



# **Appendices**

**Appendix 1.1**  
**(Reference: Paragraph 1.7.1, Page 6)**

**Year-wise breakup of outstanding Inspection Reports and Paragraphs in respect of Commerce & Industries Department as of March 2015**

Year of issue	Period of IR	Commerce & Industries Department	
		No. of IRs	No. of Paragraphs
Up to 2001-02	Up to 2000-01	72	170
2002-03	1998-2002	1	1
	2000-02	1	2
2003-04	2001-03	2	2
2005-06	1997-2005	1	1
	2000-05	1	1
2008-09	2005-08	1	1
	2006-08	1	2
	2007-08	1	1
2009-10	1998-2009	1	1
	2006-09	2	4
	2008-09	1	2
2010-11	1998-2010	1	1
	2001-10	2	4
	2006-10	1	3
	2009-10	6	16
2011-12	2008-11	1	4
	2010-11	5	17
2012-13	1998-2012	1	4
	2010-12	1	5
	2011-12	5	32
2013-14	1999-2013	1	7
	2011-13	1	2
	2012-13	5	36
2014-15	2007-14	1	9
	2010-14	3	15
	2013-14	4	30
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>123</b>	<b>373</b>

**Appendix 1.2**  
**(Reference: Paragraph 1.7.3, Page 7)**

**Details of Departmental Notes pending as of December 2015**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>2003-04</b>	<b>2004-05</b>	<b>2008-09</b>	<b>2009-10</b>	<b>2012-13<sup>@</sup></b>	<b>2013-14<sup>@</sup></b>
1	Commerce & Industries	-	-	1	-	3	3
2	Forest, Ecology & Environment	1	-	-	-	1	2
3	Horticulture (Sericulture)	-	-	-	-	1	-
4	Information Technology, Bio-technology and Science & Technology	-	-	-	-	1	-
5	Water Resources (Minor Irrigation)	-	2	3	1	1	3
6	Public Works, Ports & Inland Water Transport	1	-	-	-	7	4
7	Infrastructure Development	-	-	-	-	-	1
8	Water Resources	-	-	-	-	-	2
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>02</b>	<b>02</b>	<b>04</b>	<b>01</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>

(@ Report on Economic Sector)

**Appendix 1.3**  
**(Reference: Paragraph 1.7.4, Page 7)**

**Number of Paragraphs/reviews yet to be discussed by PAC as of December 2015**

Sl. No.	Dept #	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96	96-97	97-98	98-99	99-00	00-01	01-02	03-04	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12@	12-13@	13-14@	Total
1	Forest, Ecology & Environment	1	-	1	2	-	-	-	2	3	1	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	2	1	1	2	19
2	WRD	14	7	7	6	8	7	2	2	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	63
3	WRD (MI)	1	5	3	5	4	2	-	-	-	1	2	1	1	-	-	3	2	1	-	1	3	34
4	PWD	-	2	2	4	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	4	21
5	RDPR(PHE)	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	01
6	Co-operation	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	02
7	Commerce & Industries	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	3	3	13
8	Horticulture (Sericulture)	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	03
9	IT&BT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	03
10	Tourism	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	01
11	Infrastructure Development	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	01
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>04</b>	<b>03</b>	<b>04</b>	<b>09</b>	<b>05</b>	<b>02</b>	<b>02</b>	<b>01</b>	<b>01</b>	<b>06</b>	<b>02</b>	<b>05</b>	<b>01</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>161</b>

((@ Report on Economic Sector))

