31^{\text {st }}$ March $2020^{3}$ for those taxpayers who could not file TRAN-1 due to technical difficulties and those cases recommended by the GST Council.

### 2.1.3 Audit objectives

Transitional credit claims directly impact GST revenues as the credit is eligible for set off against the output tax liability of taxpayers. Thus, the audit of transitional credit was taken up with the following objectives seeking assurance on:
i. whether the mechanism envisaged by the Department for verification of transitional credit claims was adequate and effective (systemic issue); and
ii. whether the transitional credits carried over by the taxpayers into GST regime were valid and admissible (Compliance issue).

### 2.1.4 Audit scope

The audit scope comprised review of the SGST component of transitional credit claims filed by the taxpayers under Section 140 and 142 of the UPGST Act, 2017 from the Appointed date ${ }^{4}$ to the end of March 2020. Audit verification involved the scrutiny of process and outcomes of Departmental verifications along with detailed independent verification of selected claims. Verification of individual transitional credit claims entailed the examination of VAT credit claimed by the Taxpayer in the last six-monthly returns filed under existing laws, immediately preceding the appointed date, along with the documentary evidence in support of such claims. Further, in respect of input tax claimed pertaining to materials held in stock, verification involved examination of necessary accounting details, documents or records evidencing purchase of such goods.

[^7]
### 2.1.5 Audit methodology and audit criteria

The methodology for verification of transitional credit claims of selected taxpayers involves data analysis and verification of records available with the jurisdictional Commercial Tax Zones as well as the Sectors. It also involved accessing relevant information/records of the taxpayers through the Department.

An entry conference was held with Additional Commissioner (Law) Commercial Tax on 26 August 2021 to apprise the Department of the Audit methodology including Audit Objectives and Criteria. Field Audit was conducted between July 2021 and December 2021. Draft Report was issued on 21 April 2022 to State Government and State Tax Department. The reply of the Department has been received and an Exit conference was held with Additional Commissioner Commercial Tax on 05 July 2022. The reply of the Department has been incorporated in draft.
As per data obtained from GSTN, a total of 53,085 Transitional credit claims of SGST were made during the period from the appointed date to end of March 2020 involving an aggregate amount of ₹ $1,289.84$ crore. A sample of 1,079 Taxpayers claimed Transitional Credit ₹ 646.13 crore spread across 124 Sectors of 12 Zones and JC (CC) CT Oil Sector Lucknow was extracted for detailed audit scrutiny.

The criteria against which the audit objectives and sub-objectives have been verified, comprises of the provisions of Section 140 and 142 of the UPGST Act, 2017 read with Rules 117 and 118 of the UPGST Rules, 2017, Notifications/Circulars issued by CBIC and State Government Department.

### 2.1.6 Audit sample

A sample of 1,058 transitional credit cases were scrutinised by audit which were spread across 12 Zones and JC (CC) CT Oil Sector Lucknow covering 124 Sectors of Commercial Tax Department. Details of selected cases are as follows:

Table-2.2

| Sl. <br> No. | Zone | Total No. of <br> sample | Selected <br> Sectors | No. of cases <br> audited |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Agra | 124 | 20 | 124 |
| 2 | Aligarh | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | G B Nagar | 270 | 19 | 263 |
| 4 | Ghaziabad I | 161 | 14 | 154 |
| 5 | Ghaziabad II | 128 | 9 | 128 |
| 6 | Kanpur I | 120 | 15 | 104 |
| 7 | Kanpur II | 2 | 1 | 120 |
| 8 | JC (CC) CT Oil Sector Lucknow | 82 | 14 | 2 |
| 9 | Lucknow I | 82 | 10 | 77 |
| 10 | Lucknow II | 1 | 1 | 82 |
| 11 | Meerut | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 12 | Varanasi I |  | 2 |  |


| SI. <br> No. | Zone | Total No. of <br> sample | Selected <br> Sectors | No. of cases <br> audited |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | Varanasi II | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 9}^{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}^{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 8}$ |

Audit test-checked (between July 2021 and December 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment orders of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that 86 taxpayers ( 40 CTOs) availed ITC of ₹ 35.46 crore in Table 5 (c) of TRAN-1. The Assessing Authorities (AAs) while finalising the assessment passed ex-parte assessment orders and allowed NIL ITC in these cases. Since these cases were re-opened for final assessment under Section 32 of UPVAT Act, 2008, hence in the absence of admissible ITC to these taxpayers, claim of ITC was not ascertained. This will be examined in subsequent audit, after the final assessment orders are passed (Appendix I).

## Audit findings

Audit report presents the systemic as well as compliance issues noticed during the audit. Systemic issues address the adequacy and effectiveness of the envisaged verification mechanism relating to the admissibility of transitional credit while the compliance issues address the deviations in individual cases from the codal provisions relevant to the admissibility of the transitional credit to the taxpayers. The audit findings are discussed in detail in the succeeding paragraphs.

### 2.1.7 Systemic issues

The systemic issues comprise the verification mechanism envisaged by the Department in terms of extent of coverage against the targets, policy/procedural gaps in the verification mechanism, challenges with dual control and efficiency of the recovery process. Apart from the statutory requirements prescribed under both Legacy as well as GST laws, the Department had specified transitional credit verification as one of the key focus areas for the year 2018-19.
Rule 121 of UPGST Rules, 2017 specifies that the amount of transitional credit may be verified, and proceedings may be initiated for recovery of excess

[^8]claim along with interest, which shall be initiated in respect of any credit wrongly availed whether wholly or partly.

Audit examination indicated inadequacies in the verification mechanism envisaged and adopted by the Department. The verification process was yet to be completed even after a lapse of more than four years since new tax regime had been implemented.

### 2.1.7.1 Inadequacy in verification mechanism adopted by Department

To ensure the seamless flow of input tax from the existing laws to GST regime, a transitional arrangement for input tax was included in the GST Acts to provide for the entitlement and manner of claiming input tax in respect of appropriate taxes paid under existing laws. Carrying the credit of existing laws through Transitional credit arrangements is a one-time process; hence, there ought to be adequate and effective monitoring mechanism at each and every step.
(i) In 124 Sector offices audit examined the verification of TRAN-1 cases filed by the taxpayers. It was found that in $35^{7}$ sectors transitional credit cases had been verified, in $53^{8}$ sectors verification was under process. In $22^{9}$ sectors it could not be confirmed whether verification had been done or not; in three sectors ${ }^{10}$ the Department replied that no such system was in the portal and in 11 sectors ${ }^{11}$ transitional credit cases had not been verified. Thus, even after the lapse of more than four years since implementation of GST in July 2017, transitional credit claims have not been verified and finalised. Thus, the possibility of tax evasion can not be ruled out due to considerable lapse of time.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). During Exit Conference (July 2022), the Department stated that the arrangement was new and due to COVID also, the work of verification of TRAN could not be completed.
(ii) During scrutiny of Transitional credit claims it was found that a taxpayer M/s T J Power Electrical Private Limited G B Nagar (09AAFCT1878D1Z6), out of 30 taxpayers of Sector 1 CT G B Nagar, filed his TRAN-1 on 30 August 2017 and claimed ITC of ₹ 29.23 lakh. However, audit noticed that an amount of ₹ 36.25 lakh was credited in his Electronic Credit Ledger (ECL) on the same date instead of ₹ 29.23 lakh. Due to this deficiency in GST Portal possibility of excess availment of ITC in other cases could not be ruled out.

[^9]However, the taxpayer filed revised TRAN-1 on 05 December 2017 and an amount of ₹ 7.02 lakh was debited in ECL.
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply and in Exit Conference (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation and stated that this was due to new system. There were technical problems on GSTN portal. However, the taxpayer has taken self-cognizance and filed revision of transitional credit in TRAN-1 by debiting in his electronic credit ledger the amount of ₹ 7.02 lakh.

## Compliance issues

The compliance issues pertain to the validity and admissibility of the transitional credits carried over by individual assesses into GST regime. During audit scrutiny of selected cases, findings related to availment of excess ITC in specified tables of TRAN-1 were noticed which are briefly discussed in succeeding paragraphs.
Audit observed compliance deviations in 165 claims (in 68 Sectors) out of $1,058^{12}$ cases (in 124 Sectors), audited constituting an error rate of 15.60 per cent. These compliance deviations are detailed in the ensuing paragraphs.

### 2.1.8 Availment of Excess credit through Table 5(c) of TRAN-1

Section 140(1) of UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that a registered person, other than a person opting to pay tax under Section 10, shall be entitled to take, in his Electronic Credit Ledger (ECL), credit of amount VAT and Entry Tax, if any carried forward in the return relating to the period ending with the day immediately preceding the appointed day, furnished by him under the existing law within such time and in such manner as may be prescribed. Further, Rule 117 (1) of UPGST Rules, 2017 stipulates that every registered person entitled to take credit of input tax under Section 140 shall, within ninety days of the appointed day, submit a declaration electronically in FORM GST TRAN-1 duly signed, on the Common Portal specifying therein, separately, the amount of ITC to which he is entitled under the provisions of the said section:
Provided that the Commissioner may, on the recommendations of the GST council, extend the period of ninety days by a further period not exceeding ninety days:
Provided that in the case of a claim under sub-section (1) of Section 140, the application shall specify separately-
(i) the value of claims under Section 3, sub-section (3) of Section 5, Sections 6 and 6A and sub-section (8) of Section 8 of the Central Sales Tax Act, 1956 made by the applicant; and
(ii) the serial number and value of declarations in Forms C or F and certificates in Forms E or H or Form I specified in Rule 12 of the Central Sales Tax (Registration and Turnover) Rules, 1957 submitted by the applicant in support of the claims referred to in sub-clause (i).
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### 2.1.8.1 Excess availment of Input Tax Credit through TRAN-1 carried over from assessment orders

Audit test-checked (between July 2021 and December 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order of $915^{13}$ taxpayers of 116 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 5 (c)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that 60 taxpayers of 37 CTOs ${ }^{14}$ availed ITC of ₹ 35.99 crore in Table 5(c) of TRAN-1. The AAs, while finalising the assessments allowed ITC of $₹ 16.49$ crore only to these taxpayers. This resulted in excess claim of ITC of ₹ 19.50 crore as Transitional Credit which was recoverable from the defaulting taxpayers, though no demand was raised (Appendix-II).
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply and in Exit Conference (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation in eight cases amounting to $₹ 15.07$ lakh and in all eight cases recovery of $₹ 15.07$ lakh was reported by them. In 14 cases ${ }^{15}$ amounting to $₹ 4.09$ crore the Department replied that in GST regime the taxpayers are transferred to Central jurisdiction and all the action will be done at their end. The information has been sent to concerned Central Tax Authorities. The reply of Department is not acceptable as in this regard CBEC under D.O.F. 267/8/2018-CX. 8 dated $14^{\text {th }}$ March, 2018 issued the Guidance Note on CGST Transitional Credit. As per para-12 of the Guidance Note "12. Jurisdiction: CGST officer of the Central Government shall have the jurisdiction for verification of Transitional Credit of CGST irrespective of whether the taxpayer is allotted to the Central Government or State Government for the purposes of GST. This is because, TRAN credit verification process can only be done by the tax authority which had legal jurisdictions under the erstwhile law and also has the requisite past record of the taxpayer." Since these taxpayer were registered in Commercial Tax Department in VAT regime and had the requisite past record. Hence verification was to be done as per above direction. In remaining 38 cases amounting to ₹ 15.26 crore the Department replied that action is under process.

### 2.1.8.2 Excess Input Tax Credit carried over from Last Legacy Returns

(i) Audit test-checked (between July 2021 and December 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment orders of 915 taxpayers of 116 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 5 (c)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that 44

[^11]taxpayers of $33 \mathrm{CTOs}^{16}$ carried forward ITC of $₹ 10.15$ crore in their last returns. However, the taxpayers availed ITC of ₹ 20.24 crore in their Table 5(c) of TRAN-1 instead of eligible ITC of ₹ 10.15 crore as per last legacy return. These Transitional Credit claims were made between August 2017 and December 2017 but even after the lapse of four years the Department was not able to check the correctness of the Transitional Credit claims resulted in excess availment of ITC of ₹ 10.09 crore which was recoverable from the defaulting taxpayers (Appendix- III).

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation in nine cases amounting to $₹ 1.38$ crore and in all nine cases recovery of $₹ 1.32$ crore was reported by them. In seven cases ${ }^{17}$ amounting to $₹ 2.54$ crore, the Department's reply is not acceptable as mentioned in para 2.1.8.1. In remaining 28 cases amounting to ₹ 6.17 crore, the Department replied that action is under process.
(ii) Audit test-checked (September 2021), the records of 915 taxpayers of 116 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 5 (c)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that a taxpayer M/s Omega International (09AEMPA0823R1Z7) of Sector 20 CT Kanpur had availed credit of ₹ 24.37 lakh in Table 5(c) of TRAN-1 as per ITC carried forward shown in his last legacy return. Audit further noticed that in the annual return, taxpayer had shown carried forward ITC as NIL. As per assessment order, the taxpayer was eligible for ITC of ₹ 23.19 lakh, out of which the amount of ₹ 20.89 lakh was refunded to taxpayer and balance ₹ 2.30 lakh was adjusted against tax payable by taxpayer in VAT period. Hence the taxpayer had no ITC in VAT period for carrying forward. Accordingly, availment of ₹ 24.37 lakh through TRAN-1 was irregular and to be recovered. Thus, the AA, did not properly examine the facts which resulted in excess claim of ITC of ₹ 24.37 lakh.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department stated that action is under process.

### 2.1.8.3 Availment of Irregular Excess Input Tax Credit on pending declaration Forms

Audit test-checked (between July 2021 and December 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order of 915 taxpayers of 116 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 5 (c)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that six taxpayers of six CTOs ${ }^{18}$ availed ITC of ₹ 1.18 crore in TRAN-1 through Table 5(c). Audit noticed that taxpayers had not submitted declaration Forms ' $C$ ' and ' $F$ ' for the turnover covering ₹ 38.00 crore before the AAs at the time of final assessment, bearing

[^12]a tax effect of ₹ 3.94 crore. This tax was to be deducted from ITC available with taxpayers. The taxpayers wrongly carried forward ITC of ₹ 64.28 lakh out of ₹ 1.18 crore in Table 5(c) of TRAN-1 for which the declaration Forms ' $C$ ' and ' $F$ ' was pending. This resulted in excess claim of ITC of ₹ 64.28 lakh (limited to the extent of claim in TRAN-1) which was recoverable (Appendix-IV).
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In two cases ${ }^{19}$ amounting to ₹ 48.68 lakh, the Department's reply and statement in Exit Conference (July 2022) is not acceptable as mentioned in para 2.1.8.1. In two cases, the Department did not accept the audit observation. The analysis of the Department's reply in these two cases is listed in Table-2.3.

Table-2.3

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Audited Unit/ Observation in brief | Department's reply in brief | Rebuttal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sector 12 CT Kanpur: <br> Irregular Excess ITC of ₹ $7,67,644$ was availed by the taxpayer against the pending declaration Forms C of ₹ $4,91,73,009$. | The Department stated that final assessment order under Section 28 (2) (i) read with Section 32 has been passed on 30.03.2022 in which four nos. of pending Form C for $₹ 4.88$ crore are produced. Hence, tax at the rate of 2 per cent is levied. | The reply is not acceptable, as in the annual return and exparte assessment order ITC of ₹ $8,33,596$ was balance but despite the pending declaration Form $C$ the taxpayer availed ITC of $₹ 7,67,644$ in TRAN-1. Thus, the taxpayer irregularly availed ITC of ₹ $7,67,644$ at the time of claiming ITC in TRAN-1 |
| 2 | Sector 15 CT Kanpur: <br> Irregular Excess ITC of ₹ $2,87,095$ was availed by the taxpayer against the pending declaration Forms C of ₹ $95,69,842$. | The Department stated that observation is based on ex-parte assessment order. Final assessment order under Section 28 (2) (i) read with Section 32 has been passed on 23.04.2022 in which ITC of ₹ 11.83 lakh has been carried forward. | The reply is not acceptable, as although the assessment was exparte but it was stated in assessment order that Forms of ₹ $95,69,842$ were pending and ITC of $₹ 11,83,178$ was carried forward in which ITC of ₹ $2,87,095$ was irregular. Thus, the taxpayer irregularly availed ITC of ₹ $2,87,095$ at the time claiming ITC in TRAN1. |

In remaining two cases amounting to ₹ 5.05 lakh the Department replied (July 2022) that action is under process.
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### 2.1.8.4 Availment of Excess Input Tax Credit in the Table 5(c) of TRAN-1 due to Excess ITC brought forward from year 2016-17 to 2017-18

(i) Audit test-checked (between July 2021 and December 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order of 915 taxpayers of 116 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 5 (c)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that three taxpayers of three CTOs ${ }^{20}$ filed TRAN-1 and carried forward ITC of ₹ 66.93 lakh in Table 5(c) of TRAN-1. Scrutiny of legacy returns and assessment order of year 2016-17 and 2017-18 revealed that ITC of ₹ 38.56 lakh was available in the year 2016-17 to these taxpayers, however, the taxpayers wrongly brought forwarded ITC of ₹ 51.32 lakh in year 2017-18. Thus, taxpayers carried forward ITC of ₹ 12.76 lakh in 2017-18 in excess, from which taxpayers wrongly claimed ITC of ₹ 9.60 lakh in TRAN-1 which was recoverable (Appendix-V).

In one case ${ }^{21}$ amounting to ₹ 1.79 lakh, the Department's reply (July 2022), is not acceptable as mentioned in para 2.1.8.1. In remaining two cases amounting to ₹ 7.80 lakh the Department replied that action is under process.
(ii) Audit test-checked (August 2021), the records of 915 taxpayers of 116 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 5 (c)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that a taxpayer M/s Bharat Prints (09AALPW0556A1ZN) of Sector 1 CT G B Nagar carried forward ITC of ₹ 12.23 lakh in Table 5(c) of TRAN-1. Audit further noticed that as per legacy return and assessment order for the year 2016-17 and 2017-18, ITC of ₹ 10.71 lakh was earned by taxpayer in year 2016-17 on purchase of colour, dyes and chemicals which was reversed by the AA, as there was no taxability admitted by the taxpayer on use of colour, dye and chemical in printing of clothes. However, ITC of ₹ 10.71 lakh was carried forward by taxpayer in its return of June 2017 from year the 2016-17. Also in the year 2017-18 taxpayer claimed ITC of ₹ 1.52 lakh on the purchases. As taxpayer did not admit taxability on use of colour, dyes and chemicals in printing of clothes, no ITC should have been allowed to the taxpayer. Hence, ITC claim of ₹ 12.23 lakh in TRAN-1 by the taxpayer was not in order and was required to be reversed.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department stated that action is under process.

### 2.1.8.5 Irregular Claim of TDS as Input Tax Credit allowed in TRAN-1 of Table 5(c)

As per Section 140 of UPGST Act, 2017 in the TRAN-1 ITC claim is allowed in cases of ITC available in last legacy returns with Taxpayer, unavailed credit of capital goods, ITC of goods in transit taken in account within 30 days of appointed day and ITC of stock of exempted goods, tax free goods and goods which have suffered first time tax on sale but sale of which are not subject to tax in existing law but are now taxable in GST law.

[^14]Audit test-checked (November 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order of 915 taxpayers of 116 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 5 (c)) out of 1,058 taxpayers (124 CTOs) and noticed that a taxpayer $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$ OSS Construction Pvt Ltd Noida (09AACCO 0915 H 1 ZM ) of Sector 13 CT Noida had availed credit of ₹ 23.78 lakh in Table 5(c) of TRAN-1 which was also allowed by AA in his assessment order. However, audit observed that the amount of ₹ 23.78 lakh pertaining to Tax Deducted at Source was claimed by taxpayer in TRAN-1 which was not in accordance with the above mentioned provisions of the GST Act. Thus, AA while passing the assessment order, did not properly examine the facts which resulted in excess claim in TRAN-1 of ₹ 23.78 lakh which was recoverable from the taxpayer.
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply and in Exit Conference (July 2022), the Department stated that action is under process.

### 2.1.8.6 Non-recovery of ITC wrongly claimed in TRAN-1

Audit test-checked (November 2021) the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order of 915 taxpayers of 116 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 5 (c)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that a taxpayer M/s Shalimar KSMB Projects Lucknow (09ACFFS5832H1ZV) of Sector 14 CT Lucknow engaged in the construction of flats, claimed ITC of ₹ 1.45 crore in Table 5(c) of TRAN-1 as per his last legacy return. The AA while finalising assessment for the year 2016-17 allowed ITC of $₹ 1.04$ crore to the extent of the supplies of 48.53 per cent made in execution of the construction of flats for which contracts had been executed with the prospective buyers, out of total ITC of ₹ 2.12 crore earned on purchase of construction materials. Remaining ITC of ₹ 1.08 crore was forfeited and no ITC was carried forward for the next year. Similarly in year 2017-18 ITC of ₹ 33.95 lakh (to the extent of supplies of 48.95 per cent) out of the total ITC of ₹ 69.36 lakh was allowed by the AA and remaining ITC of ₹ 35.41 lakh was forfeited. Hence no ITC was carried forward for the next tax period.
Thus claim of ITC of ₹ 1.45 crore in TRAN-1 was irregular and was required to be recovered from the contractor. The AA, failed to recover the excess claim of ITC of ₹ 1.45 crore.
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply and in Exit Conference (July 2022), the Department stated that action is under process.

### 2.1.9 Availment of Excess credit through Table 6(b)

Section 140(2) of UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that a registered person, other than a person opting to pay tax under Section 10, shall be entitled to take, in his ECL, credit of the unavailed ITC in respect of capital goods, not carried forward in a return, furnished under the existing law by him, for the period ending with the day immediately preceding the appointed day within such time and in such manner as may be prescribed:
Provided that the registered person shall not be allowed to take credit unless the said credit was admissible as ITC under the existing law and is also admissible as ITC under this Act.

Explanation. -For the purposes of this sub-section, the expression "unavailed ITC means the amount that remains after subtracting the amount of ITC already availed in respect of capital goods by the Taxpayer under the existing law from the aggregate amount of ITC to which the said person was entitled in respect of the said capital goods under the existing law."
Rule 117(1) of UPGST Rule, 2017 stipulates that every registered person entitled to take credit of input tax under Section 140 shall, within ninety days of the appointed day, submit a declaration electronically in FORM GST TRAN-1 dully signed, on Common Portal specifying therein, separately, the amount of ITC to which he is entitled under the provisions of the said section:
Further, Rule 117(2) of UPGST Rule, 2017 stipulates that every declaration under sub-rule (1) shall-
(a) In the case of a claim under sub-section (2) of Section 140, specify separately the following particulars in respect of every item of capital goods as on the appointed day-
(i) the amount of tax or duty availed or utilised by way of input tax credit under each of the existing laws till the appointed day; and
(ii) the amount of tax or duty yet to be availed or utilised by way of input tax credit under each of the existing laws till the appointed day.

### 2.1.9.1 Availment of Excess Input Tax Credit in the Table 6(b) of TRAN-1 due to difference between TRAN-1 and Assessment Order

Audit test-checked (between July 2021 and December 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order of $226^{22}$ taxpayers of 65 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 6 (b)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that eight taxpayers of eight CTOs ${ }^{23}$ filed TRAN-1 and claimed ITC of ₹ 97.48 lakh of capital goods in Table 6(b) of TRAN-1 in respect of VAT period. However, audit noticed that they were eligible for total unavailed ITC of capital goods of ₹ 55.08 lakh only as determined in assessment order. The taxpayer wrongly claimed ITC of capital goods of ₹ 97.48 lakh instead of total eligible unavailed ITC of ₹ 55.08 lakh. This resulted into excess claim of ITC of ₹ 42.40 lakh of capital goods over and above unavailed in VAT period as Transitional Credit and same was recoverable (Appendix-VI).
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation in one case amounting to ₹ 13.02 lakh and recovery of the ( $₹ 13.02$ lakh) same was reported. In one case ${ }^{24}$ amounting to ₹ 0.12 lakh, the Department reply is not acceptable as mentioned in para 2.1.8.1. In one case the Department did not accept the audit observation. The analysis of the Department's reply in this case is listed in Table-2.4.

[^15]Table-2.4

| S. <br> No. | Audited Unit/ <br> Observation in brief | Department's reply in <br> brief | Rebuttal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Sector 9 CT <br> Ghaziabad: <br> Excess ITC of capital <br> goods of ₹ 3,84,886 was <br> availed by the taxpayer <br> in the Table 6(b) of <br> TRAN-1. | The Department stated that <br> in annual return and <br> assessment order of year <br> $2017-18, ~ b y ~ m i s t a k e ~ I T C ~ o f ~$ | The reply is not acceptable, <br> as this amendment of ITC <br> of ₹ 9,99,343 does not <br> affect the ITC claim of <br> shown. Now this mistake could not be <br> capital goods ITC. This <br> pertains to ITC claimed in |
| has been amended under |  |  |  |
| Table 5(C) of TRAN-1. |  |  |  |
| Thus, ITC of capital goods |  |  |  |
| Section 31 of UPVAT Act. |  |  |  |
| recoverable from taxpayer. |  |  |  |

In the remaining five cases amounting to ₹ 25.41 lakh, the Department replied (July 2022) that action is under process.

### 2.1.9.2 Availment of Excess Input Tax Credit of capital goods in table 6(b) of TRAN-1 due to difference between TRAN-1 and Annual Return

Audit test-checked (between September 2021 and December 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order of 226 taxpayers of 65 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 6 (b)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that three taxpayers of three CTOs ${ }^{25}$ filed TRAN-1 and claimed ITC of $₹ 2.35$ crore of capital goods in Table 6(b) of TRAN-1 in respect of VAT period. However, in the annual return they had carried forward unavailed ITC of capital goods of ₹ 32.87 lakh. Thus, the taxpayers wrongly claimed ITC of capital goods of ₹ 2.02 crore which was recoverable in light of UPGST Act, 2017 (Appendix-VII).
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply and in Exit Conference (July 2022), the Department stated that action is under process.

### 2.1.9.3 Availment of non-verified Input Tax Credit of capital goods due to details not furnished in TRAN-1 by Taxpayer

Audit test-checked (between July 2021 and December 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order of 226 taxpayers of 65 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 6 (b)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs ) and noticed that 12 taxpayers of seven $\mathrm{CTOs}^{26}$ filed TRAN-1 and claimed unavailed ITC of capital goods of VAT period of ₹ 5.09 crore in Table 6(b) of TRAN-1. However, it was noticed that invoices detail relating to purchase of capital goods was not available in TRAN-1. Further since the Department did not produce any annual returns, assessment orders, the audit was not in position to verify the correctness of the amount claimed and availed by these taxpayers (Appendix-VIII).

[^16]Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In 10 cases $^{27}$ amounting to ₹ 4.85 crore the Department's reply and statement in Exit Conference (July 2022) is not acceptable as mentioned in para 2.1.8.1. In the remaining two cases amounting to ₹ 24.54 lakh the Department replied that action is under process.

### 2.1.10 Availment of Excess credit through Table 7(b)

Section 140(5) of UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that a registered person shall be entitled to take, in his ECL, credit of VAT and entry taxes, if any, in respect of inputs received on or after the appointed day but the tax in respect of which has been paid by the supplier under the existing law, subject to the condition that the invoice or any other tax paying document of the same was recorded in the books of account of such person within a period of thirty days from the appointed day.
Provided that the period of thirty days may, on sufficient cause being shown, be extended by the Commissioner for a further period not exceeding thirty days.
Provided further that said registered person shall furnish a statement, in such manner as may be prescribed, in respect of credit that has been taken under this sub-section.

Section 2(f) of UPVAT Act stipulates that capital goods used in execution of works contract had not included in definition of capital goods under Section 13 of UPVAT Act for the purpose of claim of ITC. As per Section 6(2) of UPVAT Act, no ITC was allowed to dealers opting to pay tax under compounding scheme. Section 140(2) of UPGST Act 2017 provides that the registered person shall not be allowed to take credit unless the said credit was admissible as ITC under the existing law and is also admissible as ITC under this Act.

### 2.1.10.1 Availment of Irregular Input Tax Credit in the Table 7(b) of TRAN-1

(i) Audit test-checked (between July 2021 and December 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order $148^{28}$ taxpayers of 60 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 7 (b)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that nine taxpayers of nine CTOs ${ }^{29}$ filed TRAN-1 and availed credit of ₹ 2.81 crore in Table 7(b) of TRAN-1. However, details of invoices, description of goods, quantity, value, tax and date of entry in books of accounts as required as per provisions of the Act were not filled in the Table 7(b) of TRAN-1. Thus, in the absence of above details, ITC of ₹ 2.81 crore was not admissible to taxpayers and required to be recovered. The AAs, failed to

[^17]examine the facts during passing assessment orders, which resulted in excess claim of ITC ₹ 2.81 crore through TRAN-1 (Appendix-IX).

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted audit observation in one case amounting to ₹ 0.88 lakh. In three cases ${ }^{30}$ amounting to $₹ 2.53$ crore, the Department’s reply and statement in Exit Conference is not acceptable as mentioned in para 2.1.8.1. In one case the Department did not accept the audit observation. The analysis of the Department's reply in this case is listed in Table-2.5.

Table-2.5

| SI. <br> No. | Audited Unit/ <br> Observation in brief | Department's reply in brief | Rebuttal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Sector $\quad \mathbf{8}$ CT <br> Lucknow: <br> Irregular ITC of <br> ₹ $1,10,503$ was <br> availed by the <br> taxpayer in the Table <br> 7(b) of TRAN-1. | The Department stated that after examining <br> annual return, Balance Sheet, P\&L account <br> with purchase and sale detail and <br> assessment order, a notice was issued to <br> taxpayer. The taxpayer replied that amount <br> of ₹ 2.38 lakh is related to commission and <br> incentive head in P\&L account and no <br> component of tax is involved in it. This <br> amount is also not part of sale. | The Department <br> did address <br> the issue of <br> claiming ITC in <br> Table 7(b) in its <br> reply. |

In the remaining four cases amounting to ₹ 26.00 lakh the Department replied (July 2022) that action is under process.
(ii) Audit test-checked (November 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order of 148 taxpayers of 60 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 7 (b)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that a taxpayer M/s HDPL infrastructure Ltd. Lucknow (09AABCH1939H1ZK) out of 12 taxpayers of Sector 21 CT Lucknow claimed ITC of ₹ 26.79 lakh in the Table 7(b) of TRAN-1 on the goods held in stock. Audit noticed that taxpayer was engaged in works contract. However, he had claimed ITC of ₹ 1.94 lakh on invoices of capital goods. Thus, ITC of ₹ 1.94 lakh claimed by the taxpayer was not in accordance with provisions of the Act and was required to be disallowed. The AA did not properly examine the facts which resulted in irregular claim of ITC of ₹ 1.94 lakh through TRAN1 which was recoverable.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department stated that action is under process.

### 2.1.11 Irregular Allowance of Input Tax Credit in the Table 7(c) of TRAN-1 on Capital Goods

Section 140(2) of UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that a registered person, other than a person opting to pay tax under Section 10, shall be entitled to taken in his ECL, credit of the un-availed ITC in respect of capital goods, not carried forward in the return, furnished under the existing law by him, for the period ending with the day immediately preceding the appointed day in such manner as may be prescribed. Provided that the registered person shall not be allowed

[^18]to take credit unless the said credit was admissible as ITC under the existing law and is also admissible as ITC under this Act.

Rule 21 (1) (n) of UP VAT Rules, 2008 stipulates that no credit of any amount of input tax shall be allowed in respect of goods which are capital goods and such capital goods have been purchased by a dealer for use or consumption in the manufacture of any exempt goods.
Audit test-checked (December 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual returns and assessment order of $91^{31}$ taxpayers of 55 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 7 (c)) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that a taxpayer M/s Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. (09AAACI1681 G1ZN) out of two taxpayers in the office of the JC (CC) CT Oil Sector, Lucknow engaged in manufacturing and selling of tax free goods (LPG) during VAT period, claimed ITC of ₹ 4.73 crore in Table 7(c) of TRAN-1 on cylinder and LPG DPR (Pressure Regulator) (capital goods) used in manufacturing and distribution of domestic LPG. As taxpayer was engaged in manufacturing and selling of tax free goods no credit of input tax was admissible to him on capital goods as per above provisions of the Rules. The AA, while finalising the assessment of the taxpayer failed to examine claim of ITC of $₹ 4.73$ core in Table 7(c) of TRAN-1 which was not in accordance with the aforementioned provisions of the Act and required to be disallowed.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply and in Exit Conference (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation amounting to $₹ 4.73$ crore out of which recovery of $₹ 4.73$ crore was reported.

### 2.1.12 Non-verification of Claim of Input Tax Credit in the Table 11 of TRAN-1

Section 142(11)(c) of UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that where tax was paid on any supply both under the UP VAT Act, 2008 and under Chapter V of the Finance Act, 1994 (32 of 1994), tax shall be leviable under this Act and the taxpayer shall be entitled to take credit of VAT or Service Tax (ST) paid under the existing law to the extent of supplies made after the appointed day and such credit shall be calculated in such manner as may be prescribed. Further, under Rule 118 of UP GST Rules, 2017 a taxpayer has to furnish the proportion of supply on which VAT or ST has been paid before the appointed day but the supply is made after the appointed day, and the ITC admissible thereon.

Audit test-checked (September 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order of $31^{32}$ taxpayers of 15 CTOs (who claimed transitional credit under Table 11) out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 CTOs) and noticed that 11 taxpayers out of 25 taxpayers of Sector 16 CT, Ghaziabad filed TRAN-1 and claimed ITC of ₹ 51.97 crore in Table 11 of TRAN-1. Further, these taxpayers had furnished the details of invoices of purchase of goods, tax paid and VAT paid taken as SGST credit as required in Table 11 of TRAN-1, however, the details and bifurcation of supplies made before the appointed date and after the appointed date were not available as prescribed in the Act and Rules. In the absence of these required details, ITC claim of $₹ 51.97$ crore of these taxpayers was not ascertained (Appendix-X).

[^19]Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation amounting to ₹ 61.55 lakh in one case out of which recovery of ₹ 61.55 lakh was reported. In six cases ${ }^{33}$ amounting to $₹ 47.63$ crore, the Department's reply and statement in Exit Conference is not acceptable as mentioned in para 2.1.8.1. In remaining four cases amounting to ₹ 3.73 crore the Department replied that action is under process.

### 2.1.13 Interest not recovered on availment of Excess Input Tax Credit in TRAN-1

Section 73 (1) of UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that where it appears to the proper officer that any tax has not been paid or short paid or erroneously refunded or where ITC has been wrongly availed or utilised for any reason, other than the reason of fraud or any wilful mis-statement or suppression of facts to evade tax, he shall serve notice on the person chargeable with tax which has not been so paid or which has been so short paid or to whom the refund has erroneously been made, or who has wrongly availed or utilised ITC, requiring him to show cause as to why he should not pay the amount specified in the notice along with interest payable thereon at the rate of 18 per cent per annum.

Audit test-checked (between July 2021 and December 2021), the TRAN-1, last legacy returns, annual return and assessment order of 1,058 taxpayers of 124 CTOs and noticed that three taxpayers of three CTOs ${ }^{34}$ filed TRAN- 1 and claimed ITC of ₹ 11.78 lakh through TRAN-1. However, ITC of ₹ 67.85 lakh was credited in their ECL. Hence, the dealers wrongly availed ITC of ₹ 67.85 lakh instead of admissible ITC of ₹ 40.66 lakh. This resulted in excess claim of ITC of ₹ 27.19 lakh which was recoverable along with interest payable thereon. However, it was noticed that the taxpayers deposited excess ITC of ₹ 27.19 lakh without paying interest of ₹ 11.78 lakh. The interest amount was neither deposited by taxpayers nor demanded by the Department (Appendix-XI).
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation amounting to ₹ 0.49 lakh in one case out of which recovery of ₹ 0.49 lakh was reported. In remaining two cases amounting to ₹ 11.28 lakh the Department replied in Exit Conference that action is under process.

### 2.1.14 Conclusion and Recommendation

Audit examination indicated inadequacies in the verification mechanism envisaged and adopted by the Department. The verification process was yet to be completed even after a lapse of more than four years since new tax regime had been implemented. 86 taxpayers ( 40 CTOs) availed ITC of ₹ 35.46 crore in Table 5 (c) of TRAN-1 and ex-parte assessment orders were passed with NIL ITC in these cases, resulting claim of ITC was not ascertained in these 86

[^20]cases. Audit observed compliance deviations in 165 claims (in 68 Sectors) out of $1,058^{35}$ cases (in 124 Sectors), audited with money value ₹ 99.56 crore.

## Recommendation:

The Government may consider fixing a timeline for verification of transitional credit claims and direct the concerned officers for examination of the claims of transitional credit along with the relevant supporting documents within the stipulated timeline. Cases of excess availment of transitional credit pointed out by Audit may also be reassessed.

### 2.2 Compliance Audit on 'Processing of refund claims under Goods and Services Tax’

### 2.2.1 Tax administration

The Additional Chief Secretary (Commercial Tax and Entertainment Tax), Uttar Pradesh administers the UPGST Act and rules framed thereunder. The Commissioner, Commercial Tax (CCT), Uttar Pradesh is the head of the Commercial Tax Department (CTD). He/she is assisted by 100 Additional Commissioners, 157 Joint Commissioners (JCs), 494 Deputy Commissioners (DCs), 964 Assistant Commissioners (ACs) and 1,275 Commercial Tax Officers (CTOs). Since 1 July, 2017, the Department is administrating the Goods and Services Tax (GST) in the State under existing Laws.

Goods and Services Tax (GST) ${ }^{36}$ is levied on intra-State supply of goods or services (except alcohol for human consumption and upon five specified petroleum products ${ }^{37}$ ) separately but concurrently by the Union (CGST) and the States (SGST)/Union Territories (UTGST). Further, under the provisions of the new taxation regime, Integrated GST (IGST) is being levied on interState supply of goods or services (including imports).

### 2.2.2 Introduction

GST refund refers to any amount that is due to the taxpayer from the tax administration; The provisions pertaining to refund contained in the GST laws aim to streamline and standardise the refund procedures under the GST regime. The relevant provisions embodied in Section 54 of UPGST Act, 2017 and in Rules 89(1) and 89 (2) of UPGST Rules, 2017 give an overview of the various situations that may necessitate a refund claim. Due to unavailability of electronic refund module on the common portal, a temporary mechanism was devised and implemented. Circular No. 17/17/2017-GST dated 15.11.2017 and Circular no. 24/24/2017-GSTdated 21.12.2017 was issued prescribing the detailed procedures. In this electronic-cum-manual procedure, the applicants were required to file the refund applications in Form GST RFD-01A on the common portal, take a print out of the same and submit it physically to the jurisdictional tax office along with all supporting documents.

[^21]Master Circular No.125/44/2019-GST dated 18 November 2019 narrates a set of guidelines for electronic submission and processing of refund claims. However, the provisions of the earlier circulars were continued to apply for all refund applications filed on the common portal before 26 September 2019 and the application shall continue to be processed manually as was done prior to deployment of new system.

### 2.2.3 Audit Objectives

Audit of Refund cases under GST regime was conducted to assess;
(i) The adequacy of Act, Rules, notifications, circulars etc. issued in relation to grant of refund.
(ii) The compliance of extant provisions by the tax authorities and the efficacy of the systems in place to ensure compliance by taxpayer.
(iii) Whether effective internal control mechanism exists to check the performance of the departmental officials in disposing the refund applications.

### 2.2.4 Audit criteria

The following comprised the audit criteria:
(i) Uttar Pradesh Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017
(ii) Uttar Pradesh Goods and Service Tax Rules, 2017
(iii) Integrated Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017
(iv) Notification/Circulars issued from time to time by the Government/ Department

### 2.2.5 Scope of Audit and Audit Methodology

The audit methodology involves verification of correctness of refund claims of registered persons sanctioned by the Department. It also involves scrutiny of records available with the Department in respect of refund cases. During field audit, the refund cases processed between July 2017 to July 2020 in the selected cases in offices of CTD were examined. An entry conference was held with Commissioner Commercial Tax on 25 March 2021. Field Audit was conducted between February 2021 to November 2021. The draft was issued to the Government and the Department on 28 April 2022. The Department's reply was received on 6 July 2022.

### 2.2.6 Sample Selection

GSTN has provided PAN-India Refund Data from July 2017 till July 2020. Considering that the refund data available varies drastically on either side of 26 September 2019, refund risk parameters for these two stages are also different. Since no other relevant risk parameters are available for period prior to 26 September 2019, the refund applications under each category were sorted in descending order of refund amount claimed by taxpayers. Thereafter, sorted refund applications were divided into 4 quartiles and sample drawn. For selecting refund applications, filed after 26 September 2019, a composite risk score was devised using risk parameters such as refund amount claimed ( 60 per cent weightage), delay in sanctioning refund ( 15 per cent), Refund sanctioned/refund claimed ratio (10 per cent) and deficiency memo
issued (15 per cent). Based on the above arrived risk score, refund applications were selected for period after 26 September 2019.
A sample of 1,442 (751 pre automation and 691 post automation) refund cases were selected for scrutiny by audit which were spread across 152 sectors of the Commercial Tax Department. Out of which $12^{38}$ refund cases could not be examined due to non-production of records. Therefore, the audit could verify 1,430 cases out of sample of 1,442 cases. Details of selected cases are as follows:

Table 2.6

| Sl. <br> No. | Refund category | Number | Amount <br> (₹ in <br> crore) | No. of <br> Sectors/ <br> CTOs |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. | Refund of unutilised Input Tax Credit (ITC) on account of <br> exports without payment of tax | 823 | 504.74 | 80 |
| 2. | Refund of tax paid on export of goods and services with <br> payment of tax | 5 | 5.67 | 4 |
| 3. | Refund of unutilised ITC on account of supplies made to <br> SEZ unit/SEZ developer without payment of tax | 17 | 27.14 | 3 |
| 4. | Refund of tax paid on supplies made to SEZ unit/SEZ <br> developer with payment of tax | 4 | 3.81 | 2 |
| 5. | Refund of unutilised ITC on account of accumulation due <br> to inverted tax structure | 375 | 940.91 | 87 |
| 6. | Refund to supplier of tax paid on deemed export supplies | 5 | 1.04 | 2 |
| 7. | Refund to recipient of tax paid on deemed export supplies | 2 | 0.99 | 1 |
| 8. | Refund of excess balance in electronic cash ledger | 145 | 31.71 | 77 |
| 9. | Refund of excess payment of tax | 11 | 1.67 | 10 |
| 10. | Refund of tax paid on intra-State supply which is <br> subsequently held to be inter-State supply and vice versa | 1 | 0.58 | 1 |
| 11. | Refund on account of assessment/provisional <br> assessment/appeal/any other order | 18 | 1.43 | 6 |
| 12. | Refund on account of any other ground or reason | 36 | 6.28 | 30 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 , 4 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 2 5 . 9 7}$ |  |  |

## Audit findings

During detailed scrutiny of 1,430 refund claims, Audit observed following delays in sanction of refund claims, excess/ irregular grant of refunds and other miscellaneous lapses while processing refund claims.

Table 2.7

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Nature of auditobservations | Total |  |  |  | Percentage of deficiency to Audit sample (no. wise) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Audit sample |  | Deficiency |  |  |
|  |  | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount (in } \\ & \text { ₹ crore) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tax effect } \\ & \text { (in ₹ crore) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1 | Acknowledgement not issued within time | 1,430 | 1,523.50 | 76 | NA | 5.31 |
| 2 | Refund orders not sanctioned in time | 1,430 | 1,523.50 | 122 | 0.14 | 8.53 |
| 3 | Excess/Irregular grant of refund | 1,430 | 1,523.50 | 31 | 5.51 | 2.17 |
| 4 | Miscellaneous lapses in processing of refund claims | 1,430 | 1,523.50 | 15 | NA | 1.05 |

[^22]The audit findings are discussed in the succeeding paragraphs.

### 2.2.7 Acknowledgement not issued within time

Section 54 (7) of the UPGST Act, 2017 read with Rule 90 (1) and (2) of UPGST Rules, 2017 stipulates that where the application relates to a claim for refund from the Electronic Credit Ledger (ECL), an acknowledgement in Form GST RFD-02 shall be made available to the applicant through the common portal electronically, clearly indicating the date of filing of the claim for refund and the time period i.e., 60 days specified for processing of refund application. For the application related to refund other than ECL, the application shall be forward to the proper officer within a period of 15 days of filing of the said application, scrutinize the application for its completeness. An acknowledgment in Form GST RFD-02 shall be made available to the applicant within 15 days through the common portal.
Audit test checked 739 pre-automation and 691 post-automation sampled cases of 152 CTOs (between February to November 2021) and it was noticed in 30 pre-automation and 46 post-automation refund cases of $36 \mathrm{CTOs}^{39}$ that there were delay of more than six months in one case, three months to six months in three cases and less than three months in 72 cases, in issue of acknowledgement in the processing of the refunds of ₹ 65.85 crore. This has resulted in non-observance of the provisions of Rule 90 of the SGST Rules 2017. The details are given in Appendix-XII.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted audit observations and stated various reasons for the acknowledgements not issued within time such as system deficiencies, countrywide lockdown during COVID pandemic and in cases related to the pre-automation period dealers not submitted refund applications in hard copy along with the supporting documents due to unacquaintance to new GST system and insisted that no loss of revenue has been occurred.
The Department's reply is self-evident regarding reasons furnished for the delay in acknowledgements not being issued within time.

### 2.2.8 Refund orders not sanctioned in time

Section 54(7) of the UPGST Act, 2017 read with Rule 92 of the UPGST Rules, 2017 stipulated that upon submission of refund application, the officer shall carry out the examination process. He shall examine if the refund claim amount is due and payable to the applicant and then shall make an order in Form GST RFD-06, sanctioning the amount of refund to which the applicant is entitled within 60 days of receipt of application. The proper officer should also mention therein the amount, if any, refunded to him on a provisional basis in case of zero-rated supply. As per Section 56 of the UPGST Act, 2017 if any

[^23]refund payable to the applicant is not refunded within 60 days from the date of receipt of application, interest at the rate of $6 / 9$ per cent will be paid.
Audit test checked 739 pre-automation and 691 post-automation sampled cases of 152 CTOs (between February to November 2021) and it was noticed in 110 pre-automation and 12 post-automation refund cases of $25 \mathrm{CTOs}^{40}$ that there were delay of more than six months in 19 cases, three to six months in 35 cases and less than three months in 68 cases in sanction of refunds. Consequently, the Department has not paid interest of ₹ 13.99 lakh u/s 56 (7) of UPGST Act, 2017 to the claimants for the delay sanctioned of refund of ₹ 8.77 crore. The details are given in Appendix-XIII.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted audit observations and stated various reasons for the refund orders not sanctioned in time such as system deficiencies, countrywide lockdown during COVID pandemic and in cases related to the pre-automation period dealers not submitted refund applications in hard copy along with the supporting documents due to unacquaintance to new GST system and insisted that no loss of revenue has been occurred.
The Department's reply is self-evident regarding reasons furnished for the refund orders not being sanctioned in time.

### 2.2.9 Provisional refund not sanctioned within time

Section 54 (6) of the UPGST Act, 2017 read with Rule 91 of UPGST Rules, 2017 provides that the provisional refund on account of zero rate supply of goods and services shall be granted subject to the condition that the person claiming refund has, during any period of five years immediately preceding the tax period to which the claim for refund relates, not been prosecuted for any offence under the Act or under an existing law where the amount of tax evaded exceeds ₹ 2.5 crore. Thereafter the proper officer will scrutinize the application and the evidences submitted by the applicant. On being prima facie satisfied, he shall make a provisional refund order in Form GST RFD-04 sanctioning the amount of refund due to the said applicant on provisional basis within a period not exceeding seven days from the date of the acknowledgement.

Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 856 cases of 85 CTOs related to zero rated supply out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed in 10 pre-automation and one post-automation refund cases of seven $\mathrm{CTOs}^{41}$ that there were delay in sanction of provisional refunds ranging from two to 52 days. This has resulted in non-observance of the aforementioned provisions. The details are given in Appendix-XIV.
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observations in five cases. The Department did not accept the audit observations in remaining six cases. The analysis of the replies in cases the Department did not accept the audit observations are listed in Table-2.8.

[^24]Table-2.8

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Audited unit/ Observation in brief | Department's reply in brief | Rebuttal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sector-1 Agra: There was delay of 37 days in sanction of provisional refund. | The Department stated that a fresh application was filed on 09.08.2019 after issuing of deficiency memo 12.07.2019 and provisional refund was timely sanction on 13.08.2019. | The reply is not acceptable as there was no relevance of issuing deficiency memo on 12.07.2019 after issuing acknowledgement to the applicant on 01.07.2019 |
| 2 | a. JC (CC)-II Kanpur: There was delay of 13 days in sanction of provisional refund | The Department stated that RFD-04 was issued on 05.07.2019 by making the amount of Rs 15.66 lakh inadmissible. | The Department in its reply did not provide any reason for delay in sanction of RFD-04. |
|  | b. There was delay of 33 days in sanction of provisional refund | The Department stated that RFD-04 was issued on 22.10.2019 by making the amount of Rs 11.99 lakh inadmissible. |  |
|  | c. There was delay of 17 days in sanction of provisional refund | The Department stated that RFD-04 was issued on 05.07.2019 by making the amount of Rs 1.86 lakh inadmissible. |  |
| 3 | a. Sector-12 Noida: There was delay of 51 days in sanction of provisional refund | The Department stated that the supporting documents with refund application were in quantum and not readable. | The Department accepted the delay due to the quantum of the documents and non-readability of the documents. |
|  | b. There was delay of 48 days in sanction of provisional refund. |  |  |

### 2.2.10 Irregular grant of provisional refund

As per Section 54(6) of UPGST Act, 2017, in the case of any claim for refund on account of zero rated supply of goods or services or both made by registered persons, 90 per cent of total amount of refund claimed may be sanctioned on a provisional basis and thereafter the proper officer shall issue an order under sub Section (5) for final settlement of the refund claim after due verification of documents furnished by the applicant. Thus, sanction of provisional refund is sanctioned on account of zero rated supply of goods and / or services and not in other categories.
Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 586 cases of 134 CTOs which related to other than zero rated supply of goods and services 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed in 3 refund cases of two CTOs ${ }^{42}$ the registered persons had claimed refund of $₹ 1.05$ crore in the refund categories i.e., excess payment of tax, inverted tax structure and any other categories. The Department, sanctioned the provisional refund of ₹ 94.59 lakh to the registered persons, whereas provisional refund is sanctioned only on account of zero rated supply of goods and/or services. The Department while finalising the refund did not properly examine the return of supply of goods and services resulted in irregular refund of ₹ 94.59 lakh. The details are given in Appendix-XV.

[^25]Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observations in two cases. In one case, the Department did not accept the audit observation. The analysis of the Department's reply in this case is listed in Table 2.9.

Table 2.9

| SI. No. | Audited unit/ Observation <br> in brief | Department's reply in <br> brief | Rebuttal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Sector-5, CT, Kanpur: <br> Provisional refund was <br> sanctioned in excess <br> payment of tax category <br> while U/s 54(6) it is allowed <br> only in zero rated category. | The Department stated that <br> there is no restriction to <br> issue the provisional refund <br> under other category along <br> with zero rated supply of <br> goods and services or both <br> u/s 54(6) of UPGST Act. | The reply is not <br> acceptable, as per <br> section 54 (6) of <br> UPGST act, <br> provisional refund is <br> allowed only in zero <br> rated cases. |

### 2.2.11 Refund amount included ITC availed on services and capital goods

As per Section 54 (3) of the UPGST Act, 2017 refund of unutilized ITC can be claimed by a registered person at the end of any tax period. Rule 89(4) of the UPGST Rules, 2017 prescribes formula ${ }^{43}$ for granting refund in case of zerorated supply of goods or services. In case of zero rated supply of goods and services the "Net ITC" means ITC availed on inputs and input services during the relevant period and in case of inverted tax structure, ITC earned on inputs only. Section 73 of the CGST/SGST Act, 2017 stipulates that erroneous refund amount has to be recovered from the taxpayer along with applicable interest under Section 50.

Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 1,231 cases of 136 CTOs which related to zero rated supply of goods and services and inverted duty structure out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed in three pre-automations and six post-automation cases of seven CTOs ${ }^{44}$ the registered person had claimed refund of ₹ 4.17 crore which was sanctioned by the Department. The registered persons had included ITC of ₹ 18.48 lakh availed on services and capital goods in Net ITC while calculating refund claims. Omission on the part of the Department to examine the returns resulted in excess sanction of refund of ₹ 17.28 lakh which was recoverable with interest of ₹ 4.07 lakh. The details are given in Appendix-XVI.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observations in nine cases amounting to ₹ 17.29 lakh along with interest of ₹ 4.07 lakh, out of which in three cases recovery of ₹ 2.31 lakh along with interest of ₹ 0.33 lakh and penalty of ₹ 0.20 lakh was reported by the Department. In six cases the Department stated that the action of recovery is under process.

[^26]
### 2.2.12 Excess allowance of refund on inverted tax structure

As per Section 54 (3) of the UPGST Act, 2017 a registered person may claim refund of any unutilised ITC at the end of any tax period where the credit has accumulated on account of rate of tax on inputs being higher than the rate of tax on output supplies (i.e., Inverted Duty Structure). Further, Rule 89(5) of the UPGST Rules, 2017 prescribes the formula ${ }^{45}$ for maximum refund of unutilised ITC on account of inverted duty structure. As per the Rule, for the purpose of calculation of refund Net ITC includes the ITC availed on purchases of inputs during the relevant period but does not include credit availed on input services and capital goods.

Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 375 cases of 80 CTOs related to inverted duty structure out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed that in one pre-automation case of the JC-CC RangeB, CT, Noida, the registered person had claimed refund of ₹ 1.23 crore on account of inverted rated supply of goods and services category which was sanctioned by the AA. The registered person had claimed Net ITC of ₹ 1.76 crore after deducting ITC of ₹ 12.16 lakh related to services from gross ITC of ₹ 1.88 crore during the relevant period. As per audit calculation ITC related to services was of ₹ 17.96 lakh. Thus, ITC of ₹ 5.80 lakh related to services was additionally deductible from gross ITC. The Department, while finalising the refund, did not properly examine this fact resulting in excess refund of ₹ 5.26 lakh which was recoverable with interest of ₹ 1.08 lakh. The details are given in Appendix-XVII.
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation and recovery of tax of ₹ 5.67 lakh along with interest of ₹ 2.07 lakh was reported.

### 2.2.13 Refund sanctioned by tax authority after relevant period of two years

As per sub-Section (1) and (3) of Section 54 of UPGST Act, 2017, any person claiming refund of any tax and interest, if any, paid on such tax or any other amount paid by him, may make an application before the expiry of two years from the relevant date ${ }^{46}$ in such form and manner as may be prescribed. As per Rule 61 of UPGST Rules, 2017 return for each month, or part thereof may be submitted on or before the twentieth day of the month succeeding such month.
Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 1297 cases of 135 CTOs related to other than refund of excess balance in electronic cash ledger out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed that in one postautomation refund case of the inverted tax structure of DC Sector-04 CT Agra, the registered person had claimed for refund of ₹ 11.11 lakh on 22 January 2020 pertaining to the period from July 2017 to September 2017. As per Rules, return filing date for the month of September 2017 was 20 October 2017. The maximum time limit for the claim of refund of above mentioned

[^27]period was up to 20 September 2019 whereas refund was claimed on 22 January 2020, beyond the maximum period of two years. The Department, while finalising the refund did not consider the aspect of relevant date and irregularly sanctioned the refund. The details are given in Appendix-XVIII.
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation and levied the tax of ₹ 11.11 lakh along with penalty of ₹ 1.11 lakh and applicable interest.

### 2.2.14 Excess/Irregular allowance of refund due to nonconsideration of documentary evidences

Section 54 (4) of the UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that the application for refund shall be accompanied by the documentary evidences to establish that a refund is due to the applicant. Rule 89 (2) (h) of UPGST Rules, 2017 envisaged that refund application on account of inverted tax structure shall be accompanied by (i) statement containing the number and date of invoices received during a tax period (ii) statement containing the number and date of the invoices issued during a tax period. Further Rule 89 (5) of the UPGST Rules 2017 prescribes the formula for maximum refund of unutilized ITC on account of inverted duty structure. As per the Rule, Net ITC includes the ITC availed only on inputs during the relevant period and does not include credit availed on input services.

Audit test checked 739 pre-automation and 691 post-automation sampled cases of 152 CTOs (between February to November 2021) and it was noticed that in two pre-automation and one post-automation cases of three $\mathrm{CTOs}^{47}$ the registered persons had claimed refund of ₹ 83.83 lakh, which was sanctioned by the Department. The Department considered the Net ITC of ₹ 3.91 crore as claimed by the registered person instead of Net ITC of ₹ 2.60 crore as depicted in documentary evidences (statement-1A and annexure ' B '). The Department, while finalising the cases did not examine the facts resulted in excess/irregular refund of ₹ 72.80 lakh. The details are given in Appendix-XIX.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department partially accepted the Audit observation and recovered the tax amount along with interest. In another case amounting to ₹ 36.37 lakh action is under process. In one case, the Department did not accept the audit observation. The analysis of the Department's reply in this case is listed in
Table 2.10.
Table-2.10

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Audited unit/ Observation in brief | Department's reply in brief | Rebuttal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JC (CC)-I Ghaziabad: <br> The AA considered net ITC of ₹ 190.36 lakh instead of ₹ 124.12 lakh as depicted in statement 1 A while computing the refund resulted in irregular refund of ₹ 35.68 lakh. | The balance of ECL (Electronic Credit Ledger) was ₹ 192.44 lakh, at the end of June 2019. Statement 1A shows ITC on purchase. | The Department's reply is not acceptable. Since in Statement-1A submitted by the registered person with refund application, the Net ITC was ₹ 124.12 lakh which was allowable for refund calculation. |

[^28]
### 2.2.15 Excess allowance of refund of IGST payment

Section 16 (3) of IGST Act, 2017 stipulates that a registered person may supply goods or services or both, subject to such condition, safeguards and procedure as may be prescribed, on payment of integrated tax and claim refund of such tax paid on goods or services or both supplied, in accordance with the provisions of Section 54 of the CGST Act or the Rules made thereunder.

Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 9 cases of 8 CTOs related to zero rated supply of goods and services (with payment of tax) out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed that in one refund case of JC (CC)-I, CT, Lucknow, the registered person had claimed for the refund of $₹ 1.35$ crore of IGST paid on export of goods for the month of September 2018. As per return 3B of September 2018 the registered person had exported zero-rated goods of ₹ 1.62 crore and paid IGST of ₹ 67.33 lakh. As per provisions of the Act registered person was eligible for the refund of ₹ 67.33 lakh only to the extent of the IGST paid on export of zero-rated goods. The Department, failed to examine the returns properly resulting in excess refund of ₹ 67.22 lakh which was recoverable with interest of ₹ 35.40 lakh. The details are given in Appendix-XX.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department stated that the refund was related to the period of 2018-19 and November and December 2017.

The reply of the Department is not acceptable as the claimed period (September 2018) was clearly mentioned in the refund application RFD-01 which was allowable for refund. The period of November and December 2017 was not admissible which was not mentioned in the refund application.

### 2.2.16 Excess allowance of refund due to non-consideration of Net ITC

Section 54 (4) of the UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that the application for refund shall be accompanied by the documentary evidences as may be prescribed. Rule 89 (2) (b) of UPGST Rules, 2017 envisaged that refund application on account of export of goods shall be accompanied by (i) statement containing the number and date of shipping bill/bill of export (ii) statement containing the number and date of relevant export invoices. Further, Rule 89 (4) of UPGST Rules, 2017 prescribes the formula ${ }^{48}$ for calculation of refund of ITC in case of exports under BOND/LUT.
Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 1,231 cases of 136 CTOs related to zero rated supply goods and services and inverted duty structure out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed that in one pre-automation case of DC Sector 14 Noida, the registered persons had claimed refund of ₹ 51.51 lakh which was sanctioned by the Department. The registered persons had shown Net ITC of ₹ 51.51 lakh RFD $01 \mathrm{~A}^{49}$ instead of ₹ 36.21 lakh as per detail submitted in support of ITC for February 2019. While as per prescribed formula for maximum refund of unutilised ITC on

[^29]account of zero-rated supply of goods and services the maximum amount of refund admissible was ₹ 36.21 lakh. The Department did not properly examine the details submitted in support of ITC resulted in excess refund of ₹ 15.29 lakh which was recoverable with interest of ₹ 6.54 lakh. The details are given in Appendix-XXI.
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department did not accept the audit observation and stated that Section 54 (3) the registered person can claim refund of unutilised ITC at the end of any tax period. The reply is not acceptable as the registered person was allowed for refund of the relevant period as claimed in the refund application RFD-01 (February 2019). The refund for November 2018 was not admissible as it was not related to relevant period.

### 2.2.17 Excess allowance of refund due to non-consideration of export value of shipping bill

Section 54 (4) of the UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that the application for refund shall be accompanied by the documentary evidences as may be prescribed. Rule 89 (2) (b) of UPGST Rules, 2017 envisaged that refund on account of export of goods required a statement containing the number and date of Shipping Bill/Bill of Export and a statement containing the number and date of relevant export invoices. Sub-rule 4 of Rule 89 provides formula ${ }^{50}$ for grant of refund in cases of zero-rated supply of goods without payment of tax under bond or LUT. Section 73 of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that erroneous refund amount has to be recovered from the taxpayer along with applicable interest under Section 50.
Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 828 cases of 87 CTOs related to zero rated supply out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed that in one post-automation refund case of DC Sector-14, CT, Noida, the registered person had claimed refund of ₹ 45.88 lakh and stated export of ₹ 7.22 crore in RFD-01 instead of export turnover on shipping bill amount of $₹ 6.10$ crore against which refund of $₹ 45.60$ lakh was as sanctioned by the Department. Whereas, considering the actual export amount of the shipping bill, the amount of refund admissible was ₹ 43.42 lakh. The Department, while finalising the refund claim, did not consider the shipping bill amount for export which resulted in excess refund of ₹ 2.18 lakh, was recoverable with interest of $₹ 65,879$. The details are given in Appendix-XXII.
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department stated that some export bills of Rs 1.20 crore were left to upload which were later uploaded in GSTR-1. The reply of the Department is not acceptable as the registered person was allowed for refund whose supporting statement of shipping bills were produced at the time of refund application.

[^30]
### 2.2.18 Excess allowance of refund on incorrect adjusted total turnover

Section 54 (3) subject to the provisions of sub-section (10), a registered person may claim refund of any unutilised ITC at the end of any tax period. As per rule 89 (4) (E) of UPGST Rule, 2017 "Adjusted Total turnover" means the turnover in a State or a Union territory, as defined under clause (112) of Section 2, excluding the value of exempt supplies other than zero-rated supplies, during the relevant period ${ }^{51}$. Section 73 of the UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that erroneous refund amount has to be recovered from the registered person along with applicable interest under Section 50.

Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 1,215 cases of 123 CTOs related to zero rated supply of goods and services and inverted duty structure category, out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed that in one pre-automation refund case of DC Sector-13, CT Noida the registered person claimed for the refund of ₹ 25.31 lakh for the month of August and September 2017 which was sanctioned by the Department. The taxable person while calculating the maximum refund amount to be claimed, had showed adjusted total turnover ₹ 2.49 crore, turnover of zero rated supply of goods and services ₹ 2.49 crore and Net ITC ₹ 25.31 lakh in RFD-01 ${ }^{52}$. While in the GSTR-1 of September 2017, taxable supply was ₹ 30.84 lakh and export supply was $₹ 2.49$ crore. In the month of August 2017, no supply was made. Thus, applying the corrected adjusted total turnover of ₹ 2.80 crore in the formula ${ }^{53}$, total refund amount admissible is ₹ 22.52 lakh only. The Department, while finalising the refund claim, did not check the total turnover in the formula which resulted in excess refund of ₹ 2.79 lakh which was recoverable with interest of $₹ 1.39$ lakh. The details are given in Appendix-XXIII.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation and stated that action of recovery was under process.

### 2.2.19 Excess allowance of refund including irrelevant period of shipping bills

Section 54(4) of the UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that the application for refund shall be accompanied by the documentary evidences as may be prescribed. As per Rule 89(2)(b) of UPGST Rule, 2017 the refund application on account of export of goods and services will be accompanied with a statement containing the number and date of shipping bill/bill of export and number and date of relevant export invoices. Further, as per Rule 89 (4) (C) of

[^31]UPGST Rule 2017, the turnover of zero rated supply of goods is to be considered against the supplies made during the relevant period for which refund is claimed.

Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 823 cases of 82 CTOs related to zero rate supply of goods and services out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed that during the audit of four CTOs ${ }^{54}$, in three pre-automation and two post-automation cases on account of zero rate supply of goods and services the registered persons had claimed refund of ₹ 4.82 crore which was sanctioned by the Department. The registered persons had shown zero-rated supply of goods of ₹ 87.07 crore and adjusted total turnover ₹ 133.78 crore. While calculating turnover of zero-rated supply of goods the taxable persons had included the exports turnover of ₹ 21.71 crore which did not pertain to the relevant period as detailed in shipping bills. Turnover of exports made during the relevant period as per shipping bill comes to ₹ 65.36 crore only and the refund calculated in audit of ₹ 4.09 crore only. The Department, sanctioned the refund without considering the correct amount of zero rated supply of goods, resulting in excess refund of ₹ 72.12 lakh ${ }^{55}$ which was recoverable with interest of ₹ 29.08 lakh till date of audit. The details are given in Appendix-XXIV.

Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observations in four cases amounting to ₹ 37.53 lakh along with interest of ₹ 18.61 lakh and stated that action of recovery was under process. In one case, the Department did not accept the audit observation. The analysis of the Department's reply in this case is listed in Table 2.11.

Table-2.11

| SI. <br> No. | Audited unit/ <br> Observation in brief | Department's reply in <br> brief | Rebuttal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | JC-CC G.B. Nagar: The <br> AA allowed the refund <br> without considering the <br> correct amount of zero <br> rated supply of goods, <br> resulting in excess refund <br> of ₹ 34.58 lakh which was <br> recoverable with interest. | Shipping bills amounting <br> to 6.43 crore were <br> received before filing of <br> GSTR-1 of October 2019, <br> therefore it was not <br> declared in GSTR-1 and | The reply of the <br> 3B of October 2019 and it <br> was taken in the <br> acceptable as the refund <br> calculation of the refund <br> claimed was to be allowed <br> only for the relevant period <br> for the month of October <br> (November -2019). The |
| refund claim of October <br> 2019. | not related to relevant <br> period. |  |  |

### 2.2.20 Excess allowance of refund of blocked credits

Section 17 (5) of UPGST Act, 2017 stipulates that where the goods or services or both are used by the registered person partly for the purpose of any business and partly for other purposes, the amount of credit shall be restricted to so much of the input tax as is attributable to the purposes of his business. Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (1) of Section 16 and subsection (1) of Section 18, ITC shall not be available in respect of blocked credits ${ }^{56}$.

[^32]Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 1,231 cases of 136 CTOs related to zero rate supply of goods and services and inverted duty structure out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed that in one pre-automation and one post-automation refund cases of two $\mathrm{CTOs}^{57}$, the registered persons had claimed refund of ₹ 47.78 lakh against which ₹ 47.49 lakh was sanctioned by the Department. The registered persons had earned ITC of ₹ 60.13 lakh during the relevant period, in which ₹ 2.24 lakh was related to services of motor vehicles and on services for which refund was not permissible. Hence Net ITC of ₹ 57.89 lakh was admissible to the registered person. Applying the correct Net ITC in formula ${ }^{58}$ maximum amount of refund comes to ₹ 45.68 lakh. While processing the refund this aspect was not taken into consideration by the AAs resulting in excess refund of $₹ 1.81$ lakh which is recoverable with interest of $₹ 68,321$. The details are given in Appendix-XXV.
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observations in both cases amounting to ₹ 1.81 lakh along with interest of ₹ 68,321 and recovery of ₹ 1.81 lakh along with interest of ₹ 68,321 lakh was reported.

### 2.2.21 Excess allowance of refund due to wrong claimed amount of services

As per Rule 89(4) of UPGST Rules, 2017 the zero rated supply of services described as "Zero rated supply of services is the aggregate of the payments received during the relevant period for zero rated supply of services and zero rated supply of services where supply has been completed for which payment had been received in advance in any period prior to the relevant period reduced by advances received for zero rated supply of services for which the supply of services had not been completed".
Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 1,219 cases of 123 CTOs related to zero rated supply of goods and services and inverted duty structure out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed that in two refund cases on account of zero rated supply of services of two CTOs ${ }^{59}$, the registered persons had claimed refund of unutilised ITC of ₹ 2.93 crore which was sanctioned by the Department. The registered persons had shown turnover of zero rated supply of services to ₹ 21.79 crore instead of ₹ 15.06 crore. The Department, wrongly calculated refund amount of ₹ 2.93 crore instead of $₹ 2.34$ crore considering the zero rated supply of services of $₹ 21.79$ crore as per prescribed formula ${ }^{60}$, which resulted in excess refund of ₹ 58.57 lakh, the same is recoverable with interest of $₹ 26.56$ lakh. The details are given in Appendix-XXVI.

[^33]Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted both the audit observations and stated that action of recovery was under process.

### 2.2.22 Irregular allowance of refund due to ITC sanctioned twice on the same invoice

Section 54 (1) of the UPGST Act 2017 stipulates that any person claiming refund of any tax and interest, if any, paid on such tax or any other amount paid by him, may make an application before the expiry of two years from the relevant date in such form and manner as may be prescribed. Further Section 54 (3) provides that a registered person may claim refund of any unutilised ITC at the end of any tax period for zero rated supplies made without payment of tax.
Audit test checked (between February to November 2021) 1,231 cases of 136 CTOs related to zero rated supply of goods and services and inverted duty structure out of 1,430 sample cases of 152 CTOs and it was noticed that in one pre-automation case on account of zero rate supply of goods of the DC Sector-1, CT G.B. Nagar, a registered person claimed refund of ₹ 2.56 crore for the month of June 2018 on account of supplies made to SEZ unit/SEZ developer (without payment of tax) which was sanctioned by the Department.

While verifying the annexure attached in support of ITC claim, it was found that the registered person had claimed ITC of ₹ 12.62 lakh stating that "being GST not accounted in invoices now accounted" (detail of invoices were not mentioned) whereas the registered person had already included invoices pertaining to the previous months in the same annexure. This resulted in the excess claim of ITC of ₹ 12.62 lakh on same invoices. Further, due to the clerical mistake excess ITC of ₹ 9.45 lakh was claimed on inward supplies of $₹ 11.52$ lakh in June 2018. Thus, a total excess ITC of ₹ 22.07 lakh was irregularly claimed by the registered person. After deducting this inadmissible ITC of ₹ 22.07 lakh from the total earned ITC of ₹ 3.51 crore, total admissible ITC is ₹ 3.29 crore for the month of June 2018. Adjusting tax of ₹ 92.18 lakh payable on outward supplies for the month of June 2018 from admissible ITC, maximum unutilised ITC for refund comes to $₹ 2.37$ crore. However, the Department refunded ₹ 2.56 crore to the registered person. This resulted in excess refund of unutilised ITC of ₹ 19.13 lakh which was recoverable with interest of ₹ 5.84 lakh. The details are given in Appendix-XXVII.
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation and stated that action of recovery was under process.

### 2.2.23 Deficiencies noticed in GSTN portal

The Common GST portal notified by the Government on 22 June 2017 to provide PAN India online platform to facilitate all works related to GST. The portal facilitated for the taxpayers and other stakeholders. This portal has mainly two ends i.e., front and back end. Front end of this portal meant for taxpayers and back end for tax authorities.

During the audit of GST refunds, audit observed that in one case ${ }^{61}$ server was not providing correct information related to ARN search as portal was providing information related to two different registered persons on the same ARN. Audit also observed that in another case ${ }^{62}$ refund sanctioned in RFD-06 on 17 February 2020 while acknowledgement issued in RFD-02 on 29 February 2020. In one case ${ }^{63}$ of double payment of GST refund was also noticed where GST refund was made twice, although it was deposited by the registered person suo moto. In one post automation case ${ }^{64}$ refund was applied by the registered person after two years of the relevant period which was sanctioned by the Department resulting in non-observance of the provisions of the Section 54 of the CGST/SGST Act 2017. This shows the portal has no checks regarding expiry of two years from the relevant date. This indicates towards technical deficiencies in the GSTN portal. The details are given in Appendix-XXVIII.
Audit reported the matter to the Department (April 2022). In reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit objection in one case amounting to $₹ 81,630.00$ and stated that action of recovery was under process. The Department did not provide reply in one case and did not accept the audit observations in other two cases, the analysis of the Department's replies in these cases are listed in Table-2.12.

Table 2.12

| SI. <br> No. | Audited unit/ <br> Observation in brief | Department's reply in <br> brief | Rebuttal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Sector- 19 Lucknow: <br> The server was providing <br> two different information <br> on the same ARN. | The server shows one <br> case for one ARN. | The reply of the Department is <br> not acceptable as the portal <br> showing information related to <br> two different registered persons <br> on the same ARN. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Sector 7, Agra: RFD-02 <br> was issued on | The portal is showing <br> date of RFD-06 | The reply of the Department is <br> not acceptable as RFD-02 was <br> issued on 29.02.2020 after <br> sanction of RFD-06 on |
|  | 29.02.2020 after sanction <br> of RFD-06 on <br> 17.02 .2020 | 17.03 .2020 and date of <br> acknowledgement <br> 29.02 .2020. | 17.02 .2020. |

### 2.2.24 Conclusion and Recommendation

Non-observance of provisions, Act and Rules, improper examination of returns, ITC claims, shipping bills, purchase and sale statement, and sales turnover resulted in delay in issue of acknowledgement, delay in sanction of refund, excess refund and irregular refund to the taxable persons.

## Recommendation:

The Government may consider to issue direction to proper officers for examination of the refund application along with relevant supporting documents before sanctioning the refund claims within the stipulated time period.

[^34]
## CHAPTER-III: STAMPS AND REGISTRATION FEES

### 3.1 Tax administration

The levy and collection of stamp duty and registration fees in the State is governed by the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (IS Act), the Registration Act, 1908 and the rules framed thereunder as applicable in Uttar Pradesh. Stamp duty and registration fees are levied on the execution of instruments at the prescribed rates fixed under the above Acts. Such duties are paid by executors of instruments by using impressed stamp paper or e-stamp. The Registration Act, 1908 and rules made thereunder by the State Government, broadly outline the system of assessment and collection of registration fees. Valuation of properties is decided as per the circle rates fixed by the Collector of the district as per the provisions of the Uttar Pradesh Stamp (Valuation of Property) Rules, 1997. The Sub-Registrar or the Registering Authority examines the documents presented before them to see that they have been presented within the time allowed and that the instruments have been properly stamped as required under the IS Act, 1899.

### 3.2 Organizational Set-up

The determination of policy, monitoring and control at the Government level is carried out by the Principal Secretary, Stamps and Registration. The Inspector General, Registration (IGR) is the head of the Stamps and Registration Department. He/she is empowered with the task of superintendence and administration of the registration work. The IG is assisted by four Additional Inspectors General at headquarter level, 23 Deputy Inspectors General (DIsG) at headquarter/Zonal level, 92 Assistant Inspectors General (AIsG) at the district/headquarters level and 372 Sub-Registrars (SRs) at the tehsil level. The organisational setup is described below in Chart-3.1.

Chart 3.1-Organisational setup


### 3.3 Result of Audit

During the year 2021-22, audit test-checked records at the office of the Principal Secretary, Stamps and Registration Department and 60 Sub-

Registrar Offices (SROs) out of 438 auditable units ( 14 per cent) of the Stamps and Registration Department. Compliance Audit on "Levy and collection of stamp and additional stamp duty on mortgage deeds" was also conducted in 36 out of 60 SROs. Audit noticed deficiencies and irregularities amounting to ₹ 351.30 crore in 708 cases, as detailed in the Table-3.1.

Table-3.1

| S. No. | Categories | Number of cases | Amount <br> (₹ in crore) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Compliance Audit on "Levy and collection of <br> stamp and additional stamp duty on mortgage <br> deeds" | 208 | 300.58 |
| 2. | Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees <br> due to misclassification of documents | 115 | 30.02 |
| 3. | Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees <br> due to violation of Section 27 of IS Act, 1899 | 209 | 15.00 |
| 4. | Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees <br> due to undervaluation of properties | 58 | 2.66 |
| 5. | Other irregularities | 118 | $\mathbf{7 0 8}$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{3 5 1 . 3 0}$ |  |

### 3.4 Compliance Audit on "Levy and collection of stamp and additional stamp duty on mortgage deeds"

### 3.4.1 Introduction of Mortgage Deed

'Mortgage deed' includes every instrument whereby, for the purpose of securing money advanced, or to be advanced, by way of loan, or an existing or future debt, or the performance of an engagement, one person transfers, or creates, to, or in favour of another, a right over or in respect of specified property as defined in Section 2 (17) of IS Act, 1899. Stamp duty on mortgage deeds (without possession) is leviable under Article 40 of schedule 1B of the said Act.

### 3.4.2 Trends of Revenue

The details of Stamp duty and Registration fees collected on registration of various documents under the Major Head 0030-Stamps and Registration Fees during the period 2018-19 to 2020-21 is as under:

Table-3.2 Trends of revenue

|  |  |  |  | Amount (₹ in crore) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial <br> year | No. of documents <br> registered | Total duty collected <br> (Stamp and addl. <br> stamp duty) | Registration fees | Total <br> revenue |  |
| $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ |  |
| $2018-19$ | $35,81,002$ | $13,319.54$ | $2,413.49$ | $15,733.03$ |  |
| $2019-20$ | $34,87,816$ | $13,514.83$ | $2,554.97$ | $16,069.80$ |  |
| $2020-21$ | $35,07,635$ | $13,849.64$ | $2,625.60$ | $16,475.24$ |  |

(Source: Figures taken from the Finance Account and figures of column 2 provided by the Deptt.)

Table-3.3-Collection, Deduction and Allocation of Additional Stamp Duty

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amount (₹ in crore) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial <br> year | Total <br> additional <br> stamp duty <br> collected | Incidental <br> charges <br> deducted @ <br> 4 per cent | Collection <br> charges <br> deducted @ <br> 4 per cent | Deduction <br> under the <br> dedicated urban <br> transport fund <br> @ 25 per cent | Total <br> deduction | Total <br> amount sent <br> for <br> allocation |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ <br> $[2-(3+4)] \mathbf{X 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 )}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2018-19$ | $2,009.55$ | 80.38 | 80.38 | 462.20 | 622.96 | $1,386.59$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2019-20$ | $2,135.48$ | 85.42 | 85.42 | 491.16 | 662.00 | $1,473.48$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2020-21$ | $2,214.09$ | 88.56 | 88.56 | 509.24 | 686.36 | $1,527.73$ |  |  |  |  |  |

(Source: figures provided by the Department)
All the deductions shown in column 3, 4 and 5 of Table- $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ were made vide the Government order ${ }^{1}$ (GO) dated 13 September 2013. Deductions shown in Column 3 and 4 were retained by the Department itself, whereas deduction shown in column 5 was transferred to "Dedicated Urban Transport Fund" vide the provisions of above G.O. The amount shown in column 7 was sent to the Finance Department for allocation to the Development Authority, the Uttar Pradesh Avas Evam Vikash Parishad and the Nagar Mahapalika or the Municipal Board, as the case may be, in such proportion as may from time to time be determined, in such a manner and in accordance with such principles as the State Government may by notification in the Gazette specify.

### 3.4.3 Audit Objectives

The Audit was conducted with a view to ascertain whether:
(i) Stamp duty and additional stamp duty with respect to mortgage deeds are being charged and realised in accordance with the provisions of Act, Rules and Notifications/orders issued by the Government and Department.
(ii) The system prevalent in the Department with respect to collection, accountal and allocation of additional stamp duty is adequate.

### 3.4.4 Audit Scope and Methodology

On the basis of district-wise revenue and revenue collection with respect to Mortgage deeds (without possession) for the year 2020-21, units have been selected for the audit adopting the following two stages:

Stage-1: District-wise revenue (stamp duty and registration fee) position for the year 2020-21 were arranged in descending order and top 11 districts $^{2}$ out of 75 districts have been selected.

Stage-2: 43 SROs in 11 districts were arranged in descending order as per their revenue collection with respect to mortgage deeds (without possession) and top 36 SROs $^{3}$ were selected for audit.

[^35]An Entry conference was held with the IG Registration on 20 July 2021 wherein audit objectives, scope and methodology were discussed. During the course of audit, the records ${ }^{4}$ relating to mortgage deeds (without possession), deposit of title deeds and security bonds for the period 2018-19 to 2020-21 of 36 selected SROs situated in eleven districts were scrutinised between August 2021 and March 2022. An exit conference was held with the Government on 12 July 2022 in which the audit findings were discussed. The views of the Government/Department have been suitably incorporated in the report.

## Audit findings

### 3.4.5 Irregularities related to levy of stamp and additional stamp duty on mortgage deeds (without possession)

Vide notification ${ }^{5}$ dated 25 May 2001, the State Government remitted the stamp duty chargeable ${ }^{6}$ on instruments of mortgage to the extent of amount of stamp duty that exceeds ₹ five lakh. Vide a subsequent notification ${ }^{7}$ dated 10 July 2008, in partial modification of the earlier notification, the Government remitted the stamp duty chargeable (on any instrument of mortgage without possession), to the extent of the amount that exceeds the amount of duty calculated at the rate of ₹ five for every one thousand ( 0.5 per cent) rupees or part thereof on the amount secured by such deeds without any mention of the limit of ₹ five lakh.

Uttar Pradesh Urban Planning and Development Act, $1973^{8}$ (UPUPD Act, 1973) stipulates that the duty imposed by the IS Act, 1899 on any deed of transfer of immovable property shall, in case of an immovable property situated within a development area, be increased by two per cent on the amount or value of the consideration with reference to which the duty is calculated under the said Act.

Failure of the Department to comply with the above mentioned provisions/notifications are discussed below in Para Nos. 3.4.5.1, 3.4.5.2 and 3.4.5.3:

### 3.4.5.1 Short levy of additional stamp duty on mortgage deeds (without possession) having secured amount ranging between ₹ two and ten crore

Additional stamp duty of $₹ 4.01$ crore was not levied on mortgage deeds (without possession) having secured amount ranging between ₹ two and ten crore as per the Uttar Pradesh Urban Planning and Development Act, 1973.

Audit noticed in 15 out of the 36 test-checked SROs that 51 deeds (out of total 1,332 deeds) of mortgage (without possession) having secured amount ranging between ₹ two and ten crore, were executed and registered as documents for securing the repayment of loan/timely completion of the projects. In view of the Acts and notification issued thereunder regarding the mortgage deeds

[^36](without possession), stamp duty and additional stamp duty were to be calculated at the rate of 0.5 per cent and two per cent respectively on the amount secured by such deeds. However, in these deeds the levy of stamp duty and additional stamp duty had been limited to ₹ five lakh referring the old notification dated 25 May 2001. As additional stamp duty is leviable under the authority of UPUPD Act, 1973, it was not to be remitted/reduced under provisions of IS Act, 1899. The SROs failed to comply with the provisions of the UPUPD Act, 1973 and this resulted in short levy of additional stamp duty amounting to ₹ 4.01 crore on 51 deeds, as shown in Appendix-XXIX.

Audit further noticed that in six out of 36 selected SROs the registering authorities in ten mortgage deeds (without possession) had levied and recovered stamp duty and additional stamp duty amounting to ₹ 78.19 lakh applying the correct rate of duties i.e. 0.5 and two per cent respectively on whole secured amount., as shown in Appendix- XXIX-A.
The audit observation in aforesaid cases may be seen in the light that certain registering authorities are interpreting the provisions of the UPUPD Act and the latest notification correctly while the most of them treating it incorrectly.
3.4.5.2 Stamp and additional stamp duty short/not levied on mortgage deeds (without possession) having secured amount above $₹ \mathbf{1 0}$ crore

> Stamp and additional stamp duty of ₹ 225.31 crore was short/not levied on mortgage deeds having secured amount above ₹ 10 crore by not complying with the provisions of IS Act, 1899 and Uttar Pradesh Urban Planning and Development Act, 1973.

In 13 out of the 36 test-checked SROs, audit noticed that 50 deeds (out of total 1378 deeds test-checked) of mortgage (without possession) having secured amount more than ₹ 10 crore, were executed and registered as documents of securing the repayment of loan. In view of the Acts and notification issued thereunder regarding the mortgage deeds (without possession), stamp duty and additional stamp duty were to be calculated at the rate of 0.5 per cent and two per cent respectively on the amount secured by such deeds.
However, in these deeds, stamp duty and additional stamp duty had been limited to ₹ five lakh only by applying the old notification dated 25 May 2001. This was not correct in view of the modified notification dated 10 July 2008 which provides for levy of stamp duty at the rate of 0.5 per cent without any mention of the limit of ₹ five lakh. Further, additional stamp duty leviable under the authority of UPUPD Act, 1973 was not to be remitted/reduced under provisions of IS Act, 1899. Thus, the SROs failed to comply with the provisions of Acts and notification dated 10 July 2008. This resulted in short levy of stamp and additional stamp duty amounting to ₹ 225.31 crore as shown in Appendix- XXX.

### 3.4.5.3 Short levy of stamp duty due to limiting stamp duty to ₹ five lakh

Stamp duty at the rate of ₹ five for every one thousand rupees or part thereof on the amount secured by mortgage deed is chargeable. However, the sub-registrars limited the amount of stamp duty to ₹ five lakh, which resulted in short levy of stamp duty of ₹ $\mathbf{3 2 . 9 5}$ crore.

Audit test-checked the records of four SROs, and in three SROs, Audit noticed that in 47 deeds (areas referred in these deeds were not declared 'development area' under the Section 3 of UPUPD Act, 1973 for the levy of two per cent additional stamp duty) of mortgage (without possession) (out of 733 deeds test-checked) duty chargeable on these documents was higher than ₹ five lakh as worked out at the rate of 0.5 per cent. The SROs had limited the stamp duty to ₹ five lakh which was not in line with provisions of the modified notification dated 10 July 2008 which stipulated that stamp duty at the rate of 0.5 per cent was chargeable without any mention of the limit of ₹ five lakh. Failure of the SROs to comply with the provisions of modified notification resulted in short levy of stamp duty amounting to ₹ 32.95 crore, as shown in Appendix- XXXI.

Audit observation similar to those discussed in Para No. 3.4.5.1 to 3.4.5.3 were also noticed during audit (between August 2021 and March 2022) of ten SROs (other than the 36 test-checked for the purpose of this Compliance Audit). In 12 deeds (out of 12,171 test-checked) of mortgage (without possession) registered during the period of October 2017 to February 2022, there was short levy of stamp and additional stamp duty amounting to ₹ 2.73 crore due to not complying the modified notification dated 10 July 2008 and provisions of UPUPD Act, 1973, as detailed in Appendix- XXXII.

Audit reported the matter to the Government and Department (March 2022 and April 2022). The Department replied (July 2022) in respect of Paras 3.4.5.1 to 3.4.5.3 that the notification No. Ka. Ni.-5-2758/II-2008-500 (159)/2006 dated 10 July 2008 pertaining to mortgage deed (without possession) is in partial modification of notifications issued earlier in this behalf and the stamp duty was reduced from ₹ 20 per thousand to ₹ five per thousand vide this notification. So far as, the notification dated 25 May 2001 is concerned, stamp duty chargeable on instrument of mortgage to the extent of the amount that exceeds ₹ five lakh stands remitted and this could not be treated as modification by the notification dated 10 July 2008, as the rate of stamp duty is not mentioned in the notification dated 25 May 2001. Further, in respect of two per cent additional stamp duty, the Department stated that Section 39 of UPUPD Act, 1973 stipulates that any deed of transfer of immovable property shall be increased by two per cent on the amount or value of the consideration, which shall be in the nature of stamp duty and notification dated 25 May 2001 provides that stamp duty chargeable on the instrument of mortgage to the extent of the amount that exceeds ₹ five lakh is remitted. Therefore, in the instant cases, stamp duty could not be charged beyond ₹ five lakh. However, in view of audit observation in the instant cases, the process to file the stamp-cases was under progress.
The reply of the Department is not acceptable, as in the notification dated 25 May 2001 it was provided that stamp duty chargeable under clauses (b) and (c)
of Article 40 of Schedule 1B on instrument of mortgage shall be limited to ₹ five lakh. Subsequently this notification was partially modified vide notification dated 10 July 2008 which provided that the stamp duty on mortgage deeds under clauses (b) and (c) of the Article 40 would be leviable at ₹ five for every one thousand rupees or part thereof on the amount secured by such deeds. While modifying the earlier notification of 2001 vide notification 10 July 2008, the provision of remission of stamp duty exceeding ₹ five lakh was not mentioned. In view of the forgoing, the contention of the Department is not correct. Further, additional stamp duty leviable under the authority of UPUPD Act, 1973 cannot be remitted/reduced under provisions of Section $9^{9}$ of IS Act, 1899.

## Recommendation:

The Department should issue suitable clarifications to the registering authorities for realisation of stamp and additional stamp duty on mortgage deeds (without possession) as per provisions of the Acts/notifications.

### 3.4.6 Short levy of stamp duty and additional stamp duty due to execution of deposit of title deeds in place of mortgage deeds

Documents were registered as deposit of title deeds in place of mortgage deeds, which resulted in short levy of stamp duty and additional stamp duty of $₹ 36.87$ crore.

Transfer of Property Act (TP) stipulates ${ }^{10}$ that where a person in any of the towns viz. Calcutta, Madras and Bombay and in any other town which [the State Government concerned] may, by notification in official gazette, specify in this behalf, delivers to a creditor, or his agent documents of title to immovable property, with intent to create a security thereon, the transaction is called a mortgage by deposit of title deeds. TP Act provides ${ }^{11}$ that all mortgages, except mortgage created by deposit of title deeds, can be validly created by properly registered instrument.
The Hon'ble Supreme Court ${ }^{12}$ in respect of the compulsory registration of a deed recording a transaction of title deeds has held that- "It is essential to bear in mind that the essence of a mortgage by deposit of title deeds is the actual handing over by a borrower to the lender of documents of title to immovable property with the intention that those documents shall constitute a security which will enable the creditor ultimately to recover the money which he has lent. But if the parties choose to reduce the contract to writing, this implication of law is excluded by their express bargain, and the document will be the sole evidence of its terms. In such a case the deposit and the document both form integral parts of the transaction and are essential ingredients in the creation of the mortgage. It follows that in such a case the document which constitutes the bargain regarding security requires registration under Section 17 of the Indian Registration Act, 1908, as a non-testamentary instrument

[^37]creating an interest in immovable property, where the value of such property is one hundred rupees and upwards."

Audit test-checked (between August 2021 and March 2022) the records of 36 selected SROs during the course of audit. In 18 SROs, Audit noticed that in 48 deeds (out of total 78 deeds of deposit of title test-checked), various mortgagors have deposited the title deeds related to their immovable properties with mortgagee/bank and created charge over the property in favour of mortgagor for the purpose of securing repayment of loan together with interest and charges thereon and got them registered with the Department. Thus, as per the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in above referred cases, these documents, being sole evidence of transaction and recital of deeds falling under the definition of Section 2 (17) of IS Act 1899, were required to be registered under the Registration Act and should be charged with stamp duty and additional stamp duty at the rate of 0.5 per cent and two per cent respectively. Registration of the documents as title deed instead of mortgage deed resulted in the short levy of stamp and additional stamp duty of ₹ 36.87 crore, as detailed in Appendix- XXXIII.

Similar audit observation was also noticed during the audit (between August 2021 and March 2022) of five SROs (other than the 36 test-checked for the purpose of this Compliance Audit). In five deeds (out of 11,009 test-checked) of deposit of title registered during the period of October 2018 to January 2022, there was short levy of stamp and additional stamp duty amounting to $₹ 1.01$ crore due to registration of documents as deposit of title deed instead of mortgage deed, as detailed in Appendix- XXXIV.

The matter was reported to the Government and Department (March 2022 and April 2022). In its reply (July 2022), the Department accepted the audit observation. It further stated that deposit of title deed does not require mandatory registration. But, if it is registered, it should be treated as mortgage deed. In these cases, process to file the stamp-cases is under progress.

### 3.4.7 Short levy of revenue due to execution of security bond in place of mortgage deed

Documents were registered as security bond instead of mortgage deed, which resulted in the short levy of stamp duty, additional stamp duty and registration fee amounting to $₹ 1.44$ crore.

Under the Article 57 of Schedule 1B of IS Act ${ }^{13}$, Security Bond executed by way of security for the due execution of an office, or to account for money or other property received by virtue thereof, or executed by surety to secure the due performance of a contract or the due discharge of liability, the stamp duty chargeable is ₹ 10 when the amount secured does not exceed ₹ 100 and in other case the stamp duty payable is ₹ 100 . Under Section 2 (17) of IS Act, 1899 the mortgage deed includes every instrument whereby, for the purpose of securing money advance, by way of loan, or an existing, or future debt, or the performance of an engagement, one person transfers, or create to, or in favour of another, a right over, or in respect of specified property. The chargeability of stamp duty on mortgage deeds (without possession) should be calculated at the rate of 0.5 per cent and two per cent for the additional stamp duty on the

[^38]amount secured by such deeds, if the property is situated in the development areas.

To ascertain the correctness of the interpretation of the registering authorities in respect of the nature of deeds executed by the executant, Audit test-checked (between August 2021 and March 2022) the records of 36 selected SROs. In two SROs, audit noticed that in 12 deeds (out of total 49 registered deeds of security bonds test-checked) of security bond, the SRs levied and realised stamp duty and registration fees of ₹ 3,440 from the executants by misinterpreting the documents as security bond in place of mortgage deeds. In these cases, the executants had submitted building plans before the Development Authorities for the development and construction of the Group Housing projects, which were sanctioned by the authorities and demanded the development charges due viz internal, external and other development charges. Thereafter, security bonds were executed by the developers to secure the payment of above charges to the development authorities by creating the first charge over the part of the project land and flats equivalent to due charges. Thus, they fall under the definition of mortgage as defined in the Section 2 (17) of the IS Act. The registering authorities should have treated them as mortgage deeds (without possession) and not as security bond. Therefore, under the provisions of the IS Act ${ }^{14}$, 1899, UPUPD Act ${ }^{15}$, 1973 and Registration Act, 1908 and notification ${ }^{16}$ issued thereunder these instruments are chargeable with Stamp, Additional Stamp duty and Registration fees as mortgage deed (without possession) instead of security bond, which resulted in short levy of revenue amounting to $₹ 1.44$ crore, as shown in Appendix- XXXV.
Similar audit observation was also noticed during the compliance audit (between August 2021 and March 2022) of two SROs (other than the 36 testchecked SROs for the purpose of this Compliance Audit) wherein seven documents were registered as security bond instead of mortgage deed during the period of April 2021 to November 2021. This resulted in short levy of stamp duty, additional stamp duty and registration fees amounting to ₹ 1.02 crore, as detailed in Appendix- XXXVI.

It is notable that in two SROs (in three deeds) out of 36 selected SROs the registering authorities had levied and recovered stamp duty, additional stamp duty and registration fees on similar deeds treating them correctly as mortgage deeds (without possession) at the rate of 0.5 , two and one per cent respectively on the whole secured amount, as shown in Appendix- XXXVI-A.
It is evident from the audit observation made here as well as at Point No. 3.4.5.1 that the registering authorities are interpreting the relevant provisions under the Acts and Rules with respect to the various nature of deeds executed in SROs on a discretionary basis and that led to short levy of stamp and additional stamp duty as pointed out in audit observations.

[^39]Audit reported the matter to the Government and Department (March 2022). In its reply (July 2022), the Department agreed with the audit observation. It further stated that, if the executants had submitted building plans before the Development Authorities for the development and construction of the Group Housing projects, which were sanctioned by the authorities and demanded the development charges due viz internal, external and other development charges and the developers had mortgaged the property to secure the payment of above charges to the development authorities by creating the first charge over the part of the project land, these deeds should have treated them as mortgage deeds and not as security bond and shall be chargeable with duties as mortgage deed. In the instant cases, process to file the stamp-cases is under progress.
Audit recommends that the Department should ensure that the determination of stamp and additional stamp duty should be decided on the basis of actual transaction/recital of deeds not on the basis nomenclature or language.

### 3.4.8 Systemic deficiencies in collection, allocation and accountal of additional stamp duty

Under the UPUPD Act, 1973, the duty imposed by the IS Act, 1899 on any deed of transfer of property shall, in the case of an immovable property situated within a 'development area' ${ }^{17}$ be increased by two per cent on the amount or value of consideration with reference to which the duty is calculated under the said Act. All collection resulting from the said increase shall, after deduction of incidental expenses, if any, be allocated and paid by the State Government in its discretion, either to the Department alone or to the Development Authority, the Uttar Pradesh Avas Evam Vikash Parishad and the Nagar Mahapalika or the Municipal Board, as the case may be, in such proportion as may, from time to time, be determined, in such a manner and in accordance with such principles as the State Government may by notification in the Gazette specify.

In this context vide Government Order dated 13 September 2013, the new procedure has been introduced for the proper allocation of recovered additional stamp duty. As per the new procedure, after deduction of four per cent incidental charges, four per cent collection charges and 25 per cent for dedicated urban transport fund, the amount of additional stamp duty will be paid to Housing \& Urban Planning Department and Urban Development Department per the rates prescribed in the order.
Examination of the current system of collection, accountal and allocation of additional stamp duty revealed several deficiencies both systemic and at the implementation level. These are presented in the succeeding paragraphs:

### 3.4.8. Failure to create a sub-head

As per the existing system of classification, Stamps and Registration Fees (including an additional stamp duty) is accounted for under the Major Head

[^40]0030-Stamps and Registration Fees, 02-Stamps Non-Judicial, 102-Sale of Stamps.
Based on examination of records in offices of SRs, audit observed that the amounts being collected with respect to additional stamp duty were being depicted as stamp duty under Major Head ibid.
No separate sub-head has been opened by the State Government for segregated accounting of the additional stamp duty. UPUPD Act, 1973 requires that amounts collected with respect to levy of additional stamp duty on transfer of immovable property in 'development area' is to be earmarked specifically to entities as notified by the State Government. In the absence of minor head/sub-head the recovered amount of additional stamp duty from the development areas is getting deposited as stamp duty in Major Head ibid and no segregated accounting entry is being made in respect of additional stamp duty.
Therefore, it is essential to distinctly account for it for fulfilling the requirement of the UPUPD Act, 1973. In the absence of a distinct Sub-Head, the Department is not in position to specifically ascertain how much money was received with respect to additional stamp duty.
This issue was highlighted in Para 3.8 of State Finance Audit Report for the year ended March 2019 for the State of Uttar Pradesh.
Audit reported the matter to the Government and Department (March 2022). In their reply (July 2022), the Department stated that two per cent Additional Stamp Duty is not received in cash. As such, there seems no propriety of depositing the amount in treasury and opening a distinct Sub-head. Department collects additional stamp duty together with the stamp duty in mode of impressed and e-Stamp or both, which is deposited into consolidated fund of state under the Departmental head of Account. Accordingly, after the deduction of incidental charges the amount is being allocated to other departments in ratio fixed by the Government.
The reply of the Department is not acceptable as the additional stamp duty is collected for fulfilling the specific intent of the UPUPD Act, 1973 viz. development of the notified areas duly financed from the amount so collected as additional stamp duty. Therefore, in the absence of the distinct Sub-Head, the Department is not in a position to ascertain specifically how much money is received in the Government Account with respect to two per cent additional stamp duty.

### 3.4.8.2 Improper accountal of additional stamp duty

Audit test-checked the records of 36 selected SROs and noticed that in 289 deeds of sale, builder Agreements, leases and mortgages (out of 6,665 deeds test-checked) registered in $26 \mathrm{SROs}^{18}$, SRs levied and realised stamp duty amounting to ₹ 10.40 crore and additional stamp duty amounting to ₹ 6.68 crore at the prescribed rates. On scrutiny of SYAHA ${ }^{19}$ (self-generated by

[^41]PRERNA ${ }^{20}$ software) in concerned SROs, Audit observed that only ₹ 0.03 crore was recorded as additional stamp duty against actually levied amount of $₹ 6.68$ crore. The duties so collected under provisions of two different Acts ${ }^{21}$ was booked in same column in SYAHA as stamp duty, while a separate column in SYAHA for proper booking of two per cent additional stamp duty was available.
It is, therefore, essential that additional stamp duty collected under UPUPD Act, 1973 should invariably and distinctly be accounted and recorded as additional stamp duty in SYAHA for correct accountal
The matter was reported to the Government (March 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

## Recommendations:

1. With a view to effecting transparency in budgeting and accounting of additional stamp duty a distinct sub-head should be opened in the Government Account to account for its levy and collection.
2. Additional stamp duty collected under UPUPD Act, 1973 should invariably and distinctly be accounted and recorded as additional stamp duty in SYAHA for correct accountal.

### 3.4.9 Conclusion

Audit noticed that due to lack of clarity regarding the amount of duty leviable on mortgage deeds (without possessions), Sub-Registrars limited both the duties amount of stamp duty and additional stamp duty leviable to ₹ five lakh as per the old notification dated 25.05 .2001 . This is not correct in view of the modified notification dated 10 July 2008. Further, additional stamp duty is leviable under the authority of UPUPD Act, 1973, it cannot be remitted/reduced under provisions of Indian Stamp Act, 1899.
For the purpose of chargeability of stamp duty on documents, recital stipulated in documents are decisive and not the nomenclature assigned to them by the executants. Whereas, the Department had levied and charged the duty on nomenclature assigned to documents.
No separate sub-head has been opened by the State Government for segregated accounting of the additional stamp duty which is collected under Uttar Pradesh Urban Planning and Development Act, 1973. Hence, the Department was not in a position to ascertain specifically how much money was received with respect to additional stamp duty.

[^42]
## Other Compliance Audit Observations

### 3.5 Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees due to violation of Section 27 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899

> The executants did not disclose full/correct particulars of the lands in the documents presented for registration which resulted in short levy of stamp duty and registration fees amounting to $₹ 6.57$ crore.

Section 27 of the IS Act, 1899 specifically provides that "the consideration (if any) and all other facts and circumstances affecting the chargeability of any instrument with duty, or the amount of the duty with which it is chargeable, shall be fully and truly set forth therein" which means that all the facts affecting the valuation of the property i.e. nature of land (agricultural/residential/ commercial), construction, distance from road, etc., are required to be mentioned truthfully in the instrument by the executants. Stamp duty on a deed of conveyance is chargeable either on the value of the consideration, as set forth therein or the market value of the immovable property, whichever is higher. As per the Circular issued by IG, Registration (27 November 2018) that the authority of fixing market value and imposing the short stamp duty vests in Collector Stamp as per valuation of property. Collector Stamp shall check the market value keeping in view the potentiality of transferred property with reference to date of execution of deed at the time of determining the market value.
Audit test-checked (between August 2021 and March 2022) the records for the period (October 2017 to February 2022) of 60 SROs. It was noticed in 29 SROs that 54 sale deeds (out of 78,707 test-checked) mentioned the land under sale as away from main road and Abadi, existence of agricultural activity in radius of 200 meters and purpose of purchase of land as farming. Further scrutiny of other sale deeds registered in respective SROs revealed that in same Araji $^{22}$ numbers shown in questioned deeds, residential plots were sold before and after the registration of questioned sale deeds. In some cases, there were houses and developed colonies in the same Araji number. These facts indicate that the executants had intentionally concealed the facts for evasion of tax, which is violation of Section 27 of IS Act, 1899.
Presently the documents are registered in on-line mode through the PRERNA software. However, the registering authorities failed to utilise the facility of software to determine the potentiality of land presented for registration vis-àvis sale deeds executed in the same Araji number. This resulted in short levy of stamp duty and registration fees amounting to ₹ 6.57 crore as shown in Appendix- XXXVII.
The matter was reported to the Government (April 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

[^43]
### 3.6 Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees due to undervaluation of property

Potentiality of land and its location of segment/main road was not taken into cognizance by the registering authorities which resulted in short levy of stamp duty and registration fees amounting to ₹ 1.26 crore.

