# PREFACE

- This Report has been prepared for submission to the Governor under Article 151 of the Constitution of India.
- 2. Chapter I deals with the findings of performance audit in Agriculture; Public Health Engineering; Town and Country Planning and Women and Child Development Departments. Chapter II deals with findings of transactions audit in Education; Finance; Home; Irrigation; Public Health Engineering; Public Works (Buildings and Roads Branch); Rural Development; Town and Country Planning and Transport Departments. Chapter III deals with the comments on integrated audit of the Fisheries Department.
- Reports containing (a) observations on finances of the State Government,
   (b) observations arising out of audit of Statutory Corporations, Boards and
   Government Companies and (c) observations on revenue receipts of the
   State Government are being presented separately.
- 4. The cases mentioned in the Report are among those which came to notice in the course of test audit of accounts for 2009-10 as well as those which had come to notice in earlier years but were not included in previous Reports. Matters relating to the period subsequent to 2009-10 have also been included, wherever necessary.

#### **OVERVIEW**

The Report includes three Chapters containing five reviews and 16 paragraphs dealing with the results of performance audit of selected programmes and schemes as well as audit of the financial transactions of the Government.

The audit has been conducted in accordance with the Auditing Standards prescribed for the Indian Audit and Accounts Department. Audit samples have been drawn on statistical sampling methods as well as on judgement basis. The specific audit methodology adopted for programmes and schemes has been mentioned in the reviews. The audit conclusions have been drawn and recommendations made, taking into consideration the views of the Government.

A summary of the important findings is given below:

#### 1. Working of Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board

The Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board was set up with the main objective of providing facilities for better regulation of purchase, sale, storage and processing of agricultural produce. A performance audit of the Board brought out non-utilisation of 22 per cent of the budget provision of ₹ 1,733 crore for capital expenditure. An amount of  $\gtrless$  6.38 crore was spent on items which did not fall within the purview of the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets Act. There were wide gaps (25.52 to 31.62 per cent) between agricultural production and arrival of produce in *mandis*, thereby increasing the risk of leakage of revenue. Surplus funds were kept in savings bank accounts which led to earning of less interest of ₹ 2.08 crore. Adequate infrastructural facilities such as covered platforms, service roads, boundary walls, dormitories with toilets, drinking water, etc. were not provided in principal vards, sub-vards and purchase centres of 16 Market Farmers' marketing assistance schemes for post-harvest Committees. management, though approved by the Board, were not implemented. Agri-Business Information Centres were set up only in five districts against the target of setting them up in all the 21 districts in the State as of July 2010.

(Paragraph 1.1)

#### 2. Integrated Child Development Services Scheme

The Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS), a Centrally sponsored scheme was launched in 1975-76 with the main objective of improving nutritional standard and health status of children in the age group of zero to six years. The Performance audit brought out that the coverage of population under ICDS increased by only two *per cent*, despite a 29 *per cent* increase in Anganwadi Centres during 2005-10. Out of 17,444 Anganwadi Centres, drinking water facilities in 12,760 Anganwadi Centres and toilet facilities in 9,922 Anganwadi

Centres were not available. Against the target of constructing 1,179 Anganwadi buildings, only 965 buildings were constructed during 2005-10, as a result of which funds amounting to ₹7.10 crore remained unspent. The coverage of children under the Supplementary Nutritional Programme ranged between 43 and 54 *per cent* while coverage of mothers ranged between 70 and 75 *per cent*. Ready-to-eat food costing ₹5.01 crore was served to beneficiaries in 16 districts during 2005-07 although the same was declared sub-standard in three other districts. Gur/Sugar coated *chana* not suitable for consumption of children was purchased at a cost of ₹11.55 crore. Enrolment of children for non-formal pre-school education declined from 84 to 58 *per cent* during 2005-10. Funds amounting to ₹ 10.62 crore were drawn in advance of requirement, of which ₹4.54 crore remained unspent for 12 to 24 months of their drawal.