**Appendix 2.1**  
**(Reference: Paragraph 2.1.10.2, Page 21)**

**Statement showing delay in implementation of Central Projects by DoT**

Project cost ; Amount released; and date of approval/ release	Date of sanction/ release by the State Government	Audit observation
1. Coastal Religious Circuit Development (Udupi-Karkala-Sringeri): ₹ 1.23 crore; ₹ 98.69 lakh; September 2009	July 2010 & July 2012 ₹ 98.69 lakh	There was delay of 11 months in release of funds by GoK. DoT released funds to DC, Udupi alone instead of releasing it among the DCs of Udupi, Chickmagalur and Dakshina Kannada districts. The fund was used for construction of Yatrinivas at Sri Krishna Mutt which is not an approved component of work.
2. Destination development of Shivanasamudra-Bharachukki: ₹ 4.32 crore; ₹ 3.45 crore; March 2008	August 2009/ July 2010/ March 2012: ₹ 140.51 lakh to DCF, Kollegal; March 2013: ₹ 140.51 lakh, JLRL	There was delay of 16 months in release of funds by GoK. Though none of the components of work were entrusted to JLRL, ₹ 1.41 crore was released to it during March 2013 and the same was retained by it as of April 2015. About 70 per cent of the infrastructure works proposed under the project was not taken up as of March 2015. DoT submitted UC for ₹ 3.46 crore to GoI which was factually incorrect. Consequently, MoT did not release the final instalment amount of ₹ 86.37 lakh due to non-submission of UCs and also adjusted (November 2013) ₹ 64.97 lakh being the funds already released but not utilised by DoT against releases for another project. Total loss of central assistance thus works out to ₹ 1.51 crore.
3. Destination development of Bidar fort: ₹ 3.66 crore; ₹ 2.92 crore; December 2009	July 2010/ September 2011; ₹ 90 lakh	There was delay in release of funds by GoK. The work of 'Renovation of tuskar path at fort' which is not an approved item of work under the project was executed by DC, Bidar at a cost of ₹ 40 lakh resulting in diversion of funds. DoT submitted (January 2012) UC for ₹ 90 lakh to GoI which is factually incorrect. DoT had intimated (Sept 2009) GoI that the components such as pathway and allied works, landscape, gardening lighting and Gazebo (summer house) would be implemented through the Department of Archaeology. However, DC, Bidar was requested by DoT in October 2014 only to get the work implemented through the Department of Archaeology. Consequently, unspent amount of ₹ 2.02 crore was adjusted by GoI against releases for another project besides loss of balance assistance of ₹ 73.11 lakh.
4. Destination development of Chaukhandi and Ashtoor tombs in Bidar: ₹ 3.18 crore; ₹ 2.55 crore; September 2009	July 2010/ September 2011; ₹ 153 lakh	There was delay in release of funds by GoK. The work of 'Construction of bye-pass road' which is not an approved item of work under the project was got executed through PWD by DC, Bidar at a cost of ₹ 83.98 lakh resulting in diversion of funds. DoT submitted (January 2012) UC for ₹ 2 crore to GoI which is factually incorrect. DoT had intimated (September 2009) GoI that the project would be implemented through the Department of Archaeology. However, DC, Bidar was requested by DoT in October 2014 only to get the work implemented through the Department of Archaeology. An amount of ₹ 54.62 lakh was refunded (2013-14) to GoI after deducting ₹ 2 crore towards UC already submitted and the total loss of Central assistance works out to ₹ 1.18 crore (including ₹ 63.65 lakh due but not released by GoI).
5. Infrastructure facilities at Vanivilas sagar dam in Chitradurga: ₹ 5 crore; ₹ 4 crore; December 2011	October 2013/ ₹ 400 lakh	There was delay of 20 months in release of funds by GoK and the work was not commenced (September 2014). However, UC was submitted for ₹ 4 crore. DoT had certified (December 2011) that the land for the project was readily available and was in the possession of Karnataka Neeravari Nigam Limited who would operate, manage and maintain the project after completion and bear all operational expenses. It is however decided (August 2013) to take up the project in an area belonging to temple trust reportedly located near Vanivilas sagar who agreed (August 2013) to handover the land temporarily to DoT for development and to get it back after completion for further maintenance. Permission for change in the location of the project is not obtained from GoI.