The IS Act, 1899 provides that stamp duty on a deed of conveyance is chargeable either on the value of the consideration, set forth therein, or the market value of the immovable property, whichever is higher. According to the Rule 4 of the Uttar Pradesh Stamp (Valuation of Property) Rules, 1997, the market value of different categories of land located in a district is determined by the concerned District Magistrate (DM) for the guidance of the registering authorities.

The situation of Araji's were classified in DM circle rate of the concerned SROs in five categories such as (i) at main road (ii) at link road or district road (iii) near to Abadi (iv) Araji Nos. declared non-agriculture under the Section 143 of Uttar Pradesh Zamindari Abolition \& Land Reform Act, 1950/Section 80 of UP Revenue Code, 2006 and (v) Araji No. of Abadi not declared nonagriculture. The main purpose of this type of classification of Araji's is proper valuation of the saleable property. In the rate list various instructions have been provided and its compliance is mandatory for the registering authorities.

Audit test-checked (between August 2021 and March 2022) the records for the period (October 2017 to February 2022) of 60 SROs and noticed in 11 sale deeds (out of 15,108 test-checked) pertaining to eight SROs that the valuation of land was made at general residential/agricultural rates by showing the status of land in questioned deeds as away from main/segment road. In six out of these 11 sale deeds, the Araji number in which the land was situated was already declared as non-agricultural, however, the land was shown as agricultural in the sale deed. In other five cases, it was noticed that the smaller piece of land of the same Araji was sold at segment rate while bigger piece of land was sold at general residential rate by the same seller to the purchasers.

It is evident from the above facts that potentiality of land and its location on segment/main road was not taken into cognizance by the registering authorities. Presently the registration of documents are being made in on-line mode through the PRERNA software, but the registering authorities failed to leverage the facility of software in respect of determining the potentiality of land and its valuation. This resulted in short levy of stamp duty and registration fees amounting to $₹ 1.26$ crore as shown in Appendix- XXXVIII.
The matter was reported to the Government (April 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

## CHAPTER-IV: MINING RECEIPTS

### 4.1 Tax administration

The levy and collection of receipts from mining activities in the State is governed by the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) (MMDR) Act, 1957, the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 and the Uttar Pradesh Minor Mineral Concession (UPMMC) Rules, 1963. The Principal Secretary, Geology and Mining, Uttar Pradesh is the administrative head of the Department at the Government level. The overall control and direction of the Geology and Mining Department (Department) is vested with the Director, Geology and Mining, Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow. At Headquarters, the Director, Geology and Mining is assisted by two Joint Directors who are further assisted by Chief Mining Officer. At district level, the District Mines Officer (DMO) is responsible for determining royalty, dead rent, and permit fee, etc., due and payable. Additional District Magistrate (Finance \& Revenue) is in charge of collection and accounting of mining receipts under the overall administrative control of the District Collector.

### 4.2 Results of audit

During the year 2021-22, test-check of records of 13 District Mining Offices of Geology and Mining, Uttar Pradesh, out of 75 districts revealed instances of royalty short/not realised and other irregularities involving ₹ 439.99 crore in 3,588 cases as detailed in Table-4.1.

Table-4.1

| SI. No. | Categories | Number of cases | Amount <br> (₹ in crore) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | Royalty not realised | 61 | 119.06 |
| 2 | Price of minerals not recovered | 10 | 23.83 |
| 3 | Short levy of stamp duty on lease deeds | 40 | 6.20 |
| 4 | Penalty not imposed | 203 | 7.03 |
| 5 | Other irregularities $^{1}$ | 3,274 | 283.87 |
|  | Total $\quad \mathbf{3 , 5 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 9 . 9 9}$ |  |

### 4.3 Loss of revenue due to delayed cancellation of lease

The Department did not promptly cancel the lease on account of royalty and other dues not paid by lessee and resettle the lease leading to loss of revenue amounting to $₹ \mathbf{1 4 . 1 8}$ crore.

Rule 28(2)(1) and (4) of UPMMC Rules, 1963 provides that instalments of amount of tender/auction will be fixed quarterly as per the Fourth Schedule. Rule 58(1) of UPMMC Rules, 1963 stipulates that the State Government or any officer authorised by it may determine the mining lease after serving a notice on the lessee to pay within thirty days of receipt of the notice any amount due or dead rent under the lease including the royalty due to the State Government, if it was not paid within fifteen days after the date fixed for such

[^44]payment. This right shall be in addition to and without prejudice to the right of the State Government to realise such dues from the lessee as arrears of land revenue.

Further, as per Rule 10(2) of Uttar Pradesh District Mineral Foundation Trust (DMFT) Rules, 2017, in case of minor mineral the holder of every mineral concession permit shall in addition to the royalty, pay to the Trust of the District in which the mining operations are carried on, an amount which is equivalent to 10 per cent of royalty or as may be prescribed by the State Government from time to time.

Thus, Royalty and DMFT contribution for mining leases are required to be paid to the Government on quarterly basis and if not done so, then lease may be cancelled and royalty may be collected as arrears of land revenue in accordance with rules.

Audit test-checked (March 2022) the records of DMO Fatehpur and noticed that an agreement was executed on 31 January 2019 between State Government and M/s Classic Infraventures LLP (the lessee), where State Government allotted 40.48 hectare land for mining work to lessee situated at village Adhawal, Gata no. A11, Fatehpur for five years. The lessee was required to pay royalty @ ₹ 306 per cubic meter for excavation of $8,09,600$ cubic meter morrum per annum for first year and in subsequent years with increment of 10 per cent on previous year's rate as per schedule provided in the lease deed. Audit further noticed that lessee deposited (5 May 2018) ₹ 6.14 crore as security money and first instalment of royalty each as per schedule. However, the lessee defaulted in payment of second and third instalments of $₹ 6.19$ crore each due on 1 April 2019 and 1 July 2019. Only partial payment of ₹ 55.00 lakh was made (between September 2019 and October 2019). The lessee further defaulted in payment of fourth instalment of $₹ 6.19$ crore due on 1 October 2019. Thus, there was unpaid balance of ₹ 18.03 crore.
The District Mining Officer (DMO) and District Magistrate (DM) Fatehpur issued notices on 24 April 2019 and 28 May 2019 respectively but lessee did not deposit the second instalment. The District Authority belatedly issued recovery certificates against the lessee on 16 August 2019 (for outstanding dues of second instalment) and 14 January 2020 (for outstanding dues of subsequent instalments). The Department adjusted the part payment against the third instalment as recovery certificate was already issued against the defaulted second instalment. Finally, the DM cancelled the lease on 3 January 2020.

Audit further observed that though a notice was issued to the lessee on 10 September 2020 for payment of outstanding DMFT contribution of ₹ 1.85 crore and Tax Collection at Source (TCS) amount of ₹ 49.55 lakh, however, no recovery certificates were issued against lessee. Thus, royalty of $₹ 11.83$ crore, contribution to DMFT of ₹ 1.85 crore and TCS amount of ₹ 49.55 lakh was not deposited by the lessee.

The concerned DMO and DM failed to cancel the lease after the lessee defaulted in payment of second instalment due on 1 April 2019 and resettle the lease. The recovery certificates issued on 14 January 2020 were returned on 10 June 2021 with remark that neither the partners of the lessee were found at the addresses mentioned in recovery certificates nor any assets were found on their names. This resulted in loss of revenue of amounting to ₹ 14.18 crore.

The matter was reported to the Government (April 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

### 4.4 Gaps in regulatory framework

Under existing regulatory framework, as the price of mineral is not defined in cases of mining areas leased out through auction', it is left to the discretion of the district authorities to adopt either Chapter III rates of royalty or rates discovered through auction to work out the price of mineral. As a result, the lessee sometimes pays lower penalty for illegal extraction as against the amount payable for legal extraction, thus encouraging illegal mining.

Section 21(5) of the MMDR Act, 1957 stipulates that whenever any person raises, without any lawful authority, any mineral from any land, the State Government may recover from such person, the mineral so raised, or, where such mineral has already been disposed of, the price thereof, and may also recover from such person, rent, royalty or tax, as the case may be, for the period during which the land was occupied by such person without any lawful authority.

The Government, in its order dated 15 October 2015, clarified that the 'price of minerals' is ordinarily five times of the royalty. The rates of royalty are defined in Chapter III of UPMMC Rules, 1963.
Rule 57 of the UPMMC Rules, 1963 stipulates that whoever contravenes the provision of Rule $3^{2}$ shall on conviction be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend up to six months or with fine which may extend to ₹ 25,000 , or with both. Government vide order dated 18 May 2017 revised the penalty provisions of the said Rule to imprisonment for a term which may extend up to five years or with fine which shall not be less than of ₹ two lakh per hectare and which may extend to ₹ five lakh per hectare of the area, or with both.
Rule 23(1) of the UPMMC Rules, 1963 stipulates that the State Government may by general or special order declare the areas which may be leased out by auction. Further, Rule 23(3) stipulates that on such declaration, Chapter III $^{3}$ of the said Rules shall not apply to the area in respect of which the declaration has been issued.
Thus, for any illegal mining the State Government can recover the mineral or its value and relevant royalty. Penalty for illegal mining was increased in May 2017. For areas which are notified to be leased out by auction, the royalty rates in Chapter III are not applicable.
Audit analysed the penal provisions in respect of notified areas settled through auction under two scenarios: Illegal mining in (a) auctioned areas and (b) areas in the neighbourhood of the auctioned areas. The results of the analysis are given as below.

[^45]
## (a) 'Price of mineral' not defined in cases of mining areas leased out through auction

Rule 23(3) of the UPMMC Rules, 1963 stipulates that for auctioned areas Chapter III shall not be applicable. Chapter III prescribes that royalty of minerals shall not be more than 20 per cent of 'Pit's mouth value of mineral'. On the basis of this, 'price of mineral' is ordinarily taken as five times of the royalty. As the Chapter III is not applicable in cases of mining areas leased out through auction, there is ambiguity as to the manner in which the 'price of minerals' in case of illegal mining shall be determined in such cases. It is left to the discretion of the district authorities to adopt either Chapter III rates or rates discovered through auction.
(b) Inadequate quantum of royalty, 'price of mineral' and penalties imposed for illegal mining in auctioned areas or in areas neighboring the auctioned areas
Audit test-checked (between November 2021 to March 2022) the records ${ }^{4}$ of four DMOs ${ }^{5}$ and noticed that in seven out of 13 test-checked cases where leases had been granted through auction, the investigation team from the district authorities had reported illegal excavation of $61,769 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{m}$. of minor minerals (sand/morrum/gitti) by seven lessees from auctioned lease area or areas neighbouring the auctioned lease area. The details are given in Table -4.2.

Table-4.2: Details of illegal excavation
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. }\end{array} & \text { Name of the lessee } & \text { Lease area } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Period of } \\ \text { lease/Permit }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Quantity } \\ \text { to be } \\ \text { excavated } \\ \text { each year } \\ \text { (in cu.m.) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Rate of } \\ \text { royalty per } \\ \text { cu.m. (in ₹) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Quantity } \\ \text { of sand } \\ \text { illegally } \\ \text { excavated } \\ \text { (in cu.m.) } \\ \text { around } \\ \text { leased area } \\ \text { as }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Additional } \\ \text { demand } \\ \text { raised for } \\ \text { illegal } \\ \text { mining (in ₹) }\end{array} \\ \text { reported }\end{array}\right]$

[^46]$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { S. } \\ \text { No. }\end{array} & \text { Name of the lessee } & \text { Lease area } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Period of } \\ \text { lease/Permit }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Quantity } \\ \text { to be } \\ \text { excavated } \\ \text { each year } \\ \text { (in cu.m.) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Rate of } \\ \text { royalty per } \\ \text { cu.m. (in ₹) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Quantity } \\ \text { of sand } \\ \text { illegally } \\ \text { excavated } \\ \text { (in cu.m.) } \\ \text { around } \\ \text { leased area } \\ \text { as }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Additional } \\ \text { demand } \\ \text { raised for } \\ \text { illegal } \\ \text { mining (in ₹) }\end{array} \\ \text { reported }\end{array}\right]$

The District authorities calculated quantum of illegal mining and issued (between June 2018 and June 2021) demand notices totalling ₹ 0.93 crore as royalty, ₹ 4.65 crore as 'price of minerals' and only ₹ 30.25 lakh $^{6}$ as penalty for illegal excavation.
Audit compared the quantum actually imposed by the District Magistrate and that based on rate discovered through auction. The details are given in Table-4.3.

Table-4.3: Analysis of penal amounts for illegal mining

| (₹ in Lakhs except column 4 and 9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | Name of the lessee | Quantity of illegal mining (in cum.) | Actually imposed by District Magistrate |  |  |  |  | Based on rate discovered through auction (calculated by Audit) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Rate of Royalty (per cum.) | Royalty | Price of mineral | Penalty | Total | Discovered rate of Royalty (per cum.) | Royalty | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { mine- } \\ \text { ral } \end{gathered}$ | Penalty | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
| 1. (1 case) | M/s Shubh Construction Prop. Shashi Devi, 168/19, Noniya Mohal, District Banda | 2,885 | 150 | 4.33 | 21.64 | 0.00 | 25.97 | 952 | 27.46 | 137.33 | 5.00 | 169.79 |
| 2. <br> (2 cases) | M/s Sagar Brick Field | 25,364 | 150 | 38.05 | 190.23 | 10.00 | 238.28 | 155 | 39.31 | 196.57 | 10.00 | 245.88 |
| $3 .$ (3 cases) | Shri Keshari Nandan Singh | 10,746 | 150 | 16.12 | 80.60 | 15.00 | 111.71 | 315 | 33.85 | 169.25 | 15.00 | 218.10 |
| 4. <br> (2 cases) | M/s Rishab Herbal Pvt.Ltd | 19,600 | 150 | 29.40 | 147.00 | 5.00 | 181.40 | 181 | 35.48 | 177.38 | 10.00 | 222.86 |
| 5. <br> (1 case) | M/s Ratna Jadaon, E-7, M-708, Arera Colony, Bhopal, M.P. | 50 | 150 | 0.075 | 0.38 | 0 | 0.45 | 400 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 6.20 |
| 6. <br> (1 case) | M/s. C.S. Infra Construction Ltd. Managing Director-Smt. Pushpa Singh. | 608 | 160 | 0.97 | 4.86 | 0 | 5.84 | 3,000 | 18.24 | 91.20 | 5.00 | 114.44 |

[^47]|  |  |  | (₹ in Lakhs except column 4 and 9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sl. No. | Name of the lessee | Quantity of illegal mining (in cum.) | Actually imposed by District Magistrate |  |  |  |  | Based on rate discovered through auction (calculated by Audit) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Rate of Royalty (per cum.) | Royalty | Price of mineral | Penalty | Total | Discovered rate of Royalty (per cum.) | Royalty | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { mine- } \\ \text { ral } \end{gathered}$ | Penalty | Total |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
| $7 .$ $(1 \text { case })$ | M/s Sai Ram <br> Enterprises <br> partner Sri <br> Chandra <br> Bhushan Gupta | 2,502 | 160 | 4.00 | 20.02 | 0.25 | 24.27 | 3,010 | 75.31 | 376.55 | 5.00 | 456.86 |

An analysis of figures in above table indicated:
(i) Penal demands for illegal mining were based on rates of royalty as given in Chapter III of UPMMC Rules, 1963 which were much less than the rates discovered through auction. Thus, while Chapter III rates of royalty for morrum was ₹ 150 and for gitti (dolostone) was ₹ 160 , those discovered through auction were in the range of ₹ 155 to ₹ 952 for morrum and ₹ 3,000 to ₹ 3,010 for gitti (dolostone). Based on Chapter III rates, amounts ranging between ₹ 0.45 lakh to ₹ 2.38 crore for morrum and ₹ 5.84 lakh to ₹ 24.27 lakh for gitti (dolostone) only were demanded from these lessees. However, if auction rates were to be considered these seven lessees would have to pay penal amounts ranging between ₹ 6.20 lakh to ₹ 4.57 crore. Hence although illegal mining was being done by different lessees in auctioned area or its neighbourhood, the Regulations permitted levy of royalty and 'price of mineral' at much reduced rates.
(ii) The lessees (refer Sl. No. 6 and 7 of Table 4.3) extracted minerals from lease area auctioned to them without paying royalty. However, penalty levied for illegal extraction was lower as against the amount payable for legal extraction from the auctioned lease area, thus encouraging illegal mining.
(iii) Even though penalty was required to be imposed and was a maximum of ₹ five lakh per hectare in each case, it was observed that only in seven cases, the district authorities imposed penalty of ₹ 30.25 lakh while in six cases no penalty was imposed.

Earlier, similar audit observation was reported in Para 5.4 of the CAG's Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended March 2019 of Uttar Pradesh and in Para 5.3 of the Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended March 2020 of Uttar Pradesh.

The matter was reported to the Government (April 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

### 4.5 Price of mineral not imposed in cases of illegal transportation of minerals by lessees

Price of minerals amounting to $₹ \mathbf{1 1 . 9 2}$ crore was not imposed and realised in cases of illegal transportation of mineral without Form MM-11 by lessees.

The UPMMC Rules, 1963 and the Uttar Pradesh Minerals (Prevention of Illegal Mining Transportation and Storage) Rules, 2002 stipulate that no person shall transport any mineral without a valid transit pass (Form MM$11^{7} /$ Form C $^{8}$ ). The MMDR Act ${ }^{9}$, 1957 stipulates that the price of mineral along with the royalty may be recovered for raising minerals without lawful authority. Rule 70(1) of the UPMMC Rules 1963 read with Section 4 (1-a) and Section 21 (1 to 5) of MMDR Act stipulates that holder of lease or permit or any person authorised by him for this, issue a transit pass in form MM-11 forms to every person to transport mineral from any vehicle, cattle or by any means of transport. Further, Rule 70(2) provides that no person will transport any mineral in the state without MM-11 forms issued under sub rule (2). Rule 70(6) provides that any person who contravenes the provisions of this rule, if found guilty, will be punished for imprisonment which may raise for six months or fine of ₹ 25,000 .

Audit test-checked (March 2022) the records of DMO Fatehpur and noticed that two leases for excavation of morrum were allotted to two lessees and agreements were executed (between March 2018 and April 2018) between State Government and lessees.

Audit noticed that in compliance of order of Director, Geology and Mining, DM Fathepur set up (September 2018) an enquiry for verifying the results of previous inspection reports (inspections made in June 2018) for illegal excavation of morrum. Enquiry team reported (October 2018) that both lessee excavated $2,09,514$ cu.m. morrum out of which e-MM-11 forms were generated for only $1,42,414 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{m}$. of morrum. Thus, $67,100 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{m}$. morrum was illegally transported without generating e-MM-11 forms.

Further, audit noticed that both of the lessees accepted (October 2018) the overloading of morrum but Department did not impose price of mineral of ₹ 11.92 crore for illegal transportation of morrum without e-MM-11 forms. Subsequently, both of the lessees did not deposit the instalment due in October 2018 and the Department cancelled the leases (between January 2019 and February 2019) after forfeiting the security deposit.

Thus, Department extended undue favour to the lessees by not recovering the price of mineral amounting to ₹ 11.92 crore, as shown in Appendix-XXXIX.
The matter was reported to the Government (April 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

[^48]
### 4.6 Pre-bid earnest money not forfeited for delay in payment of royalty and security deposit

District Mines Officers did not forfeit pre-bid earnest money of ₹ 3.51 crore for delay in payment of royalty and security deposit.

Government of Uttar Pradesh order ${ }^{10}$ dated 14 August 2017 stipulates that every successful bidder of lease for minor minerals, after receiving Letter of Intent (LoI) shall deposit 50 per cent of the first year's royalty due ( 25 per cent as security deposit and 25 per cent as first instalment) on the e-payment gateway of Metal Scrap Trade Corporation (MSTC) ${ }^{11}$ through RTGS/NEFT within two working days from the date of issue of LoI. The pre-bid earnest money, deposited by the successful bidder, shall be adjusted before depositing this amount. Further, if the successful bidder fails to deposit the above amount within stipulated time, pre-bid earnest money deposited by him shall be forfeited and any complaint or application in this regard shall not be entertained.

Audit test-checked the records ${ }^{12}$ of the two ${ }^{13}$ offices of District Mines Officer (DMOs) and noticed (January/March 2022) that concerned District Magistrates (DMs) issued (between June 2020 and January 2021) LoI in favour of successful bidders for four mining leases of sand/morrum in a bid of e-tender cum e-auction. The bidders were required to deposit ₹ 6.50 crore ( 50 per cent of first year's royalty due) within two working days from the date of issue of LoI. The bidders deposited the required amount with delays ranging from six to 88 days. But, the concerned DMOs did not initiate any action to forfeit the pre-bid earnest money of ₹ 3.51 crore for delay in payment of royalty and security deposit, as detailed in Appendix-XL.
The matter was reported to the Government (April 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

### 4.7 Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees on mining lease deeds

Contribution payable to the District Mineral Foundation Trust (DMFT) was not included in the consideration of 39 mining lease deeds which resulted in short levy of stamp duty of $₹ 4.85$ crore and registration fees of ₹ $\mathbf{1 . 1 0}$ crore.

Stamp duty and contribution to DMFT in accordance with the rules is applicable to mining leases.

Article 35 (b) (i) of Schedule I-B of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (IS Act) stipulates that where lease for a term not exceeding thirty years has been granted for a fine or premium, or for money advanced and where no rent is reserved, the stamp duty chargeable should be the same as a conveyance for a consideration equal to the amount or value of such fine or premium or advance as set forth in the lease. Stamp duty on such lease deeds was chargeable at the rate of two per cent of the consideration vide Notification dated 10 July 2008.

[^49]In addition, Explanation (I) of Article 35 states that when a lessee undertakes to pay recurring charge, such as the Government revenue, the landlord's share of cess or the owner's share of municipal rates or taxes, which by law, is recoverable from the lessor, the amount so agreed to be paid by the lessee shall be deemed to be part of the rent.
Further, Section 33(1) of the said Act stipulates that every person in charge of a public office, except an officer of police, before whom any instrument, chargeable, in his opinion, with duty, is produced or comes in the performance of his functions, shall, if it appears to him that such instrument is not duly stamped, impound the same.

Under Rule 10(2) of the Uttar Pradesh DMFT Rules, 2017, the lessees are also required to pay an amount equivalent to 10 per cent of royalty to the DMFT. Also, vide Notification ${ }^{14}$ dated 13 February 2020 Government amended previous Notification ${ }^{15}$ dated 8 December 2015 and revised the registration fees at the rate of one per cent of such consideration or value, calculated for the purpose of stamp duty chargeable on the document, whichever is higher, subject to the minimum of ₹ 100 .
Audit noticed (between November 2021 and March 2022) in 39 mining lease deeds executed between February 2018 and January 2022 for a period of five to 10 years each in six ${ }^{16}$ DMOs that only the amount of royalty was included in consideration for charging the stamp duty and contribution payable to the DMFT was not included. Stamp duty and registration fee of ₹ 56.16 crore was charged on the consideration of $₹ 1,978.78$ crore in these lease deeds against stamp duty and registration fee of $₹ 62.11$ crore chargeable on the consideration of $₹ 2,176.66$ crore. Thus, the Government was deprived of revenue due to short levy of stamp duty of ₹ 4.85 crore and registration fee of $₹ 1.10$ crore.

The matter was reported to the Government (April 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

### 4.8 Royalty not deposited by lessees

## Royalty of ₹ $\mathbf{1 . 7 3}$ crore was not deposited by nine lessees in two District Mining Offices.

Rule 28(2)(1) and (4) of UPMMC Rules, 1963 provides that instalments of amount of tender/auction will be fixed quarterly as per the Fourth Schedule. Rule 58(1) of UPMMC Rules, 1963 stipulates that the State Government or any officer authorised by it may determine the mining lease after serving a notice on the lessee to pay within thirty days of the receipt of the notice any amount due or dead rent under the lease including the royalty due to the State Government, if it was not paid within fifteen days after the date fixed for such payment. This right shall be in addition to that of the State Government to realise such dues from the lessee as arrears of land revenue.

[^50]Thus, Royalty for mining leases is required to be paid to the Government on quarterly/monthly basis and if not done so, then lease may be cancelled and royalty may be collected as arrears of land revenue in accordance with rules.
Audit test-checked the lease files of 35 lease deeds in DMOs Gonda and Lalitpur and noticed (November 2021 and March 2022) that nine lessees did not deposit amount of due royalty of ₹ 2.68 crore payable between April 2019 and January 2022 as per payment schedule of lease deeds. Only in one case of lessee M/s Aradhya Enterprises Gonda, the Government adjusted the security amount of ₹ 95.14 lakh against due royalty of ₹ 1.79 crore in December 2021. Thus, royalty of ₹ 1.73 crore was not deposited by the lessees. The concerned DMOs also did not initiate any action to recover these dues. As a result, revenue of ₹ 1.73 crore was not realised.
The matter was reported to the Government (April 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

## CHAPTER-V: OTHER TAX RECEIPTS

## (A) TAXES ON VEHICLES, GOODS AND PASSENGERS

### 5.1 Tax administration

The levy and collection of motor vehicles tax and fee in the State is governed under the Motor Vehicles (MV) Act, 1988, the Central Motor Vehicles (CMV) Rules, 1989, the Uttar Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation (UPMVT) Act, 1997, the Uttar Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation (UPMVT) Rules, 1998, the Carriage by Road (CBR) Act, 2007, the Carriage by Road (CBR) Rules, 2011 and various Notifications, Circulars and Government Orders (GOs) issued by the Government and the Department from time to time.
The Principal Secretary, Transport, Uttar Pradesh is the administrative head at the Government level. The entire process of assessment and collection of taxes and fee is administered and monitored by the Transport Commissioner (TC), Uttar Pradesh, who is assisted by five Additional Transport Commissioners at the Headquarters.
There are six ${ }^{1}$ Deputy Transport Commissioners (DTCs), 19 Regional Transport Officers ${ }^{2}$ (RTOs) and 75 Assistant Regional Transport Officers (ARTOs) (Administration) in the field. RTOs perform the overall work of issue and control of permits of transport vehicles. The ARTOs perform the work of assessment, levy of taxes and fee regarding both transport vehicles and other than transport vehicles. Respective RTOs are responsible for the overall administration of the Sub-Regional Transport Offices.
There are 114 Enforcement squads in the State, each consisting of one ARTO (Enforcement), one supervisor and three Enforcement constables. These are attached to the Headquarters and deployed at the district level.

A software viz., VAHAN had been adopted (October 2006) by the Department for automating the processes of vehicle registration, issue/renewal of permits, calculation, payment of taxes and fees, issue/renewal of fitness certificates, issue of challans and payment of the penalty amount. This software also has the facility to generate reports like arrears of revenue, lists of vehicles without permit and certificate of fitness, etc. There is another software viz., SARATHI (adopted in January 2013) for issuing Driving Licenses and compilation of data with respect to Vehicle Registration and Driving Licenses in the State Register.

### 5.2 Results of audit

During the year 2021-22, test-check of records in 11 units $^{3}$ out of 76 auditable units of the Transport Department revealed instances of tax/penalty/additional tax, fitness fee short/not realised and other irregularities involving ₹ 47.83 crore in 16,379 cases, as shown in Table-5.1.

[^51]Table-5.1

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Categories | Number of cases | Amount (₹ in crore) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Short realisation of tax/additional tax | 4,165 | 24.47 |
| 2 | Vehicle plying without certificate of fitness | 8,023 | 04.09 |
| 3 | Recovery not done against issued Recovery Certificates | 833 | 10.06 |
| 4 | Penalty from UPSRTC buses not realised | 83 | 00.43 |
| 5 | Other irregularities ${ }^{4}$ | 3,275 | 08.78 |
|  | Total | 16,379 | 47.83 |

### 5.3 Additional tax not collected from UPSRTC buses

## Additional tax of $₹ 6.27$ crore was not recovered from the buses operated by UPSRTC.

Section 6(1) of Uttar Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation (UPMVT) Act, 1997 (as amended October 2009) provides that "No public service vehicle owned or controlled by a State Transport Undertaking shall be operated in any public place in Uttar Pradesh unless an additional tax, as may be notified by the State Government, in addition to tax payable under Section 4 has been paid in respect thereof". Further Principal Secretary, Transport Department directed (February 2006) Uttar Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation (UPSRTC) to remit the total additional tax due so collected directly to the treasury and submit the original challan to the headquarters of UPSRTC with copy to concerned RTO.

The rate of Additional tax under the Section 6 (1) of the UPMVT Act on the buses operated by UPSRTC is as given below in Table 5.2.

Table-5.2

| Sl. <br> No. | Type of Vehicle | Rate of additional tax per seat <br> (in ₹) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Monthly |  |  |
| Quarterly | Annual |  |  |  |
| 1 | Vehicles up to 2 years old | 600 | 1,800 | 6,500 |
| 2 | Vehicle more than two years but upto four years old | 500 | 1,500 | 5,400 |
| 3 | Vehicle more than four years but upto six years old | 400 | 1,200 | 4,800 |
| 4 | Vehicles more than six years old | 150 | 450 | 1,600 |

As per Section 20 (3) of the UPMVT Act "The Taxation officer shall raise a demand in the form as may be prescribed, from the owner or operator, as the case may be, for the arrears of tax and additional tax and penalty of each year, which shall also include the arrears of tax, additional tax or penalty, if any, of preceding years".
Audit test-checked the records ${ }^{5}$ of two ${ }^{6}$ RTO/ARTO for the period March 2020 to February 2022 and noticed (between February 2022 and March 2022) that in 174 out of 272 test-checked cases of UPSRTC buses, the additional tax amounting to $₹ 6.27$ crore was not recovered from the vehicles operated by

[^52]UPSRTC. The taxation officers failed to realise the additional tax amounting to ₹ 6.27 crore.

The matter was reported to the Government (April 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

## (B) STATE EXCISE

### 5.4 Tax administration

Various kinds of liquor, such as Country Liquor (CL) and Indian Made Foreign Liquor (IMFL) are manufactured from alcohol. Excise duty on production of alcohol and liquor in distilleries and breweries forms a major part of the State's excise revenue ${ }^{7}$. Apart from excise duty, license fee ${ }^{8}$ also forms a part of excise revenue. The United Provinces Excise Act, 1910 and Rules ${ }^{9}$ made thereunder govern the levy and collection of excise duty on liquor for human consumption and applicable license fee.
The Additional Chief Secretary (State Excise) is the administrative head of the State Excise Department (Department) at the Government level. The Department is headed by the Excise Commissioner (EC) who is assisted by two Additional Excise Commissioners (AECs). The Department has five zones headed by Joint Excise Commissioners (JECs), who are assisted by 18 Deputy Excise Commissioners (DECs). Assistant Excise Commissioners (AECs) head the districts. They are assisted by Excise Inspectors (EIs) to oversee and regulate levy/collection of excise duties and allied levies. Additional District Magistrate (Finance \& Revenue) is in charge of collection and accounting of excise receipts under the overall administrative control of the District Collector.

### 5.5 Results of audit

During the year 2021-22, test-check of records in 29 units ${ }^{10}$ out of 128 auditable units of the Department revealed short realisation of excise duty/license fee/interest was and other irregularities involving ₹ $1,276.12$ crore in 2,519 cases as mentioned in Table-5.3.

[^53]Table-5.3

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Categories | Number of cases | Amount (₹ in crore) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Excise duty not/short realised | 5 | 29.00 |
| 2 | License fee/interest not realised | 2,508 | 164.00 |
| 3 | Understatement of consumption of input excise material in excise records | 1 | 1,078.09 |
| 4 | Other irregularities ${ }^{11}$ | 5 | 5.03 |
|  | Total | 2,519 | 1,276.12 |

### 5.6 Understatement of consumption of input excise material in excise records

Failure of the Assistant Excise Commissioner, Radico Khaitan Limited, Rampur to monitor consumption of input excise material shown in excise records vis-à-vis returns filed in Income Tax Department resulted in not detecting understatement of consumption of input excise material involving excise revenue of $₹ \mathbf{1 , 0 7 8 . 0 9}$ crore (including interest of $₹ 482.34$ crore) during the period 2013-14 to 2019-20.

Section 28 of United Provinces Excise Act, 1910 provides that excise duty at such rate or rates as the State Government shall direct may be imposed on any excisable article manufactured in any distillery established or any distillery or brewery licensed under Section 18 of the Act ibid. Under the provisions of Section 38A of the United Provinces Excise Act, 1910, where any excise revenue is not paid within three months from the date on which it becomes payable, interest at the rate of 18 per cent per annum is recoverable from the date on which such excise revenue becomes due.

Molasses, grains and malt used as input material are fermented and distilled to obtain wash/spirit as an intermediate product, which is redistilled, compounded, blended, processed and diluted to produce final products like liquors and other intoxicants.
Audit examined (March 2022) the records ${ }^{12}$ maintained in Office of the Assistant Excise Commissioner, Radico Khaitan Limited, Rampur for the period from 2013-14 to 2019-20 pertaining to various materials such as molasses, grain and barley malt used for manufacture of liquor.
Audit compared the consumption figures of molasses, grain and barley malt submitted by the assessee through statutory returns to the Income Tax Department (ITD) with the respective quantities depicted in the records of the Assistant Excise Commissioner (AEC), Radico Khaitan Limited, Rampur and noticed variations in the quantities disclosed in the records/returns submitted to the Income Tax Department with those available in State Excise Department. The variations found in the consumed material indicate that the assessee had understated the consumption of inputs items in excise records,

[^54]involving excise revenue of ₹ 595.75 crore on which interest of ₹ 482.34 crore was leviable as detailed in the Table-5.4 below:

Table-5.4: Consideration fee and interest not levied on understatement of consumption of excisable items

| (₹ in lakh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of material (in quintal) | Financial Year | Consumption as per ITR ${ }^{13}$ | Consumption as per Excise Department | Difference | Consideration fee involved | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period } \\ & \text { of delay } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { months }{ }^{14} \end{aligned}$ | Interest due up to 31 <br> March 2022 | Total |
| Molasses | 2013-14 | 28,75,826.00 | 28,63,956.00 | 11,870.00 | 1,269.64 | 96 | 1,828.28 | 3,097.92 |
|  | 2014-15 | 21,01,363.00 | 20,93,214.00 | 8,149.00 | 1,042.13 | 84 | 1,313.09 | 2,355.22 |
|  | 2015-16 | 22,36,773.00 | 21,93,281.00 | 43,492.00 | 6,858.00 | 72 | 7,406.65 | 14,264.65 |
|  | 2016-17 | 29,01,022.00 | 28,45,293.00 | 55,729.00 | 8,498.50 | 60 | 7,648.66 | 16,147.16 |
|  | 2017-18 | 25,92,165.00 | 25,38,563.00 | 53,602.00 | 7,334.43 | 48 | 5,280.79 | 12,615.22 |
|  | 2018-19 | 25,88,483.00 | 25,33,726.00 | 54,757.00 | 9,068.74 | 36 | 4,897.12 | 13,965.86 |
|  | 2019-20 | 23,17,076.00 | 22,75,990.00 | 41,086.00 | 5,900.44 | 24 | 2,124.16 | 8,024.60 |
| Grain | 2013-14 | 7,24,291.00 | 7,15,337.60 | 8,953.40 | 1,711.63 | 96 | 2,464.74 | 4,176.37 |
|  | 2014-15 | 8,71,340.00 | 8,50,928.40 | 20,411.60 | 4,622.16 | 84 | 5,823.92 | 10,446.08 |
|  | 2015-16 | 8,36,547.00 | 8,26,779.60 | 9,767.40 | 2,549.71 | 72 | 2,753.68 | 5,303.39 |
|  | 2016-17 | 7,82,993.00 | 7,73,338.60 | 9,654.40 | 2,492.71 | 60 | 2,243.43 | 4,736.14 |
|  | 2017-18 | 8,69,470.00 | 8,59,252.00 | 10,218.00 | 2,651.74 | 48 | 1,909.26 | 4,561.00 |
|  | 2018-19 | 8,63,871.00 | 8,54,412.00 | 9,459.00 | 2,961.19 | 36 | 1,599.04 | 4,560.23 |
|  | 2019-20 | 7,81,030.00 | 7,72,792.00 | 8,238.00 | 2,578.95 | 24 | 928.42 | 3,507.37 |
| Barley Malt | 2015-16 | 18,893.00 | 18,892.75 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 72 | 0.06 | 0.12 |
|  | 2019-20 | 42,220.76 | 42,094.45 | 126.31 | 34.80 | 24 | 12.53 | 47.33 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 59,574.83 |  | 48,233.83 | 1,07,808.66 |

This resulted in understatement of consumption of input excise material involving excise revenue amounting to ₹ $1,078.09$ crore to the Government, details of which are shown in Appendix-XLI, XLII and XLIII.

The matter was reported to the Government (April 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

## Recommendations:

## The Government may:

1. Analyse understatement of input excise material by the assesse and take action to recover the excise revenue.
2. Appropriate action should be initiated against the assessing officers who failed to discharge their duty and could not detect understatement of consumption of excise material.
[^55]
### 5.7 Failure to cancel the settlement of shops and forfeiture of basic license fee (BLF)/license fee (LF) and security deposit

The Department failed to ensure timely deposit of the basic license fee, license fee and security deposit on settlement of shops. It did not initiate any action for cancellation of settlement and forfeiture of renewal fee of $₹ 0.19$ crore, license fee/basic license fee of $₹ \mathbf{1 0 . 6 5}$ crore and security deposit of $₹ \mathbf{0 . 2 1}$ crore totalling to $₹ \mathbf{1 1 . 0 5}$ crore.

The various Uttar Pradesh Excise (Settlement of Licenses for Retail Sale) Rules ${ }^{15}$ stipulate that the amount of License Fee ${ }^{16}$ (LF)/ Basic License Fee ${ }^{17}$ (BLF) shall be deposited in full within three working days, half of the security amount within 10 working days and rest of the amount within 20 working days of receipt of intimation of the selection of the shop. The Excise Policy for the years 2019-20, 2020-21 and 2021-22 also stipulate that in case of renewal of shops, half of the LF/BLF shall be deposited within three working days of intimation of the approval of application of renewal, remaining amount of LF/BLF ${ }^{18}$ and the amount of difference in security deposit ${ }^{19}$ shall be deposited within the time frame as fixed in the Excise Policy of that year. In case of default, the settlement of shops would be cancelled and the amount of LF/BLF and percentage of previous years' security deposit as defined in the Excise Policy ${ }^{20}$ are required to be forfeited and these shops need to be resettled.

Audit test-checked the records of 12 District Excise Offices (DEOs) and noticed (between October 2021 and March 2022) that licensees of 688 out of 2,687 Liquor shops ( 25.60 per cent of number of shops checked) in these Districts, which were settled or renewed during the years 2018-19 to 2021-22, did not deposit the entire amount of security deposit and LF/BLF within the

[^56]prescribed time frame. During examination of Departmental records (G-12 Register prescribed for settlement of shops) audit specifically checked therein the due date of deposit, actual date of deposit, delayed deposit of LF/BLF and security deposit, etc. and noted that only partial amount of LF/BLF and security deposit was deposited within the prescribed timelines by the licensees during the course of settlement of shops. Though the delay ranged from one to 173 days (delay up to 15 days, shops-482, amount- ₹ 77.00 crore; delay between 16 to 30 days, shops-108, amount- ₹ 12.67 crore; and delay more than 30 days, shops-98, amount-₹ 11.05 crore), no action was however initiated by the concerned District Excise Officers to cancel settlement of shops as per Excise Rules/Policy. Inaction on delays in deposit of due amounts resulted in non-forfeiture of an amount of ₹ 100.72 crore. Audit in this observation, has raised objection on shops where inordinate delay (more than 30 days) were noticed. Inaction on inordinate delays in deposit of due amounts resulted in failure to forfeit an amount of ₹ 11.05 crore (renewal fee ₹ 0.19 crore, LF/BLF ₹ 10.65 crore and security deposit ₹ 0.21 crore), as shown in AppendixXLIV.

The matter was reported to the Government (April 2022). Their reply is awaited (June 2022).

## Lucknow

The 21 February 2023

## Countersigned

## New Delhi

The 23 FEB 2023

(TANYA SINGH)
Accountant General
(Audit-II), Uttar Pradesh


## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX-I <br> Audit Sample

(Availment of Input Tax Credit in the Table 5 (c) of TRAN-1 due to Non-verification of Transitional Credits)
(Reference Para No. 2.1.6)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S.../GSTIN | Date of filing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tran-1 } \\ & \text { amount of } \\ & \text { 5(c) (in ₹) } \end{aligned}$ | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(ii) (in ₹) | Excess ITC claimed due to nonverification (in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sector 13 CT <br> Agra | A R V Sons Beverages and Food Pvt Ltd Agra 09AALCA7417P1ZZ | 25.12.2017 | 14,35,402 | 0 | 14,35,402 |
| 2 | Sector 1 CT <br> G B Nagar | T J Power Electrical Pvt Ltd G B Nagar 09AAFCT1878D1Z6 | 05.12.2017 | 29,23,432 | 0 | 29,23,432 |
| 3 | Sector 1 CT <br> G B Nagar | Satyam Real Build Pvt Ltd G B Nagar 09AAPCS3664L1ZK | 27.12.2017 | 20,90,630 | 0 | 20,90,630 |
| 4 | Sector 1 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Temflow Systems Pvt Ltd.Ghaziabad 09AABCT5025C1ZP | 26.08.2017 | 8,97,700 | 0 | 8,97,700 |
| 5 | Sector 6 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Safe Towers Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AANCS7813F1Z0 | 18.11.2017 | 23,91,681 | 0 | 23,91,681 |
| 6 | Sector 6 CT <br> Ghaziabad | S.R. Engineers Ghaziabad 09AFVPM9572M1Z6 | 20.11.2017 | 11,04,440 | 0 | 11,04,440 |
| 7 | Sector 6 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Vansh Electronics Ghaziabad 09AORPT5609K1Z2 | 27.12.2017 | 13,25,936 | 0 | 13,25,936 |
| 8 | Sector 7 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Shree Ganga Paper Mills Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAACS1110J1ZQ | 27.12.2017 | 1,75,438 | 0 | 1,75,438 |
| 9 | Sector 8 CT <br> Ghaziabad | First Plumbing Pvt Ltd. Ghaziabad 09AABCF2111P1ZN | 07.10.2017 | 10,42,685 | 0 | 10,42,685 |
| 10 | Sector 8 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Premium Compostos India Pvt Ltd. Ghaziabad 09AACCP5349N1ZU | 27.12.2017 | 87,95,832 | 0 | 87,95,832 |
| 11 | Sector 8 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Steel Engineering Services Ghaziabad 09APVPK7295R1ZD | 20.09.2017 | 10,81,853 | 0 | 10,81,853 |
| 12 | Sector 10 CT Ghaziabad | Shape Machines Tools Pvt Ltd. <br> 09AAACS1198L1ZY | 27.12.2017 | 22,88,677 | 0 | 22,88,677 |
| 13 | Sector 11 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Effimac Equipments Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AACCE0602P2ZM | 04.12.2017 | 76,21,660 | 0 | 76,21,660 |
| 14 | Sector 11 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Super Provo Pharma Ghaziabad 09AFMPM5349H1ZZ | 19.12.2017 | 9,56,046 | 0 | 9,56,046 |


| Sl. | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S.../GSTIN | Date of filing | Tran-1 amount of 5(c) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(ii) (in ₹) | Excess ITC claimed due to nonverification (in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | Sector 16 CT <br> Ghaziabad | ERA Infra Engineering Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAACE1268K2ZI | 04.12.2017 | 1,60,59,847 | 0 | 1,60,59,847 |
| 16 | Sector 17 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Rahul Steel Ghaziabad 09ADMPV3306P1ZS | 27.12.2017 | 12,61,502 | 0 | 12,61,502 |
| 17 | Sector 17 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Hindon forge (P) Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAACH4606E1ZX | 28.08.2017 | 21,72,681 | 0 | 21,72,681 |
| 18 | Sector 17 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Jyoti Steels Ghazibad 09AHCPB0066C1Z2 | 26.12.2017 | 8,27,828 | 0 | 8,27,828 |
| 19 | Sector 18 CT <br> Ghaziabad | R K Medicines (P) Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAACR9001M1Z7 | 02.09.2017 | 1,52,42,929 | 0 | 1,52,42,929 |
| 20 | Sector 18 CT Ghaziabad | S V Communication Ghaziabad 09ABIFS8491E1ZN | 23.08.2017 | 18,34,793 | 0 | 18,34,793 |
| 21 | JC (CC) I CT <br> Kanpur | R K Agro Oil P. Ltd Kanpur 09AACCR3250Q1ZY | 25.10.2017 | 48,03,362 | 0 | 48,03,362 |
| 22 | JC (CC) I CT <br> Kanpur | Tata Steel Downstream Products Ltd Kanpur 09AABCT1029L1ZA | 28.08.2017 | 7,45,533 | 0 | 7,45,533 |
| 23 | JC (CC) II <br> CT Kanpur | Mirza International Ltd Kanpur 09AAECM3626M1Z5 | 27.12.2017 | 5,63,72,081 | 0 | 5,63,72,081 |
| 24 | Sector 5 CT <br> Kanpur | Siya Metals P. Ltd Kanpur <br> 09AACCS5086M1ZR | 08.09.2017 | 9,41,277 | 0 | 9,41,277 |
| 25 | Sector 8 CT <br> Kanpur | Khandelwal Extractions Ltd Kanpur 09AAACK5505F1ZS | 28.09.2017 | 16,66,063 | 0 | 16,66,063 |
| 26 | Sector 9 CT <br> Kanpur | Bhagat Singh and Sons (Chemist) Kanpur 09ARXPS9339Q1Z4 | 20.09.2017 | 73,63,930 | 0 | 73,63,930 |
| 27 | Sector 13 CT <br> Kanpur | J K Plywood Products Kanpur 09AAJFJ8141Q1ZL | 30.08.2017 | 21,63,485 | 0 | 21,63,485 |
| 28 | Sector 15 CT <br> Kanpur | R. P. M. Sales Kanpur 09AANFR8203P1ZG | 26.12.2017 | 20,43,571 | 0 | 20,43,571 |
| 29 | Sector 16 CT <br> Kanpur | Marsh International Kanpur 09AACFM4429M1ZY | 27.12.2017 | 9,30,132 | 0 | 9,30,132 |
| 30 | Sector 17 CT <br> Kanpur | Balaji Exports Kanpur 09AHQPK2048B1ZF | 27.12.2017 | 8,74,234 | 0 | 8,74,234 |
| 31 | Sector 17 CT <br> Kanpur | Jai BajrangUdyog Kanpur 09AAFFJ5383A1ZG | 26.12.2017 | 7,76,520 | 0 | 7,76,520 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S.../GSTIN | Date of filing | Tran-1 amount of 5(c) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(ii) (in ₹) | Excess ITC claimed due to nonverification (in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | Sector 17 CT <br> Kanpur | Swadisht Oils Pvt Ltd Kanpur <br> 09AAECA6774E1ZJ | 27.12.2017 | 28,35,764 | 0 | 28,35,764 |
| 33 | Sector 20 CT <br> Kanpur | Navayuga Engineering Co Ltd Kanpur 09AAACN7396R1ZF | 16.10.2017 | 2,11,57,615 | 0 | 2,11,57,615 |
| 34 | Sector 20 CT <br> Kanpur | Zaibunco Industries Pvt Ltd Kanpur 09AADCK8892N1ZK | 19.12.2017 | 14,01,677 | 0 | 14,01,677 |
| 35 | Sector 20 CT <br> Kanpur | Alina Exim Kanpur 09AIZPA3104E1ZG | 25.12.2017 | 16,33,765 | 0 | 16,33,765 |
| 36 | Sector 20 CT <br> Kanpur | Shree Udyog Kanpur 09AAMFS6312F1Z3 | 27.12.2017 | 3,91,720 | 0 | 3,91,720 |
| 37 | Sector 20 CT <br> Kanpur | Unity International Kanpur 09AAEFU3120H1ZD | 27.12.2017 | 11,43,651 | 0 | 11,43,651 |
| 38 | Sector 20 CT <br> Kanpur | Continental Tanners Kanpur 09AARPH2464A1ZT | 13.12.2017 | 10,40,851 | 0 | 10,40,851 |
| 39 | Sector 20 CT <br> Kanpur | Ankita Camera and Printers Kanpur 09AARPG3001A1ZB | 26.08.2017 | 9,79,116 | 0 | 9,79,116 |
| 40 | Sector 20 <br> Kanpur | New Diamond Leather Finishers Kanpur 09ANVPA1655M1ZH | 25.12.2017 | 8,93,854 | 0 | 8,93,854 |
| 41 | Sector 20 CT <br> Kanpur | Leather Trend Kanpur 09AAIPI0113Q1ZM | 27.12.2017 | 8,57,804 | 0 | 8,57,804 |
| 42 | Sector 20 CT <br> Kanpur | J KEW Forgings Ltd Kanpur 09AAACJ3439M1Z9 | 26.12.2017 | 6,70,644 | 0 | 6,70,644 |
| 43 | Sector 20 CT <br> Kanpur | Sidra International Kanpur 09BOMPA1231G1ZC | 25.12.2017 | 7,13,053 | 0 | 7,13,053 |
| 44 | Sector 21 CT <br> Kanpur | Karamat Tanning Industries, Kanpur 09AAIFK0330M1ZA | 23.12.2017 | 32,88,787 | 0 | 32,88,787 |
| 45 | Sector 21 CT <br> Kanpur | Super Leathers Kanpur 09ADCFS7866D1ZQ | 27.12.2017 | 9,11,227 | 0 | 9,11,227 |
| 46 | Sector 22 CT <br> Kanpur | Baba Handloom Kanpur 09BBPPS3207J1Z7 | 27.12.2017 | 16,19,138 | 0 | 16,19,138 |
| 47 | Sector 22 CT <br> Kanpur | Pragati Trading Co Kanpur 09AARFP2420N1ZR | 27.12.2017 | 14,04,785 | 0 | 14,04,785 |
| 48 | Sector 22 CT <br> Kanpur | Balaji Traders Kanpur 09AYIPM6755A1ZA | 15.12.2017 | 6,30,562 | 0 | 6,30,562 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S.../GSTIN | Date of filing | Tran-1 amount of 5(c) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(ii) (in ₹) | Excess ITC <br> claimed due to nonverification (in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | Sector 24 CT <br> Kanpur | Shrinath Builders and Housing Co. Pvt Ltd Kanpur 09AAHCS5437P1ZL | 27.12.2017 | 95,42,610 | 0 | 95,42,610 |
| 50 | Sector 25 CT <br> Kanpur | KVS Exim India Pvt Ltd Kanpur 09AABCK5874G1Z9 | 28.08.2017 | 12,28,161 | 0 | 12,28,161 |
| 51 | Sector 25 CT <br> Kanpur | Pawan Coal and Sons Kanpur 09ANYPK8488K1ZN | 21.09.2017 | 6,14,089 | 0 | 6,14,089 |
| 52 | Sector 28 CT <br> Kanpur | Keller Ground <br> Engineering India Pvt <br> Ltd Kanpur <br> 09AACCK0187B1ZU | 26.12.2017 | 51,30,288 | 0 | 51,30,288 |
| 53 | Sector 28 CT <br> Kanpur | Kundan Castings Pvt Ltd Kapur <br> 09AAACK5601N1ZE | 25.12.2017 | 15,45,882 | 0 | 15,45,882 |
| 54 | Sector 28 CT <br> Kanpur | Sigma Casting Ltd Kanpur <br> 09AAGCS8962C1Z1 | 27.12.2017 | 12,11,418 | 0 | 12,11,418 |
| 55 | Sector 28 CT <br> Kanpur | Bajrang Bali Industries Kanpur 09AADFB3160D1ZX | 27.12.2017 | 8,28,611 | 0 | 8,28,611 |
| 56 | Sector 28 CT <br> Kanpur | Shahpuri Traders Kanpur 09AFGPS9751R1Z8 | 27.12.2017 | 7,07,141 | 0 | 7,07,141 |
| 57 | Sector 30 CT <br> Kanpur | Shree Udhavdas Sons Kanpur 09ABFPK2217H1ZV | 18.12.2017 | 30,41,135 | 0 | 30,41,135 |
| 58 | Sector 8 CT <br> Lucknow | Digital Age Retail Pvt Ltd Lucknow 09AADCD8136E1ZP | 27.12.2017 | 18,57,710 | 0 | 18,57,710 |
| 59 | Sector 11 CT <br> Lucknow | Biolife Medical Pvt Ltd Lucknow 09AAJCS0030L1ZC | 25.12.2017 | 11,83,501 | 0 | 11,83,501 |
| 60 | Sector 12 CT <br> Lucknow | Raj Constructions Lucknow 09BTGPM9612J1Z8 | 26.08.2017 | 67,61,455 | 0 | 67,61,455 |
| 61 | Sector 12 CT <br> Lucknow | Ranjeet Mechatronics Pvt Ltd. 09AAACR7491R1ZF | 27.12.2017 | 23,87,934 | 0 | 23,87,934 |
| 62 | Sector 20 CT <br> Lucknow | Sify Technologies Ltd Lucknow 09AAACS9032R1ZP | 10.11.2017 | 1,19,18,482 | 0 | 1,19,18,482 |
| 63 | Sector 20 CT <br> Lucknow | P.S.V.T. Industries Pvt Ltd Lucknow 09AAHCP5566A1ZD | 19.11.2017 | 3,24,154 | 0 | 3,24,154 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S.../GSTIN | Date of filing | Tran-1 amount of 5(c) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(ii) (in ₹) | Excess ITC claimed due to nonverification (in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64 | Sector 20 CT <br> Lucknow | Piscesia Power Trnasmission Pvt Ltd 09AAGCP6469F1Z0 | 23.12.2017 | 1,21,38,630 | 0 | 1,21,38,630 |
| 65 | Sector 20 CT <br> Lucknow | Shalimar Corp Ltd Lucknow 09AADCS9234L1ZU | 15.12.2017 | 1,15,93,816 | 0 | 1,15,93,816 |
| 66 | Sector 1 CT <br> Noida | Vantage Integrated Security Solutions Pvt Ltd 09AAACU1717F1ZK | 27.12.2017 | 14,69,846 | 0 | 14,69,846 |
| 67 | Sector 3 CT <br> Noida | ATS Housing Pvt Ltd Noida 09AAKCA6697G1Z3 | 19.12.2017 | 99,92,901 | 0 | 99,92,901 |
| 68 | Sector 3 CT <br> Noida | ATS Township Pvt Ltd Noida <br> 09AAHCA6981C1ZJ | 21.12.2017 | 27,30,243 | 0 | 27,30,243 |
| 69 | Sector 3 CT <br> Noida | ATS Buildline Pvt Ltd Noida <br> 09AABCT7546A1ZF | 13.12.2017 | 17,33,192 | 0 | 17,33,192 |
| 70 | Sector 5 CT <br> Noida | Vispro Alpha Pvt Ltd Noida <br> 09AAECS6344J1Z0 | 15.12.2017 | 18,10,596 | 0 | 18,10,596 |
| 71 | Sector 6 CT <br> Noida | Khandelwal Laminators Pvt Ltd Noida 09AAACK0061G1ZX | 26.08.2017 | 36,64,888 | 0 | 36,64,888 |
| 72 | Sector 6 CT <br> Noida | Civtech Projects (I) Pvt Ltd Noida 09AACCC0488P1Z5 | 23.12.2017 | 18,00,567 | 0 | 18,00,567 |
| 73 | Sector 6 CT <br> Noida | Pranav Enterprises Noida 09ADOPG7738A1ZG | 27.12.2017 | 13,82,442 | 0 | 13,82,442 |
| 74 | Sector 7 CT <br> Noida | Cushman \& Wakefield <br> Property Management <br> Services India Pvt Ltd. <br> Noida <br> 09AACCC3657N1Z8 | 18.12.2017 | 1,26,15,842 | 0 | 1,26,15,842 |
| 75 | Sector 7 CT <br> Noida | Brite Sales Pvt Ltd Noida 09AAFCB4415Q2Z9 | 13.10.2017 | 1,03,18,485 | 0 | 1,03,18,485 |
| 76 | Sector 8 CT <br> Noida | N.S. Associates Pvt Ltd Noida 09AABCN7057J1Z4 | 22.12.2017 | 64,70,274 | 0 | 64,70,274 |
| 77 | Sector 9 CT <br> Noida | GYT TPL Joint Venture Noida 09AACAG1507F1Z4 | 27.12.2017 | 77,10,538 | 0 | 77,10,538 |
| 78 | $\text { Sector } 9 \mathrm{CT}$ <br> Noida | Nyati Enginners and Consultants Noida 09AABPN2601F1Z6 | 23.12.2017 | 75,30,158 | 0 | 75,30,158 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S.../GSTIN | Date of filing | Tran-1 amount of 5(c) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(ii) (in ₹) | Excess ITC claimed due to nonverification (in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | Sector 13 CT <br> Noida | Scientific Facilities Pvt Ltd Noida 09AATCS7080C1ZX | 26.12.2017 | 20,04,614 | 0 | 20,04,614 |
| 80 | Sector 13 CT <br> Noida | AL Qasim Associates Noida 09AAZFA9267C1ZU | 27.12.2017 | 19,99,439 | 0 | 19,99,439 |
| 81 | Sector 13 CT <br> Noida | D. P. Garg and Co Pvt Ltd Noida 09AAACD9787G1Z5 | 25.01.2019 | 16,07,960 | 0 | 16,07,960 |
| 82 | Sector 13 CT <br> Noida | Pebbles Prolease Pvt Ltd Noida 09AAFCP2931B1ZQ | 26.12.2017 | 53,80,187 | 0 | 53,80,187 |
| 83 | Sector 13 CT <br> Noida | Ayush Trading Manufacturing Co Noida 09AGGPA1733J1ZM | 26.12.2017 | 9,68,224 | 0 | 9,68,224 |
| 84 | Sector 13 CT <br> Noida | Three Platinum Softtech Pvt Ltd Noida 09AADCT3082H1Z8 | 26.12.2017 | 24,70,444 | 0 | 24,70,444 |
| 85 | Sector 13 CT <br> Noida | Bhati Electronics Noida 09AJGPB1175Q1ZW | 04.09.2017 | 8,95,227 | 0 | 8,95,227 |
| 86 | Sector 14 CT <br> Noida | Nobility Estates Pvt Ltd 09AAECN5591J1ZY | 26.12.2017 | 62,69,637 | 0 | 62,69,637 |
| Total |  |  |  | 35,46,25,914 | 0 | 35,46,25,914 |

Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.