## (Paragraph 1.2)

#### 3. Indira Gandhi Drinking Water Scheme

The Indira Gandhi Drinking Water Scheme was introduced with the aim of providing private water connections to 30 lakh households, thereby avoiding wastage of water supplied through public standposts. Performance audit of the scheme revealed that the targets of providing water connections to Scheduled Caste (SC) households were achieved but achievements in respect of General Category (GC) households was negligible. A total of 22,649 water supply connections were released to SC households where sufficient raw water was not available, leading to unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 6.34 crore. Public Health Engineering Division, Sirsa II incurred an expenditure of ₹94.94 lakh on providing water supply connections to 17,180 GC households, contrary to scheme guidelines. Water distribution networks of only 37 out of 2,504 villages in the test-checked divisions was handed over to Gram Panchayats for operation and maintenance. Non-levy of water charges in the test-checked divisions resulted in loss of revenue of ₹ 8.50 crore to the Government. Polyvinyl chloride tanks worth ₹ 17.52 crore were purchased in excess of requirement and GI pipes of ₹ 6.68 crore were lying unused in stock in 17 test-checked divisions.

## (Paragraph 1.3)

#### 4. Information Technology Audit of Computerisation of Haryana Urban Development Authority

The Haryana Urban Development Authority (HUDA) is engaged in planned development of urban areas in the State. It undertakes the development of land after it is acquired for specific use in accordance with the development plans of the areas. In October 2005, HUDA entered into an agreement with Tata Consultancy Services for designing and developing a web-enabled application

software. In 1,148 cases, against the actual total receipt of ₹ 8.24 crore, ₹ 17.45 crore had been posted in allottee ledgers due to inadequate validation checks, resulting in excess credit of ₹ 9.21 crore to the allottees. Uploading of receipts in the allottee ledgers by using files furnished by banks without proper validation led to uploading of the same drafts more than once in the allottee ledgers in 74 cases. A fictitious name of a bank was created to adjust an unreconciled difference of ₹3.57 crore between withdrawals amounting to ₹19.93 crore and deposits amounting to ₹ 16.37 crore, appearing in various savings/current bank accounts of HUDA. Suspected embezzlement of ₹ 2.10 lakh was detected in the Horticulture Division due to non-reconciliation of computerised accounts/non-segregation of duties. Slow progress of implementation resulted in delays in achieving the intended objectives as well as cost overrun.

#### (Paragraph 1.4)

#### 5. **Results of Transaction Audit**

Audit of financial transactions in various departments of the Government and their field offices revealed cases of loss, extra and wasteful expenditure, unfruitful expenditure, blockage of funds and other irregularities aggregating ₹ 47.76 crore as mentioned below:

Embezzlement of ₹ 4.22 lakh in District Red Cross Society, Narnaul due to nondeposit of service charges collected by the Data Entry Operator was noticed.

#### (Paragraph 2.1.1)

Extra expenditure of ₹ 25.48 crore was noticed in the Public Works Department (Building and Roads) (₹ 24.46 crore), Irrigation Department (₹ 34.12 lakh), Home Department (₹ 49.01 lakh) and Transport Department (₹ 19.05 lakh).

#### (Paragraphs 2.2.1, 2.2.3, 2.2.6 and 2.3.2)

Unfruitful/avoidable expenditure ₹ 5.77 crore was noticed in the Irrigation Department (₹ 1.79 crore), Public Health Engineering Department (₹ 49.29 lakh) and Town and Country Planning Department (₹ 3.49 crore).

## (Paragraphs 2.2.2, 2.2.4, 2.2.5 and 2.3.1)

Parking of funds/blocking of funds of ₹ 6.40 crore was noticed in the Education Department (₹ 1.58 crore), Public Works Department (Building and Roads) (₹ 2.14 crore) and Public Health Engineering Department (₹ 2.68 crore).

(Paragraphs 2.4.1 to 2.4.4)

In District Red Cross Societies, ₹ 2.86 crore was spent on items not covered under their aims and objectives. Donations of ₹ 3.96 crore collected for specific purposes remained unutilised and interest-free loans and advances of ₹ 2.97 crore were not recovered.

## (Paragraph 2.5.1)

There was a case of execution of inadmissible works under the Member of Parliament Local Area Development Scheme, involving an amount of ₹ 27.94 lakh in the Rural Development Department.