<p>6. Development of Tourist infrastructure in Temple Circuit in North Karnataka: ₹ 3.95 crore; ₹ 3.16 crore; October 2009</p>	<p>NA</p>	<p>DoT reported (June 2012) to the Ministry that lands were identified and works were entrusted to the implementing agencies. However, when the Ministry withhold sanction of new projects due to non-submission of UCs, the project was dropped (September 2013) on the ground of non-availability of land and ₹ 3.16 crore was surrendered to the Ministry. In the meanwhile, DoT incurred an expenditure of ₹ 13.98 lakh towards consultancy charges which rendered wasteful.</p>
<p>7. Development of Tourist infrastructure at Sleeping Buddha Hills in Yadgir: ₹ 4.38 crore; ₹ 3.50 crore; September 2009</p>	<p>July 2010; ₹ 40 lakh</p>	<p>While DoT had certified that land was readily available, DC, Yadgir reported (October 2010) that private land had to be purchased for the project and proceedings for land acquisition initiated in February 2012 only. However, when the Ministry withhold sanction of new projects due to non-submission of UCs, the project was dropped (September 2013) on the ground of non-availability of land and ₹ 3.51 crore was surrendered to the Ministry. In the meanwhile, an amount of ₹ 6.18 lakh was spent towards consultancy charges which rendered wasteful.</p>
<p>8. Developing of tourist facilities at Pilikula Nisargadhama in Mangaluru: ₹ 5 crore; ₹ 4 crore; February 2012</p>	<p>October 2013</p>	<p>There was delay of 20 months in release of funds by GoK. There was also delay in entrustment of work by almost one year after release of funds. Tenders were invited during March 2014 work order for executing the work issued in September 2014. In the meanwhile, UC was submitted to GoI for ₹ 4 crore.</p>
<p>9. Integrated Development of Magadi Fort: ₹ 4.87 crore; ₹ 3.89 crore; Feb 2012</p>	<p>October 2013</p>	<p>There was delay of 20 months in release of funds by GoK. Delay was also noticed in preparation of estimate by the Department of Archaeology which was actually submitted in October 2014. In the meanwhile, UC was submitted to GoI for ₹ 3.89 crore.</p>
<p>10. Development of Vijayapura-Bidar-Kalaburagi circuit: ₹ 6.41 crore; ₹ 5.13 crore; Sept 2007</p>	<p>March 2010</p>	<p>An amount of ₹ 57.05 lakh was refunded to the MoT attributing to non-availability of land for one sub-project whereas balance grants of ₹ 1.28 crore was not released by GoI.</p>
<p>11. Development works at Muthyalamadu (Pearl Valley), Anekal, Bengaluru: ₹ 2.94 crore (Feb 2007); ₹ 2.35 crore</p>	<p>August 2009/ October 2010/ September 2011/November 2011/June 2012</p>	<p>There was delay of 29 months in release of funds to KSTDC. As against ₹ 2.85 crore released by DoT to KSTDC (as of June 2012), an amount of ₹ 1.70 crore was spent whereas basic amenities such as construction of Toilet blocks, signages and improvement to parking facility were not provided. The project was commissioned only in May 2013 <i>i.e.</i>, after a lapse of six years.</p>
<p>12. Development of infrastructure under Malnad Circuit: ₹ 6.11 crore; September 2009; ₹ 4.89 crore</p>	<p>July 2012</p>	<p>There was delay of 33 months in release of funds by GoK to the implementing agencies. Out of seven components approved, three components of work (wayside facilities at two places and tourist infrastructure development at one place) costing ₹ 4.51 crore were entrusted to KSTDC and one component (rafting camp) costing ₹ 63 lakh was entrusted to JLRL. Funds amounting to ₹ 1.90 crore were however released to KSTDC in July 2012 and ₹ 50.76 lakh was released to JLRL in March 2013 after almost three years. None of the above components were taken up either by KSTDC or JLRL and amounts were continued to be retained with them. While reasons for non-taking up the works were not furnished by KSTDC, it was stated to be due to non-availability of land in respect of work pertaining to JLRL. Consequently, an amount of ₹ 1.09 crore was adjusted by GoI against the releases for other work.</p>