## APPENDIX-II

Excess availment of Input Tax Credit through TRAN-1 carried over from assessment orders
(Reference Para No. 2.1.8.1)

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S../GSTIN | Date of filing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRAN-1 } \\ & \text { amount of } \\ & \text { 5(c) (in ₹) } \end{aligned}$ | Amount of ITC in last legacy return (FORM <br> XXIV/LII) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(1) (in ₹) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excess ITC } \\ & \text { claimed } \\ & \text { (in ₹) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sector 1 CT Agra | Girraj Ji Stone Crushers Pvt Ltd Agra 09AAACG6742N2Z5 | 09.11.2017 | 44,69,489 | Not Available | 41,66,084 | 3,03,405 |
| 2 | Sector 5 <br> CT Agra | Paras Glassware Pvt Ltd Agra 09AACCP8075H1Z2 | 30.11.2017 | 95,13,043 | 27,51,488 | 26,51,488 | 68,61,555 |
| 3 | Sector 5 <br> CT Agra | Ashok Auto Sales Ltd Agra 09AABCA3711C1ZB | 23.12.2017 | 13,67,580 | 13,67,580 | 4,70,390 | 8,97,190 |
| 4 | Sector 13 <br> CT Agra | Singh Automobiles Agra 09AEBPN4268C1ZL | 26.11.2017 | 6,64,190 | Not Available | 0 | 6,64,190 |
| 5 | Sector 15 <br> CT Agra | U V Overseas Agra 09AACFU5476A2Z5 | 26.10.2017 | 25,31,750 | Not Available | 0 | 25,31,750 |
| 6 | Sector 15 <br> CT Agra | Bunny Poly Plast (India) Agra 09AAEFB2418B1ZI | 07.11.2017 | 7,78,776 | Not Available | 3,79,702 | 3,99,074 |
| 7 | Sector 1 CT G B Nagar | Kisan Petro Oil Pvt Ltd G B Nagar 09AADCK0136D1ZO | 27.12.2017 | 74,00,744 | 74,00,744 | 0 | 74,00,744 |
| 8 | Sector 1 CT G B <br> Nagar | IL Jin Electronics India Pvt Ltd G B Nagar 09AAACI8344L1Z6 | 26.08.2017 | 11,22,489 | 11,22,489 | 0 | 11,22,489 |
| 9 | Sector 4 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Sopan O and M Company Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAFCS9163C1Z7 | 13.10.2017 | 20,09,191 | 20,15,689 | 0 | 20,09,191 |
| 10 | Sector 4 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Jitendra Singh Associates Ghaziabad 09AIDPS6293E1ZW | 06.11.2017 | 15,82,572 | 15,82,527 | 15,68,420 | 14,152 |
| 11 | Sector 12 CT <br> Ghaziabad | SavfabBuildtech Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAOCS7407E2Z2 | 09.12.2017 | 25,23,110 | 0 | 0 | 25,23,110 |
| 12 | Sector 12 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Fastech Projects Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AABCF7986L1ZU | 30.10 .2017 | 3,57,51,772 | Not <br> Available | 0 | 3,57,51,772 |
| 13 | Sector 12 CT <br> Ghaziabad | AamorInox ltd Ghaziabad 09AAFCA0194B1Z2 | 29.09.2017 | 2,42,98,561 | 2,32,95,685 | 2,32,69,626 | 10,28,935 |
| 14 | Sector 12 CT Ghaziabad | Siddharth Metal Ghazibad 09AFCPJ2680L1Z7 | 04.11.2017 | 16,75,143 | Not <br> Available | 13,64,097 | 3,11,046 |
| 15 | Sector 12 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Siddhomal Paper Conversion Co Pvt Ltd 09AAJCS1600A1ZX | 27.12.2017 | 38,86,096 | 38,54,066 | 38,54,066 | 32,030 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S../GSTIN | Date of filing | TRAN-1 amount of 5(c) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC in last legacy return (FORM XXIV/LII) (in ₹) ( | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(1) (in ₹) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excess ITC } \\ & \text { claimed } \\ & \text { (in ₹) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sector } 13 \\ & \text { CT } \\ & \text { Ghaziabad } \end{aligned}$ | Sterling International Ghaziabad 09ABBPS1976 K1Z4 | 20.09.2017 | 27,13,026 | 7,18,129 | 20,58,125 | 6,54,901 |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sector } 16 \\ & \text { CT } \\ & \text { Ghaziabad } \end{aligned}$ | S R B Consortium Realcom Pvt Ltd. Ghaziabad 09AAQCS6954A1ZZ | 26.12.2017 | 10,75,145 | 10,75,145 | 0 | 10,75,145 |
| 18 | Sector 16 CT Ghaziabad | Ahluwalia Contracts India Ltd Ghaziabad 09AABCA4304K1ZV | 27.12.2017 | 6,47,57,953 | 0 | 0 | 6,47,57,953 |
| 19 | Sector 16 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Nandini Buildhome Consortium Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AADCN6561H1Z8 | 26.12.2017 | 58,68,349 | 1,93,02,814 | 0 | 58,68,349 |
| 20 | Sector 17 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Bhawani Steels Ghaziabad 09AAAFB7889G1Z2 | 28.08.2017 | 9,73,145 | 2,52,648 | 0 | 9,73,145 |
| 21 | Sector 17 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Vimal Organics Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAACV7698G1ZP | 07.09.2017 | 10,67,655 | 0 | 2,19,745 | 8,47,910 |
| 22 | Sector 17 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | R P Industries Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AACFR9755M1ZE | 14.10.2017 | 15,42,577 | 15,38,074 | 15,38,074 | 4,503 |
| 23 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JC (CC) II } \\ \text { CT } \\ \text { Kanpur } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Rahman Industries Ltd } \\ \text { Kanpur } \\ \text { 09AAACR6862N1ZQ } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 20.11.2017 | 2,11,60,291 | 1,96,36,279 | 1,95,84,121 | 15,76,170 |
| 24 | Sector 3 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Prasiddh Containers Pvt Ltd Kanpur 09AAICP4788K1ZL | 17.11.2017 | 8,84,478 | 4,59,703 | 4,59,703 | 4,24,775 |
| 25 | Sector 6 CT <br> Kanpur | Shah Corporation Kanpur 09BNRPS1332J1ZI | 24.08.2017 | 9,16,724 | 9,85,502 | 0 | 9,16,724 |
| 26 | Sector 6 CT <br> Kanpur | Ved Sasso Mechanica (India) Pvt Ltd. Kanpur 09AAACV4747Q1ZK | 25.12.2017 | 6,29,433 | 0 | 0 | 6,29,433 |
| 27 | Sector 6 CT <br> Kanpur | Alwin Leather Craft Pvt Ltd Kanpur 09AABCA4262C1Z3 | 20.12.2017 | 5,92,376 | 5,88,446 | 0 | 5,92,376 |
| 28 | Sector 7 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Accumen Laminators LLP Kanpur 09ABAFA8330L1ZC | 28.08.2017 | 84,11,411 | 84,11,411 | 2,44,970 | 81,66,441 |
| 29 | Sector 10 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Kanodia Distributers Kanpur 09AEIPK9718F1Z6 | 25.12.2017 | 9,12,488 | 0 | 0 | 9,12,488 |
| 30 | Sector 10 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Society Distributors Pvt Ltd Kanpur 09AAPCS1765E1Z1 | 20.11.2017 | 26,85,002 | 26,85,002 | 26,82,616 | 2,386 |
| 31 | Sector 12 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Rishabh Industrial Corporation Kanpur 09AAUPG4312R1ZI | 27.12.2017 | 9,12,905 | 8,51,332 | 8,51,332 | 61,573 |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S../GSTIN | Date of filing | TRAN-1 amount of 5(c) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC in last legacy return (FORM XXIV/LII) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(1) (in ₹) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excess ITC } \\ & \text { claimed } \\ & \text { (in ₹) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | Sector 12 CT Kanpur | Rameshwar Prasad <br> Rajendra Prasad <br> Kanpur <br> 09AAHFR2393G1ZY | 22.12.2017 | 53,88,572 | 53,86,178 | 53,86,178 | 2,394 |
| 33 | Sector 14 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Trident Auto Component Pvt Ltd Kanpur 09AABCT0083G1ZH | 11.12.2017 | 9,87,816 | 9,77,816 | 9,77,816 | 10,000 |
| 34 | Sector 15 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | R A Plastic Kanpur 09AFJPG9381E1Z6 | 25.08.2017 | 6,92,954 | 6,92,954 | 6,28,708 | 64,246 |
| 35 | Sector 21 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Universal Leather Industries, Kanpur 09AVXPA6442Q1ZO | 18.12.2017 | 6,56,057 | 6,95,509 | 5,72,022 | 84,035 |
| 36 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Sector } 27 \\ \text { CT } \\ \text { Kanpur } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Anand Cold Storage Kanpur 09ABFFA8563L1ZW | 27.12.2017 | 7,84,148 | 5,08,965 | 5,08,965 | 2,75,183 |
| 37 | Sector 30 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Bharat Agencies Kanpur 09AAKFB8041Q1ZT | 27.12.2017 | 10,66,267 | 6,830 | 6,830 | 10,59,437 |
| 38 | Sector 4 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Dee Gee Saw and Metal Works Pvt Ltd Lucknow 09AABCD3687N1Z2 | 23.08.2017 | 32,09,177 | 32,09,177 | 0 | 32,09,177 |
| 39 | Sector 9 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Everest Infra Energy Ltd Lucknow 09AABCE7178B1ZO | 26.12.2017 | 76,17,415 | Not Available | 72,24,699 | 3,92,716 |
| 40 | Sector 13 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Advantage Medical System Lucknow 09AANPA0571D1Z2 | 27.12.2017 | 12,50,000 | 0 | 0 | 12,50,000 |
| 41 | Sector 14 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Shree Shyam Trading Co 09ACNPA2165P1Z7 | 14.11.2017 | 7,11,074 | 2,37,024 | 2,37,024 | 4,74,050 |
| 42 | Sector 20 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Leotronic Scales Pvt Ltd 09AAACL2561J1ZG | 24.10 .2017 | 24,03,774 | 24,03,774 | 0 | 24,03,774 |
| 43 | Sector 20 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | The Climate Makers (Sales) Lucknow 09AAFFT3039B1ZF | 27.12.2017 | 11,75,812 | Not Available | 0 | 11,75,812 |
| 44 | Sector 20 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Vishal Electricals Lucknow 09AALFV3189N1Z7 | 24.11.2017 | 10,63,039 | 10,63,039 | 3,23,231 | 7,39,808 |
| 45 | Sector 20 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Jayshree Agro Industries Lucknow 09AAHFJ5785G1ZW | 26.12.2017 | 29,44,721 | 29,44,721 | 27,44,721 | 2,00,000 |
| 46 | Sector 22 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Metro Sales Corporation Lucknow 09BGQPK8472B1Z0 | 23.12.2017 | 16,07,390 | 13,02,227 | 13,02,227 | 3,05,163 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S../GSTIN | Date of filing | TRAN-1 amount of 5(c) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC in last legacy return (FORM XXIV/LII) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(1) (in ₹) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excess ITC } \\ & \text { claimed } \\ & \text { (in ₹) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | Sector 22 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Baba Synthetic Lucknow 09AALFB9797L1ZD | 25.08.2017 | 19,48,392 | Not <br> Available | 16,39,950 | 3,08,442 |
| 48 | Sector 1 CT Noida | Goyal Enterprises Noida 09ABVPG7601H1ZD | 25.08.2017 | 9,44,249 | 9,44,249 | 0 | 9,44,249 |
| 49 | Sector 2 CT Noida | Precision Electronics Ltd Noida 09AAACP1441P1Z7 | 30.10 .2017 | 9,60,822 | 16,17,276 | 0 | 9,60,822 |
| 50 | Sector 4 CT Noida | J S H Packagings Noida 09AACFJ5445Q1ZR | 25.08.2017 | 67,88,692 | 67,88,692 | 51,358 | 67,37,334 |
| 51 | Sector 8 CT Noida | CLE Pvt Ltd Noida 09AACCR7266A1ZF | 21.08.2017 | 4,79,73,321 | 4,79,73,321 | 4,32,34,686 | 47,38,635 |
| 52 | Sector 9 CT Noida | Key Stone Developers Pvt Ltd Noida 09AACCK1431C1Z3 | 26.12.2017 | 16,18,289 | Not Available | 0 | 16,18,289 |
| 53 | Sector 10 CT Noida | Cornell Overseas Pvt Ltd Noida 09AAACC0034F1ZA | 27.12.2017 | 9,52,078 | Not <br> Available | 3,85,850 | 5,66,228 |
| 54 | Sector 11 CT Noida | Ambience Interiors Pvt Ltd Noida 09AADCA0739Q1ZA | 29.11.2017 | 19,91,095 | Not Available | 18,54,988 | 1,36,107 |
| 55 | Sector 11 CT Noida | Zapsell Retail Noida 09AOYPS7890E1ZU | 27.12.2017 | 13,67,128 | Not <br> Available | 0 | 13,67,128 |
| 56 | Sector 12 CT Noida | Iworld Business <br> Solutions Pvt Ltd <br> Noida <br> 09AABCI4305A1Z7 | 27.12.2017 | 42,76,119 | Not <br> Available | 42,49,825 | 26,294 |
| 57 | Sector 13 CT Noida | Uniparts India Ltd Noida 09AAACU0454D1ZO | 27.12.2017 | 27,10,813 | 0 | 0 | 27,10,813 |
| 58 | Sector 14 CT Noida | Presidency Infraheights Pvt Ltd Noida 09AAGCP5711L1Z4 | 29.08.2017 | 3,49,68,949 | Not <br> Available | 2,81,47,119 | 68,21,830 |
| 59 | Sector 14 CT Noida | Kamal and Associates Pvt Ltd Noida 09AABCK8424C1ZP | 02.12.2017 | 45,70,002 | 16,75,784 | 0 | 45,70,002 |
| 60 | Sector 14 CT Noida | Maharshi SolarTechnology Pvt Ltd Noida 09AACCM0458M1Z7 | 27.12.2017 | 25,67,405 | 0 | 0 | 25,67,405 |
| Total |  |  |  | 35,98,73,034 |  | 16,48,38,756 | 19,50,34,278 |

[^57]
## APPENDIX-III

Excess Input Tax Credit carried from Last Legacy Returns
(Reference Para No. 2.1.8.2)

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S../GSTIN | Date of filing | TRAN-1 amount of 5(c)(in ₹) | Amount of ITC in last legacy return (FORM XXIV/LII) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order (in ₹) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excess ITC } \\ & \text { claimed } \\ & \text { (in ₹) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sector 1 <br> CT Agra | RDI Homes Pvt Ltd Agra 09AAFCR6347F1Z6 | 27.12.2017 | 15,14,047 | 12,33,743 | 0 | 2,80,304 |
| 2 | Sector 5 CT Agra | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monarch Footwear } \\ & \text { Agra } \\ & \text { 09AAHFM0091Q1ZR } \end{aligned}$ | 17.10.2017 | 41,80,805 | 2,78,172 | 2,78,172 | 39,02,633 |
| 3 | Sector 11 <br> CT Agra | PPM India Agra 09AARFP1928P2ZB | 27.12.2017 | 5,80,912 | 0 | 0 | 5,80,912 |
| 4 | Sector 13 <br> CT Agra | Angel Enterprises Agra 09ACYPJ9170G1ZV | 27.12.2017 | 2,99,602 | 2,75,111 | Not <br> Available | 24,491 |
| 5 | Sector 13 <br> CT Agra | Om Construction Raju Gupta Agra 09AFMPG5341M1Z2 | 16.10.2017 | 7,19,673 | 4,80,365 | Not <br> Available | 2,39,308 |
| 6 | Sector 16 <br> CT Agra | Shree Ram Ashiyana Pvt Ltd Agra 09AANCS3942H1ZY | 27.12.2017 | 1,15,35,725 | 0 | 0 | 1,15,35,725 |
| 7 | Sector 16 <br> CT Agra | Paras Das Jain and Sons Agra 09AAHFP9897R1ZQ | 31.08.2017 | 12,02,000 | 0 | Not <br> Available | 12,02,000 |
| 8 | Sector 20 <br> CT Agra | Guru Jee Engineers and Construction Co. Agra 09ANWPS2887G1ZZ | 20.12.2017 | 14,74,590 | 11,42,760 | 0 | 3,31,830 |
| 9 | Sector 1 <br> CT G B <br> Nagar | Amit Enterprises G B Nagar 09BRBPB0296A1ZD | 25.12.2017 | 1,03,28,303 | 0 | 0 | 1,03,28,303 |
| 10 | Sector 2 CT G B Nagar | SRV Techno <br> Engineering Pvt Ltd G <br> B Nagar 09AAPCS3676Q1Z6 | 26.12.2017 | 9,45,133 | 0 | 0 | 9,45,133 |
| 11 | Sector 3 CT G B Nagar | Kaveri Technobuild Pvt Ltd G B Nagar 09AAFCK5324H1ZI | 27.12.2017 | 13,65,086 | 13,47,674 | 0 | 17,412 |
| 12 | Sector 1 CT <br> Ghaziabad | SFC Solution India (Sealing) Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAACW0019N1Z8 | 05.09.2017 | 67,76,942 | 0 | 0 | 67,76,942 |
| 13 | Sector 4 CT <br> Ghaziabad | A K Engineers Ghaziabad 09AAYFA1337J1Z1 | 07.12.2017 | 28,27,784 | 25,98,070 | 0 | 2,29,714 |
| 14 | Sector 4 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Trenchless Engineering Services Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AABCT3284F2Z9 | 27.12.2017 | 51,43,079 | 0 | 0 | 51,43,079 |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S../GSTIN | Date of filing | TRAN-1 amount of 5(c)(in ₹) | Amount of ITC in last legacy return (FORM <br> XXIV/LII) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order (in ₹) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excess ITC } \\ & \text { claimed } \\ & \text { (in ₹) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | Sector 4 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Uttam Sucrotech International Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AABCU3958R1ZF | 26.12.2017 | 13,19,324 | 9,24,812 | Not <br> Available | 3,94,512 |
| 16 | Sector 5 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Blue Star Buildtech Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AACCB7945C1ZP | 26.12.2017 | 13,94,759 | 13,23,033 | 0 | 71,726 |
| 17 | Sector 5 CT Ghaziabad | Dhanversha Builders Pvt Ltd 09AADCD6622R1Z3 | 27.12.2017 | 1,09,20,183 | 1,09,16,758 | 0 | 3,425 |
| 18 | Sector 10 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Stotz Gears Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAACS4036M1Z5 | 04.09.2017 | 71,29,456 | 6,49,121 | 0 | 64,80,335 |
| 19 | Sector 17 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Space Chem Enterprises Ghaziabad 09AGDPG7311D1ZU | 27.12.2017 | 27,69,863 | 4,89,764 | 0 | 22,80,099 |
| 20 | Sector 17 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Shiv Steels Ghaziabad 09AAWPM1613R1ZV | 06.10.2017 | 8,83,033 | 8,73,033 | 0 | 10,000 |
| 21 |  | Shree Bankey Bihari Pharma, Kanpur 09AFLPC4855K1Z2 | 27.12.2017 | 8,19,932 | 5,13,984 | 0 | 3,05,948 |
| 22 | Sector 5 CT <br> Kanpur | Nabco Pigments Pvt Ltd Kanpur 09AADCN4596J1ZW | 11.10.2017 | 18,50,546 | 18,45,142 | 0 | 5,404 |
| 23 | Sector 7 CT <br> Kanpur | Shivam Fragrances Kanpur 09AGEPS3842C1ZF | 25.12.2017 | 11,88,286 | 11,54,652 | 11,54,652 | 33,634 |
| 24 | Sector 11 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Silver Line Fashion Kanpur 09AJGPK0875Q1ZI | 15.11.2017 | 10,09,059 | 9,47,914 | 0 | 61,145 |
| 25 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Sector } 13 \\ \text { CT } \\ \text { Kanpur } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Good-Will Tenners Kanpur 09ABOPA5045Q1Z5 | 25.08.2017 | 11,99,837 | 11,96,965 | 11,99,837 | 2,872 |
| 26 | Sector 16 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Nabco Products Pvt Ltd. Kanpur 09AAFCA8426N1Z6 | 24.08.2017 | 24,79,186 | 21,81,530 | 0 | 2,97,656 |
| 27 | Sector 17 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | PawanTanay Enterprises Kanpur 09BOZPK8504H1Z9 | 26.12.2017 | 4,91,157 | 1,94,386 | 0 | 2,96,771 |
| 28 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Sector } 18 \\ \text { CT } \\ \text { Kanpur } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Vishal Enterprises Kanpur 09AAJPO0296M1Z3 | 27.12.2017 | 4,79,207 | 4,28,233 | Not <br> Available | 50,974 |
| 29 | Sector 20 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Sultan Tanners Kanpur 09AADFS2681N1ZN | 27.12.2017 | 17,16,266 | 16,16,768 | 0 | 99,498 |
| 30 | Sector 20 CT Kanpur | S S Fashions Kanpur 09ACZFS5689L1ZN | 30.09.2017 | 8,81,556 | 7,04,876 | 0 | 1,76,680 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S../GSTIN | Date of filing | TRAN-1 amount of 5(c)(in ₹) | Amount of ITC in last legacy return (FORM <br> XXIV/LII) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order (in ₹) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excess ITC } \\ & \text { claimed } \\ & \text { (in ₹) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | Sector 8 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Canteen Store Department Lucknow 09AAAGC0017Q2ZF | 26.12.2017 | 18,98,214 | 0 | 0 | 18,98,214 |
| 32 | Sector 12 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | SKC Infratech Pvt Ltd Lucknow 09AABCS2772F1ZC | 27.12.2017 | 1,66,08,549 | 1,30,90,342 | Not <br> Available | 35,18,207 |
| 33 | Sector 12 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | CIPEL Lucknow 09AAIFC4192K1Z2 | 30.08.2017 | 1,08,70,093 | 94,66,673 | 0 | 14,03,420 |
| 34 | Sector 14 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Raj Marketing Lucknow 09ADHPN3945M1ZY | 11.11.2017 | 7,44,620 | 3,73,255 | 0 | 3,71,365 |
| 35 | Sector 15 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | N P S Power Solution Pvt Ltd Lucknow 09AADCN3125F1ZQ | 21.12.2017 | 10,31,752 | 9,10,453 | 0 | 1,21,299 |
| 36 | Sector 16 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Metecno (India) Pvt Ltd Lucknow 09AAECM4690F1ZA | 11.11.2017 | 73,70,222 | 38,60,033 | 0 | 35,10,189 |
| 37 | Sector 1 CT Noida | Jones Lang Lasalle Property Consutant India Pvt Ltd Noida 09AAACL2089B1ZQ | 26.12.2017 | 69,81,683 | 0 | Not Available | 69,81,683 |
| 38 | Sector 2 <br> CT Noida | Pace Tel Systems Pvt Ltd Noida 09AAFCP8340L1ZY | 08.09.2017 | 35,98,173 | 35,83,796 | Not Available | 14,377 |
| 39 | Sector 9 <br> CT Noida | NTPC Bhel Power Projects Pvt Ltd Noida 09AACCN9505A1ZP | 20.09.2017 | 3,48,36,638 | 3,34,13,816 | 0 | 14,22,822 |
| 40 | Sector 12 <br> CT Noida | J and S Wirelinks Pvt Ltd Noida 09AABCJ7521M2Z8 | 20.09.2017 | 36,98,931 | 34,79,706 | 34,79,706 | 2,19,225 |
| 41 | Sector 13 CT Noida | R G Infra Build Pvt Ltd Noida 09AADCR0005N1ZG | 27.12.2017 | 1,99,85,114 | 0 | 0 | 1,99,85,114 |
| 42 | Sector 14 CT Noida | Spirit Global <br> Constructions Pvt Ltd <br> Noida 09AAICS2757B1ZC | 24.08.2017 | 14,66,068 | 0 | Not Available | 14,66,068 |
| 43 | Sector 14 CT Noida | ATS Dreamzone Pvt Ltd Noida 09AAFCS0587C1ZD | 18.12.2017 | 37,99,266 | 0 | Not Available | 37,99,266 |
| 44 | Sector 14 <br> CT Noida | Trilok and Associates Noida 09AALPJ3073F1ZO | 24.12.2017 | 40,32,604 | 0 | Not Available | 40,32,604 |
|  |  | Total |  | 20,23,47,258 | 10,14,94,940 |  | 10,08,52,318 |

Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.

## APPENDIX-IV

## Availment of Irregular Excess Input Tax Credit on pending declaration Forms

(Reference Para No. 2.1.8.3)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S.../GSTIN | Date of filing of TRAN-1 (₹) | TRAN-1 amount Table 5(c) (₹) | Amount of ITC in Last Legacy Return (₹) | Amount of Pending Declaration Forms (₹) | Tax due on Pending declaration Forms for which ITC was to be deducted (₹) | Total Irregular Excess ITC claimed in TRAN-1 (Limited to TRAN-1 5 (c) (₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sector 3 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Kwality Traders <br> Ghaziabad <br> 09AABFK0304N1ZH | 26.12.2017 | 11,33,830 | 11,33,830 | 10,12,078 | 40,483 | 40,483 |
| 2 | Sector 5 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Shiva Enterprises <br> Ghaziabad 09ACEPG2119G1ZZ | 26.12.2017 | 18,91,671 | 18,91,671 | 65,07,603 | 1,30,151 | 1,30,151 |
| 3 | Sector 12 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Bharat Steel Suppliers <br> Kanpur <br> 09AAIPP6247Q1ZS | 26.12.2017 | 7,67,644 | 8,33,596 | 4,91,73,009 | 9,83,460 | 7,67,644 |
| 4 | Sector 15 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Bajarang Industries <br> Kanpur <br> 09AAMFB5683Q1ZH | 04.09.2017 | 11,83,178 | 11,83,178 | 95,69,842 | 2,87,095 | 2,87,095 |
| 5 | Sector 17 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Vasu Met Plast Pvt <br> Ltd Kanpur <br> 09AAECV3055F2ZB | 27.12.2017 | 20,78,728 | 20,78,728 | 1,40,27,456 | 4,64,974 | 4,64,974 |
| 6 | Sector 10 <br> CT Noida | Narayan Industries Global Ltd Noida 09AABCN7151P1ZX | 19.12.2017 | 47,37,578 | Not Available | 29,97,40,720 | 3,74,67,590 | 47,37,578 |
| Total |  |  |  | 1,17,92,629 |  | 38,00,30,708 | 3,93,73,753 | 64,27,925 |

Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.
Appendices
Para 8.1.4 Availment of Excess Input Tax Credit in the Table 5 (c) of TRAN-1 due to Excess ITC brought forward from year 2016-17

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer <br> M/S.../GSTIN | Date of filing | TRAN-1 amount (₹) | Amount of ITC C/F from A.Y. 2016-17 Assessment order (₹) | Amount of ITC B/F in <br> A.Y. 2017-18 <br> Assement <br> Order (₹) | Excess ITC B/F in A.Y.2017-18 <br> (₹) | Amount of ITC carried forward from Year 2017-18 (₹) | Actual difference (₹) | ITC due for carry forward from 2017-18. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8(7-6) | 9 | 10 (9-8) | 11 | 12(5-11) |
| 1 | Sector <br> CT <br> Kanpur <br> Dehat | Neptune Sales <br> Corporation Kanpur <br> Dehat <br> 09AGJPA9427E1ZF | 14.09.2017 | 28,15,449 | 30,56,972 | 35,52,770 | 4,95,798 | 31,31,854 | 26,36,056 | 26,36,056 | 1,79,393 |
| 2 | Sector 12 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Sai Distributors <br> Lucknow <br> 09AITPA2345P1ZQ | 02.09.2017 | 27,40,149 | 1,78,058 | 3,71,085 | 1,93,027 | 27,40,149 | 25,47,122 | 25,47,122 | 1,93,027 |
| 3 | Sector 13 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Sharukh Force <br> Lucknow <br> 09ARBPS2828N1Z8 | 25.08.2017 | 11,37,254 | 6,21,380 | 12,08,533 | 5,87,153 | 11,37,254 | 5,50,101 | 5,50,100 | 5,87,154 |
| Total |  |  |  | 66,92,852 | 38,56,410 | 51,32,388 | 12,75,978 | 70,09,257 | 57,33,279 | 57,33,278 | 9,59,574 |

Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.

## APPENDIX-VI

Availment of Excess Input Tax Credit in the Table 6(b) of TRAN-1 due to difference between TRAN-1 and Assessment Order
(Reference Para No. 2.1.9.1)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S../GSTIN | Date of filing | TRAN-1 <br> amount <br> of $6(b)$ <br> (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Annual Return (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(i) (in ₹) | Excess <br> ITC <br> claimed (in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sector 15 <br> CT Agra | UV Overseas Agra 09AACFU5476A2Z5 | 26.10.2017 | 5,03,718 | Not <br> Available | 0 | 5,03,718 |
| 2 | Sector 9 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Shree Bankey Bihari <br> Lal Aromatics <br> Ghaziabad <br> 09ACFFS1816D1ZB | 20.12.2017 | 3,84,886 | 0 | 0 | 3,84,886 |
| 3 | Sector 17 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Nipman Fastner Industries Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAACN3215D1ZY | 27.09.2017 | 15,16,945 | 0 | 7,33,386 | 7,83,559 |
| 4 | Sector 14 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Trident Auto <br> Components Pvt Ltd <br> Kanpur <br> 09AABCT0083G1ZH | 11.12.2017 | 5,37,322 | 67,470 | 4,93,396 | 43,926 |
| 5 | Sector 22 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Metro Sales Corporation Lucknow 09BGQPK8472B1Z0 | 23.12.2017 | 13,02,276 | Not <br> Available | 0 | 13,02,276 |
| 6 | Sector 2 <br> CT Noida | Precision Electronics Ltd Noida 09AAACP1441P1Z7 | 30.10 .2017 | 10,822 | 0 | 0 | 10,822 |
| 7 | Sector 4 CT Noida | J.S.H. Packagings Noida 09AACFJ5445Q1ZR | 25.08.2017 | 11,765 | 0 | 0 | 11,765 |
| 8 | Sector 13 <br> CT Noida | Sati Polyplast Pvt Ltd Noida <br> 09AAMCS9287L1Z8 | 26.12.2017 | 54,79,997 | Not Available | 42,81,234 | 11,98,763 |
| Total |  |  |  | 97,47,731 |  | 55,08,016 | 42,39,715 |

[^58]
## APPENDIX-VII

Availment of Excess Input Tax Credit of capital goods in table 6(b) of TRAN-1 due to difference between TRAN-1 and Annual Return
(Reference Para No. 2.1.9.2)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S.../GSTIN | Date of filing | TRAN-1 amount of 6(b) (in ₹) | Amount of ITC as per Annual Return (in ₹) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excess ITC } \\ & \text { claimed } \\ & \text { (in ₹) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JC (CC) II } \\ & \text { CT } \\ & \text { Ghaziabad } \end{aligned}$ | Allied NipponPvt. Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAACA0494M1ZH | 28.12.2017 | 42,16,322 | 32,87,121 | 9,29,201 |
| 2 | JC (CC) II <br> CT Kanpur | Mirza International Ltd Kanpur 09AAECM3626M1Z5 | 27.12.2017 | 1,78,01,776 | 0 | 1,78,01,776 |
| 3 | Sector 27 <br> CT Kanpur | Irus International Pvt Ltd. 09AABCH1347K1ZJ | 27.12.2017 | 14,95,157 | 0 | 14,95,157 |
| Total |  |  |  | 2,35,13,255 | 32,87,121 | 2,02,26,134 |

[^59]
## APPENDIX-VIII

Availment of non-verified Input Tax Credit of capital goods due to details not furnished in TRAN-1 by Taxpayer
(Reference Para No. 2.1.9.3)

| Sl. <br> No. | Name of <br> Sector | Name of Dealer <br> M/S./GSTIN | Date of <br> filing | TRAN-1 <br> amount of <br> $\mathbf{6 ( b )}$ (in ₹) | Amount of <br> ITC as per <br> Annual <br> Return <br> (in ₹) | Excess ITC <br> claimed <br> (in ₹) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JC CC I CT <br> Ghaziabad | Shriram Pistons and Rings <br> Ltd Ghaziabad <br> 09AAACS0229G1ZN | 26.12 .2017 | $2,19,44,141$ | Not <br> Available | $2,19,44,141$ |
| 2 | JC CC I CT <br> Ghaziabad | Rathi Steel and Power Ltd <br> Ghaziabad <br> 09AAACR1435K1ZD | 09.10 .2017 | $6,58,446$ | Not <br> Available | $6,58,446$ |
| 3 | JC CC II <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Ambika Steels Ltd <br> Ghaziabad <br> 09AAACA9942Q1ZY | 04.09 .2017 | $20,07,107$ | Not <br> Available | $20,07,107$ |
| 4 | JC CC II <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Tata Steel BSL Ltd <br> Ghaziabad <br> 09AAACB1247M1ZN | 07.12 .2017 | $1,27,65,635$ | Not <br> Available | $1,27,65,635$ |
| 5 | JC CC II <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Sheela Foam Ltd Ghaziabad <br> 09AAACS0189B1ZM | 04.12 .2017 | $52,10,889$ | Not <br> Available | $52,10,889$ |
| 6 | Sector 4 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Vishal Pipes Ltd Ghaziabad <br> 09AAACV3101G1ZR | 28.08 .2017 | $20,86,989$ | Not <br> Available | $20,86,989$ |
| 7 | Sector 7 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Ganga Paper Mills P. Ltd <br> Ghaziabad <br> 09AAACS1110J1ZQ | 27.12 .2017 | $12,38,465$ | Not <br> Available | $12,38,465$ |
| 8 | ST Noida |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.

## APPENDIX-IX

Availment of Irregular Input Tax Credit in the Table 7(b) of TRAN-1
(Reference Para No. 2.1.10.1)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S.../GSTIN | Date of filing | TRAN-1 amount of 7(b) (₹) | Amount of ITC in FORM XXIV/ FORMLII (₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order (₹) | Amount of detail of Table 7 (b) furnished in TRAN-1 | Excess <br> ITC <br> claimed <br> (₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sector 1 <br> CT G B <br> Nagar | IL Jin Electronics India Pvt Ltd G B Nagar 09AAACI8344L1Z6 | 26.08.2017 | 54,772 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54,772 |
| 2 | Sector 3 <br> CT G B <br> Nagar | Kizen Metal Farming P Ltd G B Nagar 09AACCK1286B1ZS | 22.11.2021 | 87,531 | 41,04,652 | 0 | 0 | 87,531 |
| 3 | JC CC II <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Ambika Steels Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAACA9942Q1ZY | 04.09.2017 | 6,57,915 | 0 | 40,298 | 0 | 6,57,915 |
| 4 | Sector 5 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Flipkart India Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AABCF8078M1ZZ | 15.09.2017 | 2,45,65,297 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,45,65,297 |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JC (CC) II } \\ & \text { CT } \\ & \text { Kanpur } \end{aligned}$ | Mirza International <br> Kanpur <br> 09AAECM3626M1Z5 | 27.12.2017 | 2,68,885 | 16,95,294 | 0 | 0 | 2,68,885 |
| 6 | Sector 29 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | H. I. Agro Kanpur 09AMCPK2779G1ZU | 25.08.2017 | 2,96,714 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,96,714 |
| 7 | Sector 30 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Goldee Electronics <br> Kanpur <br> 09ADWPR4650E1Z0 | 24.09.2017 | 7,00,128 | 1,69,305 | 1,69,305 | 0 | 7,00,128 |
| 8 | Sector 8 <br> CT <br> Lucknow | Digital Edge Retail <br> Pvt Ltd Lucknow 09AADCD8136E1ZP | 27.12.2017 | 1,10,503 | 18,67,091 | 0 | 0 | 1,10,503 |
| 9 | Sector 13 <br> CT Noida | Pebbles Prolease Pvt <br> Ltd Noida 09AAFCP2931B1ZQ | 26.12.2017 | 13,33,778 | Not Available | 0 | 0 | 13,33,778 |
| Total |  |  |  | 2,80,75,523 |  |  | 0 | 2,80,75,523 |

Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.

## APPENDIX-X

Non-Verification of Claim of Input Tax Credit in the Table 11 of TRAN-1
(Reference Para No.2.1.12)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S.../GSTIN | Date of filing | TRAN-1 amount of 11 (₹) | Amount of ITC as per Assessment order u/s 28(2)(1) (₹) | Excess ITC <br> claimed (₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sector 16 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | IV County Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AACC19503M1Z7 | 25.12.2017 | 19,86,01,690 | 0 | 19,86,01,690 |
| 2 | Sector 16 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Mahagun (India) Pvt Ltd 09AAACM6572A1ZN | 23.12.2017 | 9,56,48,931 | 0 | 9,56,48,931 |
| 3 | Sector 16 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Gaursons Realty Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AADCG9947J1ZY | 29.11.2017 | 5,67,26,465 | 0 | 5,67,26,465 |
| 4 | Sector 16 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Nexgen Infracon Pvt Ltd 09AADCN2095A1ZP | 04.11.2017 | 4,66,28,947 | 0 | 4,66,28,947 |
| 5 | Sector 16 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Gaursons Sportswood Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AAACX0984F1Z6 | 26.10.2017 | 4,66,16,061 | 0 | 4,66,16,061 |
| 6 | Sector 16 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Gaursons Realtech Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AADCG9948H1Z2 | 04.12.2017 | 3,20,58,248 | 0 | 3,20,58,248 |
| 7 | Sector 16 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | UP Township Infrastructure Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AABCU5795Q1ZA | 29.11.2017 | 1,48,28,838 | 0 | 1,48,28,838 |
| 8 | Sector 16 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Hebe Infrastructure Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AACCH6568B1ZK | 04.11.2017 | 1,20,51,255 | 0 | 1,20,51,255 |
| 9 | Sector 16 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Charms India Pvt. Ltd. Ghaziabad 09AAACC6218R1Z7 | 26.12.2017 | 61,54,656 | 0 | 61,54,656 |
| 10 | Sector 16 CT <br> Ghaziabad | Gaursons Hi Tech <br> Infrastructure Pvt Ltd Ghaziabad 09AACCG8097J2ZZ | 23.12.2017 | 56,64,308 | 0 | 56,64,308 |
| 11 | Sector 16 <br> CT <br> Ghaziabad | Diya Green city Pvt Ltd 09AADCD9607N1Z4 | 27.12.2017 | 47,28,970 | 0 | 47,28,970 |
| Total |  |  |  | 51,97,08,369 | 0 | 51,97,08,369 |

Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.
Interest not recovered on availment of Excess Input Tax Credit in TRAN-1

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of Sector | Name of Dealer M/S../GSTIN | Date of filing | Amount of TRAN-1 (₹) | Amount of ECL/TRAN 1 amount (₹) | Amount of ITC eligible in VAT regime (₹) | Excess ITC claimed (₹) | Deposited Amount | Date of start of Interest | Date of Deposit | Period <br> of <br> Interest <br> (in <br> days) | Interest <br> (₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sector 1 <br> CT G B <br> Nagar | T J Power Electrical Pvt Ltd G B Nagar 09AAFCT1878D1Z6 | 30/08/2017 | 29,23,432 | 36,25,033 | 29,23,432 | 7,01,601 | 7,01,601 | 30/08/2017 | 05/12/2017 | 98 | 33,908 |
| 2 | Sector 2 | Amit Sales | 20/12/2017 | 22,87,607 | 22,87,607 | 3,35,741 | 19,51,866 | 1,37,506 | 20/12/2017 | 16/08/2021 | 1,336 | 90,596 |
|  | CT <br> Ghaziabad | Corporation Pvt Ltd <br> Ghaziabad <br> 09AAHCA6175A1ZT |  |  |  |  |  | 18,14,360 | 20/12/2017 | 14/01/2021 | 1,122 | 10,03,913 |
| 3 | Sector 2 <br> CT <br> Kanpur | Ashok Homeo <br> Pharmacy, Kanpur 09AACFA8256C1ZM | 24/08/2017 | 8,72,052 | 8,72,052 | 8,06,321 | 65,731 | 65,731 | 01/07/2017 | 26/08/2021 | 1,518 | 49,206 |
| Total |  |  |  | 60,83,091 | 67,84,692 | 40,65,494 | 27,19,198 | 27,19,198 |  |  |  | 11,77,622 |