## (Paragraph 2.5.2)

#### 6. Integrated Audit of Fisheries Department

The main aim of the Fisheries Department is to develop fish culture in the State as it is a potential source of income, an employment generator and a source of low-cost animal protein. Integrated audit of the department revealed non-achievement of physical targets, substantial savings under the Plan section and rush of expenditure in the last quarter of the year. Working of six Government Fish Seed Farms was not economically viable as against the expenditure of ₹ 5.97 crore, fish seed of ₹ 0.87 crore was produced during 2006-10. In three Government Fish Seed Farms, only 48 *per cent* of the available water area was utilised for fish seed production. Funds of ₹ 61.18 lakh meant for development of new ponds and renovation of old ponds remained unutilized in savings bank accounts for 12 to 24 months with the implementing agencies. Shortfall in imparting training to staff and fish farmers was 13 *per cent* and 19 *per cent* respectively. No data to assess the impact of training of fish farmers was maintained. No system of internal audit was in place in the department.

#### (Paragraph 3.1)

**CHAPTER I** 

#### **PERFORMANCE AUDIT**

#### **Agriculture Department**

#### 1.1 Working of Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board

#### Highlights

The Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board was set up with the main objective of providing facilities for better regulation of purchase, sale, storage and processing of agricultural produce. A performance audit of the Board brought out deficiencies in preparation of budgets, gaps between production and arrival of produce in mandis, etc. Besides, cases of non-preparation of long-term Perspective Plan; non-fixation of annual physical targets; diversion of funds on activities not covered under the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets Act; parking of funds in Savings Bank Accounts; inadequate facilities in mandis; unsold plots in mandis, etc. were also noticed. There were deficiencies in execution of works such as purchase of packed bitumen instead of bulk quantities, leading to extra expenditure; cases of wasteful expenditure; undue favour to contractors; delays in completion of works, etc. Farmers' welfare schemes such as the Farmers' Assistance scheme for post-harvest management, setting up of Agri-Business Information Centres, etc., although approved by the Board, were not implemented.

Against the budget provision of  $\gtrless$  1,733 crore for capital expenditure, an amount of  $\gtrless$  1,347.29 crore (78 *per cent*) was spent during 2005-10. Further, an amount of  $\gtrless$  6.38 crore was spent on items which did not fall within the purview of the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets Act.

(Paragraphs 1.1.7.1 and 1.1.7.3)

There were wide gaps (25.52 to 31.62 *per cent*) between agricultural production and the arrival of produce in *mandis*, thereby increasing the risk of leakage of revenue.

(Paragraph 1.1.7.2)

Surplus funds were kept in savings bank accounts instead of in fixed deposits, which resulted in earning of less interest of ₹ 2.08 crore.

(Paragraph 1.1.7.4)

Adequate infrastructure facilities such as covered platforms, service roads, boundary walls, dormitories with toilets, mini towers, drinking water, lights, etc. were not provided in principal yards, sub-yards and purchase centres of 16 Market Committees (MCs) as required under the policy of the Board.

(Paragraph 1.1.8.1)

In five divisions, packed bitumen was purchased instead of bulk quantities, resulting in extra expenditure of ₹ 1.43 crore.

(Paragraph 1.1.9.3)

Execution of the work of widening and strengthening of the Rohtak-Jhajjar Road to village Sunarian without shifting water supply pipelines led to wasteful expenditure of ₹ 55.27 lakh.

(Paragraph 1.1.9.5)

Farmers' marketing assistance schemes for post-harvest management, though approved by the Board, were not implemented. Agri-Business Information Centres were set up in five districts against the target of 21 districts in the State as of July 2010.

(Paragraphs 1.1.10.2 and 1.1.10.3)

#### 1.1.1 Introduction

The Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board (Board) came into existence in August 1969 for supervising and controlling the Market Committees (MCs) in the State. The primary objective of the MCs is to provide facilities for better regulation of purchase, sale, storage and processing of agricultural produce in the State within the framework of the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets (PAPM) Act 1961 and the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets (General) Rules 1962, as adopted by Haryana. At present, 284 markets (*mandis*) comprising 106 principal yards and 178 sub-yards, besides 187 purchase centres (for seasonal sale/purchase) have been set up under the supervision of 106 MCs. The main activities of the Board are:

- creation of agricultural markets and facilitating auctions;
- shortening distances to the markets by constructing link roads and
- providing facilities and information to farmers for marketing their produce.

During the period 2005-06 to 2009-10, the Board constructed new rural link roads of 2,075 km length and established one sub-yard and 18 purchase centres besides repairing existing roads and providing additional facilities such as common platforms, covered sheds, tower lights, sewerage system, *sulabh shauchalayas*, etc. in the existing *mandis*.