**Appendix 2.2**  
**(Reference: Paragraph 2.1.10.4, Page 23)**

**Components of works not completed under Hampi mega project**  
**(₹ in lakh)**

SI No.	Components	Amount	Expenditure incurred	Remarks
<b>Central funds</b>				
1	Improvements of the surroundings of destinations			
(a)	Kamalapura Lake Bund development	50.00	Nil	Work yet to be taken up
(b)	Thematic tours	98.00	Nil	Work yet to be taken up
2	Illumination			
(a)	Illumination of historic building	442.00	771.48	Work in progress
(b)	Sound & Light show	400.00		
3	Development of access to inaccessible monuments	97.00	Nil	Work yet to be taken up
4	Special Routes	105.00	69.30	Work in progress.
5	Construction of temporary rural hut at the site of demolished interpretation centre	32.54	Nil	Work yet to be taken up
6	Signages	272.00	34.77	Work in progress. Nine sample signage works are completed.
7	Construction of performance stages in villages	40.00	10.00	Work in progress.
8	Refurbishment of the monument			
(a)	Chatra no 127 (Hare Chatra)	377.00	Nil	Work yet to be taken up
(b)	Chatra no 139	113.40	Nil	Work yet to be taken up
9	Refurbishment of the monument			
(a)	Aqueduct	232.80	80.00	Work in progress. Amount released by DoT to ASI. Details/Progress of work not available.
(b)	Ghat at Anegundi	449.30		
(c)	Ane salu and Onte salu	321.70		
<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>3,030.74</b>	<b>965.55</b>	
<b>State funds</b>				
1	Improvements of important junction on the Sanapura Anegundi Road	50.00	Nil	Work yet to be taken up
2	Transportation: Parking Nodes and Historic pathway development DPR	40.00	Nil	Work yet to be taken up
3	Arts and Crafts centre	20.00	12.78	Work in progress
4	Interpretation			
(a)	Heritage Walk	75.00	Nil	Work yet to be taken up
(b)	Audio Visual tools	50.00	Nil	
(c)	Virtual Reality	25.00	Nil	
5	Water Sports at Sanapura lake	12.00	Nil	Work yet to be taken up

6	Water Sports Equipments	25.00	0.99	Work in progress. Equipments yet to be procured
7 (a)	Conservation maintenance, seating and emergency preparedness	450.00	134.74	Work in progress. Materials worth ₹ 1.35 crore procured.
(b)	Illumination of historic building	483.00	Nil	Work yet to be taken up
(c)	Sound & Light show			
(d)	Cultural Information system	25.00	4.35	Work in progress. Computers and other accessories procured for Vijayanagara research project
8 (a)	13 No.s mobile toilet procured	64.70	Nil	Work yet to be taken up
(b)	Joint Heritage management programme	300.00	88.78	Work in progress.
9 (a)	Signages	28.00	Nil	Work yet to be taken up.
(b)	Installation of network, surveillance & communication system	250.00	82.75	Work in progress.
10	Refurbishment of vernacular houses	100.00	4.91	Work in progress.
11	Strengthening of road from kondanayakahalli to Kadirampura cross	700.00	250.00	Work in progress. ₹ 4.50 crore directly released to PWD by DoT
12	Solid Waste Management	78.56.	44.71	Work in progress. Trailer mounted suction machine and tractor purchased for Solid Waste Management
<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>2,776.26</b>	<b>624.01</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>5,807.00</b>	<b>1,589.56</b>	