Compliance Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended 31 March 2021

| APPENDIX-XII <br> Acknowledgement not issued within time (Reference Para No. 2.2.7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | Name of CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing Refund Application | Date of issue of acknowledgment in GST RFD-02 |  | Delay in days | No. of objections in Pre-automation cases | No. of objections in Postautomation cases |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 1 | Sector-04 Agra | 09AAHCP8395H1ZQ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AA090220081281N/ } \\ \text { Jul to Sept-2017 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 22-02-2020 | 19-03-2020 | 11,11,207 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09ALKPM2402P1ZR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AA911190602359/ } \\ & \text { Apr-18 to Mar-19 } \end{aligned}$ | INVITC | 17-11-2019 | 11-12-2019 | 13,79,768 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AADFR2567C1ZA | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090120010987E/ } \\ \text { Oct-18 to Mar-19 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 04-01-2020 | 15-02-2020 | 39,90,527 | 28 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Sector-10 Agra | 09AAECS2543R1ZR | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA0901180156094/ } \\ \text { Jan-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 18-02-2019 | 04-04-2019 | 62,40,638 | 31 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | Sector-11 Agra | 09EAYPS7968R1ZP | AA0911190576017/ Apr 2018-Mar-2019 | INVITC | 16-11-2019 | 17-12-2019 | 10,48,948 | 17 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | Sector -12 Agra | 09AADCH3397P1ZT | $\begin{gathered} \text { AB0911182088226/ } \\ \text { Apr-Nov-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 02-02-2019 | 20-02-2019 | 59,65,652 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AADCA3511E1Z7 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AA} 090120085772 \mathrm{~A} \\ \text { Apr-Dec-2019 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 23-01-2020 | 15-02-2020 | 35,68,735 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | Sector-13 Agra | 09APJPS0636L1ZE | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090220020718J/ } \\ \text { Apr-Sept } 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 07-02-2020 | 19-03-2020 | 10,38,393 | 27 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | Sector-16 Agra | 09AF0PD1842A1ZU | AA090220004424W /APPEAL | ANYOTH | 03-02-2020 | 24-02-2020 | 2,94,066 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | Sector-01 Aligarh | 09AHRPV2162J1ZN | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA0911190982131/ } \\ \text { Apr-Sep-2019 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 26-11-2019 | 16-12-2019 | 18,71,224 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | Sector-11 Aligarh | 09AACCC8663L1Z4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090819029491T/ } \\ \text { Dec-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 08-08-2019 | 16-10-2019 | 5,14,825 | 55 | 1 | 0 |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sector- } 02 \text { G B } \\ & \text { Nagar } \end{aligned}$ | 09AAECV8538M1ZJ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090220000447S/ } \\ \text { Dec-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 01-02-2020 | 24-02-2020 | 37,72,78,242 | 9 | 0 | 1 |


| Sl. No. | Name of CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing Refund Application | Date of issue of acknowledgment in GST RFD-02 | Refund amount claimed | Delay in days | No. of objections in Pre-automation cases | No. of <br> objections <br> in Post- <br> automation <br> cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 10 | JC Corporate Circle-I Ghaziabad | 09AAZPA1892P1ZR | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090220022145W } \\ \text { /Nov-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 07-02-2020 | 14-03-2020 | 29,76,161 | 22 | 0 | 1 |
| 11 | JC Corporate CircleII Ghaziabad | 09AAACP0274E1ZP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AA090819102181A/ } \\ & \text { Sep-2017 } \end{aligned}$ | EXPWOP | 31-08-2019 | 18-09-2019 | 31,24,899 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 12 | Sector-04 Ghaziabad | 09AABCU3958R1ZF | AA090220042567E <br> July-Sept-2018 | INVITC | 13-02-2020 | 19-03-2020 | 45,97,555 | 21 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | Sector-06 Ghaziabad | 09AAEPR4168E1ZJ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090120078206I/ } \\ \text { Oct-Dec-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 22-01-2020 | 24-02-2020 | 31,02,440 | 19 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AAEPR4168E1ZJ | AA0911190325175/ <br> July-Sept-2018 | INVITC | 09-11-2019 | 14-01-2020 | 17,77,107 | 52 | 0 | 1 |
| 14 | Sector-13 Ghaziabad | 09AHFPK1888G1Z2 | AA091219103321M /July-2018 | INVITC | 30-12-2019 | 11-02-2020 | 11,33,153 | 29 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AHFPK1888G1Z2 | AA090120040010B/ Sep-2018 | INVITC | 11-01-2020 | 15-02-2020 | 51,08,770 | 21 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09ABIFM6673N1ZE | AA091219002347A/ <br> Apr 2019-June 2019 | INVITC | 02-12-2019 | 15-01-2020 | 26,26,725 | 30 | 0 | 1 |
| 15 | Sector-15 Ghaziabad | 09AABCA2535N1ZK | AA090819083356J/ Apr-June 19 | INVITC | 26-08-2019 | 24-09-2019 | 27,36,070 | 15 | 1 | 0 |
| 16 | Sector-17 Ghaziabad | 09AAACA2356C1Z5 | Feb-2019 <br> AB090219272076Y/ Feb-2019 | EXPWOP | 20-05-2019 | 10-06-2019 | 41,69,380 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AAACA2356C1Z5 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AB} 090119300521 \mathrm{~K} / \\ \text { Jan-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 16-05-2019 | 10-06-2019 | 54,04,024 | 11 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AANCA9940K1ZZ | AA091119008622C/ Apr-Sept-2019 | INVITC | 03-11-2019 | 27-11-2019 | 23,50,750 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 | JC(CC-I) Kanpur | 09AAECP3930F1ZI | AA091219087983H | ANYOTH | 25-12-2019 | 20-01-2020 | 8,38,176 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
| 18 | Sector-01 Kanpur | 09AAGCP5257K1ZX | AA0901201108100/ Apr-18-Mar-2019 | INVITC | 28-01-2020 | 18-02-2020 | 14,22,709 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
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| Sl. No. | Name of CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing Refund Application | Date of issue of acknowledgment in GST RFD-02 | Refund amount claimed | Delay in days | No. of objections in Pre-automation cases | No. of objections in Post- automation cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 19 | Sector-05 Kanpur | 09AAACU0217G1ZP | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA091219027694W } \\ \text { /July-Sep-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 09-12-2019 | 14-01-2020 | 33,34,148 | 22 | 0 | 1 |
| 20 | Sector-06 Kanpur | 09AAECT2086JIZ0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AB09041184255307 } \\ \text { /Apr-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 22-11-2018 | 17-12-2018 | 32,94,756 | 11 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AAECT2086JIZ0 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AC} 091218377515 \mathrm{P} / \\ \text { Dec-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 27-03-2019 | 18-04-2019 | 1,47,78,410 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AAECT2086JIZ0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AC090918430064X/ } \\ \text { Sep-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 18-02-2019 | 19-03-2019 | 59,16,670 | 15 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AAACB5732D1Z0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AC090918445307K/ } \\ \text { Sept-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 02-03-2019 | 28-03-2019 | 15,05,768 | 12 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AAACB5732D1Z0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090318024054G/ } \\ \text { Mar-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 10-01-2019 | 05-03-2019 | 14,65,441 | 40 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AAACB5732D1Z0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090518033726W } \\ / \mathrm{May}-2018 \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 23-02-2019 | 28-03-2019 | 13,77,332 | 19 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AAECT2086JIZ0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AC0906180063154/ } \\ \text { June-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 01-12-2018 | 31-12-2018 | 1,00,23,264 | 16 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AAPFS3422DIZ7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA091119016884Y/ } \\ \text { Apr-Jun-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 05-11-2019 | 28-11-2019 | 21,31,421 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AAFCV1184J1Z0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090220082702L/ } \\ \text { May- Nov-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 22-02-2020 | 17-03-2020 | 17,77,864 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| 21 | Sector -11 Kanpur | 09AAGCM6849N1ZM | AA0901219106369Z <br> /Apr-Nov-2019 | EXPWOP | 31-12-2019 | 03-03-2020 | 2,25,02,759 | 49 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AAACM9659A1ZD | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090220057320T/ } \\ \text { Jan-Mar- } 2019 \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 17-02-2020 | 16-03-2020 | 19,81,766 | 14 | 0 | 1 |
| 22 | Sector 13 Kanpur | 09AAECN7476N1ZM | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090320007024Z } \\ \text { Apr 18-Mar-2019 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 03-03-2020 | 19-03-2020 | 23,50,306 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AAAFE3460A1Z0 | AA091019006995X/ <br> Jan-Mar-2019 | EXPWOP | 02-10-2019 | 19-03-2020 | 32,16,020 | 155 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AAAFE3460A1Z0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA091219083120D/ } \\ \text { July-Dec-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 24-12-2019 | 23-01-2020 | 44,85,104 | 16 | 0 | 1 |


| SI. No. | Name of CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing Refund Application | Date of issue of acknowledgment in GST RFD-02 | Refund amount claimed | Delay in days | No. of objections in Pre-automation cases | No. of <br> objections <br> in Post- <br> automation <br> cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 23 | Sector-21 Kanpur | 09ACBPA5119M1ZP | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090320008008S/ } \\ \text { Oct-Dec-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 03-03-2020 | 19-03-2020 | 56,64,573 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AAACP8108M1Z3 | AA091219097892L/ Oct 2017- Dec 2017 | EXPWOP | 28-12-2019 | 31-01-2020 | 65,42,899 | 20 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AAMFP 1147R1ZI | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090220052254P/ } \\ \text { Oct2019-Dec } 2019 \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 15-02-2020 | 12-03-2020 | 26,20,043 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AAMFP 1147R1ZI | AA091119101782A July2019- Sep 2019 | EXPWOP | 27-11-2019 | 19-12-2019 | 28,01,169 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AABCA2315E1Z9/ | AA090719079003Y <br> Jan-Mar-2018 | EXPWOP | 25-07-2019 | 08-11-2019 | 26,08,729 | 92 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AAACH3614A1Z7 | AA0903181580090/ Oct-Mar-2018 | EXPWOP | 30-05-2019 | 04-07-2019 | 68,84,723 | 21 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AAIFK0330M1ZA/ | AA090619066971K/ Jan-Mar-2019 | EXPWOP | 24-07-2019 | 02-11-2019 | 1,03,34,735 | 87 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AADFE0130C1Z8 | AC090318008937Q <br> Feb-Mar-2018 | EXPWOP | 22-12-2018 | 29-01-2019 | 43,30,905 | 24 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AADFE0130C1Z8 | AA0907190292521/ <br> Feb-Mar-2019 | EXPWOP | 11-07-2019 | 19-08-2019 | 32,63,505 | 25 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AADFE0130C1Z8/ | AA0905180036248/ <br> Apr-May-2018 | EXPWOP | 02-01-2019 | 29-01-2019 | 56,42,677 | 13 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AADFE0130C1Z8 | AA0907180234442 Jun-July-2018/ | EXPWOP | 19-01-2019 | 01-05-2019 | 51,96,949 | 88 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AAMFS2765G1ZS | AA090318016879J/J <br> an-Mar-2018 | EXPWOP | 29-01-2019 | 14-02-2019 | 26,51,611 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AADFE0130C1Z8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AB091018302456A/ } \\ \text { Oct-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 25-05-2019 | 14-06-2019 | 32,48,196 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AAFCA0132K3ZV | $\begin{gathered} \text { AC090918255048K } \\ \text { /Sept-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 26-12-2018 | 07-06-2019 | 23,63,537 | 149 | 1 | 0 |
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| SI. No. | Name of CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing Refund Application | Date of issue of acknowledgment in GST RFD-02 | Refund amount claimed | Delay in days | No. of objections in Pre-automation cases | No. of objections in Postautomation cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
|  |  | 09ACOPF4176A1ZP | AA090719078388B/ Dec-2018 | EXPWOP | 17-08-2019 | 08-11-2019 | 20,67,042 | 69 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09ACOPF4176A1ZP | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA0907190689948/ } \\ \text { Oct-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 17-08-2019 | 08-11-2019 | 20,19,201 | 69 | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | Sector-22 Kanpur | 09AQIPA1127E1ZE | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AA091219094101A/ } \\ \text { July-19-Sep-19 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 27-12-2019 | 23-01-2020 | 11,23,470 | 13 | 0 | 1 |
| 25 | Sector-24 Kanpur | 09AABCV1734G1ZE | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA0901200878726/ } \\ \text { Aug-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 23-01-2020 | 15-02-2020 | 16,76,089 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 26 | Sector-26 Kanpur | 09BOTPT5937D1Z7 | AA090120027568D/ Appeal | ANYOTH | 08-01-2020 | 02-03-2020 | 4,37,658 | 40 | 0 | 1 |
| 27 | Sector-27 Kanpur | 09AAECR1354B1ZQ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA091119049032E/ } \\ \text { Jun-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 14-11-2019 | 13-12-2019 | 12,82,600 | 15 | 0 | 1 |
| 28 | Sector-28 Kanpur | 09AADFS0013L1ZD | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA0912190083185/ } \\ \text { Aug 18-Mar } 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 03-12-2019 | 19-02-2020 | 25,84,599 | 64 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09ACQPD3380G1ZH | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AC} 090318019003 \mathrm{G} / \\ \text { Mar-18 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 04-12-2018 | 04-01-2019 | 42,26,146 | 17 | 1 | 0 |
| 29 | Sector-04 Lucknow | 09AABCP4377K1ZZ | AA091019030740G | EXBCL | 10-10-2019 | 26-12-2019 | 5,43,011 | 63 | 0 | 1 |
| 30 | Sector-07 Lucknow | 09AABFA6623L1ZD | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AA091018071639U/ } \\ \text { Sep-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXBCL | 25-10-2018 | 14-11-2018 | 62,300 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| 31 | Sector-01 Moradabad | 09AAJFV0634Q2ZI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AC090717762436J/ } \\ & \text { Jul-17 } \end{aligned}$ | EXPWOP | 26-10-2018 | 01-12-2018 | 26,62,053 | 22 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AACFF3729K1Z8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AC0903193550053/ } \\ \text { Apr-18-Mar-19 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 09-06-2019 | 23-12-2019 | 60,81,190 | 183 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AABFK4410H1ZN | $\begin{gathered} \text { AB090818216569H/ } \\ \text { Aug-18 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 01-12-2018 | 17-12-2018 | 37,15,338 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 09AACFR5369M1ZK | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA0909191041535/ } \\ \text { Jul-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 27-09-2019 | 13-12-2019 | 50,91,579 | 63 | 0 | 1 |

Appendices

| SI. No. | Name of CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing Refund Application | Date of issue of acknowledgment in GST RFD-02 | Refund amount claimed | Delay in days | No. of objections in Pre-automation cases | No. of objections in Post- automation cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 32 | Sector-04 Moradabad | 09AAAFL3325R1ZY | AA091219001712G/ June-July19 | EXPWOP | 02-12-2019 | 25-01-2020 | 25,08,553 | 40 | 0 | 1 |
| 33 | Sector-05 Moradabad | 09ABJFS9546D1ZQ | AA0910190494360 July-Aug-2019 | EXPWOP | 15-10-2019 | 13-12-2019 | 1,25,33,447 | 45 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AALFM7707P1ZG | AA090220094058B/ Oct-Dec-2019 | EXPWOP | 26-02-2020 | 13-03-2020 | 56,64,174 | 2 |  | 1 |
| 34 | Sector-06 Moradabad | 09AAMFD0301P1ZC | AA090220100929F/ Oct-2019 -Jan-2020 | EXPWOP | 27-02-2020 | 16-03-2020 | 72,64,155 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| 35 | Sector-09 Moradabad | 09AACFI4178B1ZJ | AA090120110049V/ Jan19-Mar19 | EXPWOP | 28-01-2020 | 15-02-2020 | 27,36,211 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 09AAIFM4603M1Z0 | AA0912191074448/ Dec17 | EXPWOP | 31-12-2019 | 07-03-2020 | 18,56,190 | 53 | 0 | 1 |
| 36 | Sector -10 Noida | 09AAEFF6444R1ZQ | AB090618871029M Jun-2018 | EXPWOP | 05-10-2018 | 25-10-2018 | 23,67,708 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65,84,68,138 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { to } \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ | 30 | 46 |
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| APPENDIX-XIII <br> Refund orders not sanctioned in time (Reference Para No. 2.2.8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of <br> filing <br> refund <br> applicat- <br> ion in case <br> of manual <br> filing | Date of <br> issue of <br> acknowled <br> gment in <br> For GST <br> RFD-02 | Date of order in Form GST RFD-06 | Refund amount claimed |  | Period of delay in days | Interest due not paid by Deptt. @ 6\% per annum | No of observations pre automation | No of <br> observa- <br> tions <br> post <br> automa- <br> tion |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 1 | JC Corporate Circle, Agra | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 09AAGFV } \\ \text { 5465L1ZH } \end{array}$ | AC090918290423L <br> $/ 26.12 .2018 /$ <br> Sept-2018 | EXPWOP | 11/02/2019 | 15/02/2019 | 05/06/2020 | 77,73,000 | 7,77,300 | 421 | 53,793 | 2 | 0 |
|  | JC Corporate Circle, Agra | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 09 \mathrm{AAGFV} \\ 5465 \mathrm{LIZH} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AB} 0911181701861 / \\ 26.12 .2018 / \\ \text { Nov-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 11/02/2019 | 15/02/2019 | 05/06/2020 | 85,35,291 | 8,53,529 | 421 | 59,069 |  |  |
| 2 | Sec-9 Agra | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 09AAAFK } \\ 8720 \mathrm{~B} 2 \mathrm{Z} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AB091218979275J/ } \\ 28.01 .2019 / \\ \text { Dec-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 28/01/2019 | 01/02/2019 | 01/06/2020 | 19,76,618 | 1,97,661 | 431 | 14,004 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sector-10 } \\ \text { Agra } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 09AAECS } \\ \text { 2543R1ZR } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AA0901180156094/ } \\ 18.02 .2019 / \\ \text { Jan-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 04/04/2019 | 04/04/2019 | 04/06/2020 | 58,69,165 | 2,26,997 | 368 | 13,732 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sector-15 } \\ \text { Agra } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 09AACCH } \\ \text { 1283P1Z5 } \end{array}$ | AC0903180069215 13.11.2018/Jul $17-$ March-2018 | EXPWOP | 15/11/2018 | 15/11/2018 | 03/06/2020 | 37,09,794 | 3,70,980 | 507 | 30,918 | 2 | 0 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sector-15 } \\ \text { Agra } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 09AABCJ } \\ \text { 7677A1ZH } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AA090719015130F } \\ 105.07 .2019 / \\ \text { Apr-Jan-2019 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 08/07/2019 | 08/07/2019 | 03/06/2020 | 29,60,928 | 2,96,092 | 272 | 13,239 |  |  |
| 5 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { J.C. Corporate } \\ \text { Circle } \\ \text { Gorakhpur } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 09AAACV 8050E1ZD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AB0901193149680 } \\ & / 10-06-2019 / \mathrm{Jan}-19 \end{aligned}$ | INVITC | 27/06/2019 | 27/06/2019 | 07/09/2019 | 34,49,962 | 34,49,962 | 13 | 7,373 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { JC(CC)-II } \\ \text { Kanpur } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 09AAOFS } \\ \text { 0173Q1ZF } \end{array}$ | AA090919009288 M/03.09.2019/ May-2019 | EXPWOP | 03/09/2019 | 12/09/2019 | 03/02/2020 | 74,32,619 | 8,41,579 | 94 | 13,004 | 12 | 0 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JC(CC)-II } \\ & \text { Kanpur } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 09AAACR } \\ \text { 6862N1ZQ } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AB090518160492X } \\ 101.10 .2018 / \\ \text { May-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 10/10/2018 | 10/06/2019 | 10/06/2019 | 2,23,35,826 | 18,36,680 | 184 | 55,553 |  |  |
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| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing refund application in case of manual filing | Date of issue of acknowled gment in For GST RFD-02 | Date of order in Form GST RFD-06 |  | Refund amount not sanctioned in time | Period of delay in days | Interest due not paid by Deptt. @ 6\% per annum | No of observa- tions pre automa- tion | No of <br> observa- <br> tions <br> post <br> automa- <br> tion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 7 | Sector-06 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAECT } \\ & \text { 2086J1Z0 } \end{aligned}$ | AB0904184255307/ <br> $22.11 .2018 /$ <br> Apr-2018 | EXPWOP | 22/11/2018 | 17/12/2018 | 25/02/2019 | 32,94,756 | 3,16,219 | 36 | 1,871 | 9 |  |
|  | Sector-06 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAECT } \\ & \text { 2086J1Z0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AC} 091218377515 \mathrm{P} / \\ 27.03 .2019 / \\ \text { Dec-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 27/03/2019 | 18/04/2019 | 17/06/2019 | 1,47,78,410 | 14,77,840 | 23 | 5,587 |  |  |
|  | Sector-06 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAECT } \\ & \text { 2086JIZ0 } \end{aligned}$ | AC090918430064X | EXPWOP | 18/02/2019 | 19/03/2019 | 20/05/2019 | 59,16,670 | 5,91,667 | 32 | 3,112 |  |  |
|  | Sector-06 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAECT } \\ & \text { 2086JIZ0 } \end{aligned}$ | AB0911182446325/ <br> $07.03 .2019 /$ <br> Nov-2018 | EXPWOP | 07/03/2019 | 25/03/2019 | 25/05/2019 | 54,42,716 | 5,44,272 | 20 | 1,789 |  |  |
|  | Sector-06 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAECT } \\ & \text { 2086J1Z0 } \end{aligned}$ | AA090719062264V <br> $/ 20.07 .2019 /$ <br> April-2019 | EXPWOP | 20/07/2019 | 29/07/2019 | 16/10/2019 | 38,61,418 | 3,82,542 | 29 | 1,824 |  |  |
|  | Sector-06 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAECT } \\ & \text { 2086J1Z0 } \end{aligned}$ | AC0906180063154/ $01.12 .2018 /$ Jun-2018 | EXPWOP | 01/12/2018 | 31/12/2018 | 25/02/2019 | 1,00,23,264 | 9,78,910 | 27 | 4,345 |  |  |
|  | Sector-06 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAECT } \\ & \text { 2086JIZ0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AB0901192431739/ } \\ 29.03 .2019 / \\ \text { Jan-2019 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 29/03/2019 | 18/04/2019 | 17/06/2019 | 1,21,38,772 | 12,13,878 | 21 | 4,190 |  |  |
|  | Sector-06 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAECT } \\ & \text { 2086J1Z0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { AA090819098555A } \\ / 30.08 .2019 / \\ \text { May-2019 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 30/08/2019 | 18/09/2019 | 18/11/2019 | 1,28,39,048 | 12,75,241 | 21 | 4,402 |  |  |
|  | Sector-06 Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAACJ } \\ & \text { 3405B1Z6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AA090819022722Z } \\ \text { /07-08-2019/ } \\ \text { Apr-Jun } 2018 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 11/09/2019 | 18/09/2019 | 18/11/2019 | 57,59,444 | 5,74,096 | 9 | 849 |  |  |
|  | Sector-06 Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAECT } \\ & \text { 2086J1Z0 } \end{aligned}$ | AA091019000529A /01.10.2019/ Jun-2019 | EXPWOP | 01/10/2019 | 15/10/2019 | 10/01/2020 | 99,32,968 | 9,93,296 | 42 | 6,858 | 0 | 1 |

Compliance Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended 31 March 2021

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of <br> filing <br> refund <br> applicat- <br> ion in case <br> of manual <br> filing | Date of <br> issue of <br> acknowled <br> gment in <br> For GST <br> RFD-02 | Date of order in Form GST RFD-06 | Refund amount claimed | Refund <br> amount not <br> sanctioned <br> in time | Period of delay in days | Interest due not paid by Deptt. @ 6\% per annum | No of observations pre automation | No of <br> observa- <br> tions <br> post <br> automa- <br> tion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|  | Sector-16 Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AALCA } \\ & \text { 4722D1ZU } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{AC} 090918423816 \mathrm{H} \\ / 13-02-2019 / \\ \mathrm{Sep}-2018 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 20/02/2019 | 07/03/2019 | 06/05/2019 | 21,44,793 | 2,14,479 | 16 | 564 |  |  |
|  | DC Sec 16 Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAACY } \\ & \text { 0486D1ZC } \end{aligned}$ | AA090120002757L /02.01.2020/appeal | EXPWOP | 02/01/2020 | 16/03/2020 | 16/03/2020 | 5,42,976 | 5,42,976 | 15 | 2,008 | 0 | 2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DC Sec } 16 \\ & \text { Kanpur } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AALCA } \\ & \text { 4722D1ZU } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { AA0911190523159/ } \\ 15-11-19 / J u l-S e p 19 \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 15/11/2019 | 23/11/2019 | 23/01/2020 | 69,38,958 | 6,93,896 | 10 | 1,141 |  |  |
| 12 | Sector-20 Kanpur | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 09AACFU } \\ \text { 1898A1Z4 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090819017873N } \\ / 06.08 .2019 / \\ \text { Apr-Jun-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 17/09/2019 | 20/09/2019 | 21/12/2019 | 72,47,887 | 7,24,789 | 36 | 4,289 | 24 | 0 |
|  | Sector-20 Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AACFU } \\ & \text { 1898A1Z4 } \end{aligned}$ | AC0903191320739/ $05.05 .2019 /$ Jan-Mar-2019 | EXPWOP | 21/05/2019 | 21/05/2019 | 18/12/2019 | 49,48,056 | 4,94,805 | 152 | 12,363 |  |  |
|  | Sector-20 Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAFFC } \\ & \text { 7319F1ZH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AC0903193117241/ } \\ 28.05 .2019 / \\ \text { Mar-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 25/07/2019 | 09/08/2019 | 19/12/2019 | 39,83,057 | 3,82,683 | 88 | 5,536 |  |  |
|  | Sector-20 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAFFC } \\ & \text { 7319F1ZH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AC} 091218478282 \mathrm{~S} / \\ 08.05 .2019 / \\ \text { Dec-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 25/07/2019 | 09/08/2019 | 19/12/2019 | 31,27,284 | 3,09,521 | 88 | 4,477 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sector-20 } \\ & \text { Kanpur } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAFFA } \\ & \text { 0253J1ZN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { AB090418426945N } \\ \text { /26.11.2018/Apr-18 } \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 27/11/2018 | 27/11/2018 | 09/08/2019 | 68,22,385 | 6,82,239 | 196 | 21,981 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sector-20 } \\ & \text { Kanpur } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAFFC } \\ & 7319 \mathrm{FIZH} \end{aligned}$ | AA090919035984E <br> 10.09.2019/ Jun-2019 | EXPWOP | 04/10/2019 | 09/10/2019 | 19/12/2019 | 40,12,352 | 4,01,236 | 17 | 1,121 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sector-20 } \\ & \text { Kanpur } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AABFP } \\ & \text { 8582F1ZX } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { AA090418052779K } \\ / 16.05 .2019 / \\ \text { Apr-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 22/06/2019 | 24/06/2019 | 19/12/2019 | 20,01,895 | 2,00,191 | 121 | 3,982 |  |  |
|  | Sector-20 Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAQFA } \\ & 4221 \mathrm{~K} 1 \mathrm{ZA} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA091217003720L } \\ / 31.12 .2018 / \\ \text { Oct-Dec-2017 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 08/02/2019 | 11/02/2019 | 05/09/2019 | 45,45,860 | 4,54,586 | 150 | 11,209 |  |  |

Compliance Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended 31 March 2021

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing refund application in case of manual filing | Date of issue of acknowled gment in For GST RFD-02 | Date of order in Form GST RFD-06 |  | Refund amount not sanctioned in time | Period of delay in days | Interest due not paid by Deptt. @ 6\% per annum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { observa- } \\ & \text { tions pre } \\ & \text { automa- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | No of <br> observa- <br> tions <br> post <br> automa- <br> tion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|  | Sector-20 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAFFA } \\ & \text { 0253J1ZN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AA0909190022544/ } \\ 02.09 .2019 / \\ \text { April } 2019 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 27/09/2019 | 28/09/2019 | 21/12/2019 | 29,40,167 | 2,94,016 | 26 | 1,257 |  |  |
| 13 | Sector-20 Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAFFA } \\ & \text { 0253J1ZN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{AC} 090918293743 \mathrm{~A} \\ 128.12 .2018 / \\ \text { Sep. } 2018 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 29/12/2018 | 29/12/2018 | 09/08/2019 | 38,64,582 | 3,86,459 | 164 | 10,419 |  |  |
|  | Sector-20 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 09AJDPA } \\ \text { 8238Q1ZQ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AB0912176301848/ } \\ 12.09 .2018 / \\ \text { Dec. } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 15/09/2018 | 15/09/2018 | 31/12/2018 | 20,69,062 | 2,05,874 | 48 | 1,624 |  |  |
|  | Sector-20 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAFFA } \\ & \text { 0253J1ZN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AB0902181345254/ } \\ 22.09 .2018 / \\ \text { Feb } 2018 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 03/10/2018 | 12/10/2018 | 31/12/2018 | 39,23,996 | 3,90,103 | 30 | 1,924 |  |  |
|  | Sector-20 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AACFU } \\ & \text { 1898A1Z4 } \end{aligned}$ | AC0909182747871/ 19.12.2018/April to Sep 2018 | EXPWOP | 23/01/2019 | 23/01/2019 | 14/05/2019 | 2,51,94,072 | 25,19,408 | 52 | 21,536 |  |  |
| 14 | Sector-21 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AABCA } \\ & \text { 2315E1Z9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AA090719079003Y } \\ \text { /25.07.2019/ } \\ \text { Jan18-Mar-18 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 27/08/2019 | 08/11/2019 | 26/11/2019 | 26,08,729 | 2,60,872 | 32 | 1,372 |  |  |
|  | Sector-21 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAIFK0 } \\ & \text { 330M1ZA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AA090619066971K } \\ \text { /25.06.2019/ } \\ \text { Jan-Mar-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 24/07/2019 | 02/11/2019 | 07/12/2019 | 1,03,34,735 | 10,31,492 | 77 | 13,056 |  |  |
|  | Sector-21 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AADFE } \\ & \text { 0130C1Z8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA0907190292521/ } \\ \text { 10.07.2019/ } \\ \text { Feb-Mar-2019 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 11/07/2019 | 19/08/2019 | 24/10/2019 | 32,63,505 | 3,26,349 | 46 | 2,468 |  |  |
|  | Sector-21 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAMFS } \\ & \text { 2765G1ZS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AA0903180168790/ } \\ 06.01 .2019 / \\ \text { Jan-March-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 29/01/2019 | 14/02/2019 | 12/07/2019 | 26,51,611 | 2,65,160 | 105 | 4,577 |  |  |
|  | Sector-21 <br> Kanpur | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09ACOPF } \\ & \text { 4176A1ZP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AA090719078388B } \\ 25.07 .2019 / \\ \text { Dec-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 17/08/2019 | 08/11/2019 | 26/11/2019 | 20,67,042 | 1,84,754 | 42 | 1,276 |  |  |

Compliance Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended 31 March 2021

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing refund application in case of manual filing | Date of <br> issue of <br> acknowled <br> gment in <br> For GST <br> RFD-02 | Date of order in Form GST RFD-06 | Refund amount claimed | Refund amount not sanctioned in time | Period of delay in days | Interest due not paid by Deptt. @ 6\% per annum | No of observa- tions pre automa- tion | No of observa- tions post automa- tion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|  | Sector-01 Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAJFV } \\ & \text { 0634Q2ZI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { AB090817476325L } \\ \text { /30.10.2018/ } \\ \text { Aug-2017 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 03/12/2018 | 04/12/2018 | 08/12/2019 | 68,61,089 | 1,97,836 | 311 | 10,114 |  |  |
|  | Sector-01 Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAJFV } \\ & \text { 0634Q2ZI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090818011213D } \\ \text { /31-12-2018/ } \\ \text { Aug-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 02/01/2019 | 04/01/2019 | 01/04/2019 | 64,57,300 | 6,45,732 | 30 | 3,184 |  |  |
|  | Sector-01 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAJFV } \\ & \text { 0634Q2ZI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AA0907180058032/ } \\ 24-12-2018 / \\ \text { July-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 31/12/2018 | 01/01/2019 | 03/04/2019 | 55,99,649 | 5,59,967 | 34 | 3,130 |  |  |
|  | Sector-01 Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAJFV } \\ & \text { 0634Q2ZI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090618008808R } \\ \text { /23-12-2018/ } \\ \text { Jun-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 31/12/2018 | 01/01/2019 | 01/04/2019 | 67,33,150 | 6,73,317 | 32 | 3,542 |  |  |
|  | Sector-01 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 09AACFR } \\ \text { 5369M1 } \\ \text { ZK } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { AA090919005077X } \\ \text { /02-09-2019/ } \\ \text { Jun-2019 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 04/09/2019 | 12/09/2019 | 08/12/2019 | 56,76,815 | 5,67,681 | 36 | 3,359 |  |  |
|  | Sector-01 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AGEPA } \\ & 3415 \mathrm{~B} 176 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AA0908190817886/ } \\ 26-08-2019 / \\ \text { Apr 18-Mar } 19 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 26/08/2019 | 29/08/2019 | 20/11/2019 | 27,42,195 | 2,74,221 | 27 | 1,217 |  |  |
|  | Sector-01 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAJFV } \\ & \text { 0634Q2ZI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{AB} 090418427256 \mathrm{X} \\ / 27-11-2018 / \\ \text { Apr-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 05/12/2018 | 05/12/2018 | 03/04/2019 | 76,52,281 | 7,65,227 | 60 | 7,547 |  |  |
|  | Sector-01 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAJFV } \\ & \text { 0634Q2ZI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090518000202P } \\ / 14-12-2018 / \\ \text { May-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 17/12/2018 | 18/12/2018 | 03/04/2019 | 82,32,078 | 8,23,209 | 48 | 6,495 |  |  |
|  | Sector-01 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAAFZ } \\ & \text { 0390A1ZG } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AB0907182040829/ } \\ 06-12-2018 / \\ \text { Jul 2018 } \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 27/12/2018 | 06/01/2019 | 12/06/2019 | 32,95,831 | 3,29,584 | 108 | 5,851 |  |  |
|  | Sector-01 Moradabad | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 09AAAFZ } \\ \text { 0390A1ZG } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AC0909182908647/ } \\ 26-12-2018 / \\ \text { Sep-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 27/12/2018 | 06/01/2019 | 12/06/2019 | 39,33,095 | 3,93,311 | 108 | 6,983 |  |  |


Compliance Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended 31 March 2021

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing refund application in case of manual filing | Date of issue of acknowled gment in For GST RFD-02 | Date of order in Form GST RFD-06 | Refund amount claimed | Refund amount not sanctioned in time | Period of delay in days | Interest due not paid by Deptt. @ 6\% per annum | No of observa- tions pre automa- tion | No of <br> observa- <br> tions <br> post <br> automa- <br> tion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|  | Moradabad | 7707P1ZG | $\begin{gathered} \text { /16-09-2019/ } \\ \text { July-2019 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sector-05 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 09AAAFE } \\ \text { 8217D2ZO } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AB090118176964 } \\ \text { M/04-12-2018/ } \\ \text { Jan-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 18/01/2019 | 28/01/2019 | 28/03/2019 | 21,85,893 | 2,18,590 | 10 | 359 |  |  |
|  | Sector-05 Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AADFP } \\ & \text { 8382M1ZI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AC0909182510290 } \\ / 07-12-2018 / \\ \text { Sep-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 12/12/2018 | 15/12/2018 | 12/02/2019 | 71,47,404 | 7,14,740 | 3 | 352 |  |  |
|  | Sector-05 Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AADFP } \\ & \text { 8382M1ZI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { AB090518180765K } \\ \text { /01-11-2018/ } \\ \text { May-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 13/11/2018 | 19/11/2018 | 16/01/2019 | 66,72,762 | 6,67,276 | 5 | 548 |  |  |
|  | Sector-05 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AALFM } \\ & \text { 7707P1ZG } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{AB} 090718200942 \mathrm{Z} \\ \text { /30-11-2018/ } \\ \text { July-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 06/12/2018 | 10/12/2018 | 07/02/2019 | 25,34,966 | 2,53,497 | 4 | 167 |  |  |
| 20 | Sector-06 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 09AAMF } \\ \text { D0301P1 } \\ \text { ZC } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AB090917942519D } \\ / 30-11-2018 / \\ \text { Sep-2017 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 03/01/2019 | 15/01/2019 | 26/07/2019 | 20,89,556 | 94,111 | 145 | 2,243 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Sector-06 Moradabad | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 09AAMF } \\ \text { D0301P1 } \\ \text { ZC } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{AC} 0912184305890 \\ / 10-04-2019 / \\ \text { Dec-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 26/04/2019 | 09/05/2019 | 13/12/2019 | 24,63,280 | 2,46,328 | 172 | 6,965 |  |  |
|  | Sector-06 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 09AAMF } \\ \text { D0301P1 } \\ \text { ZC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { AA091219045988H } \\ \text { /14-12-2019/Jun-19 } \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 14/12/2019 | 20/12/2019 | 18/06/2020 | 18,76,130 | 1,87,613 | 128 | 3,948 | 0 | 1 |
| 21 | Sector-07 Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AACFB } \\ & \text { 5825G1ZK } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { AB090817472421V } \\ / 27-09-2018 / \\ \text { Aug-2017 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 05/10/2018 | 09/10/2018 | 19/02/2019 | 27,22,063 | 1,07,701 | 78 | 1,381 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Sector-07 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 09AACFB } \\ 5825 \mathrm{G1ZK} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AB090917920633R } \\ \text { /29-09-2018/ } \\ \text { Sep-2017 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 05/10/2018 | 09/10/2018 | 19/02/2019 | 33,32,227 | 1,36,879 | 78 | 1,755 |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing refund application in case of manual filing | Date of issue of acknowled gment in For GST RFD-02 | Date of order in Form GST RFD-06 | Refund amount claimed | Refund <br> amount not <br> sanctioned <br> in time | Period of delay in days | Interest due not paid by Deptt. @ 6\% per annum | No of observations pre automation | No of observa- tions post automa- tion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|  | Sector-07 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AAEFS } \\ & \text { 7106H1Z5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AA0912190088531/ } \\ 03-12-2019 / \\ \text { May-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 03/12/2019 | 19/12/2019 | 27/02/2020 | 18,58,011 | 1,85,801 | 27 | 825 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Sector-07 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09ABOFA } \\ & \text { 4157B1ZI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AA091119089868B } \\ \text { /24-11-2019/ } \\ \text { Jun-July2019 } \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 24/11/2019 | 11/12/2019 | 29/01/2020 | 33,97,805 | 6,79,561 | 7 | 782 |  |  |
| 22 | Sector-09 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 09ACXFS } \\ \text { 5839C1ZG } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AB091018231225F/ } \\ 30-01-2019 / \\ \text { Oct-2018 } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 25/02/2019 | 25/02/2019 | 28/05/2019 | 44,87,952 | 8,12,343 | 33 | 4,407 | 8 | 0 |
|  | Sector-09 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAGCA } \\ & \text { 0012R1ZN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { AB091217648596L } \\ \text { /23-10-2018/ } \\ \text { Dec-2017 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 26/10/2018 | 26/10/2018 | 25/07/2019 | 25,27,925 | 1,32,085 | 213 | 4,625 |  |  |
|  | Sector-09 Moradabad | $\begin{gathered} \text { 09ACXFS } \\ \text { 5839C1ZG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { AA090718012530C } \\ \text { /04-01-2019/ } \\ \text { July-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 21/01/2019 | 21/01/2019 | 28/05/2019 | 22,70,683 | 4,29,995 | 68 | 4,807 |  |  |
|  | Sector-09 Moradabad | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 09ACXFS } \\ \text { 5839C1ZG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AA0908191004650/ } \\ 31-08-2019 / \\ \text { Mar-2019 } \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 26/09/2019 | 26/09/2019 | 18/12/2019 | 24,77,635 | 2,47,763 | 24 | 977 |  |  |
|  | Sector-09 Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AABCP } \\ & 4126 \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{ZT} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AB090118177489J/ } \\ 08-12-2018 / \mathrm{Jan}-18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | INVITC | 15/12/2018 | 15/12/2018 | 14/05/2019 | 46,65,341 | 4,66,534 | 91 | 6,979 |  |  |
|  | Sector-09 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 09AAMFS } \\ \text { 3428E1Z2 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{AB} 090718202786 \mathrm{P} / \\ 04-12-2018 / \\ \text { July-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 02/01/2019 | 02/01/2019 | 07/05/2019 | 20,73,049 | 2,24,066 | 66 | 2,431 |  |  |
|  | Sector-09 Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAGCA } \\ & \text { 0012R1ZN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { AB0902181514726/ } \\ 04-12-2018 / \\ \text { Feb-2018 } \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 04/12/2018 | 04/12/2018 | 25/07/2019 | 20,43,606 | 1,43,028 | 174 | 4,091 |  |  |
|  | Sector-09 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09ACXFS } \\ & \text { 5839C1ZG } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AB090518184846E } \\ / 11-11-2018 / \\ \text { May-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 13/12/2018 | 13/12/2018 | 28/05/2019 | 42,21,156 | 4,22,116 | 107 | 7,425 |  |  |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of <br> filing <br> refund <br> applicat- <br> ion in case <br> of manual <br> filing | Date of <br> issue of <br> acknowled <br> gment in <br> For GST <br> RFD-02 | Date of order in Form GST RFD-06 | Refund amount claimed |  | Period of delay in days | Interest due not paid by Deptt. @ 6\% per annum | No of observations pre automation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 23 | Sector-10 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 09AFHPB } \\ & 7862 \mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{Z9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { AA090819051817J/ } \\ \text { 17-08-2019/Apr-19 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 17/08/2019 | 26/08/2019 | 07/12/2019 | 20,62,202 | 2,06,221 | 53 | 1,797 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Sector-10 <br> Moradabad | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 09AAGFF } \\ \text { 0820M1 } \\ \text { ZE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA091219058448Q } \\ / 18-12-2019 / \\ \text { Jul-Sep-19 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 18/12/2019 | 31/12/2019 | 22/06/2020 | 21,58,852 | 5,12,689 | 128 | 10,788 | 0 | 1 |
| 24 | Sector-03 <br> Noida | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAAFT } \\ & \text { 2687G1ZY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AA090718055577J/ } \\ 19.03 .2019 / \\ \text { July-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXBCL | 25/03/2019 | 25/03/2019 | 04/01/2020 | 66,14,335 | 6,61,434 | 226 | 24,573 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Sector-03 <br> Noida | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAAFX } \\ & \text { 1751H1Z5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { AB091218697580N } \\ 21.01 .2019 / \\ \text { Dec-2018 } \end{array}$ | EXBCL | 22/02/2019 | 22/02/2019 | 25/02/2020 | 4,60,000 | 46,000 | 309 | 2,337 |  |  |
| 25 | Sector-04 <br> Noida | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAAPS } \\ & \text { 5873P1ZT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { AC0909183987830/ } \\ 30.01 .2019 / \\ \text { Jul-Sep-2018 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | 15/02/2019 | 15/02/2019 | 29/05/2020 | 27,58,570 | 2,75,858 | 410 | 18,592 | 1 | 0 |
| 26 | Sector-1 <br> Prayagraj | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 09AAGCB } \\ \text { 9962P1ZP } \end{array}$ | AA0911191016294/ <br> Apr18-Mar <br> 2019/27.11.2019 | INVITC | 27/11/2019 | 07/01/2020 | 02/03/2020 | 2,36,90,054 | 2,36,90,054 | 37 | 1,44,087 | 0 | 1 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80,08,10,665 | 8,77,25,671 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2 \text { to } 507 \\ \text { days } \end{array}$ | 13,99,439 | 110 | 12 |
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| SI. No. | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Date of filing Refund Application in case of manual filing | Date of issue of acknowledgment in For GST RFD-02 | Date of provisional refund order in Form GST RFD-04 |  | Provisio- <br> nal Refund <br> amount <br> not <br> sanctioned <br> within <br> time | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Period } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { delay } \\ (8-7)-7 \\ \text { days }+1 \end{array}$ | No. of objections pre autom ation | No. of objections post autom ation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
|  | Joint <br> Commissioner, Corporate Circle-II Kanpur | 09AAOFS0173Q1ZF | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AC} 090319296871 \mathrm{G} / \\ 25.05 .2019 \\ \text { (Mar 2019) } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 25-05-2019 | 11-06-2019 | 05-07-2019 | 52,77,673 | 45,82,184 | 18 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | Sector-06 <br> Moradabad | 09AAMFD0301P1ZC | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA091219047087Z/ } \\ 14-12-2019 \\ \text { (Sep-2019) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | not applicable | 17-12-2019 | 27-12-2019 | 18,64,661 | 16,78,195 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | Sector 12 <br> Noida | 09AAACG036IKIZP | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AB} 091018251282 \mathrm{~B} / \\ 19.02 .2019 \\ \text { (Oct-2018) } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 19-02-2019 | 19-02-2019 | 18-04-2019 | 56,56,257 | 50,90,631 | 52 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Sector 12 <br> Noida | 09AAACT5951C1ZF | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA091217017322J/ } \\ \text { 14-01-2019 } \\ \text { (Oct-Dec 2017) } \end{gathered}$ | EXPWOP | 14-01-2019 | 18-02-2019 | 02-03-2019 | 1,69,08,019 | 1,52,17,217 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 to 52 | 10 | 1 |

APPENDIX-XV
Irregular grant of provisional refund

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No. | ARN No. \& Date | Refund category | Date of provisional refund order in Form GST RFD-04 | Refund amount claimed | Provisional refund amount sanctioned by tax authority |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Irregular } \\ \text { sanction of } \\ \text { provisional } \\ \text { refund } \\ (9+10+11) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | IGST | CGST | SGST |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sector-03 } \\ & \text { Noida } \end{aligned}$ | 09AAAFT2687G1ZY | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA090718055577J/1 } 1 \\ 9.03 .2019 \\ \text { (July-2018) } \end{gathered}$ | Excess payment of tax | 16.04.2019 | 66,14,335 | 59,52,901 | 0 | 0 | 59,52,901 |
|  |  | 09AAAFX1751H1Z5 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AB} 091218697580 \mathrm{~N} / \\ 21.01 .2019 / \\ \text { Dec-2018 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Any other | 15.05.2019 | 4,60,000 | 90,000 | 1,62,000 | 1,62,000 | 4,14,000 |
| 2 | Sector-05 <br> Kanpur | 09AAACU0217G1ZP | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA0 } 003180622752 / 0 \\ 1.03 .2019 \\ (\text { Jan-Mar-2018) } \end{gathered}$ | Inverted rated supply of goods and services | 06.05.2019 | 34,35,540 | 0 | 15,45,993 | 15,45,993 | 30,91,986 |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  | 1,05,09,875 |  |  |  | 94,58,887 |
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| APPENDIX－XVI <br> Refund amount included ITC availed on services and capital goods （Reference Para No．2．2．11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name <br> of the <br> CTOs | GStin No． | ARN No. \& Date | Refund category | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Date } \\ \text { of ARN } \\ \text { filing } \end{array}$ | Details as per statement in RFD－01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Refund amount sanctioned in RFD－06 |  |  | Total refund sanc－ tioned by the depart－ ment （12＋13＋ 14＋15＋1 6＋17） | Amount <br> included <br> ITC <br> availed <br> on <br> capital <br> goods or <br> services | Net ITC allowa－ ble for refund calcula ted in audit （10－19） | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Refund } \\ \text { amount } \\ \text { calcu- } \\ \text { cated in } \\ \text { audit } \\ \text { ( } 8 \times 20,9) \end{array}\right)$ | $\|$Excess <br> refund <br> amo－ <br> unt <br> calcula <br> ted in <br> audit <br> （18－21） | Date <br> of <br> sanc－ <br> tion of <br> re－ <br> fund <br> in <br> RFD－ <br> 06 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Date } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { audit } \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c\|} \begin{array}{c} \text { No. of } \\ \text { days } \\ (24-23) \end{array} \end{array}\right.$ | Amo－ unt of interest $@ 18 \%$ p．a． （22＊0．1 $8 * 25 /$ $365)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Turnover <br> of zero <br> rated <br> supply of <br> goods and services | Adjus－ ted total turn－ over | Tax <br> payable <br> on such <br> inverted <br> rated <br> supply <br> of goods <br> and <br> services | Net <br> ITC <br> clai－ <br> med <br> for <br> Refund | Refund amount clai－ med by register ed person | $\overline{\widehat{O}}$ | Ô | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 咼 } \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\hat{h}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{2} \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ん } \\ & \underset{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 1 | Sector－ <br> 06 <br> Ghazia <br> bad | 09AAEPR41 68E1ZJ | AA09032005587 1C／19．03．2020／A pr－Jun－2019 | Invitc |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nu } \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | － | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ür } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ü } \\ & \stackrel{\ddot{\circ}}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\omega} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\Delta}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\Delta}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{u} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{心} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { 芯 } \\ & \text { ה̈ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{凶}{\underline{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { un }}{\stackrel{4}{u}}$ |
|  | Sector－ <br> 06 <br> Ghazia <br> bad | 09AAEPR41 68E1ZJ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AA09111903251 } \\ & 75 / 09.11 .2019 / \mathrm{Ju} \\ & \text { ly-Sep-2018 } \end{aligned}$ | Invitc | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \stackrel{8}{N} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{=} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{o} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u. } \\ & \stackrel{u}{4} \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ux } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{y} \\ & \stackrel{y}{3} \end{aligned}$ | － | － | － | 或 | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{y} \\ & \stackrel{y}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{4} \\ \stackrel{y}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { us } \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u} \\ \stackrel{u}{u} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{8} \\ & \stackrel{8}{心} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u} \\ & \text { ì } \\ & \text { ï } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تِ } \\ & \text { 俞 } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 吕 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { N } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
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| Appendices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No． | ARN No．\＆ Date | Refund category |  | Details as per statement in RFD－01 |  |  |  |  | Provisional refund amount sanctioned in RFD－04 |  |  | Refund amount sanctioned in RFD－06 |  |  | Total refund sanc－ tioned by the depart－ ment $(12+13+$ $14+15+1$ $6+17)$ | Amount <br> included <br> ITC <br> availed <br> on <br> capital <br> goods or <br> services | Net ITC allowa－ ble for refund calcula－ ted in audit $(10-19)$ | Refund amount calcu－ lated in audit （8＊20／9） | Excess <br> refund <br> amo－ <br> unt calcula ted in audit （18－21） | Date of sanc－ tion of re－ fund in RFD－ 06 | Date of audit | No．of days （24－23） | Amo－ <br> unt of <br> interest <br> ＠ $18 \%$ <br> p．a． <br> $(22 * 0.1$ <br> $8 * 25 /$ <br> $365)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Turnover <br> of zero <br> rated <br> supply of <br> goods <br> and <br> services | Adjus－ <br> ted total <br> turn－ <br> over | Tax <br> payable <br> on such <br> inverted <br> rated <br> supply <br> of goods <br> and <br> services | Net ITC clai－ med for Refund | Refund <br> amount <br> clai－ <br> med by <br> register <br> ed <br> person | 可 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{h} \\ & \text { On } \end{aligned}$ | O | 稌 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AABCI19 } \\ & \text { 47G1ZL } \end{aligned}$ | AA09062007655 51/Aug-2019 | INVITC | N 0 0 ïn 0 | H <br>  <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots . \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{心} \\ & \stackrel{4}{0} \end{aligned}$ | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \text { ön } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & \stackrel{3}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{-}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{u}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 앙 0 0 0 0 0 | $u$ $\vdots$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | ＋ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{u} \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | Sector <br> 14 <br> Noida | 09AAHCR1 <br> 874R1ZH | AAO902200423 95J／July 2018－ December 2018 | EXPWOP | $\bar{u}$ 0 $\tilde{0}$ 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 $\vdots$ 0 0 0 0 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \stackrel{\text { H}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | － | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & \text { à } \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \stackrel{\text { H}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 5 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \sim_{心}^{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim_{心}^{\sim} \\ & \underbrace{\omega}_{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { io } \\ & \text { iv } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{u}{\breve{u}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |
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| $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Name } \\ & \text { of the } \\ & \text { CTOs } \end{aligned}$ | GSTIN No． | ARN No．\＆ Date | Refund category | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { of ARN } \\ \text { filing } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Deta | ails as per | statement | in RFD－01 |  | Provisional refund amount sanctioned in RFD－04 |  |  | Refund amount sanctioned in RFD－06 |  |  | Total refund sanc－ tioned by the depart－ ment $(12+13+$ $14+15+1$ $6+17)$ | Amount <br> included <br> ITC <br> availed <br> on capital goods or services | Net ITC allowa－ ble for refund calcula－ ted in audit $(10-19)$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c\|} \text { Refund } \\ \text { amount } \\ \text { calcu- } \\ \text { lated in } \\ \text { audit } \\ \left(8^{* 20 / 9}\right) \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Excess <br> refund <br> amo－ <br> unt <br> calcula <br> ted in <br> audit <br> （18－21） | Date of sanc－ tion of re－ fund in RFD－ 06 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Date } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { audit } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { days } \\ (24-23) \end{gathered}$ | Amo－ unt of interest ＠ $18 \%$ p．a． $(22 * 0.1$ $8 * 25 /$ $365)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Turnover <br> of zero <br> rated <br> supply of <br> goods <br> and <br> services | Adjus－ ted total turn－ over | Tax <br> payable <br> on such <br> inverted <br> rated <br> supply <br> of goods <br> and <br> services | Net <br> ITC <br> clai－ <br> med <br> for <br> Refund | Refund <br> amount <br> clai－ <br> med by <br> register <br> ed <br> person | $\underset{\sim}{2}$ | O | 告 | Wh | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \underset{\sim}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sector- } \\ & 14 \\ & \text { Noida } \end{aligned}$ | 09AAFCR00 <br> 53E1ZP | AA09062004124 0T／August 2019 | EXPWOP | $\bar{u}$ 0 ì Ï 0 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ס } \\ & \text { o } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{ \pm}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes}} \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | N N N U | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\sim}{u} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{心}{\circ} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & 0 \\ & \text { î } \\ & \text { NO} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { ó } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |  |  |  |

Excess allowance of refund on inverted tax structure

| Sl. <br> No. | Name of the CTO | GSTIN No. | ARN No. \& Date | Refund category | Refund <br> amount <br> claimed <br> by <br> registered <br> person | Date of refund amount sanctioned in RFD-06 | Refund amount sanctioned in RFD-06 | Turnover of inverted rated supply of goods and services as per RFD-01A | Tax <br> paya- <br> ble on <br> such <br> supply <br> as per <br> RFD- <br> 01A | Adjusted <br> total <br> turn- <br> over as <br> per <br> RFD- <br> 01A | ITC earned by the registered person | ITC on services deducted by the registered person | Net ITC <br> claim- <br> ed by <br> the registered person (13-14) | Total ITC on services calculated in audit | Differ- <br> ential <br> ITC <br> related <br> to <br> services <br> calculated in audit <br> (16-14) | Net ITC <br> calcu- <br> lated in audit <br> (15-17) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Refund } \\ \text { amount } \\ \text { calcu- } \\ \text { lated in } \\ \text { audit } \\ (10 * 18 / 1 \\ 2-11) \end{gathered}$ | Ex- <br> cess <br> re- <br> fund <br> (9- <br> 19) | interest @ <br> $18 \%$ p.a. <br> from the <br> date of <br> RFD-06 to <br> dated of <br> audit <br> $(07.06 .202$ <br> 19 to <br> 22.06. <br> $2021)$ <br> 416 days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 1 | JC Corporate <br> Circle-B <br> Noida | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAACJ1 } \\ & 625 \mathrm{~K} 1 \mathrm{ZL} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AB09041841 } \\ & 84910 / 01.11 . \\ & 2018 \\ & \text { (April-2019) } \end{aligned}$ | INVITC |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{2}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & w \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \alpha \\ & 0 \\ & \text { A } \\ & \text { U/ } \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \\ & N \\ & \text { Nun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{7} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { en } \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 0. 0 0 $\vdots$ | 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { un } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 5 5 0 0 |
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| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of <br> o. the CTOs | GSTIN No. | ARN No. \& Date | Refund category | Refund amount claimed | Refund amount sanctioned in GST RFD-06 dated 15.06.2020 | amount in <br> RFD-05 <br> Payment <br> Advice <br> dated <br> 15.06.2020 | Turnover of inverted rated supply of goods and services | Tax payable on such inverted rated supply of goods and services | Adjusted total turnover | Net ITC claimed in statement-1 by the registered person | Irregular allowance of Refund after relevant period of 2 years. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sector-04 } \\ & \text { Agra } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAHCP } 8395 \\ & \text { H1ZQ } \end{aligned}$ | AA090220081281N/ <br> 22.02.2020 (July-Sep-2017) | INVITC | 11,11,207 | 11,11,207 | 11,11,207 | 1,15,19,908 | 13,82,396 | 1,44,15,522 | 31,20,388 | 11,11,207 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,11,207 |