#### 1.1.2 Organisational set-up

The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana,

Agriculture Department is the administrative head at the Government level. The Board is headed by a Chairperson and the Chief Administrator (CA) is the executive head and controlling officer. He is assisted by a Secretary, an Engineer-in-Chief (EIC), a Chief Marketing Enforcement Officer (CMEO) and a Controller of Finance and Accounts (CFA). The State has been divided into three zones headed by Zonal Administrators (ZAs) at Karnal, Gurgaon and Hisar. There are four Zonal Marketing Enforcement Officers (ZMEOs) at Hisar, Rohtak, Karnal and Gurgaon and 12<sup>1</sup> District Marketing Enforcement Officers (DMEOs). Each MC is governed by a nominated body headed by a Chairperson and has an Executive Officer-cum- Secretary and other supervisory staff.

The Board has a full-fledged construction wing headed by the EIC and assisted by two Chief Engineers (CEs). The State has been divided into five circles each headed by a SE. There are 18 Civil, two Electrical, one Mechanical and two Public Health Engineering Divisions headed by Executive Engineers (EEs). The organisational set up of the Board is depicted below:



1

DMEOs, Ambala, Bhiwani, Faridabad, Gurgaon, Hisar, Jind, Karnal, Kurukshetra, Rewari, Rohtak, Sirsa and Sonipat.

#### 1.1.3 Audit objectives

The main audit objectives were to ascertain whether:

- plans were prepared by the Board to carry out its operations efficiently and effectively;
- the financial management was efficient;
- the Board created basic infrastructure for facilitating agricultural marketing and the farmers were provided information about sale of their produce; and
- an effective and efficient internal control system existed in the Board.

## 1.1.4 Audit criteria

With a view to achieving the audit objectives, the following audit criteria were adopted:

- PAPM Act 1961 and Rules and bye-laws made thereunder.
- Targets/provisions made in the Annual Plans of the Board.
- Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board (HSAMB) (Sale of immovable property) Rules 1997 and 2000 and Market Committees Investment and Disposal of Surplus Funds Rules 1981.
- HSAMB Accounts Manual.
- Decisions taken in meetings of the Board.

#### 1.1.5 Scope of audit and methodology

Audit of the Board had been entrusted to the Comptroller and Auditor General of India (CAG) under Section 20 (1) of the CAG's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of service) Act, 1971. The Board had finalised its accounts upto 2008-09 and audit had also been conducted upto that period. All records relating to financial management, planning, execution of works, allotment of shops and recoveries of dues for the period 2005-10 were scrutinised. The office of the Chief Administrator of the Board located at Panchkula and six<sup>2</sup> out of 23 construction divisions along with  $27^3$  out of 106 MCs were selected using the Simple Random Sampling without Replacement (SRSWOR) method.

An entry conference was held in the January 2009 and an exit conference was held in July 2010 with the Chief Administrator of the Board wherein audit findings were discussed. Views of officers of the Board were kept in view while finalising the performance audit report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Faridabad, Gurgaon, Jind, Karnal, Rohtak and Sirsa.

Ateli, Ballabgarh, Bapoli, Barwala (H), Bhattu Kalan, Bhuna, Ding, Ellenabad, Ganaur, Gohana, Gurgaon, Hodal, Indri, Ismailabad, Jhajjar, Kaithal, Kosli, Ladwa, Mohindergarh, Naneola, Narwana, Nilokheri, Panchkula, Raipur Rani, Sewan, Shahabad, and Tosham.

/**Ξ**·

## Audit findings

#### 1.1.6 Planning

Long term Perspective Plan for developmental works was not prepared. Annual targets for each work were not fixed. The Board is required to prepare a long-term Perspective Plan for the developmental works to be undertaken after taking into account the requirement of various facilities and availability of funds with the Board. Further, the targets are to be prepared annually so that facilities can be provided to farmers within a specific period. However, the Board did not prepare any long-term Perspective Plan and Annual Plans. The Board prepared annual budgets in which funds were earmarked for various developmental works, without indicating the number of works to be undertaken in various *mandis*. As such, targets in physical terms i.e. number of new road works, repair of old roads, number of sheds/platforms to be constructed, etc were not fixed. In the absence of physical targets, the achievements of various activities in physical terms could not be assessed in audit.