**Appendix 2.3**  
**(Reference: Paragraph 2.1.11.2, Page 30)**

**Deficiencies noticed in implementation of the projects**

Name of the project/ work	Components involved	Date of approval	Sanctioned cost (₹ in crore)
<b>I. Eco-Tourism Projects</b>			
<b>1. Infrastructure facilities at Kodachadri in Shivamogga district</b>	<b>Dormitory block, independent rooms, conference hall, indoor stadium, landscaping, parking lot and other amenities</b>	<b>March 2007</b>	<b>3.06</b>
<b>Audit observation:</b> The project was taken up during 2006-07 by DoT and transferred to KSTDC for implementation due to slow progress by the contractor. The balance work costing ₹ 2.71 crore was entrusted (January 2012) to an agency for ₹ 3.90 crore for which revised administrative approval was not obtained as the cost exceeded the estimated cost. The work was stopped by the agency after achieving a financial progress of ₹ 2.63 crore and GoK directed KSTDC to restrict the project cost to ₹ 2.71 crore. The project is not completed even after eight years due to non-completion of the balance 30 per cent components of work.			
<b>2. Development of Eco-Tourism resort at Pilikula in Mangaluru</b>	<b>Construction of cottages, interpretation centre, Ayurveda centre, staff quarters, pathway and paving, etc.</b>	<b>December 2010</b>	<b>4.20</b>
<b>Audit observation:</b> After entrustment of work in January 2011, JLRL proposed (June 2011) shifting of the project to beach side by identifying suitable land but the decision was taken, after 23 months, to revert back to the original site, as no land was found available at beach side. In the meanwhile, the cost of the project was revised (September 2013) to ₹ 5.35 crore. The project was completed in February 2014 at a cost of ₹ 5.32 crore due to changes made in the drawings and execution of new items of work. The differential cost of ₹ 1.12 crore was met by JLRL from the internal sources. The project included construction of 10 additional rooms at a cost of ₹ 2.49 crore which was not justified as the occupancy rate in the existing eight rooms was less than 15 per cent during 2010-14 and occupancy rate of 18 rooms was reduced to 10 per cent during 2014-15.			
<b>3. Infrastructure facilities at Kemmannugundi in Chikkamagaluru</b>	<b>Construction of wooden cottages, tented camps and up-gradation of Guest House</b>	<b>November 2010</b>	<b>1.93</b>
<b>Audit observation:</b> The project taken up by JLRL was completed in October 2013. But assets due to be handed over to the Horticulture Department was actually handed over by JLRL in November 2014 after one year. The Horticulture Department refused to take over the possession of the assets as the furniture valued ₹ 11.03 lakh provided in the guest house was of poor quality and sought for its replacement. Though notice was issued (March 2015) by JLRL for replacement of furniture, the same was not done and the facilities created were not thrown open to public as of June 2015.			
<b>4. Development of Eco-tourism facilities at Khanapur in Belagavi</b>	<b>Construction of 13 cottages, Golghar, security cabin and entry, pathway and fencing, land scape works, etc.</b>	<b>October 2010</b>	<b>3.52</b>
<b>Audit observation:</b> The work was awarded (March 2011) by JLRL to an agency at ₹ 4.25 crore with a stipulation to complete within 18 months. However, there was delay of almost two years in handing over of the site. While an amount of ₹ 4.16 crore was already paid to the contractor, balance works costing ₹ 70 lakh relating to seven cottages were not completed (May 2015). Even in respect of six cottages completed in February 2014, details of taking over of the same by JLRL and occupancy details for the same were not furnished.			
<b>5. Development of Eco-tourism facilities at Malaya Marutha in Chikkamagaluru</b>	<b>Construction of cottages, Reception and office room, Golghar, Yoga hall, etc.</b>	<b>March 2008</b>	<b>0.94</b>
<b>Audit observation:</b> The project proposed (January 2008) by JLRL was not taken up due to non-availability of suitable land. DoT also did not take action to get refund of the amount of ₹ 94 lakh released in March 2008 as of June 2015 which is indicative of poor monitoring by DOT.			