Excess/Irregular allowance of refund due to non-consideration of documentary evidences

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No. | ARN No. \& Date | Refund category | Refund amount <br> claimed by <br> the registered person | Refund amount sanctioned in RFD-06 | Turnover of inverted rated supply as per RFD-01 | Tax payable shown in RFD-01 | Adjusted <br> Total turn <br> over as per <br> RFD-01 | Net ITC <br> claimed as per RFD- <br> 01 |  | Maximum refund calculated in audit (Turnover of inverted rated supply*Net ITC/Adjusted total turnoverTax payable) | Excess/Irre <br> gular <br> amount of <br> refund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 1 | Sector-05 Agra | $\begin{gathered} \text { 09AKYPG5996 } \\ \text { M1ZU } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { AA } 090520038698 \mathrm{X} / 27 \\ .05 .2020 \text { Oct-Dec-2019 } \end{array}$ | INVITC | 11,76,852 | 11,76,852 | 77,02,045 | 7,701 | 77,02,045 | 11,84,553 | 11,10,991 | 11,03,290 | 73,562 |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { JC-Corporate } \\ \text { Circle-I } \\ \text { Ghaziabad } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 09 \mathrm{AECP} 6092 \mathrm{~J} \\ \mathrm{ZY} \end{gathered}\right.$ | AA0909190906885/24 <br> 09.2019 (June-2019) | INVITC | 35,67,820 | 35,67,820 | 8,56,00,858 | 1,54,08,028 | 8,58,70,858 | 1,90,35,701 | 1,24,11,631 | -3,035,422 | 35,67,820 |
| 3 | Sector-06 <br> Ghaziabad | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 09AAECP6092J1 } \\ \mathrm{ZY} \end{array}$ | AA0906181537550/16 04.2019 (June-2018) | INVITC | 36,37,710 | 36,37,710 | 8,42,34,191 | 1,51,62,039 | 8,47,16,427 | 1,89,07,377 | 1,24,92,496 | -2,740,655 | 36,37,710 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 83,82,382 | 83,82,382 | 17,75,37,094 | 3,05,77,768 | 17,82,89,330 | 3,91,27,631 | 2,60,15,118 |  | 72,79,092 |
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| APPENDIX-XX <br> Excess allowance of refund of IGST payment <br> (Reference Para No. 2.2.15) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No. | ARN No. \& Date | Refund category | Refund amount claimed | Refund amount sanctioned by $\mathbf{t a x}$ authority | Turnover of zero rated supply of goods with payment of IGST in September 2018 | IGST paid during the month Secptmber2018 as per GSTR-3B | Maximum amount of refund admisible to registered person | Excess refund amount allowed by tax authority | Interest @ 18\% p.a. from the date of payment 27.12.2018 to date of audit dated 26.11.2021= 1068 days |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 1 | JC Corporate Circle-I Lucknow | $\begin{gathered} \text { 09AABCP5806 } \\ \text { H1ZE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AC0909181565977/ } \\ \text { 17.11.2018 } \\ \text { (September-2018) } \end{gathered}$ | ANYOTH | 1,34,54,880 | 1,34,54,880 | 1,62,33,014 | 67,33,126 | 67,33,126 | 67,21,754 | 35,40,247 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 1,34,54,880 | 1,62,33,014 | 67,33,126 | 67,33,126 | 67,21,754 | 35,40,247 |

Excess allowance of refund due to non-consideration of Net ITC

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No. | ARN No. \& Date |  | Refund amount <br> claimed | Provision al refund amount sanctioned in GST RFD-04 | Refund amount sanctioned in GST RFD-06 | Refund amount allowed by tax authority | Claimed turnover of zero rate supply of goods and services as per statement-3 | Adjusted total turnover as per statement-3 | Net ITC <br> as per statement3 | Actual Net ITC allowable for refund calculation | refund amount calculated in audit | Excess refund amount allowed to registered persons (9-14) | Excess <br> refund <br> amount$90 \%$GSTRFD-04dated30.04.2019$(90 \%$ of$15)$ | Excess <br> refund <br> amount <br> $10 \%$ in <br> GST <br> RFD-06 <br> (10\% of <br> 15) | interest <br> @ $18 \%$ <br> (i)dated <br> 30.04 .20 <br> 19 to <br> 16.09 .20 <br> $21=867$ <br> days <br> (ii) <br> 13.08. <br> 2019 to <br> 27.10. <br> $2021=78$ <br> 5 days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| 1 | Sector-14 <br> Noida | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAHFC2 } \\ & \text { 798Q1ZJ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AB0902192 } \\ & 462352 / 30 . \\ & 04.2019 \\ & (\text { Feb-2019 }) \end{aligned}$ | EXPWOP | 51,50,656 | 46,35,590 | 5,15,066 | 51,50,656 | 4,13,05,953 | 4,13,05,953 | 51,50,656 | 36,21,463 | 36,21,463 | 15,29,193 | 13,76,274 | 1,52,919 | 6,53,824 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 51,50,656 |  |  | 51,50,656 |  |  | 51,50,656 | 36,21,463 | 36,21,463 | 15,29,193 |  |  | 6,53,824 |
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| APPENDIX-XXII <br> Excess allowance of refund due to non-consideration of export value of shipping bill (Reference Para No. 2.2.17) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ARN No. \& } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Refund } \\ \text { category } \end{gathered}$ | Refund <br> amount <br> claimed by <br> registered <br> person | Provisional refund amount sanctioned in RFD-04 | Refund amount sanctioned in GST | Claimed <br> turnover of <br> zero rate <br> supply of <br> goods and <br> services as <br> per RFD-01 | Adjusted total turnover as per RFD-01 | Total Turnover of supply of goods calculated in audit | Adjusted Total Turnover calculated in audit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net ITC } \\ \text { as per } \\ \text { RFD-01 } \end{gathered}$ | Refund <br> amount <br> calculated <br> in audit <br> $(12 \times 14 / 13)$ | Excess Refund $(9-15)$ | Date of <br> refund <br> sanctioned <br> in RFD-06 | date of audit | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { days } \\ (18- \\ 17) \end{array}$ | Interest <br> @ $18 \%$ <br> p.a. <br> $(16 * 0.18$ <br> $* 19 / 365)$ |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| 1 | Sector 14 <br> Noida | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAFCP7 } \\ & 225 \mathrm{G} 1 \mathrm{ZB} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AA09121908 } \\ & 2188 \mathrm{~S} / 24.12 . \\ & 2019 \text { (Oct- } \\ & \text { March-2019) } \end{aligned}$ | EXPWOP | 45,88,419 | 0 | 45,59,828 | 7,22,05,059 | 10,47,49,141 | 6,10,48,716 | 9,35,92,798 | 66,56,499 | 43,41,902 | 2,17,926 | 4.01.2020 | 08.09.2021 | 613 | 65,879 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 45,88,419 | 0 | 45,59,828 | 7,22,05,059 |  | 6,10,48,716 |  |  | 43,41,902 | 2,17,926 |  |  |  | 65,879 |


| Appendices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APPENDIX-XXIII <br> Excess allowance of refund on incorrect adjusted total turnover <br> (Reference Para No. 2.2.18) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No. | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Turnover of zero rated supply of goods and services | Adjusted <br> total <br> turnover <br> claimed <br> by <br> registered <br> person | Taxable <br> amount <br> which <br> was not <br> inclu- <br> ded for <br> calcula- <br> tion of <br> Adjus- <br> ted <br> total <br> turno- <br> ver (As <br> per <br> GSTR- <br> 3B) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net } \\ & \text { ITC } \end{aligned}$ | Refund amount claimed | Refu nd amo unt sanct ioned GST - RFD -04/ 05.12 .2018 | Refund <br> amount <br> sanctio <br> ned <br> GST - <br> RFD- <br> 06/ <br> 08.03 .2 <br> 019 | Total Re- fund sancti oned | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Adju } \\ \text { sted } \\ \text { total } \\ \text { turn } \\ \text { over } \\ \text { as } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { audit } \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{Re}-$ <br> fund <br> amo- <br> unt <br> calcu- <br> lated <br> in <br> audit | Exce <br> ss re- <br> fund <br> (13- <br> $15)$ | Re- <br> fund <br> amo- <br> unt <br> allow- <br> able in <br> GST <br> RFD- <br> 04 <br> $(90 \%$ <br> of 14$)$ | Re- <br> fund <br> amo- <br> unt <br> allow- <br> able in <br> GST <br> RFD- <br> 06 <br> $(10 \%$ <br> of 14$)$ | Excess <br> refund <br> amount <br> in RFD- <br> 04 <br> $(11-17)$ | Excess <br> refund <br> amo- <br> unt in <br> RFD- <br> 06 <br> $(12-$ <br> $18)$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Inter- } \\ \text { est on } \\ \text { provi- } \\ \text { sional } \\ \text { refund } \\ \text { amo- } \\ \text { unt } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Interest on final refund amount | Total inter- est amo- unt |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sector } \\ & -13 \\ & \text { Noida } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AACCA } \\ & 707 \\ & 0 R 1 Z 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AB090917942631P/ } \\ 01.12 .2018 \\ \text { (Aug-Sept-2017) } \end{array}$ | EXPWOP | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \stackrel{+}{\infty} \\ \stackrel{+}{4} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \dot{u} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \stackrel{+}{\infty} \\ \stackrel{+}{+} \\ \underset{0}{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \omega \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim \\ & 0 \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sim \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ o \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \underset{y}{c} \\ \underset{\infty}{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \sim_{0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{N}_{1} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \sim \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ u \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \\ \stackrel{0}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \sim \\ \sim \\ \sim \\ \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{gathered}$ | N | N | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ \substack{\infty \\ \hline} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \sim \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}$ | - ¢ 0 0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} n \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \underset{\sim}{u} \\ 0 \\ u \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | N 0 0 0 0 |  | $N$ $N$ 0 0 d d | N | N | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N}_{0}^{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | - | ¢ ¢ 0 |
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|  | $\cdots$ | 45，05，640 | 15，638 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\pm$ | 10，47，066 | 3，384 |
|  | ヘิ | 1，03，018 | 3，384 |
| 荡気 | ส | 9，44，048 | 0 |
| 苞 | $\bar{\sim}$ | 3，45，858 | 12，254 |
|  | तิ | 31，12，716 | 0 |
|  | $\stackrel{ }{2}$ | 11，86，034 | 0 |
|  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | 1，06，74，308 | 0 |
|  | ミ | 34，58，574 | 12，254 |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | 1，18，60，342 | 1，26，91，905 |
| 艺 | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | 3，21，66，268 | 1，30，45，325 |
|  | $\pm$ | 31，27，60，509 | 33，44，89，014 |
|  | $\cdots$ | 11，53，21，015 | 32，54，27，150 |
|  | $\sim$ | 6，42，06，252 | 1，20，13，286 |
|  | $=$ | 37，69，66，761 | 34，65，02，300 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | 17，95，27，267 | 33，74，40，436 |
|  | $a$ | 1，53，18，916 | 1，27，04，159 |
|  | $\infty$ | 15，31，892 | 1，27，04，159 |
|  | $r$ | 1，37，87，024 | 0 |
|  | $\bullet$ | 1，53，18，916 | 1，27，04，159 |
|  | in | EXPWOP | EXPWOP |
|  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 20 | $\cdots$ |  |  |
|  | N |  |  |
| 涫 $\stackrel{\circ}{8}$ | － | － | N |
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| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No. | ARN No. \& Date | Cate- <br> gory | Refund amount claimed | Refund <br> amo- <br> unt <br> sanc- <br> tioned <br> in GST <br> RFD-04 | Re- fund amo- unt sanc- tioned in GST RFD- 06 | Re- fund amo- unt allow- ed by tax autho- rity $(7+8)$ | Claimed <br> turno- <br> ver of <br> zero <br> rate <br> supply <br> of goods <br> and <br> services <br> as per <br> state- <br> ment-3 | Adjusted total turnover as per state-ment- | Amo- <br> unt <br> invo- <br> lved in <br> Non- <br> rele- <br> vant <br> period <br> Turn- <br> over of <br> supply <br> of <br> goods | Accept <br> able <br> total <br> Turno <br> ver of <br> supply <br> of <br> goods <br> (10- <br> $12)$ | Accept <br> able <br> Adjuste <br> d total <br> turn- <br> over <br> (11-12) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Net } \\ \text { ITC } \end{array}$ | Refund <br> amo- <br> unt <br> calcu- <br> lated <br> in <br> audit | Excess <br> Refund allowed to registered persons (9-16) | $\mathrm{Re}-$ <br> fund <br> allow- <br> able inGSTRFD-04$(90 \%$of 16$)$ | Re- <br> fund <br> allowa <br> ble in <br> GST <br> RFD- <br> 06 <br> $(10 \%$ <br> of 16$)$ | Ex- cess re- fund in RFD- 04 (7- $18)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess } \\ \text { refund } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { RFD- } \\ 06 \\ (8-19) \end{gathered}$ | Inter <br> est <br> on <br> ex- <br> cess <br> re- <br> fund <br> of <br> RFD <br> -04 | Inter est on ex- cess re- fund of RFD- 06 | total <br> amou <br> nt of <br> inte- <br> rest | Tota excess amount of refund with interest (17+2 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | N |  |  | $\underset{\infty}{N}$ | $\infty$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | $$ | $$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ta } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { e } \end{aligned}$ | N N N N N |  |  |  |  | N 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & y \\ & \cline { 1 - 1 } \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

MXX-XIONFddV
Excess allowance of refund of blocked credits

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Name of } \\ \text { the } \\ \text { CTOs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GSTIN } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | ARN No. \& Date | Category | Refund amount claimed | Refund amount sanctioned by tax authority | turnover of inverted rated supply of goods and services or Exported goods and services | tax payable on such inverted rated supply of goods and services | Adjusted total turnover | Net ITC | blocked credit claimed in Net ITC | Net ITC calculated in audit (11-12) | refund amount <br> calculated <br> in audit | Excess refund sanction ed by tax authorit y (7-14) | Interest due |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 1 | Sector-02 <br> Noida | 09AAAFE 1088J1ZD | AA0912190106 <br> 739/04.12.2019 <br> Oct 2017 to <br> March 2018 | EXPWOP | 33,94,350 | 33,94,350 | 18,70,18,756 | 0 | 23,49,95,450 | 42,65,116 | 68,131 | 41,96,985 | 33,40,128 | 54,222 | 16,899 |
| 2 | Sector-13 <br> Noida | 09AAICP8 <br> 381N1ZI | AA0907170138 18T/23.04.2019 (July-2017) | INVITC | 13,83,166 | 13,55,061 | 30,40,600 | 3,64,872 | 30,40,600 | 17,48,038 | 1,55,686 | 15,92,352 | 12,27,480 | 1,27,581 | 51,422 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 47,77,516 | 47,49,411 |  |  |  | 60,13,154 | 2,23,817 | 57,89,337 | 45,67,608 | 1,81,803 | 68,321 |
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| APPENDIX－XXVI <br> Excess allowance of refund due to wrong claimed amount of services （Reference Para No．2．2．21） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Name } \\ & \text { of the } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { GSTIN } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { ARN No. \& } \\ \text { Date } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Cate- } \\ \text { gory } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Re-- } \\ \text { fund } \\ \text { claimed } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Tur－ <br> nover <br> of zero <br> rate <br> supply <br> of <br> goods <br> and <br> asr－－ <br> sices as <br> per <br> RFD－ <br> RF <br> 01 | Adju <br> sted <br> total <br> turn <br> over <br> as <br> as <br> per <br> RRD <br> RF <br> -01 | Re－ fund san－ ction－ ed in GST RFD－ 04 | Re－ <br> fund <br> sanc <br> sion－ <br> ed in <br> GST <br> RFD <br> －06 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net } \\ & \text { ITC } \end{aligned}$ | Ref－ <br> und <br> allo <br> wed <br> wy <br> by <br> tax <br> auth <br> ority | Pay－ <br> ment <br> received <br> during <br> the <br> relevant <br> period <br> for <br> supply <br> of <br> services <br> claimed <br> by <br> regis <br> rered <br> person | Pay－ <br> ment <br> received <br> during <br> the <br> relevant <br> period <br> for <br> supply <br> of <br> services <br> as per <br> records | Amount <br> of <br> invoices <br> raised <br> durng <br> the <br> relevant <br> period <br> for <br> earlier <br> advances <br> claimed <br> by regis－ <br> tered <br> person | Amo－ <br> unt of <br> in－ <br> voices <br> raised <br> during <br> the <br> rele－ <br> reant <br> period <br> for <br> earlier <br> arvanc <br> es as <br> per <br> record <br> s <br> s | Turn－ <br> over of <br> zero－ <br> rated <br> supply <br> of ser－ <br> vices <br> calcu－ <br> lated <br> in <br> audit <br> and | Adju－ <br> sted <br> total <br> turn－ <br> over <br> of <br> serv－ <br> ices <br> cal－ <br> cula－ <br> ted in <br> audit | Re－ <br> fund <br> amo－ <br> unt <br> calcu－ <br> lated <br> in <br> indit | Ex－ <br> cess <br> re－ <br> fund <br> allo－ <br> wed <br> by tax <br> auth－ <br> ority <br> （12－ <br> 19） <br>  | Ex－ <br> cess <br> re－ <br> fund <br> allow－ <br> ad by <br> ed <br> tax <br> autho <br> rity in <br> GST <br> RFD－ <br> 04 <br> （00\％ <br> of <br> excess <br> re－ <br> fund） | Excess <br> refund <br> allow－ <br> ed by <br> the <br> depar－ <br> tment <br> in GST <br> RFD－ <br> 06 <br> $(10 \%$ <br> of <br> excess <br> re－ <br> fund） | Interest <br> on <br> excess <br> provi－ <br> sional <br> refund <br> for days <br> （from <br> date of <br> RFD－04 <br> to date <br> of audit） <br> a of <br> $18 \%$ <br> p．a． <br>  <br>  | Interest <br> on <br> excess <br> final <br> refund <br> for days <br> （from <br> date of <br> RFD．06 <br> to date <br> of autit） <br> ＠of <br> 18\％ <br> p．a． | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { inter- } \\ \text { est on } \\ \text { excess } \\ \text { refund } \end{array}$ |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sector- } \\ & 08 \\ & \text { Noida } \end{aligned}$ | 09AAHCS <br> ${ }_{556 \mathrm{IK} 1 \mathrm{ZZ}}^{1}$ | AB0907181 <br> 085610／ <br> 17．09．2018 <br> （July－2018） |  | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{N}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{山} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{u} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 흘 0 0 0 0 ò | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ + 0 0 0 0 + + |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ 0 $\infty$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  |  |  | $$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D. } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { ì } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \not ্ \\ & \otimes \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{7}{4}$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{y}{\infty}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sector- } \\ & 09 \\ & \text { Noida } \end{aligned}$ | 09AACCM 3199B1Z1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { AA0909170 } \\ 17989 \mathrm{~A} \\ 12.02 .2019 / \\ \text { September- } \\ 2017 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \\ & \pm \\ & \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{4} \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { 心 } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & \stackrel{8}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \AA \\ & \ddagger \\ & \ddagger \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\star} \\ & \pm \\ & \pm \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\underset{3}{z}$ | 3 | $\underset{3}{z}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \\ & 0 . \\ & \stackrel{8}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u } \\ & \stackrel{r}{ٌ} \\ & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{u} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { un } \\ & \stackrel{y}{3} \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 冗ou } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | B． 0 0 0 0 in in |  |  |  | un 0 0 0 0 $\pm$ $\pm$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { un } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { ל̈ } \\ & \stackrel{0}{8} \end{aligned}$ |

Irregular allowance of refund due to ITC sanctioned twice on the same invoice

|  | $\underset{\sim}{*}$ | 5，83，922 | 5，83，922 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ส | 619 |  |
|  | $\bar{\sim}$ | 16－07－2021 |  |
|  | กิ | 43，596 |  |
|  | $\xlongequal{2}$ | 19，12，865 | 19，12，865 |
|  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | 2，37，12，964 | 2，37，12，964 |
|  | $=$ | 3，29，30，836 | 3，29，30，836 |
|  | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | 92，17，872 | 92，17，872 |
|  | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | 3，51，37，332 | 3，51，37，332 |
|  | $\pm$ | 22，06，496 | 22，06，496 |
|  | $\cdots$ | 9，44，640 | 9，44，640 |
|  | $\cong$ | 12，61，856 | 12，61，856 |
|  | $=$ | 3，39，59，337 | 3，39，59，337 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | 3，51，37，330 | 3，51，37，330 |
|  | $\sigma$ | 4，72，75，582 |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 4，56，90，649 |  |
|  | $\cdots$ | 2，56，25，829 | 2，56，25，829 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | 2，56，25，829 | 2，56，25，829 |
| 先亳 | i | SEZWOP |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 匀云 | $m$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4iU } \\ & \text { Bu } \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
|  | $\sim$ |  |  |
| $\dot{\square} \dot{\square}$ | － | － |  |
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| APPENDIX-XXVIII <br> Deficiencies noticed in GSTN portal (Reference Para No. 2.2.23) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the CTOs | GSTIN No. | ARN No. \& Date | Refund category |  | Date of application of refund | Date of sanction of refund amount | Refund amount sanctioned by tax authority | Details of pa RFD-05 twi | ment advice enerated ely | Refund amount credited twicely | ARN search details as per GSTN portal |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 1 | Sector-04 Agra | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AAHCP } \\ & \text { 8395H1ZQ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA09022008128 } \\ 1 \mathrm{~N} \\ / 22.02 .2020 \\ \text { (July-Sep-2017) } \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 11,11,207 | 22.02.2020 | 15.06.2020 | 11,11,207 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2 | Sector-7 <br> Agra | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09BYNPP } \\ & \text { 4104N1ZV } \end{aligned}$ | AA09022010794 5E/ 29.02.2020 | EXBCL | 13,69,778 | 29.02.2020 | 17.02.2020 | 13,69,778 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 3 | Sector-19 <br> Lucknow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09AABCP } \\ & \text { 8800D1ZM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA09052000798 } \\ 39 / \\ 08.05 .2020 \\ \text { /March-2018 to } \\ \text { March-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 1,73,01,533 | 08.05.2020 | 18.05.2020 | 1,73,01,533 | NA | NA | NA | $\begin{gathered} \text { M/s Shiv } \\ \text { Traders } \\ \text { GSTIN- } \\ 09 \text { CWYPP } \\ 0 \\ \text { 18C1Z4 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Sector-13 <br> Noida | $\begin{gathered} \text { 09AAACV2 } \\ 6 \\ 80 \mathrm{~A} 1 \mathrm{ZL} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AA09121904084 } \\ 24 / \\ \text { 13.12.2019/ } \\ \text { April-June-2019 } \end{gathered}$ | INVITC | 9,45,878 | 13.12.2019 | 15.01.2010 | 9,45,878 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ZA0901200 } \\ 0 \\ 7338 \mathrm{Z} \\ \text { dated } \\ 15.01 .2020 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ZA0901200 } \\ 0 \\ 73347 \\ \text { dated } \\ 15.01 .2020 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 945878+ \\ 945878 \end{gathered}$ | NA |

## Short levy of additional stamp duty on mortgage deeds (without possession) having secured amount ranging between $₹ \mathbf{t w o}$ and ten crore

| (Amount in ₹) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of unit | District | Khand No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doc } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Date of execution | Date of registration | Secured amount | Total stamp duty levied | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leviable } \\ \text { stamp duty } \\ \text { @ } 0.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | Leviable additional stamp duty (a) 2\% | Short levy of additional stamp duty |
| 1 | SR-I, NOIDA | G.B. Nagar | 8509 | 6298 | 02/08/2018 | 02/08/2018 | 9,50,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 4,75,000 | 19,00,000 | 18,75,000 |
| 2 | SR-I | Ghaziabad | 15652 | 4968 | 26/06/2018 | 26/06/2018 | 6,49,33,784 | 5,00,000 | 3,24,669 | 12,98,676 | 11,23,345 |
| 3 |  |  | 16076 | 104 | 10/01/2019 | 10/01/2019 | 2,17,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,08,500 | 4,34,000 | 42,500 |
| 4 |  |  | 17947 | 2089 | 04/03/2021 | 04/03/2021 | 7,50,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 3,75,000 | 15,00,000 | 13,75,000 |
| 5 |  |  | 17496 | 4377 | 07/09/2020 | 07/09/2020 | 7,08,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 3,54,000 | 14,16,000 | 12,70,000 |
| 6 |  |  | 16412 | 3395 | 26/04/2019 | 26/04/2019 | 2,46,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,23,000 | 4,92,000 | 1,15,000 |
| 7 | SR-II | Ghaziabad | 13949 | 7011 | 07/06/2018 | 07/06/2018 | 5,25,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,62,500 | 10,50,000 | 8,12,500 |
| 8 | SR-III | Ghaziabad | 13458 | 2874 | 17/04/2018 | 17/04/2018 | 2,50,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,25,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,25,000 |
| 9 | SR-IV | Ghaziabad | 37738 | 6027 | 15/04/2019 | 15/04/2019 | 6,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 3,00,000 | 12,00,000 | 10,00,000 |
| 10 | SR-II | Gorakhpur | 16663 | 3368 | 11/03/2020 | 11/03/2020 | 3,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,50,000 | 6,00,000 | 2,50,000 |
| 11 | SR-III | Lucknow | 13909 | 275 | 18/01/2020 | 18/01/2020 | 3,75,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,87,500 | 7,50,000 | 4,37,500 |
| 12 | SR-II | Lucknow | 22031 | 2282 | 11/02/2019 | 12/02/2019 | 2,50,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,25,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,25,000 |
| 13 |  |  | 22029 | 2247 | 11/02/2019 | 12/02/2019 | $3,00,00,000$ | 5,00,000 | 1,50,000 | 6,00,000 | 2,50,000 |
| 14 |  |  | 22029 | 2246 | 11/02/2019 | 12/02/2019 | 3,45,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,72,500 | 6,90,000 | 3,62,500 |
| 15 |  |  | 22029 | 2244 | 11/02/2019 | 12/02/2019 | 3,82,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,91,000 | 7,64,000 | 4,55,000 |
| 16 |  |  | 21236 | 11087 | 17/07/2018 | 17/07/2018 | 7,36,96,050 | 5,00,000 | 3,68,480 | 14,73,921 | 13,42,401 |
| 17 |  |  | 23577 | 3953 | 04/03/2020 | 06/03/2020 | 2,08,43,423 | 5,00,000 | 1,04,217 | 4,16,868 | 21,086 |
| 18 |  |  | 22824 | 13687 | 29/08/2019 | 30/08/2019 | 2,98,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,49,000 | 5,96,000 | 2,45,000 |
| 19 |  |  | 20963 | 7398 | 14/05/2018 | 15/05/2018 | 3,78,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,89,000 | 7,56,000 | 4,45,000 |
| 20 |  |  | 23312 | 155 | 06/01/2020 | 06/01/2020 | 3,80,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,90,000 | 7,60,000 | 4,50,000 |
| 21 |  |  | 22910 | 14897 | 26/08/2019 | 25/09/2019 | 4,98,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,49,000 | 9,96,000 | 7,45,000 |
| 22 |  |  | 23380 | 1120 | 24/01/2020 | 24/01/2020 | 5,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,50,000 | 10,00,000 | 7,50,000 |
| 23 |  |  | 23502 | 2854 | 19/02/2020 | 19/02/2020 | 8,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 4,00,000 | 16,00,000 | 15,00,000 |
| 24 |  |  | 24505 | 453 | 31/12/2020 | 11/01/2021 | 2,52,22,612 | 5,00,000 | 1,26,113 | 5,04,452 | 1,30,565 |
| 25 |  |  | 24705 | 3686 | 18/02/2021 | 19/02/2021 | 2,70,19,200 | 5,00,000 | 1,35,096 | 5,40,384 | 1,75,480 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Amount in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of unit | District | Khand No. | Doc <br> No. | Date of execution | Date of registration | Secured amount | Total stamp duty levied | Leviable stamp duty <br> @ 0.5\% | Leviable additional stamp duty <br> (a) 2\% | Short levy of additional stamp duty |
| 26 | SR- Mohanlalganj | Lucknow | 9741 | 20712 | 06/11/2018 | 06/11/2018 | 6,82,05,190 | 5,00,000 | 3,41,026 | 13,64,104 | 12,05,130 |
| 27 |  |  | 10684 | 15863 | 05/08/2019 | 05/08/2019 | 2,43,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,21,500 | 4,86,000 | 1,07,500 |
| 28 |  |  | 10774 | 17701 | 27/08/2019 | 03/09/2019 | 3,19,04,294 | 5,00,000 | 1,59,521 | 6,38,086 | 2,97,607 |
| 29 |  |  | 12059 | 17345 | 19/10/2020 | 29/10/2020 | 5,56,64,800 | 5,00,000 | 2,78,324 | 11,13,296 | 8,91,620 |
| 30 |  |  | 12201 | 19707 | 24/11/2020 | 24/11/2020 | 5,64,60,075 | 5,00,000 | 2,82,300 | 11,29,202 | 9,11,502 |
| 31 |  |  | 10288 | 7856 | 16/04/2019 | 16/04/2019 | 7,28,56,215 | 5,00,000 | 3,64,281 | 14,57,124 | 13,21,405 |
| 32 |  |  | 11551 | 7479 | 19/06/2020 | 19/06/2020 | 9,48,30,043 | 5,00,000 | 4,74,150 | 18,96,601 | 18,70,751 |
| 33 |  |  | 11644 | 9280 | 07/07/2020 | 17/07/2020 | 9,64,87,000 | 5,00,000 | 4,82,435 | 19,29,740 | 19,12,175 |
| 34 | SR-Sarojininagar | Lucknow | 1118 | 413 | 04/01/2019 | 04/01/2019 | 5,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,50,000 | 10,00,000 | 7,50,000 |
| 35 |  |  | 1676 | 8660 | 30/03/2019 | 30/03/2019 | 5,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,50,000 | 10,00,000 | 7,50,000 |
| 36 |  |  | 779 | 11231 | 15/11/2018 | 15/11/2018 | 8,96,40,000 | 5,00,000 | 4,48,200 | 17,92,800 | 17,41,000 |
| 37 |  |  | 3005 | 27820 | 18-10-2019 | 18/10/2019 | 5,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,50,000 | 10,00,000 | 7,50,000 |
| 38 |  |  | 2032 | 13792 | 24/05/2019 | 24/05/2019 | 5,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,50,000 | 10,00,000 | 7,50,000 |
| 39 |  |  | 3802 | 3594 | 06/02/2020 | 06/02/2020 | 9,59,43,820 | 5,00,000 | 4,79,719 | 19,18,876 | 18,98,596 |
| 40 |  |  | 4190 | 9307 | 11/06/2020 | 15/06/2020 | 2,05,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,02,500 | 4,10,000 | 12,500 |
| 41 |  |  | 6354 | 12028 | 23/03/2021 | 27/03/2021 | 2,64,65,136 | 5,00,000 | 1,32,326 | 5,29,303 | 1,61,628 |
| 42 |  |  | 4321 | 11229 | 06/07/2020 | 06/07/2020 | 4,50,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,25,000 | 9,00,000 | 6,25,000 |
| 43 |  |  | 6187 | 8904 | 06/03/2021 | 06/03/2021 | 4,60,26,600 | 5,00,000 | 2,30,133 | 9,20,532 | 6,50,665 |
| 44 |  |  | 5853 | 2660 | 22/01/2021 | 23/01/2021 | 5,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,50,000 | 10,00,000 | 7,50,000 |
| 45 | SR-I | Mathura | 14267 | 12060 | 28/08/2018 | 28/08/2018 | 2,25,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,12,500 | 4,50,000 | 62,500 |
| 46 |  |  | 15432 | 17962 | 10/12/2019 | 10/12/2019 | 10,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 20,00,000 | 20,00,000 |
| 47 | SR-II | Mathura | 8867 | 6893 | 19/06/2018 | 19/06/2018 | 7,35,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 3,67,500 | 14,70,000 | 13,37,500 |
| 48 | SR-III | Meerut | 13389 | 1820 | 12/02/2019 | 12/02/2019 | 3,24,00,000 | 1,62,000 | 1,62,000 | 6,48,000 | 6,48,000 |
| 49 | SR-I | Prayagraj | 10437 | 1786 | 06/04/2019 | 06/04/2019 | 6,01,21,556 | 5,00,000 | 3,00,608 | 12,02,431 | 10,03,039 |
| 50 |  |  | 10685 | 5337 | 24/09/2019 | 24/09/2019 | 8,03,93,700 | 5,00,000 | 4,01,969 | 16,07,874 | 15,09,843 |
| 51 | SR-II | Varanasi | 10424 | 5158 | 05/08/2019 | 05/08/2019 | 4,98,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,49,000 | 9,96,000 | 7,45,000 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,60,99,13,498 | 2,51,62,000 | 1,30,49,567 | 5,21,98,270 | 4,00,85,837 |

## APPENDIX-XXIX-A

Levy of stamp and additional stamp duty on mortgage deeds (without possession) according to the modified notification dated 10 July 2008
(Reference Para No. 3.4.5.1)

| SI. <br> No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name <br> of unit |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |

Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.
Compliance Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended 31 March 2021
Stamp and additional stamp duty short/not levied on mortgage deeds (without possession) having secured amount above ₹ 10 crore

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Amount in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. | Name of unit | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Differ | ence in |
| No. |  |  | No. | No. | execution | registration | amount | duty levied | $\begin{gathered} \text { stamp } \\ \text { duty @ } \\ \mathbf{0 . 5 \%} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | additional stamp duty <br> @ 2\% | Stamp duty | Additional stamp duty |
| 1 | SR-Sadar, G. Noida | G B Nagar | 29622 | 32763 | 28/09/2018 | 28/09/2018 | 105,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 52,50,000 | 2,10,00,000 | 47,50,000 | 2,10,00,000 |
| 2 |  |  | 15611 | 4565 | 11/06/2018 | 11/06/2018 | 120,79,70,050 | 5,00,000 | 60,39,850 | 2,41,59,401 | 55,39,850 | 2,41,59,401 |
| 3 | SR-I | Ghaziabad | 16289 | 2207 | 13/03/2019 | 13/03/2019 | 224,99,99,870 | 5,00,000 | 1,12,49,999 | 4,49,99,997 | 1,07,49,999 | 4,49,99,997 |
| 4 |  |  | 16693 | 6150 | 05/08/2019 | 05/08/2019 | 125,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 62,50,000 | 2,50,00,000 | 57,50,000 | 2,50,00,000 |
| 5 |  |  | 14277 | 10080 | 21/08/2018 | 21/08/2018 | 100,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 50,00,000 | 2,00,00,000 | 45,00,000 | 2,00,00,000 |
| 6 |  |  | 14429 | 11480 | 19/09/2018 | 20/09/2018 | 20,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 10,00,000 | 40,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 40,00,000 |
| 7 | SR | Ghaziabad | 14520 | 12327 | 15/10/2018 | 15/10/2018 | 14,50,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 7,25,000 | 29,00,000 | 2,25,000 | 29,00,000 |
| 8 | SR-II | Ghaziabad | 14778 | 189 | 10/01/2019 | 10/01/2019 | 50,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 25,00,000 | 1,00,00,000 | 20,00,000 | 1,00,00,000 |
| 9 |  |  | 15224 | 4371 | 28/03/2019 | 28/03/2019 | 28,00,00,000 | 5,01,000 | 14,00,000 | 56,00,000 | 8,99,000 | 56,00,000 |
| 10 |  |  | 16289 | 14461 | 20/11/2019 | 20/11/2019 | 145,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 72,50,000 | 2,90,00,000 | 67,50,000 | 2,90,00,000 |
| 11 |  |  | 13436 | 2649 | 09/04/2018 | 09/04/2018 | 15,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 7,50,000 | 30,00,000 | 2,50,000 | 30,00,000 |
| 12 | SR-III | Ghaziab | 14725 | 6907 | 09/08/2019 | 13/08/2019 | 43,50,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 21,75,000 | 87,00,000 | 16,75,000 | 87,00,000 |
| 13 | SR-III | Ghaziaba | 14172 | 1138 | 31/01/2019 | 31/01/2019 | 16,80,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 8,40,000 | 33,60,000 | 3,40,000 | 33,60,000 |
| 14 |  |  | 14977 | 9534 | 21/11/2019 | 21/11/2019 | 420,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,10,00,000 | 8,40,00,000 | 2,05,00,000 | 8,40,00,000 |
| 15 |  |  | 36566 | 20365 | 12/09/2018 | 12/09/2018 | 19,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 9,50,000 | 38,00,000 | 4,50,000 | 38,00,000 |
| 16 |  |  | 37303 | 1586 | 16/01/2019 | 16/01/2019 | 20,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 10,00,000 | 40,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 40,00,000 |
| 17 | SR-IV | Ghaziabad | 37577 | 4387 | 07/03/2019 | 07/03/2019 | 24,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 12,00,000 | 48,00,000 | 7,00,000 | 48,00,000 |
| 18 | SR-IV | Ghaziabad | 38030 | 8987 | 28/06/2019 | 28/06/2019 | 150,00,00,000 | 5,02,000 | 75,00,000 | 3,00,00,000 | 69,98,000 | 3,00,00,000 |
| 19 |  |  | 38007 | 8741 | 24/06/2019 | 24/06/2019 | 20,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 10,00,000 | 40,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 40,00,000 |
| 20 |  |  | 38144 | 10152 | 31/07/2019 | 31/07/2019 | 75,00,00,000 | 5,01,100 | 37,50,000 | 1,50,00,000 | 32,48,900 | 1,50,00,000 |
| 21 |  |  | 7046 | 4333 | 31/05/2018 | 31/05/2018 | 650,00,00,000 | 5,10,000 | 3,25,00,000 | 13,00,00,000 | 3,19,90,000 | 13,00,00,000 |
| 22 |  | ad | 7527 | 1236 | 21/02/2019 | 21/02/2019 | 17,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 8,50,000 | 34,00,000 | 3,50,000 | 34,00,000 |
| 23 |  | Ghaziabad | 7603 | 2000 | 28/03/2019 | 28/03/2019 | 180,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 90,00,000 | 3,60,00,000 | 85,00,000 | 3,60,00,000 |
| 24 |  |  | 7701 | 2946 | 28/05/2019 | 28/05/2019 | 12,93,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 6,46,500 | 25,86,000 | 1,46,500 | 25,86,000 |
| 25 | SR-I | Gorakhpur | 16617 | 307 | 11/01/2021 | 11/01/2021 | 5314,33,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 26,57,16,500 | 106,28,66,000 | 26,52,16,500 | 106,28,66,000 |


| S | Name of unit | District | Khand No. | Doc No. | Date of execution | Date of registration | Secured amount | Total duty levied | Leviable stamp duty @ $\mathbf{0 . 5 \%}$ | Leviable additional stamp duty <br> @ 2\% | Difference in |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stamp duty | Additional stamp duty |
| 26 | SR-II | Lucknow | 22712 | 12043 | 30/07/2019 | 31/07/2019 | 15,75,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 7,87,500 | 31,50,000 | 2,87,500 | 31,50,000 |
| 27 |  |  | 23454 | 2174 | 10/02/2020 | 10/02/2020 | 18,79,19,000 | 5,00,000 | 9,39,595 | 37,58,380 | 4,39,595 | 37,58,380 |
| 28 |  |  | 24355 | 14888 | 07/12/2020 | 08/12/2020 | 27,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 13,50,000 | 54,00,000 | 8,50,000 | 54,00,000 |
| 29 |  |  | 21278 | 11663 | 25/07/2018 | 25/07/2018 | 28,20,07,000 | 4,40,460 | 14,10,035 | 56,40,140 | 9,69,575 | 56,40,140 |
| 30 |  |  | 23303 | 26 | 03/01/2020 | 03/01/2020 | 38,50,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 19,25,000 | 77,00,000 | 14,25,000 | 77,00,000 |
| 31 |  |  | 23449 | 2112 | 31/01/2020 | 10/02/2020 | 40,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 20,00,000 | 80,00,000 | 15,00,000 | 80,00,000 |
| 32 | SR-Mohanlalganj | Lucknow | 9651 | 18862 | 15/10/2018 | 15/10/2018 | 13,81,16,560 | 5,00,000 | 6,90,583 | 27,62,331 | 1,90,583 | 27,62,331 |
| 33 |  |  | 10347 | 9040 | 04/05/2019 | 04/05/2019 | 10,86,23,696 | 5,00,000 | 5,43,118 | 21,72,474 | 43,118 | 21,72,474 |
| 34 |  |  | 11343 | 3136 | 28/01/2020 | 11/02/2020 | 15,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 7,50,000 | 30,00,000 | 2,50,000 | 30,00,000 |
| 35 |  |  | 9673 | 19290 | 22/10/2018 | 22/10/2018 | 23,58,03,430 | 5,00,000 | 11,79,017 | 47,16,069 | 6,79,017 | 47,16,069 |
| 36 | SR-Sarojininagar | Lucknow | 1288 | 2879 | 24/01/2019 | 30/01/2019 | 12,93,67,500 | 5,00,000 | 6,46,838 | 25,87,350 | 1,46,838 | 25,87,350 |
| 37 |  |  | 861 | 12445 | 28/11/2018 | 28/11/2018 | 26,11,40,750 | 5,00,000 | 13,05,704 | 52,22,815 | 8,05,704 | 52,22,815 |
| 38 |  |  | 1663 | 8455 | 29/03/2019 | 29/03/2019 | 30,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 15,00,000 | 60,00,000 | 10,00,000 | 60,00,000 |
| 39 |  |  | 1286 | 2855 | 18/01/2019 | 30/01/2019 | 33,84,65,825 | 5,00,000 | 16,92,329 | 67,69,317 | 11,92,329 | 67,69,317 |
| 40 |  |  | 950 | 13793 | 12/11/2018 | 12/11/2018 | 129,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 64,50,000 | 2,58,00,000 | 59,50,000 | 2,58,00,000 |
| 41 |  |  | 372 | 5299 | 09/06/2018 | 09/06/2018 | 525,00,00,000 | 5,00,600 | 2,62,50,000 | 10,50,00,000 | 2,57,49,400 | 10,50,00,000 |
| 42 |  |  | 3672 | 1782 | 20/01/2020 | 21/01/2020 | 11,91,56,586 | 5,00,000 | 5,95,783 | 23,83,132 | 95,783 | 23,83,132 |
| 43 |  |  | 3313 | 32128 | 29/11/2019 | 30/11/2019 | 15,30,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 7,65,000 | 30,60,000 | 2,65,000 | 30,60,000 |
| 44 |  |  | 2282 | 17390 | 28/06/2019 | 28/06/2019 | 46,57,57,940 | 5,00,000 | 23,28,790 | 93,15,159 | 18,28,790 | 93,15,159 |
| 45 |  |  | 5683 | 29993 | 24/12/2020 | 29/12/2020 | 13,50,37,688 | 5,00,000 | 6,75,188 | 27,00,754 | 1,75,188 | 27,00,754 |
| 46 |  |  | 1401 | 4553 | 13/02/2019 | 15/02/2019 | 32,92,03,680 | 5,00,000 | 16,46,018 | 65,84,074 | 11,46,018 | 65,84,074 |
| 47 | SR-I | Mathura | 15596 | 2176 | 06/02/2020 | 06/02/2020 | 43,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 21,50,000 | 86,00,000 | 16,50,000 | 86,00,000 |
| 48 | SR-III | Meerut | 12990 | 8077 | 27/07/2018 | 27/07/2018 | 25,00,00,000 | 5,00,500 | 12,50,000 | 50,00,000 | 7,49,500 | 50,00,000 |
| 49 |  |  | 13630 | 6249 | 12/06/2019 | 12/06/2019 | 14,68,90,000 | 5,00,000 | 7,34,450 | 29,37,800 | 2,34,450 | 29,37,800 |
| 50 | SR-I | Varanasi | 10472 | 2201 | 05/04/2018 | 05/04/2018 | 10,25,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 5,12,500 | 20,50,000 | 12,500 | 20,50,000 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91,12,40,59,575 | 2,49,55,660 | 45,56,20,298 | 1,82,24,81,192 | 43,06,64,638 | $\mathbf{1 , 8 2 , 2 4 , 8 1 , 1 9 2}$ |

Compliance Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended 31 March 2021

| (Amount in ₹) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sl. No. | Name of units | District | Khand No. | Doc No. | Date of execution | Date of registration | Secured amount | Total duty levied | Leviable stamp duty @ 0.5\% | Difference of duty |
| 1 | SR-I, Noida | G B Nagar | 8401 | 5217 | 26/06/2018 | 27/06/2018 | 73,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 36,50,000 | 31,50,000 |
| 2 |  |  | 8811 | 9169 | 20/11/2018 | 20/11/2018 | 550,00,00,260 | 5,00,000 | 2,75,00,001 | 2,70,00,001 |
| 3 |  |  | 8844 | 9485 | 03/12/2018 | 03/12/2018 | 235,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,17,50,000 | 1,12,50,000 |
| 4 |  |  | 8844 | 9484 | 03/12/2018 | 03/12/2018 | 235,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,17,50,000 | 1,12,50,000 |
| 5 |  |  | 8892 | 9925 | 28/11/2018 | 19/12/2018 | 350,00,00,000 | 5,00,500 | 1,75,00,000 | 1,69,99,500 |
| 6 |  |  | 9154 | 2162 | 10/04/2019 | 10/04/2019 | 235,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,17,50,000 | 1,12,50,000 |
| 7 |  |  | 9297 | 3486 | 03/06/2019 | 03/06/2019 | 23,69,00,000 | 5,00,500 | 11,84,500 | 6,84,000 |
| 8 |  |  | 10230 | 4535 | 28/10/2020 | 28/10/2020 | 34,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 17,00,000 | 12,00,000 |
| 9 |  |  | 9854 | 654 | 24/01/2020 | 24/01/2020 | 198,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 99,00,000 | 94,00,000 |
| 10 | SR-II, Noida | G B Nagar | 10391 | 2855 | 01/05/2019 | 01/05/2019 | 250,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 1,25,00,000 | 1,20,00,000 |
| 11 |  |  | 9984 | 8562 | 27-11.2018 | 28/11/2018 | 400,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,00,00,000 | 1,95,00,000 |
| 12 |  |  | 9984 | 8557 | 27/11/2018 | 28/11/2018 | 400,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,00,00,000 | 1,95,00,000 |
| 13 |  |  | 10374 | 2689 | 25/04/2019 | 25/04/2019 | 50,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 25,00,000 | 20,00,000 |
| 14 |  |  | 10192 | 1046 | 12/02/2019 | 12/02/2019 | 151,90,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 75,95,000 | 70,95,000 |
| 15 |  |  | 9704 | 5665 | 02/08/2018 | 02/08/2018 | 75,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 37,50,000 | 32,50,000 |
| 16 |  |  | 9984 | 8563 | 27/11/2018 | 28/11/2018 | 400,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,00,00,000 | 1,95,00,000 |
| 17 |  |  | 10805 | 7082 | 05/11/2019 | 05/11/2019 | 75,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 37,50,000 | 32,50,000 |
| 18 |  |  | 10967 | 8825 | 23/12/2019 | 23/12/2019 | 125,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 62,50,000 | 57,50,000 |
| 19 | SR-Sadar <br> G. Noida | G B Nagar | 27288 | 10471 | 02/04/2018 | 02/04/2018 | 10,04,66,608 | 5,00,000 | 5,02,333 | 2,333 |
| 20 |  |  | 27469 | 12235 | 13/04/2018 | 17/04/2018 | 75,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 37,50,000 | 32,50,000 |


| (Amount in ₹) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sl. No. | Name of units | District | Khand No. | Doc No. | Date of execution | Date of registration | Secured amount | Total duty levied | Leviable stamp duty @ $0.5 \%$ | Difference of duty |
| 21 |  |  | 27517 | 12731 | 09/04/2018 | 19/04/2018 | 60,00,00,000 | 5,00,500 | 30,00,000 | 24,99,500 |
| 22 |  |  | 28107 | 18461 | 04/06/2018 | 04/04/2018 | 18,00,00,000 | 5,00,050 | 9,00,000 | 3,99,950 |
| 23 |  |  | 28214 | 19461 | 11/06/2018 | 11/06/2018 | 280,00,00,000 | 15,00,000 | 1,40,00,000 | 1,25,00,000 |
| 24 |  |  | 28272 | 20027 | 14/06/2018 | 14/06/2018 | 11,00,00,000 | 5,01,000 | 5,50,000 | 49,000 |
| 25 |  |  | 28957 | 26533 | 01/08/2018 | 01/08/2018 | 36,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 18,00,000 | 13,00,000 |
| 26 |  |  | 29264 | 29470 | 30/08/2018 | 30/08/2018 | 125,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 62,50,000 | 57,50,000 |
| 27 |  |  | 29424 | 30955 | 13/09/2018 | 13/09/2018 | 21,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 10,50,000 | 5,50,000 |
| 28 |  |  | 29893 | 35253 | 24/10/2018 | 24/10/2018 | 135,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 67,50,000 | 62,50,000 |
| 29 |  |  | 30005 | 36316 | 01/11/2018 | 01/11/2018 | $36,00,00,000$ | 5,00,000 | 18,00,000 | 13,00,000 |
| 30 |  |  | 30151 | 37651 | 16/11/2018 | 16/11/2018 | 55,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 27,50,000 | 22,50,000 |
| 31 |  |  | 31788 | 9405 | 15/03/2019 | 15/04/2019 | 18,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 9,00,000 | 4,00,000 |
| 32 |  |  | 31873 | 10192 | 26/03/2019 | 26/03/2019 | 75,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 37,50,000 | 32,50,000 |
| 33 |  |  | 31938 | 10804 | 29/03/2019 | 29/03/2019 | 50,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 25,00,000 | 20,00,000 |
| 34 |  |  | 31959 | 10986 | 29/03/2019 | 29/03/2019 | 84,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 42,00,000 | 37,00,000 |
| 35 |  |  | 32178 | 13004 | 16/04/2019 | 16/04/2019 | 640,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 3,20,00,000 | 3,15,00,000 |
| 36 |  |  | 32402 | 15054 | 02/05/2019 | 02/05/2019 | 40,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 20,00,000 | 15,00,000 |
| 37 |  |  | 33447 | 24567 | 24/07/2019 | 24/07/2019 | 20,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 10,00,000 | 5,00,000 |
| 38 |  |  | 33454 | 24630 | 24/07/2019 | 24/07/2019 | 75,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 37,50,000 | 32,50,000 |
| 39 |  |  | 33646 | 26394 | 09/08/2019 | 09/08/2019 | 112,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 56,00,000 | 51,00,000 |
| 40 |  |  | 33657 | 26493 | 09/08/2019 | 09/08/2019 | 500,00,00,000 | 10,00,000 | 2,50,00,000 | 2,40,00,000 |
| 41 |  |  | 34131 | 30851 | 20/09/2019 | 20/09/2019 | 50,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 25,00,000 | 20,00,000 |
| 42 |  |  | 34179 | 31295 | 26/09/2019 | 26/09/2019 | 75,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 37,50,000 | 32,50,000 |