The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that provisions for developmental works in the budget were being made on estimated basis for *mandi* works. Construction of link roads depended on the Government's instructions and Chief Minister's announcements from time to time. Hence, exact targets in terms of the number of new link roads could not be fixed. This showed that there was lack of planning in the Board for developmental works.

#### 1.1.7 Financial management

The main source of income of the Board is 30 *per cent* share of the market fees realised by MCs under Section 23 of the PAPM Act. The MCs also deposit funds with the Board for execution of developmental works. The expenditure of the Board is mainly on salaries and travelling allowances of staff, contingencies, etc. The MCs maintain separate accounts and also prepare their income and expenditure accounts and balance sheets. The assets and liabilities of the MCs are not depicted in the accounts of the Board.

The Board had been earning excess of income over expenditure during the last four years as detailed below:

				(\methodel)
Year	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total income	64.34	68.92	71.17	111.32
Total expenditure	47.31	53.86	63.74	87.71
Funds unutilised	17.03	15.06	7.43	23.61
Income from Interest	11.50	18.18	6.50	14.66

Source: Balance sheets of the Board.

It was observed from the scrutiny of balance sheets of the Board for the years 2005-09 that huge funds ranging between ₹ 20.69 crore and ₹ 112.98 crore

deposited by MCs for execution of works remained unutilised with the Board at the end of each year during 2005-09. As shown above, excess of income over expenditure was due to interest earned on the funds deposited by MCs. The interest earned by the Board on this account amounted to ₹ 25.81 crore during 2005-09. As a result, the capital fund of the Board increased from ₹ 201.19 crore as on 31 March 2005 to ₹ 264.25 crore as on 31 March 2009.

#### 1.1.7.1 Budget allotment vis-a-vis expenditure

The Board prepares annual budget estimates for revenue and capital expenditure including provisions for the works to be executed on behalf of MCs. The position of the budget estimates of revenue expenditure and capital expenditure and actual expenditure incurred thereagainst for the period 2005-10 is tabulated below:

Year	ar Revenue					Capital			
	Budget allotment	Expenditure	Saving (-)/ Excess (+)	Percentage of saving/	Budget allotment	Expenditure	Saving (-)/ Excess (+)	Percentage of saving/	
				excess				excess	
2005-06	67.95	48.85	(-) 19.10	28	133.80	79.16	(-) 54.64	41	
2006-07	76.65	56.74	(-) 19.91	26	170.90	148.27	(-) 22.63	13	
2007-08	98.51	62.90	(-) 35.61	36	319.55	216.46	(-) 103.09	32	
2008-09	164.20	163.56	(-) 0.64	>1	504.00	491.36	(-) 12.64	3	
2009-10	159.60	163.72	(+) 4.12	3	604.75	412.04	(-) 192.71	32	
Total	566.91	495.77	(-) 71.14	13	1,733.00	1,347.29	(-) 385.71	22	

Table 2: Budget provision and expenditure

(Fin arona)

Source: Data supplied by the Board

As seen from the above table, the savings under the Revenue section were 13 *per cent*, while the savings under the Capital section were 22 *per cent*. It was observed that the savings under the Capital section during 2005-10 were mainly due to partial execution of construction and development works of *mandis* against financial targets.

The Board executed deposit works valuing ₹ 316.52 crore during the review period but did not levy required departmental charges on these deposit works.

The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that the policy for levying departmental charges was under consideration of the Board.

#### 1.1.7.2 Budget estimates and receipts of Market Committee

The main source of income of MCs is market fees leviable under Section 23 of the PAPM Act. As per Section 27 of the Act, 30 *per cent* of the market fees of the MCs is remitted to the Board to meet its expenditure. The table below shows details of income of all MCs from 2005-06 to 2009-10.

		-		(₹ in crore)
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Budget estimates	160.00	170.00	172.00	225.00
Actual receipts	171.82	166.63	228.27	300.33

 Table 3: Budget estimates and income

Source: Data provided by the Board.