<b>II. Adventure Tourism Projects</b>			
<b>1. Establishment of night safari at Bannerghatta National Park</b>	--	<b>2008-09</b>	<b>9.50</b>
<p><b>Audit observation:</b> GoK cleared (2008-09) an action plan for the project and released (March 2009/September 2009) ₹ two crore towards preliminary expenses<sup>77</sup>. The JLRL prepared a project report for ₹ 178 crore. GoK asked (April 2010) JLRL to obtain approval from MoEF as the area where the project was taken up was Reserve Forest land. In the meanwhile, ₹ 7.50 crore was again released (between July 2010 and August 2011) to JLRL. The Central Zoo Authority (CZA), New Delhi stated (February 2011) that the Master Plan for the long term development of Night Safari should be in conformity with the Hon'ble Court order dated 13-12-2010 and directed to refrain from any construction work at the proposed site till the Master Plan is approved by it. Consequently, JLRL decided (March 2012) to shelve the project due to public litigation, opposition to the project due to adverse impact towards nature and wild life, etc., and communicated the same to GoK in June 2013. In the meanwhile, an amount of ₹ 77.18 lakh<sup>78</sup> was spent on the project which rendered wasteful. The unutilised amount of ₹ 8.73 crore was also retained by JLRL without returning it to the GoK (May 2015).</p>			
<b>2. Development of adventure tourism activities at B.Hakalale village near Jog Falls</b>	<b>Construction of cottages, kitchen, office building, conference hall, store room, etc.</b>	<b>September 2009</b>	<b>4.15</b>
<p><b>Audit observation:</b> GoI released (September 2009) ₹ 3.32 crore for the project. In the meanwhile, the work was awarded by JLRL to a contractor in March 2009 at a cost of ₹ 5.78 crore. However, due to change of drawings and materials and also due to delay in handing over the site to contractor (11 months), the project cost was increased by ₹ 60.90 lakh. An amount of ₹ 6.12 crore was already paid to the contractor whereas electrical cable works and other miscellaneous components of work costing ₹ 27 lakh were not completed as of May 2015.</p>			
<b>3. Development of Boating Centre and tourist infrastructure facilities at Thonnur tank</b>	<b>Construction of cottages, Jetty, motor boats, boating ticket counter, canteen with service counter, drinking water facility and toilets</b>	<b>December 2009</b>	<b>1.00</b>
<p><b>Audit observation:</b> The DoT released (December 2009) ₹ one crore to KSTDC which purchased five motor boats at a cost of ₹ 29.15 lakh before creation of infrastructure facilities. Further works were not taken up as it was decided (2012) to take up the above project under PPP model. This has resulted in idle investment of ₹ 29.15 lakh on boats purchased. While the status of taking up the work on PPP model was not clarified by DoT, action was also not taken to get back balance unspent amount from KSTDC.</p>			

<sup>77</sup> Tour to Singapore, visiting of different Zoos in the Country, Consultancy fee, preparation of Detailed Project Report and topographical Survey, etc

<sup>78</sup> Soil testing etc ₹ 21.47 lakh, consultancy charges ₹ 40.66 lakh, travelling expenditure ₹ 9.21 lakh, tendering etc ₹ 5.83 lakh