Compliance Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended 31 March 2021

| (Amount in ₹) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sl. No. | Name of units | District | Khand <br> No. | Doc No. | Date of execution | Date of registration | Secured amount | Total duty levied | Leviable stamp duty @ $0.5 \%$ | Difference of duty |
| 43 |  |  | 35468 | 43370 | 28/12/2019 | 28/12/2019 | 35,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 17,50,000 | 12,50,000 |
| 44 |  |  | 35747 | 2343 | 20/01/2020 | 20/01/2020 | 85,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 42,50,000 | 37,50,000 |
| 45 |  |  | 35894 | 3777 | 30/01/2020 | 30/01/2020 | 450,00,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 2,25,00,000 | 2,20,00,000 |
| 46 |  |  | 36038 | 5129 | 10/02/2020 | 10/02/2020 | 27,50,00,000 | 5,00,100 | 13,75,000 | 8,74,900 |
| 47 |  |  | 38404 | 5268 | 08/03/2021 | 08/03/2021 | 31,00,00,000 | 5,00,500 | 15,50,000 | 10,49,500 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70,90,13,66,868 | 2,50,03,150 | 35,45,06,834 | 3,29,503,684 |

Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.
APPENDIX- XXXII
Short levy of stamp and additional stamp duty on mortgage deeds (without possession) (Amount in ₹)

| Leviable <br> additional <br> stamp duty <br> @ 2\% | Short levy of <br> additional <br> stamp duty |
| ---: | ---: |
| $14,00,000$ | $12,50,000$ |
| $11,61,300$ | $9,51,625$ |
| $7,00,000$ | $3,75,000$ |
| 0 | $1,70,00,000$ |
| $11,06,120$ | $8,82,650$ |
| $11,36,318$ | $9,20,397$ |
| $11,30,000$ | $9,12,500$ |
| $21,31,280$ | $21,64,100$ |
| $10,20,000$ | $7,75,000$ |
| $5,60,000$ | $2,00,000$ |
| $9,80,000$ | $7,25,000$ |
| $13,08,000$ | $11,35,000$ |

 $\mathbf{4 1 3 , 1 6 , 5 0 , 6 2 5} ~ \mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 , 0 0 0} \quad \mathbf{2 , 0 6 , 5 8 , 2 5 4} \quad \mathbf{1 , 2 6 , 3 3 , 0 1 8}) \quad \mathbf{2 , 7 2 , 9 1 , 2 7 2}$ (Reference Para No. 3.4.5.1 to 3.4. Leviable
stamp duty
@ 0.5\%
$000^{\circ} 0 \varsigma^{〔} \varepsilon$
2,90,325
1,75,00,000
2,76,530
2,84,079
,
2,55,000
1,40,000
2,45,000
6,54,00,000 5,00,000 3,27,000 Total duty
levied

| $7,00,00,000$ | $5,00,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $5,80,65,000$ | $5,00,000$ |


$5,80,65,000 \quad 5,00,000$ | $3,50,00,00,000$ | $5,00,000$ |
| ---: | ---: | $5,53,06,000 \quad 5,00,000$

5,68,15,875
5,65,00,000 5,00,000
10,65,63,750 $\quad 5,00,000$
5,10,00,000 5,00,000
2,80,00,000 5,00,000
4,90,00,000 $\quad 5,00,000$
13,08,000 Secured
amount
16/07/2021
26/06/2020
16/02/2022
29/07/2019
02/09/2021
23/04/2021
08/04/2021
08/04/2021
30/03/2019
22/09/2021
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { 그N }}$
29/10/2021
Date of
registration

| 2531 |
| :--- |
| 3743 |
| 1851 |
| 4158 |
| 3126 |

3126
13943
9663
355
16276
$\frac{\infty}{2}$ 7049 6863 TOTAL
Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.
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fill in place of mortgage deeds (without possion)
APPENDIX- XXXIII
Short levy of stamp and additional stamp duty due to execution of deposit of title

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mount in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of unit | District | Khand <br> No. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Doc. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Date of execution | Date of registration | Type of deed | Mortgaged/ Secured amount | Paid stamp duty | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Leviable } \\ \text { stamp duty } \\ \text { a } 0.5 \text { per } \\ \text { cent } \end{array}$ | Leviable <br> additional <br> stamp duty <br> @ 2 per cent | Difference |
| 1 | SR-III | Agra | 9537 | 4297 | 20/05/2019 | 20/05/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 1,10,00,000 | 10,000 | 55,000 | 2,20,000 | 2,65,000 |
| 2 |  |  | 10839 | 1322 | 19/01/2019 | 01/02/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 32,00,000 | 10,000 | 16,000 | 64,000 | 70,000 |
| 3 | SR-II | Bareilly | 11003 | 3530 | 26/03/2019 | 26/03/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 22,75,000 | 10,000 | 11,375 | 45,500 | 46,875 |
| 4 |  |  | 11518 | 9673 | 06/09/2019 | 06/09/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 25,00,000 | 10,000 | 12,500 | 50,000 | 52,500 |
| 5 | SR-Dadri |  | 17606 | 14005 | 06/04/2021 | 06/04/2021 | Deposits of title deed | 61,61,000 | 10,000 | 30,805 | 0 | 20,805 |
| 6 | SR-I, Noida |  | 9271 | 3252 | 24/05/2019 | 24/05/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 2,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 1,00,000 | 0 | 90,000 |
| 7 | SR-I |  | 16175 | 1114 | 05/02/2019 | 05/02/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 2,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 1,00,000 | 4,00,000 | 4,90,000 |
| 8 |  |  | 13944 | 6978 | 07/06/2018 | 07/06/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 75,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 37,50,000 | 1,50,00,000 | 1,87,40,000 |
| 9 |  |  | 14180 | 9170 | 24/07/2018 | 24/07/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 31,50,000 | 10,000 | 15,750 | 63,000 | 68,750 |
| 10 |  |  | 14359 | 10848 | 06/09/2018 | 06/09/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 25,00,000 | 10,000 | 12,500 | 50,000 | 52,500 |
| 11 |  |  | 14658 | 13604 | 16/11/2018 | 16/11/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 8,25,000 | 4,200 | 4,125 | 16,500 | 16,425 |
| 12 |  |  | 15602 | 7915 | 11/06/2019 | 11/06/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 40,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 20,00,000 | 80,00,000 | 99,90,000 |
| 13 |  |  | 16305 | 14639 | 26/11/2019 | 26/11/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 36,05,000 | 10,000 | 18,025 | 72,100 | 80,125 |
| 14 |  |  | 14576 | 5341 | 18/06/2019 | 18/06/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 3,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 1,50,000 | 6,00,000 | 7,40,000 |
| 15 |  |  | 13732 | 5769 | 25/07/2018 | 26/07/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 27,00,000 | 10,000 | 13,500 | 54,000 | 57,500 |
| 16 | SR-III | Ghaziabad | 13701 | 5426 | 13/07/2018 | 13/07/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 6,00,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| 17 | SR-II |  | 14284 | 2295 | 06/03/2019 | 12/03/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 85,00,000 | 10,000 | 42,500 | 1,70,000 | 2,02,500 |
| 18 |  |  | 13877 | 7302 | 25/09/2018 | 25/09/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 4,35,000 | 2,200 | 2,175 | 8,700 | 8,675 |
| 19 |  |  | 13424 | 2523 | 05/04/2018 | 05/04/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 35,25,837 | 10,000 | 17,629 | 70,517 | 78,146 |
| 20 |  |  | 36325 | 17684 | 07/08/2018 | 07/08/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 1,58,85,300 | 10,000 | 79,427 | 3,17,706 | 3,87,133 |
| 21 |  |  | 36325 | 17685 | 07/08/2018 | 07/08/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 1,06,00,000 | 10,000 | 53,000 | 2,12,000 | 2,55,000 |
| 22 | SR-IV |  | 36718 | 22096 | 05/10/2018 | 05/10/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 24,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 12,00,000 | 48,00,000 | 59,90,000 |
| 23 |  |  | 37445 | 3074 | 06/02/2019 | 06/02/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 1250,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 6,25,00,000 | 25,00,00,000 | 31,24,90,000 |
| 24 |  |  | 36682 | 21702 | 28/09/2018 | 28/09/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 8,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 4,00,000 | 16,00,000 | 19,90,000 |
| 25 | SR-V |  | 7785 | 3743 | 17/07/2019 | 17/07/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 15,50,849 | 7,800 | 7,754 | 31,017 | 30,971 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of unit | District | Khand No. | Doc. No. | Date of execution | Date of registration | Type of deed | Mortgaged/ Secured amount | Paid stamp duty | Leviable stamp duty <br> @ 0.5 per cent | Leviable additional stamp duty @ 2 per cent | Difference |
| 26 | SR-III | Lucknow | 12923 | 2623 | 02/05/2018 | 02/05/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 22,00,000 | 10,000 | 11,000 | 44,000 | 45,000 |
| 27 | SR-I | Mathura | 14051 | 7566 | 25/05/2018 | 29/05/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 9,50,00,000 | 10,020 | 4,75,000 | 19,00,000 | 23,64,980 |
| 28 |  |  | 15043 | 9891 | 11/07/2019 | 11/07/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 10,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 5,00,000 | 20,00,000 | 24,90,000 |
| 29 |  |  | 16470 | 4269 | 08/02/2021 | 02/03/2021 | Deposits of title deed | 2,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 1,00,000 | 4,00,000 | 4,90,000 |
| 30 | SR-II |  | 9027 | 10564 | 20/09/2018 | 20/09/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 5,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 2,50,000 | 10,00,000 | 12,40,000 |
| 31 | SR-I | Meerut | 12143 | 3541 | 12/04/2018 | 12/04/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 5,00,00,000 | 10,000 | 2,50,000 | 10,00,000 | 12,40,000 |
| 32 |  |  | 12276 | 6066 | 21/06/2018 | 02/07/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 26,47,00,000 | 10,000 | 13,23,500 | 52,94,000 | 66,07,500 |
| 33 |  |  | 13001 | 7811 | 23/09/2019 | 24/09/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 9,79,841 | 10,000 | 4,899 | 19,597 | 14,496 |
| 34 |  |  | 13284 | 1947 | 27/02/2020 | 03/03/2020 | Deposits of title deed | 14,58,586 | 10,000 | 7,293 | 29,172 | 26,465 |
| 35 |  |  | 13714 | 182 | 04/01/2021 | 06/01/2021 | Deposits of title deed | 4,86,445 | 10,000 | 2,432 | 9,729 | 2,161 |
| 36 | SR-III |  | 14747 | 1229 | 22/01/2021 | 22/01/2021 | Deposits of title deed | 17,00,000 | 10,000 | 8,500 | 34,000 | 32,500 |
| 37 | SR-Sardhana |  | 9273 | 2407 | 13/02/2020 | 13/02/2020 | Deposits of title deed | 21,97,000 | 10,000 | 10,985 | 43,940 | 44,925 |
| 38 |  |  | 8263 | 14065 | 24/08/2018 | 24/08/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 9,11,218 | 10,000 | 4,556 | 18,224 | 12,780 |
| 39 | SR-I | Prayagraj | 10813 | 7134 | 18/12/2019 | 18/12/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 28,91,311 | 10,000 | 14,457 | 57,826 | 62,283 |
| 40 | SR-I | Varanasi | 11074 | 963 | 11/02/2019 | 11/02/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 56,27,512 | 10,000 | 28,138 | 1,12,550 | 1,30,688 |
| 41 |  |  | 12400 | 1327 | 10/02/2021 | 11/02/2021 | Deposits of title deed | 14,40,000 | 10,100 | 7,200 | 28,800 | 25,900 |
| 42 |  |  | 12434 | 1791 | 24/02/2021 | 24/02/2021 | Deposits of title deed | 48,00,000 | 10,000 | 24,000 | 96,000 | 1,10,000 |
| 43 | SR-II |  | 9554 | 2929 | 15/05/2018 | 15/05/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 60,99,530 | 10,000 | 30,498 | 1,21,991 | 1,42,488 |
| 44 |  |  | 9961 | 7761 | 17/12/2018 | 17/12/2018 | Deposits of title deed | 24,35,000 | 10,100 | 12,175 | 48,700 | 50,775 |
| 45 |  |  | 10295 | 3679 | 13/06/2019 | 13/06/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 1,70,00,000 | 10,000 | 85,000 | 3,40,000 | 4,15,000 |
| 46 |  |  | 10538 | 6457 | 04/10/2019 | 04/10/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 2,97,00,000 | 10,000 | 1,48,500 | 5,94,000 | 7,32,500 |
| 47 |  |  | 10688 | 8161 | 13/12/2019 | 13/12/2019 | Deposits of title deed | 25,00,000 | 20,000 | 12,500 | 50,000 | 42,500 |
| 48 |  |  | 11023 | 3524 | 02/09/2020 | 02/09/2020 | Deposits of title deed | 48,36,741 | 10,000 | 24,184 | 96,735 | 1,10,919 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14,78,59,76,170 | 4,67,420 | 7,39,29,881 | 29,51,96,303 | 36,86,58,764 |

Compliance Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended 31 March 2021
Short levy of stamp and additional stamp duty due to execution of deposit of title deeds in place of mortgage deeds (without possession) (Reference Para No. 3.4.6)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amount in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of unit | District | Khand No. | Doc. No. | Date of registration | Type of deed | Mortgaged/ Secured amount | Paid stamp duty | Leviable stamp duty <br> (a) 0.5 per cent | Leviable additional stamp duty @ 2 per cent | Difference |
| 1 | SR-I | Agra | 10762 | 3738 | 24/10/2019 | Deposits of Title Deed | 10,00,00,000 | 10,030 | 5,00,000 | 20,00,000 | 24,89,970 |
| 2 | SR-Sadar | Barabanki | 12862 | 2005 | 03/02/2020 | Deposits of Title Deed | 26,45,00,000 | 10,000 | 13,22,500 | 52,90,000 | 66,02,500 |
| 3 | SR-I | Bareilly | 10183 | 916 | 23/01/2019 | Deposits of Title Deed | 2,66,00,000 | 10,000 | 1,33,000 | 5,32,000 | 6,55,000 |
| 4 | SR-I | Lucknow | 629 | 287 | 28/12/2019 | Deposits of Title Deed | 1,21,80,000 | 10,000 | 60,900 | 2,43,600 | 2,94,500 |
| 5 | SR-III | Meerut | 15378 | 16106 | 26/11/2021 | Deposits of Title Deed | 40,00,000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 80,000 | 90,000 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40,72,80,000 | $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 3 0}$ | 20,36,400 | 81,45,600 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 , 3 1 , 9 7 0}$ |



| (Amount in ₹) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | Name of units | District | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Khand } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Doc <br> No. | Date of execution | Date of registration | Type of deed | Secured amount | Stamp duty paid | Registration fees paid | Leviable stamp duty @ 0.5 per cent | Leviable additional stamp duty @ 2 per cent | Leviable registration fees | Difference |
| 1 | SR-II | Agra | 14907 | 3650 | 24/07/2020 | 24/07/2020 | Security Bond | 2,33,60,000 | 120 | 100 | 1,16,800 | 4,67,200 | 2,33,600 | 8,17,380 |
| 2 |  |  | 14907 | 3649 | 24/07/2020 | 24/07/2020 | Security Bond | 3,53,60,000 | 120 | 100 | 1,76,800 | 7,07,200 | 3,53,600 | 12,37,380 |
| 3 |  |  | 14945 | 4247 | 17/08/2020 | 17/08/2020 | Security Bond | 1,04,70,000 | 300 | 100 | 52,350 | 2,09,400 | 1,04,700 | 3,66,050 |
| 4 |  |  | 15067 | 6316 | 16/10/2020 | 16/10/2020 | Security Bond | 1,67,60,000 | 300 | 100 | 83,800 | 3,35,200 | 1,67,600 | 5,86,200 |
| 5 |  |  | 15196 | 8616 | 22/12/2020 | 22/12/2020 | Security <br> Bond | 1,01,70,765 | 300 | 100 | 50,854 | 2,03,415 | 1,01,708 | 3,55,577 |
| 6 | SR-II | Ghaziabad | 13924 | 6759 | 01/06/2018 | 01/06/2018 | Security <br> Bond | 13,86,89,136 | 100 | 100 | 6,93,446 | 27,73,783 | 20,000 | 34,87,028 |
| 7 |  |  | 14468 | 11850 | 03/10/2018 | 04/10/2018 | Security <br> Bond | 1,21,99,224 | 100 | 100 | 60,996 | 2,43,984 | 20,000 | 3,24,781 |
| 8 |  |  | 14778 | 186 | 10/01/2019 | 10/01/2019 | Security Bond | 9,23,70,082 | 100 | 100 | 4,61,850 | 18,47,402 | 20,000 | 23,29,052 |
| 9 |  |  | 14924 | 1566 | 30/01/2019 | 30/01/2019 | Security Bond | 3,35,61,526 | 100 | 100 | 1,67,808 | 6,71,231 | 20,000 | 8,58,838 |
| 10 |  |  | 15467 | 6669 | 15/05/2019 | 15/05/2019 | Security <br> Bond | 52,72,997 | 100 | 100 | 26,365 | 1,05,460 | 20,000 | 1,51,625 |
| 11 |  |  | 16138 | 12992 | 14/10/2019 | 14/10/2019 | Security Bond | 4,37,93,075 | 500 | 100 | 2,18,965 | 8,75,862 | 20,000 | 11,14,227 |
| 12 |  |  | 14862 | 955 | 21/01/2019 | 21/01/2019 | Security Bond | 11,20,50,000 | 100 | 100 | 5,60,250 | 22,41,000 | 20,000 | 28,21,050 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53,40,56,805 | 2,240 | 1,200 | 26,70,284 | 1,06,81,136 | 11,01,208 | 1,44,49,188 |

Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.
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Levy of stamp, additional stamp duty and registration fee on security bonds according to the Acts and notification

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amount in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of unit | District | Khand No. | Doc No. | Date of execution | Date of registration | Type of deed | Mortgagor | Mortgagee | Secured amount | Stamp duty levied <br> @ 2.5\% | Registration fee levied |
| 1 | SR-Sardhana | Meerut | 10493 | 20061 | 11/16/2021 | 11/16/2021 | Security Bond cum Mortgage Deed | Asshiyana Construction referred as the colonizer | Meerut Development Authority, Meerut | 30,00,000 | 75,000 | 30,000 |
| 2 | SR-Sardhana | Meerut | 10202 | 9010 | 6/10/2021 | 6/10/2021 | Security Bond cum Mortgage Deed | Ansal Housing Ltd. | Meerut Development Authority, Meerut | 25,00,000 | 62,500 | 25,000 |
| 3 | SR-I | Meerut | 13519 | 6394 | 10/6/2020 | 10/7/2020 | Security Bond cum Mortgage Deed | M/s Jai Kishan Estate Developers Pvt. Ltd. | Meerut Development Authority, Meerut | 2,63,55,512 | 6,59,000 | 2,63,560 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,18,55,512 | 7,96,500 | 3,18,560 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mount in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{S I} . \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of unit | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Khand } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Doc. No. | Date of Regn | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 1st } \\ \text { Party } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2nd } \\ \text { Party } \end{array}$ | Araji No. | Area | Facts narrated by the executors | Rate | Valuation done by the Deptt | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate of } \\ \text { stamp duty } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Levied } \\ \text { stamp duty } \end{gathered}$ | Levied Reg. fees | Required rate to be calculated | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Valuation } \\ \text { as per } \\ \text { correct } \\ \text { rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leviable } \\ \text { stamp } \\ \text { duty } \end{gathered}$ | Leviable Reg. Fee | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { difference } \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 |  | 12813 | 11347 | 16/08/2021 | A | D |  | 91.97 SM | Residential Plot with 25 Feet Rd | 3500/SM | 322000 | 7\% | 22550 | 3220 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | For 1st 500SM valued @ Rs. 3200/SM rest area . 2669 Hec valued @ Rs. 120Lakh/Hec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR-Sadar, Basti | 8363 | 1544 | 27/02/2019 | A | B | 2589S | 0.2530 Hec | Agriculture Land 200 M away from abadi \& Rd | $\begin{aligned} & 112 \text { Lakh/ } \\ & \text { Hec } \end{aligned}$ | 2834000 | 7\% | 198500 | 20000 | 8000 | 20240000 | 1416800 | 20000 | 1218300 |
|  |  | 8247 | 10935 | 18/12/2018 | C | D |  | 126.50 SM | Residential Plot and Link Road | 8400/SM | 1063000 | 6 \& 7\% | 64500 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9852 | 8881 | 25/11/2021 | C | E |  | 160.00 SM |  | 9500/SM | 1520000 | 6 \& 7\% | 96400 | 15200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | SR-Sadar, Barabanki | 14310 | 15423 | 19/08/2021 | A | B | 534MI | 0.2510 Hec | Agriculture Land away from Road \& Abadi | 48 Lakh/ Hec | 1950000 | 5\% | 97500 | 19500 | 4200 | 10542000 | 527100 | 105420 | 515520 |
|  |  | 14094 | 10118 | 07/06/2021 | A | C |  | 288.89 SM | Residential plot surrounded by plots \& Road | 4200/SM | 1214000 | 5\% | 60800 | 12140 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | SR-Sadar, Etawa | 9044 | 9167 | 04/11/2019 | A | B | 614 | 0.1620 Hec | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agricultural } \\ \text { Land } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \text { Lakh/ } \\ & \text { Hec } \end{aligned}$ | 2333000 | 7\% | 163320 | 20000 | 9000 | 14580000 | 1020600 | 20000 | 857280 |
|  |  | 8970 | 7857 | 19/09/2019 | A | C |  | 1225 SF | Part of Araji given on Lease \& shown building |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | SR-I Noida, GB Nagar | 9315 | 3652 | 11/06/2019 | A | B | 282 | 269.78 SM | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { Plot } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 13000/SM | 3508000 | 4 \& 5\% | 165500 | 20000 | 104000 | 28058000 | 1392900 | 20000 | 1227400 |
|  |  | 9315 | 3649 | 11.06.2019 | A | B |  | 109.06 SM | Commercial <br> Plot with 138.80 <br> SM covered <br> area | 104000/SM | 13425000 | 4 \& 5\% | 661500 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | 10516 | 2204 | 10/06/2021 | A | B | 879/2M | 0.1683 Hec | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agricultural } \\ \text { Land } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 264 Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{array}$ | 4443120 | 5\% | 222206 | 44440 | 13000 | 21879000 | 1093950 | 218790 | 1046094 |
| 11 |  | 10516 | 2208 | 10/06/2021 | A | B |  | 0.1852 Hec | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agricultural } \\ \text { Land } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { 264 Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4889280 | 5\% | 244514 | 48900 | 13000 | 24076000 | 1203800 | 240760 | 1151146 |
|  |  | 10069 | 2848 | 24/07/2020 | C | D |  | 50.16 SM | Residential Plot <br> surrounded by <br> Plots \& 15 Feet <br> wide Road | 13000/SM | 653000 | 4\% | 26150 | 6530 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9811 | 209 | 08.01.2020 | C | E |  | 83.61 SM |  | 13000/SM | 1087000 | 5\% | 54350 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | SR-III,Ghaziabad | 15485 | 4160 | 19/08/2020 | A | B | 1153MI | 0.2530 Hec | AgricultureLand on LinkRd and 500 Maway frommainroad,attachedwith Abadi | 187 Lakh/ Hec | 4732000 | 7\% | 332000 | 47320 | * | 12653000 | 885710 | 126530 | 632920 |
| 13 |  | 15485 | 4161 | 19/08/2020 | A | B |  | 0.2530 Hec |  |  | 4732000 | 7\% | 332000 | 47320 | * | 12653000 | 885710 | 126530 | 632920 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mount in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Sll} \\ \mathrm{No} . \end{array}$ | Name of unit | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Khand } \\ \text { No. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Doc. No. | Date of Regn | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 1st } \\ \text { Party } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2nd } \\ \text { Party } \end{gathered}$ | Araji No. | Area | Facts narrated by the executors | Rate | Valuation done by the Deptt | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate of } \\ \text { stamp duty } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Levied } \\ \text { stamp duty } \end{gathered}$ | Levied Reg. fees | Required rate to be calculated | Valuation as per correct | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leviable } \\ \text { stamp } \\ \text { duty } \end{gathered}$ | Leviable Reg. Fee | Total <br> difference |
|  |  | 15438 | 3655 | 28/07/2020 | C | D |  | 42.64SM | Residential | 5500/SM | 235000 | 6\% | 14100 | 2350 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 15468 | 3984 | 13/08/2020 | C | E |  | 85.28SM | situated in developed colony | $\begin{array}{\|c} 5500 / \text { SM } \\ 8 \% \end{array}$ | 507000 | 6\% | 30500 | 5070 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *For 1st 1000 SM @ of Rs. 5500/SM and for the rest area valued @ Rs. 4675 i.e. $85 \%$ of prescribed rate according to the General Instruction Sl. No. 35 (3) of the effective rate list. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | SR-IV, Ghaziabad | 40383 | 9805 | 03/09/2021 | A | B | 528MI | 0.18074 Hec | Agriculture Land away from Rd. and attached with abadi | $\begin{gathered} 254.70 \\ \text { Lakh } / \mathrm{Hec} \end{gathered}$ | 4604000 | 7\% | 322500 | 46040 | * | 15540000 | 1087800 | 155400 | 874660 |
|  |  | 40355 | 9438 | 27/08/2021 | C | D |  | 41.80 SM | Residential <br> Land under <br> colony attached <br>  <br> Road | 9000/SM | 377000 | 7\% | 26400 | 3770 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 40201 | 7345 | 14/07/2021 | E | F |  | 41.80 SM |  | 9000/SM | 377000 | 6\% | 22700 | 3770 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *For 1st 1000 SM @ of Rs. |  |  |  |  | 9000/SM an | d for the rest ar | rea 807.40 SM valu | ued @ Rs. 81 | 0 i.e. $90 \%$ of p | scribed rate ac | ording to the | neral Instru | ction Sl. No. | 35 (2) of the | effective rat | e list. |  |
| 15 |  | 39689 | 626 | 18/01/2021 | A | B | 132 | 0.0836 Hec | Agriculture <br> Land on Main <br> Rd. attached <br> with Temple <br> Ren | $\underset{\text { lakh/ Hec }}{226.60}$ | 1895000 | 7\% | 133000 | 18950 | 10000 | 8360000 | 585200 | 83600 | 516850 |
|  |  | 39404 | 7436 | 22/10/2020 | C | D |  | 16.25 SM | Residential Plot attached with Plots and 30F Rd | 10000/SM | 163000 | 6\% | 10000 | 1630 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | SR-V, Ghaziabad | 8742 | 3305 | 10/09/2021 | A | B | $\begin{gathered} 1108 \& \\ 1109 \end{gathered}$ | 0.2210 Hec | Agriculture land, 500 m away from Rd \& near to abadi | $\begin{aligned} & 640 \text { Lakh/ } \\ & \text { Hec } \end{aligned}$ | 19852794 | 7\% | 1389900 | 198528 | 16700* | 34887000 | 2442090 | 348870 | 1202532 |
|  |  | 8693 | 2635 | 28/07/2021 | B | C |  | 83.61 SM | Residential plot sorrounded by pots \& road under the developed coloy. | 16700/SM | 1400000 | 6 \& 7\% | 88000 | 14000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8706 | 2818 | 10/08/2021 | B | D |  | 71.06 SM | Residential <br> house <br> sourrended by <br> plots \& road <br> under the <br> developed <br> colony | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16700/SM } \\ \& \\ 12000 / \mathrm{SM} \\ \text { for const. } \end{gathered}$ | 2100000 | 7\% | 147000 | 21000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | * For 1 | st 1000 | @ | Rs. 16700 | M and for the | rest area valued @ | Rs. 15030 i.e. | 90\% of pres | d rate acco | to the Gen | Instruction | II. No. 35 | of the effec | rate list |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of unit | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Khand } \\ \text { No. } \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Doc. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Date of Regn | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 1st } \\ \text { Party } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2nd } \\ \text { Party } \end{array}$ | Araji No. | Area | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Facts narrated } \\ \text { by the } \\ \text { executors } \end{array}$ | Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Valuation } \\ \text { done by the } \\ \text { Deptt } \end{gathered}$ | Rate of stamp duty | Levied stamp duty | $\begin{gathered} \text { Levied } \\ \text { Reg. fees } \end{gathered}$ | Required rate to be calculated | $\begin{gathered} \text { Valuation } \\ \text { as per } \\ \text { correct } \\ \text { rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Leviable } \\ \text { stamp } \\ \text { duty } \end{array}$ | Leviable Reg. Fee | Total <br> difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 |  | 7350 | 7485 | 01/11/2018 | A | B | 395 | 0.1524 Hec |  <br> 2KM away <br> from main Rd <br> \& Agri land <br> shown in <br> surroundings | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \text { Lakh/ } \\ & \text { Hec } \end{aligned}$ | 1372000 | 6 \& 7\% | 86050 | 20000 | 8200 | 12068000 | 834760 | 20000 | 748710 |
|  |  | 6587 | 7320 | 29/12/2017 | C | D |  | 25.08 SM | Residential plot sorrounded by plots \& road under the developed coloy. | 8200/SM | 206000 | 7\% | 14500 | 4120 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6588 | 7324 | 29/12/2017 | C | E |  | 20.90 SM |  | 8200/SM | 172000 | 7\% | 10400 | 3440 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *For 1st 1000 SM @ of Rs. $8200 /$ SM and for the rest area valued @ Rs. 7380 i.e. 90\% of prescribed rate according to the General Instruction Sl. No. 35 (2) of the effective rate list. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | SR-I, Gorakhpur | 16808 | 3390 | 12/03/2021 | A | B | $\begin{gathered} 839-823- \\ 825 \end{gathered}$ | 0.4060 Hec | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Agriculture } \\ \text { Land } 1 \mathrm{KM} \\ \text { away from Rd } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \text { Lakh } / \\ \text { Hec } \end{gathered}$ | 8000000 | 5\% | 400000 | 80000 | * | 23753000 | 1187650 | 237530 | 945180 |
|  |  | 16826 | 3710 | 19/03/2021 | B | C |  | 185.8736SM | Residential Plot \& Road | 8000/SM | 1487000 | 4 \& 5 \% | 64520 | 14870 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16484 | 9744 | 02/12/2020 | D | E |  | 232.34 SM | Residential Plot | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8000 / \mathrm{SM}+ \\ 10 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2045000 | 4 \& 5 \% | 92250 | 20450 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16499 | 9928 | 05/12/2020 | D | F |  | 232.342 SM | \& two Roads | $\begin{gathered} 8000 / \mathrm{SM}+ \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 2045000 | 5\% | 102300 | 20450 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | or 1st | 500SM @ Rs. | 7500, | d 500 | SM valued @ | Rs. 6375 (85\% | 3 rd 1000 SM v | lued @ Rs. 6 | 0 (80\%) and | $t 2060$ SM vad | ed @ Rs. 525 | 70\%) accor | ding to Gene | al Instructio | No. 31 of | effective rate | te list. |
| 19 |  | 17008 | 7308 | 14/07/2021 | A | B | 838-839 | 0.2590 Hec | Agriculture <br> Land 1 KM <br> away from Rd <br> and <br> agricultural <br> activity within <br> 200 M. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Hec }}}{85 \mathrm{Lakh} /}$ | 4942800 | 5\% | 247200 | 49400 | * | 16035000 | 801750 | 160350 | 665500 |
|  |  | 17018 | 7509 | 19/07/2021 | B | C |  | 232.342 SM | Residential Plot \& two Roads | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 8000 / \mathrm{SM}+ \\ 10 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2045000 | 5\% | 102300 | 20450 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16826 | 3710 | 19/03/2021 | B | D |  | 185.8736 SM |  | 8000/SM | 1487000 | 4 \& 5 \% | 64520 | 14870 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | * For 1st 500SM @ Rs. 7500, 2nd 500 |  |  |  |  | SM valued | (1)Rs. 6375 (85 | \%) 3 rd 1000 SM va | lued @ Rs. 6 | 00 (80\%) and | 590 SM valu | @ Rs. 5250 | \%) accor | ling to Gene | al Instruction | No. 31 of | ffective rat | e list. |
| 20 | SR-II Gorakhpur | 18232 | 16447 | 13/12/2021 | A | B | 222/1/1 | 0.2430 Hec | Agriculture <br> Land 1KM <br> away from the <br> Road | $\begin{gathered} 360 \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{gathered}$ | 8748000 | 7\% | 612500 | 87480 | * | 24312000 | 1701840 | 243120 | 1244980 |
|  |  | 15410 | 1955 | 15/02/2019 | C | D |  | 84.94 SM | Residential | 13800/SM | 1173000 | 6 \& 7\% | 72200 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 15442 | 2377 | 23/02/2019 | E | F |  | 250.93 SM | Plots \& Rd. | 13800/SM | 3463000 | 7\% | 242520 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *For 1st 500SM @ Rs.12000, 2nd 500 SM valued @ Rs. 10200 (85\%) 3rd 1000 SM valued @ Rs. 9600 (80\%) and rest 430 SM valued @ Rs. 8400 (70\%) according to General Instruction No. 31 of effective rate list. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| (Amount in ₹) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of unit | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Khand } \\ \text { No. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Doc. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Date of Regn | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { 1st } \\ \text { Party } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & \text { Party } \end{aligned}$ | Araji No. | Area | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Facts narrated } \\ \text { by the } \\ \text { executors } \end{array}$ | Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Valuation } \\ \text { done by the } \\ \text { Deptt } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate of } \\ \text { stamp duty } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Levied } \\ \text { stamp duty } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Levied } \\ & \text { Reg. fees } \end{aligned}$ | Required rate to be calculated |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leviable } \\ \text { stamp } \\ \text { duty } \end{gathered}$ | Leviable Reg. Fee | Total difference |
| 21 | SR-Sadar, Janunpur | 7652 | 188 | 05/01/2019 | A | B | $\begin{gathered} 52-53-55- \\ 56 \end{gathered}$ | 0.8100 Hec | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Agriculture } \\ \text { land away } \\ \text { from Rd and } \\ \text { market } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 97.50 \text { lakh } / \\ \text { Hec } \end{array}\right\|$ | 7898000 | 7\% | 552860 | 20000 | 7200 | 58320000 | 4082400 | 20000 | 3529540 |
|  |  | 7654 | 243 | 07/01/2019 | B | C |  | 8186.57 SM | Property given on lease by B for 30 years showing Road and Tin Shed for school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | SR-II, KanpurNagar | 9759 | 6106 | 19/08/2019 | A | B | $\begin{gathered} 338-341- \\ 377 \end{gathered}$ | 0.2370 Hec | Agricluture Land, 200M away from Abadi | $\begin{gathered} 100 \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{gathered}$ | 2403000 | 7\% | 168500 | 20000 | 4200 | 9954000 | 696780 | 20000 | 528280 |
|  |  | 9748 | 5957 | 09/08/2019 | C | D |  | 512.11 SM | Residential Plots \& Road | 4200/SM | 2151000 | 6 \& 7\% | 140600 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SR-IV, <br> Kanpur Nagar | 13336 | 8285 | 30/07/2021 | A | B | 804 | 0.5125 Hec | Agriculture Land, 500 m away from HW | $\begin{gathered} 40 \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec + 70\% } \end{gathered}$ | 3485000 | 7\% | 244000 | 34850 | 2200 | 11275000 | 789250 | 112750 | 623150 |
| 23 |  | 11999 | 9283 | 10/10/2019 | A | C |  | 50.17SM | Residential plot sorrounded by road \& plots | 2200/SM | 111000 | 6\% | 6660 | 2220 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12403 | 3517 | 30/06/2020 | A | D |  | 83.61SM |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2200 / \mathrm{SM}+ \\ 10 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 203000 | 6\% | 12200 | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13360 | 8718 | 09/08/2021 | B | E |  | 41.805SM |  | 2200/SM | 92000 | 6\% | 5600 | 920 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | SR-IV, Lucknow | 18617 | 9694 | 29/10/2020 | A | B | 345 | 0.3795 Hec | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 3KM away } \\ \text { form Hardoi } \\ \text { Rd. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 130 \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4940000 | 7\% | 346000 | 49400 | * | 20696000 | 1448720 | 206960 | 1260280 |
|  |  | 18299 | 4936 | 13/07/2020 | C | D |  | 92.936SM | 200M away <br> form Hardoi Rd <br> \& Plots and 18 <br> Feet wide Rd | 7000/SM | 651000 | 7\% | 45600 | 6590 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 18846 | 12831 | 30/12/2020 | B | E |  | 464.684SM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Two Roads } 20 \\ & \text { Feet wide } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 7000 / \mathrm{SM}+ \\ 10 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3579000 | 7\% | 252000 | 35790 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *For 1st 1000 SM @ of Rs. 7000/S |  |  |  |  |  | SM and for the | erest area valued | @ Rs. 4900 i.e | 70\% of prescr | bed rate accora | ing to the Gen | al Instructio | Sl. No. 18 of | of the effective | rate list. |  |  |
| 25 | SR-BKT, <br> Lucknow | 13449 | 10428 | 19/06/2021 | A | B | 968MI | 0.2530 Hec | Agriculture <br> land away <br> from the abadi <br> \& road | $\begin{gathered} 120 \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{gathered}$ | 3036000 | 7\% | 212600 | 30360 | 5500 | 13915000 | 974050 | 139150 | 870240 |
|  |  | 13259 | 5698 | 08/03/2021 | C | D |  | 209.572 SM | Residential plot | 5500/SM | 1152649 | 7\% | 80800 | 11530 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13692 | 17092 | 14/09/2021 | A | D |  | 771.375 SM | institutions | 5500/SM | 4242566 | 7\% | 297100 | 42430 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  | 13920 | 23424 | 09/12/2021 | A | B | 598 KHA | 0.2530 Hec | Agri land, 1KM away from Rd.\& near to abadi | * | 3746000 | 7\% | 262500 | 37460 | 4000 | 10120000 | 708400 | 101200 | 509640 |
|  |  | 13922 | 23485 | 09/12/2021 | B | C |  | 92.936 SM | Residential plot | 4000/SM | 372000 | 6\% | 22400 | 3720 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13925 | 23551 | 10/12/2021 | B | D |  | 241.635 SM | sorrounded by plots\& Rd. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 4000 / \text { SM }+ \\ 10 \% \end{array}$ | 1171000 | 6 \& 7\% | 72000 | 11710 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *For 1st 500 SM @ of Rs. 4000/SM and for the rest area valued @ Rs. 86 Lakh per hectare according to the General Instruction Sl. No. 13B of the effective rate list. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mount in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{Sl.} \\ \mathrm{No.} \end{array}$ | Name of unit | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Khand } \\ \text { No. } \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Doc. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Date of Regn | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 1st } \\ \text { Party } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & \text { Party } \end{aligned}$ | Araji No. | Area | Facts narrated <br> by the <br> executors | Rate | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Valuation } \\ \text { done by the } \\ \text { Deptt } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate of } \\ \text { stamp duty } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Levied } \\ \text { stamp duty } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Levied } \\ & \text { Reg. fees } \end{aligned}$ | Required rate to be calculated | Valuation as per correct rate | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Leviable } \\ \text { stamp } \\ \text { duty } \end{array}$ | Leviable Reg. Fee | Total difference |
| 31 |  | 10499 | 5748 | 30/04/2021 | A | B | 164 | 0.4050 Hec | Agriculturre <br> Land away from Rd. | $\begin{array}{\|c} 140 \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{array}$ | 5670000 | 7\% | 397000 | 56700 | * | 17010000 | 1190700 | 170100 | 907100 |
|  |  | 10436 | 3491 | 09/03/2021 | C | D |  | 92.89 SM | Residential plot | 6500/SM | 604000 | 7\% | 42300 | 6040 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10261 | 10673 | 18/11/2020 | C | E |  | 117.00 SM | $\|$under colony on <br>  <br> surrounded by <br> plots | 6500/SM | 761000 | 7\% | 53300 | 7610 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * valued @ 70\% of prescribed rate Rs. 6000/SM according to general instruction No. 13 of effective rate list |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SR-I } \\ \text { Moradabad } \end{gathered}$ | 17202 | 13713 | 23/07/2021 | A | B | 670 | 0.8260 Hec | Agriculture land away from NH \& Abadi | $\begin{gathered} 130 \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{gathered}$ | 10738000 | 6 \& 7\% | 741700 | 107380 | 10500 | 86730000 | 6061100 | 867300 | 6079320 |
|  |  | 17201 | 13708 | 23/07/2021 | A | C |  | 140.00SM | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Plots \& Road } 14 \\ \text { Feet } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2500/SM | 350000 | 6\% | 21000 | 3500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  | 16181 | 6458 | 02/07/2020 | A | B | 627 \& 628 | 0.1570 Hec | Away from Road \& Abadi | $\begin{gathered} 117 \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{gathered}$ | 1837000 | 6 \& 7\% | 119000 | 18370 | 6000 | 9420000 | 649400 | 94200 | 606230 |
|  |  | 15835 | 21065 | 07/12/2019 | A | C |  | 37.30 SM | 500M away | 6000/SM | 224000 | 6\% | 13450 | 4480 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 15835 | 21066 | 07/12/2019 | A | D |  | 77.10 sm | from Road, Plot $\& 12$ Feet Rd | 6000/SM | 463000 | 6\% | 27800 | 9260 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | SR-II, <br> Moradabad | 13418 | 8502 | 27/08/2021 | A | B | 163 | 0.46166 Hec | Agriculture Land at Link Rd attached with Abadi | $\begin{gathered} 97 \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{gathered}$ | 4479000 | 7\% | 313600 | 44790 | 4500 | 20775000 | 1454250 | 207750 | 1303610 |
|  |  | 13401 | 8057 | 16/08/2021 | C | D |  | 94.14 SM | Residential <br>  <br> Road <br> Res | 4500/SM | 424000 | 7\% | 29700 | 4240 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13419 | 8526 | 28/08/2021 | E | F |  | 140.52 SM | Residential Plots \& Road | 4500/SM | 633000 | 6\% | 38000 | 6330 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | SR-Karchana, Prayagraj | 6245 | 5157 | 01/07/2019 | A | B | 498 | 0.5587 Hec | Agriculture <br> Land <br> surrounded by <br>  <br> Abadi$\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 21.86 \\ \text { Lakh/ Hec } \\ +10 \% \end{array}$ | 1344000 | 4 \& 5\% | 57500 | 20000 | 2200 | 12292000 | 604600 | 20000 | 547100 |
|  |  | 6221 | 4844 | 21/06/2019 | C | D |  | 70.00 SM | Residential Plot <br>  <br> surrounded by <br> House | 2400/SM | 1078000 | 4 \& 5\% | 44000 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | SR-Phoolpur, Prayagraj | 8206 | 7564 | 15/10/2020 | A | B | 782MI | 0.2280 Hec | Agriculture land 200 M away from the road and Abadi | $\begin{array}{\|c} 152 \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{array}$ | 3466000 | 7\% | 243000 | 34660 | 10000 | 22800000 | 1596000 | 228000 | 1546340 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nount in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of unit | Khand No. | Doc. No. | Date of Regn | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { 1st } \\ \text { Party } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2nd } \\ \text { Party } \end{gathered}$ | Araji No. | Area | Facts narrated by the executors | Rate | Valuation done by the Deptt | Rate of stamp duty | Levied stamp duty | Levied Reg. fees | Required rate to be calculated | ```Valuation as per correct rate``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leviable } \\ \text { stamp } \\ \text { duty } \end{gathered}$ | Leviable Reg. Fee | Total difference |
|  |  | 8106 | 5593 | 03/09/2020 | A | C |  | 168.00 SM | Residential Plot surrounded by Plots, Bourndy wall \& 18 Feet wide road | 10500/SM | 1764000 | 6 \& 7\% | 113500 | 17640 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8208 | 7600 | 16/10/2020 | D | E |  | 8.40 SM | Plot \& 20 Feet wide road | 10500/SM | 89000 | 6\% | 5400 | 890 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  | 8234 | 8119 | 27/10/2020 | A | B | 243 | 0.2290 Hec | Agriculture Land, 200M away from the road \& Abadi | 191 Lakh/ Hec | 4374000 | 7\% | 306200 | 43740 | 10000 | 22900000 | 1603000 | 229000 | 1482060 |
|  |  | 8226 | 7954 | 23/10/2020 | A | C |  | 116.65 SM | Plot \& 18-20 feet road | 10500/SM | 1348000 | 6 \& 7\% | 84400 | 13480 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8342 | 10307 | 21/12/2020 | Son of A | D |  | 166.66SM | Plot \& 18 Feet Road | 10500/SM | 1225000 | 6 \& 7\% | 75800 | 12250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  | 7619 | 8349 | 12/09/2019 | A | B | 210 | 0.1948 Hec | 200 maway from the road | 4500/SM* | 2222000 | 7\% | 155600 | 20000 | 4800 | 9351000 | 654570 | 20000 | 498970 |
|  |  | 7471 | 5812 | 28.06.2019 | B | C |  | 168.00 SM | Plots \& 40 Feet Road | 5500/SM | 924000 | 6\% | 55500 | 18480 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7639 | 8712 | 30/09/2019 | B | D |  | 168.00 SM | Plots \& 30 Feet Road | 5200/SM | 874000 | 6\% | 55500 | 17480 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | * For 1st 500 SM 40\%, next 500 SM 20\% and for rest area 10\% percent of basic value according to general instruction No. 12B of Circle rate effective date 16.09.2019. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  | 8505 | 3094 | 05/04/2021 | A | B | 179 | 0.0978 Hec | Agri Land <br> Near to Road <br> \& Abadi | 7400/SM\# | 3282000 | 7\% | 230000 | 32820 | 7400 | 7238000 | 506660 | 72380 | 316220 |
|  |  | 8381 | 314 | 08/01/2021 | A | C |  | 168.00SM | Plot \& 20 Feet wide road | 7800/SM | 1311000 | 7\% | 91800 | 13110 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8522 | 3500 | 17/04/2021 | D | E |  | 105 SM | House \& 20 Feet wide Road | 7800/SM | 819000 | 6\% | 49200 | 8190 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \# For 1st 500 SM 60\% \& for rest area 30\% percent of basic value according to general instruction No. 12A of Circle rate effective date 16.09.2019. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | SR-II, <br> Saharanpur | 8342 | 6382 | 28/08/2021 | A | B |  | 0.2076 Hec | Agriculture land on Bypass Rd and 800M away from Abadi | 170 Lakh/ Hec | 3530000 | 7\% | 247100 | 35300 | 5700 | 11834000 | 828380 | 118340 | 664320 |
|  |  | 8061 | 1933 | 02/03/2021 | A | C | 2048M | 217.83 SM | Residential plots and two Roads | 5700/SM | 1366000 | 7\% | 95700 | 13660 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8422 | 7775 | 20/10/2021 | B | D |  | 167.22 SM | Residential plots and two Roads | 5700/SM | 954000 | 6\% | 62100 | 9540 |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 41 |  | 6890 | 2720 | 29/04/2019 | A | B | 1339 \& Others | 0.3416 Hec | Agriculture land in abadi and chak road | $\begin{gathered} \text { 95 Lakh } / \\ \text { Hec } \end{gathered}$ | 4750000 | 7\% | 341600 | 20000 | 4000 | 13664000 | 956480 | 20000 | 614880 |
|  |  | 6848 | 2208 | 01/04/2019 | B | C |  | 128.20 SM | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Residential plot } \\ \text { surrounded by } \end{array}$plots and Road | 4000/SM | 513000 | 6\% | 31000 | 10260 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6891 | 2722 | 26/04/2019 | B | D |  | 167.22 SM |  | 4000/SM | 746000 | 6\% | 45000 | 14920 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SR-Sadar, } \\ \text { Shahjahanpur } \end{gathered}$ | 14486 | 9005 | 04/06/2021 | A | B | 23 | 0.1305 Hec | Agriculture land on NH | * | 3790000 | 7\% | 265600 | 37900 | 35000 | 45675000 | 3197250 | 456750 | 3350500 |
|  |  | 14468 | 8701 | 27/05/2021 | A | C |  | 114.31SM |  | 6000/SM | 686000 | 6\% | 41200 | 6860 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 14505 | 9412 | 11/06/2021 | B | D |  | 95.26SM | 500M away from Rd \& 15 feet Road | 6000/SM | 572000 | 6\% | 34500 | 5720 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | * For 1st 200 SM @ of Rs. 6000/SM, next 200M valued @ 3000/SM and for the rest area valued @ Rs. 1.50 Crore per Hectare plus $20 \%$ extra according to the General Instruction Sl. No. 21 of the effective rate list. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  | 14159 | 2403 | 05/02/2021 | A | B | 96 | 0.754 Hec |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \text { 27 Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec + 10\% } \end{array}$ | 2240000 | 6 \& 7 \% | 156800 | 22400 | 3850 | 29029000 | 2022030 | 290290 | 2133120 |
| 44 |  | 14159 | 2402 | 05/02/2021 | A | B |  | 0.7530 Hec | Agriculture <br> Land with Two <br> Chakmarg | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \text { 27 Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec + 10\% } \end{array}$ | 2237000 | 6 \& 7\% | 146600 | 22370 | 3850 | 28991000 | 2019370 | 289910 | 2140310 |
|  |  | 14137 | 1891 | 29/01/2021 | B | C |  | 74.34 SM | Residential plotsurrounded byPlot \& 18 FeetRoad | 3500/SM | 261000 | 6\% | 15700 | 2610 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 14219 | 3699 | 22/02/2021 | B | D |  | 74.34 SM |  | 3500/SM | 261000 | 6\% | 15700 | 2610 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  | 13952 | 16008 | 04/12/2020 | A | B | 153MI | 0.5040 Hec | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agricultural } \\ & \text { Land } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40 \mathrm{Lakh} / \\ \text { Hec } \end{gathered}$ | 2016000 | 7\% | 141200 | 20160 | 5000 | 25200000 | 1764000 | 252000 | 1854640 |
|  |  | 13952 | 16002 | 04.12.2020 | A | C |  | 93.68SM | Residential Plot <br> with two side <br> Rd $20-30$ Feet | 6600/SM | 619000 | 7\% | 43500 | 6190 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13952 | 16009 | 04/12/2020 | A | D |  | 156.13 SM |  | 6600/SM | 1031000 | 6 \& 7\% | 62200 | 10310 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  | 14584 | 11004 | 02/07/2021 | A | B | 25 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 5 0 ~ H e c ~}$ | Agricultural Land | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \text { Lakh/ } \\ & \text { Hec } \end{aligned}$ | 1287000 | 7\% | 90100 | 12870 | 6000 | 19500000 | 1365000 | 195000 | 1457030 |
|  |  | 14502 | 9331 | 10/06/2021 | B | C |  | 83.64 SM | Residential Plotsorrounded by14-16 Feet wideRoad | 6000/SM | 502000 | 6\% | 30200 | 5020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 14714 | 13902 | 11/08/2021 | B | D |  | 83.64 sm |  | 6000/SM | 502000 | 6\% | 30120 | 5020 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  | 13994 | 16723 | 16/12/2020 | A | B | 328 | 0.4070 Hec | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Agricultural } \\ \text { Land } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31 \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{gathered}$ | 1262000 | 7\% | 88460 | 12620 | 3500 | 14245000 | 997150 | 142450 | 1038520 |
|  |  | 13465 | 7675 | 17/07/2020 | B | C |  | 145.72SM | Residential Plot surrounded by 16 Feet wide Two Road | 3630/SM | 529000 | 6\% | 32000 | 5290 |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  | 13994 | 16728 | 16/12/2020 | A | D |  | 88.62 sm | Residential Plot surrounded by 18 Feet wide Road | 3500/SM | 311000 | 6\% | 18700 | 3110 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  | 14704 | 13647 | 07/08/2021 | A | B | 367/1 | 0.2120 Hec | Agricultural Land, near to Abadi \& 400M away from Rd. | * | 2309000 | 7\% | 162000 | 23090 | 4600 | 9752000 | 682640 | 97520 | 595070 |
|  |  | 14625 | 11915 | 14/07/2021 | B | C |  | 74.35SM | Residential Plot surrounded by two side Rd | $\begin{gathered} 4600 / \mathrm{SM} \\ +10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 378000 | 6\% | 22700 | 3780 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 14715 | 13942 | 12/08/2021 | B | D |  | 81.32SM |  |  | 413000 | 7\% | 29000 | 4130 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | * For 1st 200 SM @ of Rs. 4600/SM, next 200M valued @ 2300/SM and for the rest area valued @ Rs. 54 Lakh per Hectare according to the General Instruction Sl. No. 21 of the effective rate list. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  | 14255 | 4370 | 02/03/2021 | A | B |  | 0.0642 Hec | Agriculture Land 700M away from abadi on Chakmarg | 38 Lakh/ <br> Hec | 270000 | 6\% | 16200 | 2700 | 8100 | 5201000 | 354070 | 52010 | 387180 |
|  |  | 14255 | 4362 | 02/03/2021 | C | D | 433 | 69.92 SM | Residential Plot on 17 FRd . <br> Non agriculture declared in March October 2020. | 8100/SM | 567000 | 6\% | 34020 | 5617 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 14314 | 5624 | 18/03/2021 | C | E |  | 69.68 SM | Residential plot surrounded with Rd \& Plots | 8100/SM | 566000 | 7\% | 39650 | 5660 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | SR-I, Varanasi | 12721 | 6020 | 05/08/2021 | A | B | 73 | 0.3140 Hec | Agriculture Land 300M away from Abadi \& on local Pakki Sadak | * | 19198000 | 6 \& 7\% | 1334000 | 191980 | 11500 | 36110000 | 2517700 | 361100 | 1352820 |
|  |  | 12961 | 2980 | 21/07/2020 | A | C |  | 190.70 SM | Residential Plot surrounted by House \& two side Rd. | $\begin{gathered} 11500 / \mathrm{SM} \\ +10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 2413300 | 6 \& 7\% | 159000 | 24130 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12663 | 5083 | 06/07/2021 | B | E |  | 189.59 SM | Residential Plot surrounted by plot \& Rd. | 7500/SM | 1422000 | 6 \& 7\% | 89550 | 14220 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | * For 1st 500 SM @ of Rs.11500/SM, next 500M valued @ 5750/SM and rest . 2140 Hec area valued @ Rs. 3.40 crore per Hectare plus 20 percent according to General Instruction No. 13 of effective rate list. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 51 |  | 11354 | 4645 | 10/07/2019 | A | B | $\begin{gathered} 1165- \\ 1168-1169 \end{gathered}$ | 0.3260 Hec | Agriculture Land 200M away from Abadi \& Rd. | 280 Lakh $/$ Hec | 9128000 | 5\% | 456500 | 20000 | 8200 | 26732000 | 1336600 | 20000 | 880100 |
|  |  | 11358 | 4695 | 11/07/2019 | B | C |  | 126.39 SM | Residential Plot on Kaccha Rd | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8200 / \mathrm{SM}+ \\ 10 \% \end{array}$ | 1141000 | 4 \& 5\% | 47100 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11360 | 4715 | 12/07/2019 | B | D |  | 505.576 |  | 8200/SM | 4146000 | 4 \& 5\% | 197300 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | SR-II, <br> Varanasi | 10099 | 1453 | 05/03/2019 | A | B | 591 | 0.3750 Hec | Agriculture <br> Land on 10 <br> Feet Road with <br> boundrywall | * | 13184000 | 7\% | 922880 | 20000 | 13000 | 49050000 | 3433500 | 20000 | 2510620 |
|  |  | 9662 | 4210 | 10/07/2018 | C | D |  | 171.56 SM | Residential | 13000/SM | 2270000 | 6 \& 7\% | 149000 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9733 | 5037 | 13/08/2018 | E | F |  | 92.936 SM | Land with 13 Feet Road | 13000/SM | 1600000 | 7\% | 112000 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | * For 1st 500 SM @ of Rs.13000/SM, next 500M valued @ 6500/SM and rest.2750 Hec area valued @ Rs. 1.10 crore per Hectare according to General Instruction No. 06 of effective rate list. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 |  | 10114 | 1626 | 13/03/2019 | A | B | 624 | 0.2760 Hec | Agriculture Land away from Rd. and abadi | * | 9344000 | 7\% | 654100 | 20000 | 10000 | 27600000 | 1932000 | 20000 | 1277900 |
|  |  | 10117 | 1661 | 14/03/2019 | C | D |  | 199.81 SM | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Residentail Plot } \\ \text { on 15 Feet Road } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 10000/SM | 1999000 | 6 \& 7\% | 130000 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | * For 1st 500 SM @ of Rs. 10000/SM, next 500M valued @ 5000/SM and rest .1760 Hec area valued @ Rs. 1.00 crore per Hectare according to General Instruction No. 06 of effective rate list. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11866 | 8298 | 11/11/2021 | A | B |  | 885.78 SM | Residential Plot on two side Kaccha Rd. | $\begin{gathered} 15000 / \text { SM } \\ +10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 14616000 | 7\% | 1023200 | 146160 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 24000/SM } \\ +10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 23385000 | 1636950 | 233850 | 701440 |
| 54 |  | 11883 | 8582 | 22/11/2021 | B | C | 794 | 27.88 SM | Residential Plot <br> with two side <br> Rd. 20 Feet <br> wide \& Pakki <br> Sadak | $\begin{gathered} 24000 / \mathrm{SM} \\ +10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 737000 | 6\% | 44300 | 7370 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 321731633 |  | 21610070 | 2540138 |  | 1207751000 | 80959650 | 8899020 | 65708462 |
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| 8 | SR-I Mathura | 16838 | 15851 | 16/09/2021 | A | B | 1004* | 1020.00 | Agriculture Rate | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ \text { Lakh/ } \\ \text { Hec } \end{gathered}$ | 1530000 | 7\% | 107100 | 15300 | 5500 | 5610000 | 392700 | 56100 | 326400 |
|  |  | 16810 | 14962 | 04/09/2021 | C | E |  | 25.08 | Residential <br> Rate <br> surrounded <br>  <br> Rd under <br> the <br> developed <br> colony | $\begin{gathered} 5500 / \mathrm{SM} \\ +10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 152000 | 6\% | 9150 | 1520 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16810 | 14963 | 04/09/2021 | D | E |  | 25.08 |  |  | 152000 | 6\% | 9150 | 1520 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | * Araji No. 1 | * de | clared N | -Agricu | re land $u$ | under the sectio | 143 of UP | RA Act. | 50 \& As | per DM | cle list r | sidential | of the pr | erty is Rs | 500 |  |
| 9 | SR-III Meerut | 14343 | 5762 | 19/08/2020 | A | B | 1004MI | 1890.30 | General Rate | 5300/SM* | 8839000 | 7\% | 619000 | 88390 | 18000 | 34026000 | 2381820 | 340260 | 2014690 |
|  |  | 14343 | 5760 | 19/08/2020 | A | Wife of B |  | 200.00 | Segment <br> Rate | 18000/SM | 3060000 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \& \\ & 7 \% \end{aligned}$ | 204200 | 30600 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | * For 1 st 1000 SM valued @ of Rs. 5300/SM and rest area 890.30 SM valued @ Rs. 3975 (75\%)/SM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | SR-I, <br> Muzaffarnagar | 11275 | 7474 | 19/08/2019 | A | B | 1657/1B | 696.47 | General Rate* | 11000/SM | 9707000 | 7\% | 672600 | 20000 | $\begin{aligned} & 45000+ \\ & \text { others* } \end{aligned}$ | 34060000 | 2384200 | 20000 | 1711600 |
|  |  | 10890 | 11361 | 24/12/2018 | A | Father of B |  | 117.405 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Segment } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45000 / \mathrm{SM} \\ +15 \% \end{gathered}$ | 6136000 | 7\% | 429600 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *Land valued @ Rs. 11000/SM + 257.56 SM RBC construction valued @ 10000/SM + 11.50 SM Teen Shed valued @ Rs. 6000/SM + one mango tree of Rs. 10000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | SR-I, Varanasi | 12989 | 10770 | 31/12/2021 | A | B | 459 | 543.76 | General Rate | 7200/SM | 3916000 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \& \\ & 7 \% \end{aligned}$ | 264120 | 39160 | * | 14383000 | 996810 | 143830 | 837360 |
|  |  | 13026 | 623 | 25/01/2022 | A | C |  | 74.44 | Segment <br> Rate | $\begin{gathered} 23000 / \mathrm{SM} \\ +15 \% \end{gathered}$ | 2000000 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \& \\ & 7 \% \end{aligned}$ | 130000 | 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | * Land valued @ Rs.23000/SM plus 15\% extra as provided in rate list general instruction Sl. No. 25 for comercial activity in the Araji |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30997.72 |  |  | 128011280 |  | 7908520 | 1124220 |  | 298491000 | 19270370 | 2406520 | 12644150 |
| Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

APPENDIX- XXXIX
Price of mineral not imposed in cases of illegal tr

| SI.No. | Name of the unit | Name of the lessee | Area of lease | Rate per cubic meter for first year | Period of lease | Total Quantity to be excavated per Year in cubic meter | Total quantity excavated up to June 2018 | $\begin{gathered} \text { E-MM- } \\ \text { 11 } \\ \text { issued } \\ \text { up to } \\ \text { June } \\ 2018 \end{gathered}$ | Quantity of illegal transportation | Royalty | Price of Mineral |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DMO, <br> Fatehpur | Shri Rajesh Mishra Construction and suppliers | Village -Gokan, Khasara/Gata No.180,181,184,185,187,131,130and 189 | 315 | $\begin{gathered} 31 / 03 / 2018 \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 20/03/2023 } \end{gathered}$ | 2,51,700 | 1,48,538 | 1,06,960 | 41,578 | 1,30,97,070 | 6,54,85,350 |
| 2 | DMO, Fatehpur | Pragya enterprises | Village -Adhaval, Gata No. 467,466,454,425,426,427,428,430 and 431 | 421 | $\begin{gathered} 04 / 04 / 2018 \\ \text { to } \\ 03 / 04 / 2023 \end{gathered}$ | 1,86,200 | 60,976 | 35,454 | 25,522 | 1,07,44,762 | 5,37,23,810 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,09,514 | 1,42,414 | 67,100 | 2,38,41,832 | 11,92,09,160 |

Compliance Audit Report on Revenue Sector for the year ended 31 March 2021

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Amount in ₹) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the Lessee | Area of lease | Date of issue of LOI | Amount of pre bid EMD | Balance amount | Date of balance amount deposited | Amount of Pre bid EMD to be forfeited |
| 1 | M/s Aman Bricks field | Vill.-Kataiya, Khand No. 10/19 to 10/21 Area-10 Hectare | 25.06.2020 | 28,12,500 | 26,86,250 | DD No. 500828 Dated 03-07-20 | 28,12,500 |
| 2 | Sri Sandeep Chandok | Vill.-Chhikwa, Khand No. 11/29 to 11/30, Area-24.28 Hectare | 01.01.2021 | 1,35,00,000 | 6,30,000 | 11.01.2021 | 1,35,00,000 |
| 3 | M/s Tesmus Trading Pvt.Ltd | Vill.-Sangolipur Garha, Gata No. 191, 269/3, 270, 275, 276, 277, 293/2, 294 Area 25 Hectare | 26.06.2020 | 93,75,000 | 2,60,62,500 | 16/07/2020 | 93,75,000 |
| 4 | M/s Ratna Jadon | Vill.-Adhawal Khand, A-11 Area 25 Hectare | 07.03.2020 | 93,75,000 | 3,56,25,000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 06.06 .2020 \text { and } \\ 28.05 .2020 \end{gathered}$ | 93,75,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | 3,50,62,500 | 6,50,03,750 |  | 3,50,62,500 |
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APPENDIX- XLII
Details of consideration fee involved in conceal

| Financial Year | As per ITR <br> (In quintal) |  | Difference <br> (In quintal) | Minimum FS \%* | Quantity of FS (quintal) | Alcohol present (FS*52.5) (In Alcoholic Litre) | Rate of consideration fee per AL (in ₹) | Consideration fee Involved (₹ in lakh) | Due date of Interest | Delay in month (upto 03/2022) | ```Interest due @1.5%pm (₹ in lakh)``` | Total (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013-14 | 7,24,291.00 | 715,337.60 | 8,953.40 | 62.34 | 5,581.55 | 2,93,031.35 | 584.11 | 1,711.63 | 4/1/2014 | 96 | 2,464.74 | 4,176.37 |
| 2014-15 | 8,71,340.00 | 8,50,928.40 | 20,411.60 | 64.10 | 13,083.84 | 6,86,901.37 | 672.90 | 4,622.16 | 4/1/2015 | 84 | 5,823.92 | 10,446.08 |
| 2015-16 | 8,36,547.00 | 8,26,779.60 | 9,767.40 | 64.10 | 6,260.90 | 3,28,697.43 | 775.70 | 2,549.71 | 4/1/2016 | 72 | 2,753.68 | 5,303.39 |
| 2016-17 | 7,82,993.00 | 7,73,338.60 | 9,654.40 | 65.10 | 6,285.01 | 3,29,963.26 | 755.45 | 2,492.71 | 4/1/2017 | 60 | 2,243.43 | 4,736.14 |
| 2017-18 | 8,69,470.00 | 8,59,252.00 | 10,218.00 | 65.44 | 6,686.66 | 3,51,049.61 | 755.45 | 2,651.74 | 4/1/2018 | 48 | 1,909.26 | 4,561.00 |
| 2018-19 | 8,63,871.00 | 8,54,412.00 | 9,459.00 | 65.44 | 6,189.97 | 3,24,973.40 | 911.21 | 2,961.19 | 4/1/2019 | 36 | 1,599.04 | 4,560.23 |
| 2019-20 | 7,81,030. | 7,72,792.00 | 8,238.00 | 65.44 | 5,390.95 | 2,83,024.73 | 911.21 | 2,578.95 | 4/1/2020 | 24 | 928.42 | 3,507.37 |
| Total | 57,29,542.00 | 56,52,840.20 | 76,701.80 |  |  |  |  | 19,568.09 |  |  | 17,722.49 | 37,290.58 |

As the report of AT Lab was not received in the Distillery hence on the basis of minimum FS as reported by the AT Lab in the year 2017-18 were taken as minimum FS for the year 2018-19 and 2019-20.
APPENDIX- XLII
Details of consideration fee involved in concealment of barley malt consumption

| Financial Year | As per <br> ITR <br> (In <br> Kilogram) | As per distillery (In Kilogram) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Difference } \\ \text { (In } \\ \text { quintal) } \end{gathered}$ | Minimum FS \%* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quantity } \\ & \text { of FS } \\ & \text { (quintal) } \end{aligned}$ | Alcohol present (FS*52.5) (In Alcoholic Litre) | Rate of consideration fee in per $A L$ (In ₹) | Considera tion fee involved (₹ in lakh) | Due date of Interest | Delay in month (upto 03/2022) | Interest due @1.5\% pm (₹ in lakh) | Total (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 | 18,89,300 | 18,89,275 | 0.25 | 56.82 | 0.14 | 7.35 | 775.70 | 0.06 | 4/1/2016 | 72 | 0.06 | 0.12 |
| 2019-20 | 42,22,076 | 42,09,445 | 126.31 | 57.60 | 72.75 | 3,819.38 | 911.21 | 34.80 | 4/1/2020 | 24 | 12.53 | 47.33 |
| Total | 61,11,376 | 60,98,720 | 126.56 |  |  |  |  | 34.86 |  |  | 12.59 | 47.45 |
| Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings. <br> As the report of AT Lab was not received in the Distillery hence on the basis of minimum FS as reported by the AT Lab in the year 2017-18 were the year 2019-20. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the unit | Year | Type of shops | No. of shops | No. of shops checked | No. of shops in which objection found | Period of late deposit of <br> BLF/LF <br> in days | Period of late deposit of security deposit in days |  | Renewal fee required to be forfeited | Basic license Fee/License Fee required to be forfeited | Security deposit required to be forfeited | (Amount in ₹ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total amount required to be forfeited |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (Renewal) |  |  | 3 | 0 | 3 to 13 | 3 to 13 | 90,000 | 11,95,524 | 1,20,669 | 14,06,193 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor (Renewal) | 65 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 1 to 3 | 1 to 3 | 1,40,000 | 31,00,000 | 1,31,750 | 33,71,750 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 191 | 80 | 5 | 0 | 1 to 14 | 1 to 14 | 0 | 22,74,054 | 8,00,000 | 30,74,054 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Country Liquor (Renewal) |  |  | 4 | 0 | 4 to 11 | 4 to 11 | 1,40,000 | 18,51,372 | 2,30,220 | 22,21,592 |
| 8 | DEO <br> Muzaffarnagar | 2020-21 | Beer (E-Lottery) | 80 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 3 to 8 | 3 to 8 | 0 | 6,13,413 | 0 | 6,13,413 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 169 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 1 to 5 | 1 to 5 | 0 | 5,67,425 | 0 | 5,67,425 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor (E-Lottery) | 71 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 5 to 10 | 5 to 10 | 0 | 10,74,905 | 0 | 10,74,905 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor (Renewal) |  |  | 3 | 15 | 1 | 1 to 15 | 1,05,000 | 31,25,000 | 1,15,000 | 33,45,000 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Beer (E-Lottery) | 82 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 5 to 7 | 5 to 7 | 0 | 5,75,000 | 0 | 5,75,000 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Beer (Renewal) |  |  | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 40,000 | 2,00,000 | 0 | 2,40,000 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Country Liquor } \\ & \text { (E-Lottery) } \end{aligned}$ | 169 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 5 to 6 | 5 to 6 | 0 | 48,27,447 | 0 | 48,27,447 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Country Liquor (Renewal) |  |  | 4 | 2 to 3 | 2 to 15 | 2 to 15 | 1,70,000 | 38,15,764 | 2,79,884 | 42,65,648 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Foreign Liquor (E-Lottery) | 71 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 4 to 6 | 4 to 6 | 0 | 30,35,000 | 0 | 30,35,000 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Foreign Liquor (Renewal) |  |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1,05,000 | 23,35,000 | 23,400 | 24,63,400 |
| 9 | DEO Rampur | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 161 | 72 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1,31,100 | 0 | 1,31,100 |
| 10 | DEO <br> Saharanpur | 2020-21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Country Liquor } \\ \text { (Renewal) } \end{gathered}$ | 173 | 54 | 6 | 0 | 1 to 8 | 1 to 8 | 2,35,000 | 38,89,908 | 4,26,478 | 45,51,386 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor (Renewal) | 93 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 95,000 | 45,70,000 | 1,90,250 | 48,55,250 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Beer (E-lottery) | 90 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 6 to 14 | 6 to 14 | 0 | 12,10,000 | 0 | 12,10,000 |
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| Appendices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Amount in ₹) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the unit | Year | Type of shops | No. of shops | No. of shops checked | No. of shops in which objection found | Period of late deposit of BLF/LF in days | Period of late deposit of security deposit in days | Overall period of late deposit of BLF/LF and security deposit in days | Renewal fee required to be forfeited | Basic license Fee/License Fee required to be forfeited | Security deposit required to be forfeited | Total amount required to be forfeited |
| * | DEO <br> G.B.Nagar | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 16 to26 | 16 to26 | 0 | 32,29,640 | 0 | 32,29,640 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 to 19 | 16 to 19 | 0 | 19,86,400 | 0 | 19,86,400 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Foreign Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 17 to 18 | 17 to 18 | 1,30,000 | 20,82,000 | 4,35,150 | 26,47,150 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Model Shop (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 1,00,000 | 1,47,40,000 | 82,500 | 1,49,22,500 |
| * | DEO Ghaziabad | 2020-21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beer } \\ \text { (E-Lottery) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 3,08,220 | 0 | 3,08,220 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 205 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 18 to 19 | 18 to 19 | 0 | 12,61,233 | 0 | 12,61,233 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 17 to 19 | 17 to 19 | 0 | 94,07,593 | 0 | 94,07,593 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Beer (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 3,40,000 | 0 | 3,40,000 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 205 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 16 to 21 | 16 to 21 | 0 | 33,88,580 | 0 | 33,88,580 |
| 12 | DEO Ghazipur | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 216 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 22 to 25 | 22 to 25 | 0 | 10,08,080 | 0 | 10,08,080 |
| * | DEO Gonda | 2019-20 | Country Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 to 23 | 16 to 23 | 75,000 | 9,48,600 | 67,692 | 10,91,292 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 17 to 29 | 17 to 29 | 2,10,000 | 30,81,710 | 1,90,268 | 34,81,978 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 17 to 28 | 17 to 28 | 5,50,000 | 60,45,000 | 15,000 | 66,10,000 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 21,40,500 | 0 | 21,40,500 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Country Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 16 to 19 | 16 to 19 | 4,35,000 | 65,87,100 | 4,74,193 | 74,96,293 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Foreign Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 13,10,000 | 0 | 13,10,000 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Foreign Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 17 to 24 | 17 to 24 | 1,20,000 | 30,85,000 | 1,22,000 | 33,27,000 |
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| (Amount in ₹ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | Name of the unit | Year | Type of shops | No. of shops | No. of shops checked | No. of shops in which objection found | Period of late deposit of BLF/LF in days | Period of late deposit of security deposit in days | Overall period of late deposit of BLF/LF and security deposit in days | Renewal fee required to be forfeited | Basic <br> license <br> Fee/License <br> Fee <br> required to <br> be forfeited | Security deposit required to be forfeited | Total amount required to be forfeited |
| * | DEO Meerut | 2020-21 | Beer (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 23 to 26 | 23 to 26 | 0 | 1,71,320 | 0 | 1,71,320 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 24,42,132 | 0 | 24,42,132 |
| * | DEO Mirzapur | 2018-19 | Beer (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 23 | 16 to 23 | 0 | 2,60,000 | 30,000 | 2,90,000 |
|  |  | 2019-20 | Beer (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 |  | 16 | 1,25,000 | 7,70,000 | 59,000 | 9,54,000 |
| * | DEO <br> Muzaffarnagar | 2021-22 | Country Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 35,000 | 7,00,703 | 0 | 7,35,703 |
| * | DEO <br> Saharanpur | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 15,21,432 | 0 | 15,21,432 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 30,000 | 9,72,180 | 94,912 | 10,97,092 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 to 22 | 16 to 22 | 0 | 74,65,061 | 24,02,835 | 98,67,896 |
| * | DEO Unnao | 2021-22 | Beer (E-Lottery) | 70 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 5,10,000 | 0 | 5,10,000 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Country Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 23 to 26 | 23 to 26 | 3,45,000 | 48,21,060 | 3,70,047 | 55,36,107 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Foreign Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 8,10,000 | 0 | 8,10,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | 696 | 203 | 108 |  |  | up to 30 | 25,80,000 | 11,94,75,102 | 46,14,447 | 12,66,69,549 |
| Delay more than 30 days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | DEO Agra | 2020-21 | Country Liquor <br> (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 75 to 107 | 75 to 107 | 1,70,000 | 27,02,700 | 1,28,856 | 30,01,556 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 74 | 74 | 0 | 30,20,000 | 0 | 30,20,000 |
| * | DEO Bareilly | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 11 | 131 | 38 to 44 | 38 to 131 | 0 | 1,38,51,710 | 0 | 1,38,51,710 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 71 to 108 | 0 | 71 to 108 | 60,000 | 2,95,420 | 1,31,639 | 4,87,059 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor <br> (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 35,000 | 2,05,000 | 7,000 | 2,47,000 |
| * | DEO <br> G.B.Nagar | 2020-21 | Beer (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 131 | 131 | 0 | 3,55,000 | 0 | 3,55,000 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Country Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 71 | 95,000 | 22,62,230 | 2,37,825 | 25,95,055 |
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| $\begin{array}{c\|c} \hline \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Name of the unit | Year | Type of shops | No. of shops | No. of shops checked | No. of shops in which objection found | Period of late deposit of BLF/LF in days | Period of late deposit of security deposit in days | Overall period of late deposit of BLF/LF and security deposit in days | Renewal fee required to be forfeited | Basic license Fee/License Fee required to be forfeited | Security deposit required to be forfeited | (Amount in ₹ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total amount required to be forfeited |
|  |  | 2021-22 | Foreign Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 141 | 141 | 0 | 40,30,000 | 0 | 40,30,000 |
| * | DEO Mirzapur | 2018-19 | Beer (E-lottery) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 71 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 28,500 | 0 | 28,500 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (E-lottery) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 57 | 0 | 4,37,052 | 0 | 4,37,052 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 80 to 93 | 80 to 93 | 60,000 | 10,74,348 | 1,08,524 | 12,42,872 |
| * | DEO Rampur | 2020-21 | Beer (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 45,080 | 0 | 45,080 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Model Shop (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 35,60,000 | 0 | 35,60,000 |
| * | DEO <br> Saharanpur | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 82 | 82 | 0 | 3,29,868 | 0 | 3,29,868 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 45 to 75 | 45 to 75 | 1,15,000 | 38,28,528 | 1,63,716 | 41,07,244 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 39 to 41 | 39 to 41 | 0 | 49,17,000 | 0 | 49,17,000 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 46 | 35,000 | 1,70,000 | 7,500 | 2,12,500 |
| * | DEO Unnao | 2020-21 | Country Liquor (E-Lottery) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 10,15,355 | 0 | 10,15,355 |
|  |  | 2020-21 | Foreign Liquor (Renewal) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 81 | 81 | 35,000 | 7,75,000 | 32,250 | 8,42,250 |
|  |  | 2021-22 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Country Liquor } \\ \text { (Renewal) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 58 to 115 | 58 to 115 | 1,90,000 | 58,87,010 | 4,94,141 | 65,71,151 |
|  | Total |  |  | 101 | 29 | 98 |  |  | 31 to 173 | 18,90,000 | 10,64,69,745 | 21,60,945 | 11,05,20,690 |
|  | Grand Total |  |  | 9,220 | 2,687 | 688 |  |  | 1 to 173 | 2,07,15,000 | 95,83,91,925 | 2,81,15,849 | 1,00,72,22,774 |
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[^0]:    1 For details, please see Statement No. 14-Detailed accounts of revenue by the minor heads in the Finance Accounts of the Government of Uttar Pradesh for the year 2020-21. Figures under the major heads 0005 -Central Goods and Services Tax, 0020-Corporation Tax, 0021-Taxes on Income other than Corporation Tax, 0037-Customs, 0038-Union Excise Duties and 0045-Other Taxes and Duties on Commodities and Services, Minor Head 901Share of net proceeds assigned to States booked in the Finance Accounts under 'A-Tax revenue' have been excluded from revenue raised by the State and included in 'State's share of net proceeds of divisible Union taxes and duties' in this statement.
    2 Includes compensation of ₹ $9,323.98$ crore towards loss of revenue arising out of the implementation of the Goods and Services Tax.

[^1]:    3 Includes receipts (less than five per cent of tax revenue) from the following:
    Taxes and duties on Electricity, Land Revenue, Hotel Receipt Tax, Other Taxes and Duties on Commodities and Services etc.

[^2]:    4 Others includes receipts (less than five per cent of non-tax revenue) from the following: Housing, Public Works, Stationery and Printing, Social Security and Welfare, Roads \& Bridges, Other Administrative Services, Medium Irrigation, Village and Small Industries, Forestry and Wild Life, Medical and Public Health, Urban Development, etc.

[^3]:    5 ₹ 596.15 crore (2019-20)- ₹ 249.67 crore (2020-21).
    6 ₹ 109.51 crore (2019-20)- ₹ 85.21 crore (2020-21).

[^4]:    7 Commercial Tax (29 paragraphs), State Excise (16 paragraphs), Transport (29 paragraphs), Stamps and Registration (11 paragraphs), Geology and Mining (30 paragraphs) and Entertainment Tax (03 paragraph).
    8 Stamps and Registration (13 paragraphs), Commercial Tax (2 paragraphs), Transport (7 paragraphs) and Entertainment Tax (3 paragraphs).

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ Commercial Tax, State Excise, Transport, Stamps \& Registration and Geology \& Mining.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Central Excise, Service Tax and State Value Added Tax.

[^7]:    2 Vide Notification 48/2018 CT dated $10^{\text {th }}$ September 2018.
    3 Vide CBIC order No.01.2020-GST dated $07^{\text {th }}$ February 2020.
    ${ }^{4} \quad$ The date on which the provisions of this Act come into force, i.e. $1^{\text {st }}$ July 2017.

[^8]:    5 (i) Three taxpayers of Sector 2 CT Noida, two taxpayers of Sector 11 CT Noida and one Taxpayer of Sector 3 CT Hapur could not be audited due to taxpayers falling under Central jurisdiction.
    (ii) Two taxpayers involving transitional credit of ₹ 1.09 crore of Sector 11 CT Noida could not be audited due to non-production of the records to audit.
    (iii) One taxpayer of Sector 12 CT Ghaziabad pertains to Gorakhpur, one taxpayer of Sector 11 CT Lucknow pertains to Jhansi, two taxpayers of JC (CC) I CT Lucknow pertain to Raebareli, one taxpayer of JC (CC) I CT Lucknow pertains to Amethi, one taxpayer of Sector 12 CT Lucknow pertains to Raebareli could not be audited as the cases were appearing in sectors other than the selected sectors. Further, five taxpayers pertaining to Modi Nagar, one taxpayer of Varanasi Zone-II, JC (CC) II Varanasi pertains to Sonebhadra and one taxpayer pertains to Sector 3 CT Bhadohi could not be audited due to time constraints and negligible number of cases pertaining to that sector.
    ${ }^{6}$ Two Sectors JC (CC) CT II Varanasi (Sonebhadra) and Sector 3 Bhadohi under Varanasi Zone II, could not be audited due to time constraints and negligible number of cases pertaining to that sector.

[^9]:    7 Sector $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,16,17,18,19$ and 20 CT Agra, Sector 2, 9 and 15 CT Ghaziabad, Sector 4, 6, 9 and 29 CT Kanpur, JC (CC) CT Oil Sector Lucknow, Sector 6 CT Lucknow, Sector 5 CT Mathura and Sector 8 CT Noida, Sector 3 CT Hapur, Sector 6 CT Meerut, Sector CT Sikandrabad, Sector 7 CT Varanasi, JC (CC) CT Varanasi.
    8 JC (CC) CT Agra, JC (CC) CT (Range B) G B Nagar, Sector 1 and 2 CT G B Nagar. JC (CC) II CT Ghaziabad, Sector 1, 4, 5,10, 17 and 18 CT Ghaziabad, JC (CC) I and II CT Kanpur, Sector 1, 2, 3, $5,7,8,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28$ and 30 CT Kanpur, Sector CT Kanpur Dehat, JC (CC) I and II CT Lucknow, Sector 1, 5, 7, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 21 and 22 CT Lucknow and Sector 12 CT Noida.
    9 Sector 3 G.B. Nagar, Sector 3,7,8,11, 12, 13, 14, 16,19 CT Ghaziabad, Sector 2,3,9,10,12, 19, 20 CT Lucknow, Sector 3, 4, 5, 6, 9 CT Noida.
    ${ }^{10}$ Sector 4, 11 CT Lucknow, JC (CC) I CT Ghaziabad.
    ${ }^{11}$ Sector 6 CT Ghaziabad, Sector 8 and 16 CT Lucknow, JC (CC) CT Range A Noida, Sector 1, 2, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14 CT Noida.

[^10]:    12 Out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 Sectors) - 915 taxpayers ( 116 Sectors) pertain to Table 5c, 226 taxpayers ( 65 Sectors) pertain to Table $6 \mathrm{~b}, 148$ taxpayers ( 60 Sectors) pertain to Table 7b, 91 taxpayers ( 55 Sectors) pertain to Table 7c, 106 taxpayers ( 64 Sectors) pertain to Table 7d, 20 taxpayers ( 17 Sectors) pertain to Table 10A, 18 taxpayers ( 12 Sectors) pertain to Table 10B and 31 taxpayers ( 15 Sectors) pertain to Table 11.

[^11]:    13 Total no. of Transitional Credit claims checked under Table 5c out of 1,058 cases.
    14 Sector 1, 5, 13 and 15 CT Agra, Sector 1 CT G B Nagar, Sector 4, 12, 13, 16 and 17 CT Ghaziabad, JC (CC) II, Sector 3, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 21, 27 and 30 CT Kanpur, Sector 4, 9, 13, 14, 20 and 22 CT Lucknow and Sector 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 CT Noida.
    15 Sector 1 CT Agra (09AAACG6742N2Z5), Sector 5 CT Agra (09AACCP8075H1Z2), Sector 1 CT GB Nagar (09AAACI8344L1Z6), Sector 4 CT Ghaziabad (09AAFCS9163C1Z7), Sector 16 CT Ghaziabad (09AADCN6561H1Z8), Sector 30 CT Kanpur (09AAKFB8041Q1ZT), Sector 13 CT Lucknow (09AANPA0571D1Z2), Sector 20 CT Lucknow (09AAACL2561J1ZG, 09AAFFT3039B1ZF, 09AAHFJ5785G1ZW), Sector 4 CT Noida (09AACFJ5445Q1ZR), Sector 10 CT Noida (09AAACC0034F1ZA), Sector 14 CT Noida (09AAGCP5711L1Z4, 09AABCK8424C1ZP).

[^12]:    16 Sector 1, 5, 11, 13, 16 and 20 CT Agra, Sector 1, 2 and 3 CT G B Nagar, Sector 1, 4, 5, 10 and 17 CT Ghaziabad, Sector 2, 5, 7, 11, 13, 16, 17 and 20 CT Kanpur, Sector 8, 12, 14, 15 and 16 CT Lucknow and Sector 1, 2, 9, 12, 13 and 14 CT Noida.
    ${ }^{17}$ Sector 5 CT Agra (09AAHFM0091Q1ZR), Sector 1 CT Ghaziabad (09AAACW0019N1Z8), Sector 4 CT Ghaziabad (09AAYFA1337J1Z1, 09AABCT3284F2Z9), Sector 14 CT Noida (09AAICS2757B1ZC, 09AAFCS0587C1ZD, 09AALPJ3073F1ZO).
    18 Sector 3 and 5 CT Ghaziabad, Sector 12, 15 and 17 CT Kanpur and Sector 10 CT Noida.

[^13]:    ${ }^{19}$ Sector 5 CT Ghaziabad (09ACEPG2119G1ZZ) and Sector 10 CT Noida (09AABCN7151P1ZX).

[^14]:    ${ }^{20}$ Sector CT Kanpur Dehat, Sector 12 and 13 CT Lucknow.
    ${ }^{21}$ Sector CT Kanpur Dehat (09AGJPA9427E1ZF).

[^15]:    22 Total no. of Transitional Credit claims checked under Table 6(b) out of 1,058 cases.
    ${ }^{23}$ Sector 15 CT Agra, Sector 9 and 17 CT Ghaziabad, Sector 14 CT Kanpur, Sector 22 CT Lucknow and Sector 2, 4 and 13 CT Noida.
    24 Sector 4 CT Noida (09AACFJ5445Q1ZR).

[^16]:    25 JC (CC) II CT Ghaziabad and JC (CC) II and Sector 27 CT Kanpur.
    ${ }^{26}$ JC (CC) I, II, Sector 4, 7 CT Ghaziabad, Sector 11 CT Lucknow and Sector 10 and 14 CT Noida.

[^17]:    27 JC (CC I) CT Ghaziabad (09AAACS0229G1ZN, 09AAACR1435K1ZD), JC (CC II) CT Ghaziabad (09AAACA9942Q1ZY, 09AAACB1247M1ZN, 09AAACS0189B1ZM), Sector 7 CT Ghaziabad (09AAACS1110J1ZQ), Sector 10 CT Noida (09AABCL 5987H1Z0, 09AACCO2600H1ZS) and Sector 14 CT Noida (09AACCG4124A1Z7, 09AAACP9581G1ZY).
    28 Total no. of Transitional Credit claims checked under Table 7(b) out of 1,058 cases.
    29 Sector 1, 3 CT G B Nagar, JC (CC) II, Sector 5 CT Ghaziabad, JC (CC) II, Sector 29 and 30 CT Kanpur, Sector 8 CT Lucknow and Sector 13 CT Noida.

[^18]:    30 Sector 1 CT G B Nagar (09AAACI8344L1Z6), JC (CC) II CT Ghaziabad (09AAACA9942Q1ZY) and Sector 5 CT Ghaziabad (09AABCF8078M1ZZ).

[^19]:    31 Total no. of Transitional Credit claims checked under Table 7(c) out of 1,058 cases.
    Total no. of Transitional Credit claims checked under Table 11 out of 1,058 cases.

[^20]:    33 Sector 16 CT Ghaziabad (09AACCI9503M107, 09AAACM6572A1ZN, 09AADCG994 7J1ZY, 09AADCN2095A1ZP, 09AAACX0984F1Z6 and 09AADCG9948H1Z2).
    ${ }^{34}$ Sector 1 CT G B Nagar, Sector 2 CT Ghaziabad and Sector 2 CT Kanpur.

[^21]:    35 Out of 1,058 taxpayers ( 124 Sectors) - 915 taxpayers ( 116 Sectors) pertain to Table 5c, 226 taxpayers ( 65 Sectors) pertain to Table $6 \mathrm{~b}, 148$ taxpayers ( 60 Sectors) pertain to Table 7b, 91 taxpayers ( 55 Sectors) pertain to Table 7c, 106 taxpayers ( 64 Sectors) pertain to Table 7d, 20 taxpayers ( 17 Sectors) pertain to Table 10A, 18 taxpayers (12 Sectors) pertain to Table 10B and 31 taxpayers ( 15 Sectors) pertain to Table 11.
    ${ }^{36}$ Central GST: CGST and State/Union Territory GST: SGST/UTGST.
    ${ }^{37}$ Petroleum Products: crude, high speed diesel, petrol, aviation turbine fuel and natural gas.

[^22]:    38 Eight refund cases of DC Sector-15 Ghaziabad and four cases of DC Sector-16 Ghaziabad were not produced to audit by department which was stated to have been destroyed in fire.

[^23]:    39 Sector-04 Agra, Sector-10 Agra, Sector-11 Agra, Sector-12 Agra, Sector-13 Agra, Sector16 Agra, Sector-01 Aligarh, Sector-11 Aligarh, JC-CC-I Ghaziabad, JC CC-CC-II Ghaziabad, Sector-04 Ghaziabad, Sector-06 Ghaziabad, Sector-13 Ghaziabad, Sector-15 Ghaziabad, Sector-17 Ghaziabad, Sector-02 G.B. Nagar, JC-CC-I Kanpur, Sector-01 Kanpur, Sector-05 Kanpur, Sector-06 Kanpur, Sector-11 Kanpur, Sector-13 Kanpur, Sector-21 Kanpur, Sector-22 Kanpur, Sector-24 Kanpur, Sector-26 Kanpur, Sector-27 Kanpur, Sector-28 Kanpur, Sector-04 Lucknow, Sector-07 Lucknow, Sector-01 Moradabad, Sector-04 Moradabad, Sector-05 Moradabad, Sector-06 Moradabad, Sector09 Moradabad, Sector-10 Noida.

[^24]:    40 JC-CC Agra, Sector-09, 10, 15, Agra, JC-CC-Gorakhpur, JC (CC-II) Kanpur, Sector 06, $09,13,15,16,20,21,26 \& 28$ Kanpur, Sector-09 Lucknow, Sector 01, 05, 06, 07, 09, 10 Moradabad, Sector 03 \& 04 Noida, Sector-01 Prayagraj.
    ${ }^{41}$ Sector-01,09,12,15 Agra, JC (CC)-II Kanpur, Sector-06 Moradabad and Sector-12 Noida.

[^25]:    42 Sector-03 Noida and Sector-05 Kanpur.

[^26]:    ${ }^{43}$ In case of zero-rated supply of goods and services the Refund Amount $=$ (Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods + Turnover of zero-rated supply of services) x Net ITC $\div$ Adjusted Total Turnover and in case of inverted rated supply of goods and services the Refund Amount $=$ (Turnover of supply of inverted rated supply of goods + Turnover of inverted rated supply of services) x Net ITC / Adjusted Total Turnover - Tax payable on such inverted rated supply of goods and services.
    44 Sector-06 \& 08 Ghaziabad, Sector-03 G.B. Nagar, JC-CC-B, G.B. Nagar, Sector-09, 10 \& Sector-14 Noida.

[^27]:    45 Refund Amount = (Turnover of supply of inverted rated supply of goods + Turnover of inverted rated supply of services) x Net ITC / Adjusted Total Turnover - Tax payable on such inverted rated supply of goods and services.
    46 The relevant date means in the case of refund of unutilised input tax credit, the due date for furnishing of return under section 39 for the period in which such claim for refund arises.

[^28]:    ${ }^{47}$ Sector-05 Agra, JC-CC-I, Ghaziabad and Sector-06 Ghaziabad.

[^29]:    48 Refund Amount $=$ (Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods + Turnover of zero-rated supply of services) x Net ITC/Adjusted Total Turnover.
    49 Refund application.

[^30]:    50 Refund Amount $=$ (Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods + Turnover of zero rated supply of services) x Net ITC/Adjusted Total Turnover.

[^31]:    51 "Relevant period" means the period for which the claim has been filed. Further, as per Section 2 clause (112) "turnover in State" or "turnover in Union territory" means the aggregate value of all taxable supplies (excluding the value of inward supplies on which tax is payable by a person on reverse charge basis) and exempt supplies made within a State or Union territory by a taxable person, exports of goods or services or both and inter-State supplies of goods or services or both made from the State or Union territory by the said taxable person but excludes Central tax, State tax, Union territory tax integrated tax and cess.
    52 Application for refund.
    53 Refund Amount = (Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods + Turnover of zero-rated supply of services) x Net ITC/Adjusted Total Turnover.

[^32]:    54 JCCC Range-A Noida, Sector-01 Noida, Sector-08 Noida and Sector-09 Noida. ( ₹ 4.82 crore - ₹ 4.09 crore).
    Servicing of motor vehicles, food and beverages.

[^33]:    57 Sector-02 Noida and Sector-13 Noida.
    58 Turnover of inverted rated supply of goods and services x Net ITC/Adjusted total turnover - Tax payable on such inverted rated supply of goods and services in case of INVITC and Turnover of zero rated supply of goods and services $x$ Net input tax credit/Adjusted total turnover in case of export of goods/services.
    59 Sector-08 Noida and Sector-09 Noida.
    ${ }^{60}$ Turnover of zero rated supply of goods and services x Net input tax credit/Adjusted total turnover.

[^34]:    ${ }^{61}$ Sector-19 Lucknow.
    62 Sector-7 Agra.
    63 Sector-13 Noida.
    ${ }^{64}$ Sector-4 Agra.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. Ka. Ni.-5-1149/11-2013-312(268)/2001 dated 13 September 2013.
    ${ }^{2}$ Agra, Bareilly, Gorakhpur, GB Nagar, Ghaziabad, Kanpur Nagar, Lucknow, Mathura, Meerut, Prayagraj \& Varanasi.
    3 SR-II and III-Agra, SR-II-Bareilly, SR-I and II-Gorakhpur, SR-I, II, Greater Noida and Dadri-GB Nagar, SR-I, II, III, IV, V and Modinagar-Ghaziabad, SR-II and III-Kanpur Nagar, SR-II, III, IV, V, Mohanlalganj and Sarojininagar-Lucknow, SR-I and II-Mathura, SR-I, III and Sardhana-Meerut, SR-I, II, Phoolpur and Karchhana-Prayagraj and SR-I, II, IV and Gangapur-Varanasi.

[^36]:    ${ }^{4}$ Registered Deeds and SYAHA
    5 Notification No. Ka. Ni. -5-3139/II-2001-500 (121)/2000 TC dated May 25, 2001.
    ${ }^{6}$ Clauses (b) and (c) of Article 40 of Schedule 1B.
    7 Notification No. Ka. Ni. -5-2758/XI-2008-500-(159)-2006 Lucknow dated July 10, 2008.
    ${ }^{8}$ Clause (1) of Section 39.

[^37]:    9 This Section of IS Act, 1899 prescribes power to reduce or remit stamp duty to the State Government.
    Section 58 (f) of Transfer of Property Act, 1882.
    Section 59 of Transfer of Property Act, 1882.
    United Bank of India vs Lekharam Sonaram, AIR 1965 SC 1591.

[^38]:    13 Article 57 of schedule I B of IS Act.

[^39]:    14 Article 40 (b) \& (c) of Schedule-1B.
    15 Section 39 (1) of UPUPD Act, 1973.
    ${ }^{16}$ (i) No. Ka. Ni.- 5-3139/II-2001-500 (121)/2000 TC dated May 25, 2001 (ii) No. Ka. Ni.-5-2758/XI-2008-500-(159)-2006 Lucknow dated July 10, 2008 \& (iii) No. 30/2015/1430/94-St. Ni.-2-2015-700 (74)/2015 dated December 08, 2015 amended by Notification No. 02/2020/127/94-St. Ni. -2-2000-700 (74)/2015 dated February 13, 2020.

[^40]:    17 "Development area" means any area declared development area under Section 3 of UPUPD Act, 1973. The area to be earmarked as 'development area' is notified by the State Government from time to time.

[^41]:    ${ }^{18}$ Agra SR-II, SR-III; Bareilly SR-II, G B Nagar SR-I Noida, Ghaziabad SR-I, SR-II, SR-III, SR-IV, SR-V; Gorakhpur SR-I, SR-II; Lucknow SR-II, SR-III, SR-IV, SR-V, SRSarojininagar, SR-Mohanlalganj; Mathura SR-I, SR-II; Meerut SR-I, SR-III, SR-Sardhana; Varanasi SR-I, SR-II, SR-IV, SR-Gangapur.
    19 SYAHA is maintained in Format No. 13 as per Rule 211 under the Stamp Manual. It contains the collected amount of stamp duty, additional stamp duty, registration fee etc.

[^42]:    ${ }^{20}$ PRERNA (Property Evaluation and Registration Application) Software was introduced by the Department on 01 August 2006 for computerisation of the registration process.
    ${ }^{21}$ Indian Stamp Act, 1899 \& Uttar Pradesh Urban Planning and Development Act, 1973.

[^43]:    ${ }^{22}$ Araji/Khasra/Gata indicate the particular number of a land holding in a locality.

[^44]:    1 Contribution to District Mineral Foundation Trust (DMFT) not recovered from licensees/lessees, Interest not charged on belated payment of royalty by lessees/brick kiln owners, etc.

[^45]:    2 Mining operations shall be undertaken in accordance with the terms and conditions of a mining lease or mining permit granted under these Rules.
    3 Provisions relating to payment of royalty and dead rent.

[^46]:    ${ }^{4}$ Lease files.
    5 DMOs - Fatehpur, Jhansi, Kaushambi and Sonebhadra.

[^47]:    ${ }^{6} \quad$ Rule $59(2)$ of UPMMC Rules, 1963.

[^48]:    7 Transit pass (Rawanna) issued by the holder of the mining lease or crusher plant for transportation of minor minerals. It includes names and addresses of the lessees, nature and quantity of minerals and vehicle number through which the minerals are transported.
    8 The holder of licence for storage of minerals shall issue the transit pass in 'Form-C' for lawful transportation of minerals from the Store.
    9 Section 21(5) of the MMDR Act.

[^49]:    10 Para 19(2) of the order
    Service provider of the Geology and Mining Department for e-auction. Lease files, letter of intent etc.
    Fatehpur and Kaushambi.

[^50]:    14 No. 02/2020/127/94- Stamp Nibandhan-2-2020-700(74)/15.
    15 No. 30/2015/1430/94-Stamp Nibandhan-2-2015-700(74)/15.
    ${ }^{16}$ Fatehpur, Jhansi, Kaushambi, Lalitpur, Shahjahanpur and Sonebhadra.

[^51]:    1 Agra, Bareilly, Kanpur Nagar, Lucknow, Meerut and Varanasi.
    2 Agra, Aligarh, Azamgarh, Banda, Bareilly, Basti, Faizabad, Ghaziabad, Gonda, Gorakhpur, Jhansi, Kanpur Nagar, Lucknow, Meerut, Mirzapur, Moradabad, Prayagraj, Saharanpur and Varanasi.
    ${ }^{3}$ This includes office of Principal Secretary/Transport Commissioner, two RTOs and eight ARTOs.

[^52]:    4 Revenue not realised from surrendered vehicles for more than three months, Revenue not realised due to seized vehicle not auctioned, Loss of revenue due to vehicles having more than 15 years of not reregistered, Loss of revenue due to penalty under Carriage by Road Act, 2007 not imposed and Loss of revenue due to operation of vehicles without payment of tax.
    5 VAHAN database, tax position, concerned files, receipt books, etc.
    6 RTO Jhansi and ARTO Unnao.

[^53]:    7 CL formed 50 per cent, IMFL 37 per cent, beer 11 per cent and others 2 per cent of total excise revenue of 2020-21.
    8 License fee is applicable on licensees of CL, IMFL, beer, bars, distilleries, breweries, pharmacies, etc. and on other manufacturing units using alcohol as raw material.
    9 Uttar Pradesh Excise (Settlement of licenses for retail sale of foreign liquor) (excluding beer and wine) Rules 2001.
    UP Excise (Settlement of licenses for retail sale of foreign liquor) (excluding beer and wines) (Third Amendment) Rules 2002.
    UP Excise (Wholesale and retail vend of foreign liquor) (Thirteenth Amendment) Rules 2002.

    UP Excise (Settlement of licenses for retail sale of country liquor) Rules 2002.
    UP Excise (Settlement of licenses for country liquor bonded warehouse) Rules 2003. UP Excise (Settlement of retail licenses for model shop of foreign liquor) Rules 2003.
    10 This consists of office of the Excise Commissioner (HOD), 12 District Excise Offices and 16 Distilleries.

[^54]:    11 Non-imposition of penalty for failure in compliance of rule, Short imposition of compounding money for failure in minimum production of alcohol, Proper action not taken in cases of over-rating, MGQ (minimum guaranteed quantity) not adjusted on settled shop, Non-imposition of penalty for not getting minimum distillation efficiency, etc.
    12 Monthly stock register for molasses (MF-6 Register) and returns submitted to the office of the Excise Commissioner and information provided by the audited entity.

[^55]:    13 Information contained in Form 3CD of the Income Tax Department.
    14 Delay is on account of non-payment of excise revenue and has been worked out from the last day of the financial year concerned up to 31 March 2022.

[^56]:    15 UP Excise (Settlement of Licenses for Retail Sale of Foreign Liquor) (excluding Beer and Wine) Rules 2001 and Amendment Rules 2019.
    UP Excise (Settlement of Licenses for Retail Sale of Beer) Rules 2001 and Amendment Rules 2019.
    UP Excise (Settlement of Licenses for Retail Sale of Country Liquor) Rules 2002 and Amendment Rules 2019.
    UP Excise (Settlement of Retail Licenses for Model Shop of Foreign Liquor) Rules 2003 and Amendment Rules 2019.
    16 License Fee for foreign liquor/beer shop means a sum fixed in consideration of the grant of the license for exclusive privilege for selling of foreign liquor/beer in a retail shop. License fee for country liquor shop means the remaining part of consideration for grant of license for exclusive privilege of retail sale of country liquor, payable by the licensee, in addition to the basic license fee and this sum shall be equal to the consideration fee leviable on the annual minimum guaranteed quantity fixed for shop.
    Year-wise amount of LF- ₹ 222 per Bulk Litre (BL) (2018-19 and 2019-20) and ₹ 226 per BL (2020-21 and 2021-22).
    ${ }^{17}$ Basic license fee means that part of the consideration for grant of license for the exclusive privilege of retail sale of country liquor, payable by the licensee before the license is granted to him.
    Year-wise amount of BLF- ₹ 28 per BL (2018-19), ₹ 30 per BL (2019-20), 10 per cent increase over BLF of shops of 2019-20 (2020-21) and 7.5 per cent increase over BLF of shops of 2020-21 (2021-22).
    18 Date of deposit of LF/BLF-28.02.2019 (2019-20), 28.02.2020 (2020-21) and 15.03.2021 (2021-22).
    19 Date of deposit of security amount-31.03.2019 (2019-20), 20.03.2020 for CL and FL and 25.03.2020 for Beer and Model Shop (2020-21) and 20.03.2021 (2021-22).
    ${ }^{20}$ Percentage of forfeiture of security amount-15 per cent (CL) and 50 per cent (FL, Beer and MS) in 2019-20 and 2020-21 and 15 per cent (CL, FL, Beer, and MS) in 2021-22.

[^57]:    Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.

[^58]:    Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.

[^59]:    Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.

[^60]:    Source: Information available on the basis of Audit findings.