**Appendix 2.4**  
**(Reference: Paragraph 2.1.11.6: Page 32)**

**Projects relating to Sound and Light show**

<b>Name of the destination</b>	<b>Approved cost (₹ in crore)</b>	<b>Implementing agency</b>	<b>Date of Sanction</b>
<b>Chitradurga fort</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>KSTDC</b>	<b>March 2010</b>
<b>Audit observation:</b> The fort belongs to the era of Nayaks from 14 <sup>th</sup> century and well maintained by ASI. DoT released (between March 2010 and July 2011) ₹ 95 lakh to KSTDC which was returned back (June 2013/April 2014) as permission sought (May 2009) was not accorded by ASI. DoT replied (December 2015) that the amount was utilised for other works with approval of Government.			
<b>Bidar fort</b>	<b>1.92</b>	<b>Private agency</b>	<b>August 2008</b>
<b>Audit observation:</b> The fort belongs to the era of Bahamini Sultans from 14 <sup>th</sup> century and well maintained by ASI. ₹ 82.79 lakh was paid (up to July 2009) to the agency towards the cost of materials purchased and the same is not put to use for over seven years as permission sought (May 2009) was not accorded by ASI. DoT replied (December 2015) that preparation of impact assessment report for setting up Sound and Light Show at Bidar as per the requirement of ASI for approval was under progress.			
<b>Golgumbaz in Vijayapura</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>KSTDC</b>	<b>February 2010</b>
<b>Audit observation:</b> The Golgumbaz belongs to the era of Shahi Sultans from 15 <sup>th</sup> century and maintained by ASI. ₹ 1.90 crore was released (March 2010/ November 2010/March 2012) to KSTDC. DoT instructed (October 2014) KSTDC to refund ₹ 1.90 crore against which ₹ 1.15 crore only was refunded by KSTDC as of May 2015. DoT replied (December 2015) that the balance amount of ₹ 75 lakh would be obtained from KSTDC and utilised for other works.			
<b>Srirangapatna</b>	<b>3.44</b>	<b>KSTDC</b>	<b>June 2010</b>
The project completed at a cost of ₹ 2.90 crore after lapse of over four years was commissioned (November 2014) with temporary power supply and implemented in Kannada language only. DoT replied (December 2015) that action would be taken to prepare script in other languages.			

**Appendix 2.5**  
(Reference: Paragraph 2.1.12.2, Page 34)

**Management of properties of DoT on ROMT basis**

Sl No.	Name of the property/project	Year of construction	Facility	Status of the facilities as per the Report	Cost for renovation (₹ in crore)	Present status
1	Hotel Mayura Pushpagiri, Halebidu, Hassan district	1995- 96	24 rooms, 1 dining hall	Buildings are in a completely abandoned state and all the furnishings are damaged. It is inaccessible as there are no proper roads laid to the building	1.67	Not yet leased
2	Yatrinivas at Devarayanadurga, Tumakuru district	2012	12 rooms, 1 dormitory (15-20 beds)	9 rooms are furnished comprising a bed, a table and 2 chairs, 3 rooms are unfurnished. Dormitory does not have any furniture.	0.28	Not yet leased
3	Wayside facility in Ganagapur, Kalaburagi district	2006	3 rooms, 1 dormitory	Since the facility is non-operational, it is being used by locals as a public toilet. Whole building is in a dilapidated condition.	0.86	Agreement entered with the lessee in July 2015 but property not yet handed over
4	Dormitories at Melukote, Mandya district	1998	6 rooms, 4 dormitory	It is non-operational. The interiors are not furnished.	0.44	Not yet leased
5	Hotel Mayura Samudra, Gokarna, Uttara Kannada	1984	3 rooms, 1 dormitory, kitchen & restaurant	Property is completely damaged and needs to be renovated completely.	1.10	Not yet leased
6	Dormitory at Moodubidare, Dakshina Kannada	1984	8 rooms, 1 dining hall 1 kitchen	Dormitory is in a dilapidated condition due to lack of maintenance	0.31	Not yet leased
7	Hotel Mayura Bharachukki Madhyaranga, Shivasamudra, Chamarajanagara	2013	4 rooms, 1 cottage, 1 dining hall	Hotel is non-operational at present	0.09	Agreement entered with the lessee in July 2015 but property not yet handed over
8	Hotel Mayura Keshava, Somanathapura, Mysuru district	1975	2 rooms, 2 cottages, 3 quarters, 1 hall	Non-operational and in an extremely dilapidated state. The building is in a collapsible condition and inaccessible due to thorny bushes grown around.	0.44	Not yet leased

9	Wayside facility at Thannikola, Belagavi	2002	3 rooms, 1 kitchen	Currently, it is non-operational.	0.46	Not yet leased
10	Hotel Mayura at Devarayanadurga Tumakuru	1966	2 rooms, 1 kitchen, 2 halls	It is in dilapidated condition and not operational for the last 12 years.	0.35	Not yet leased
11	Wayside facility at Basavana Bagevadi, Vijayapura	2013	1 room, 1 dining hall 1 kitchen	It was constructed in 2013 but has not been operational so far.	0.14	Agreement entered with the lessee in July 2015 but property not yet handed over
12	Wayside facilities at Shiradi, Sakaleshpur taluk Hassan district	1997	3 rooms, 2 halls, 1 kitchen	It is in an abandoned state. Doors are not installed and interiors are totally damaged. There are no electrical connections and wirings are not in place. Building needs to be reconstructed.	2.27	Not yet leased
13	Fast Food Counter, Anmod, Uttara Kannada	1986	1 kitchen, 1 restaurant	There is no furniture and the building is completely dilapidated. It needs to be refurbished completely.	1.32	Not yet leased

**APPENDIX 3.1**  
**(Reference: Paragraph 3.13.3, Page 63)**

**Statement showing details of lapses committed while disbursing  
land compensation payment**

Sl. No.	Allottee as per Land Tribunal award	Survey No./ Extent of land	Payment of compensation disbursed	Violations/lapses noticed
1.	Sri Krishnandagiri Goswamy	390 to 400/ 53-24 acres	₹ 11.25 crore <sup>79</sup>	<p>The Land Tribunal allotted 53.24 acres of land in Survey Nos. 390 to 400 to Sri Krishnananda Giri Goswamy. The land in this survey nos. was in the name of allottee's brother, Bhishma Pitamaha and the allottee had expired before the land tribunal award. Based on the first land tribunal's award, the land was transferred in favour of Pradeep Bin Sudhir and Sonu Bin Sudhir.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ SLAO, KIADB has not insisted succession certificate, copy of Land tribunal award, death certificate, etc., before payment of compensation to Pradeep Bin Sudhir and Sonu Bin Sudhir and their names were not notified in the final notification.</li> </ul>
2.	Smt Satyabhama	Old 424; New 582/ 54 acres	₹ 11.34 crore <sup>80</sup>	<p>KIADB had made payment of compensation primarily on the basis of RTC and Mutation. Claimants seeking compensation were to submit RTC from the year 1999 till the year of claim. It was, however, seen that the RTC was in the name of Bhishma Pitamaha from 1996-97 to 2000-01, after which, it was changed into the name of Satyabhama till 2010-11 and subsequently it was changed to Harsha Bin Rajesh Kumar.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ SLAO, KIADB failed to verify the genuineness of RTC as there was a change in the RTC from living person to a demised person and did not insist the death certificate, the succession certificate from the claimant.</li> <li>➤ Hence, payment of compensation by the Board to Harsha Bin Rajesh Kumar was irregular.</li> </ul>
3.	Five Daughters of Bhishma Pitamaha (Shobha devi Hemalatha; Nisha Sharma; Anjana Sharma & Vijayalakshmi)	Old 424; New 583-587/ 270 acres	₹ 56.70 crore <sup>81</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ In the third Land Tribunal Order, the Chairman had expressed dissent opinion for grant of 50 units of land to five daughters and expressly stated as erroneous. Despite determination being not as per law and involved undue benefit due to payment of compensation, the KIADB had not contested the Land Tribunal Order.</li> <li>➤ KIADB had paid land compensation to five daughters based on RTC and also disbursed land compensation to non-eligible persons without obtaining prescribed documents.</li> <li>➤ However, their names were not notified in the final notification (issued on 3 April 2008) and no corrigendum was issued by the KIADB in this regard.</li> </ul>

<sup>79</sup> Compensation paid to Pradeep: ₹ 5,15,02,500 and Sonu: ₹ 6,10,57,500

<sup>80</sup> Compensation paid to Harsha Bin Rajesh Kumar who is the grandson of Bhishma Pitamaha

<sup>81</sup> ₹ 11,34,00,000 to each daughter