Estimates of market fees were to be prepared with reference to production of crops of the previous year. However, these were prepared on the basis of fees realized in previous years. The Board, while approving the budget for the year 2005-06, decided that market fee realisation should be based on the production figures of major commodities as a measure to check evasion of market fees. Scrutiny of budget estimates of the Board as well as those of test-checked MCs, however, revealed that estimates of market fees were not prepared with reference to production of crops of the previous year. Instead, these were prepared on the basis of fees realised in the previous years. In the absence of realistic estimates of market fees, the performance of revenue realisation could not be assessed in audit.

It was further observed that there was a wide gap between agricultural production and the arrival of produce of main crops in the *mandis* as detailed below:

							(Quintals	in lakh)
Name of produce	2005	-06	2006-	07	2007-	08	2008-	09
	Production	Arrival	Production	Arrival	Production	Arrival	Production	Arrival
Wheat	885.30	460.93	1,005.50	311.16	1,023.20	381.08	1,136.00	534.94
Paddy	319.40	487.53	337.10	444.49	360.60	467.40	329.80	512.25
Bajra	70.60	8.61	102.40	14.49	115.60	28.71	107.90	36.15
Pulses	3.98	1.58	4.90	1.55	4.71	2.10	5.10	2.27
Barley	7.90	4.25	11.50	6.17	12.00	10.53	18.50	14.73
Grams	7.20	1.20	9.10	0.71	5.40	0.63	12.80	0.71
Total	1,294.38	964.10	1,470.50	778.57	1,521.51	890.45	1,610.10	1,101.05

<b>Table 4: Production</b>	and arrival	of produce	of main	crops in	mandis

Production and arival of produce of main crops in mandis (Production = P; Arival = A) 1200 1000 Quintals in lakh 800 600 Α Ρ D 400 200 0 2005-06 2006-07 2007-08 2008-09 year ■ Wheat □ Paddy □Bajra

Source: Statistical Abstract of the State Government and records of the Board.

There were wide gaps between agriculture production and arrival of produce in *mandis*. As is evident from the above, the difference between production and arrival of agricultural produce increased from 25.52 *per cent* in 2005-06 to 31.62 *per cent* during 2008-09. The chances of leakage of revenue on account of market fees could not, therefore, be ruled out.

The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that the shortfall in arrival of wheat was due to the fact that it was being diverted to the open market as the rates in the open market were higher than the Minimum Support Price (MSP) offered by the

Government. As the PAPM Act did not restrict any farmer from selling agriculture produce outside the State, the farmers were free to sell their agricultural produce anywhere within India. The reply of the Board was not based on any survey. As the variations between production and arrival of agricultural produce were significant, the Board should have examined the issue in depth and take corrective action to ensure realization of market fees of the entire quantity of agricultural produce for the concerned years. The Government may consider formulating strict rules to levy market fees on agriculture production in the State. During the exit conference, the CA of the Board agreed to conduct a survey to assess the reasons for shortfall in arrival of agricultural produce in *mandis*.

# 1.1.7.3 Expenditure not falling within the purview of PAPM Act

As per Section 28 of the PAPM Act, the revenue received by the MCs are to be expended on establishment and development of markets; providing facilities such as shelters, sheds, parking spaces and water for the people, cattle, vehicles and on construction and repair of approach roads (link roads), culverts, bridges and other such purposes.

The EE, HSAMB, Rohtak executed 17 works like construction of roads from bus stand to worship places and from villages to worship places at a cost of ₹ 1.27 crore which were beyond the purview of the PAPM Act. The EE stated (February 2010) that the works were taken up on the basis of administrative approvals of the competent authority. The fact remained that the administrative approvals were accorded by the CA for works which did not fall within the purview of PAPM Act.

Similarly, the Board released  $\gtrless$  5.11<sup>4</sup> crore (August 2009) to the Chief Minister's Relief Fund out of the Marketing Development Fund/Market Committee Funds. Such expenditure was not a legitimate charge to the Marketing Development Fund/Market Committee Fund and was against the spirit of provisions of the PAPM Act.

The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that the expenditure was a fit charge from the funds of the Board under clause (xvii) of Section 28 of the PAPM Act. The reply was not acceptable as the prior sanction of the Government was required to be obtained for incurring expenditure on such activities but no such prior sanction was obtained.

## 1.1.7.4 Cash management

According to the HSAMB Accounts Manual, an MC is required to invest its surplus funds or any portion thereof in securities of the Central Government, a savings bank account or in open fixed deposit receipts (FDRs) of a post office, nationalised bank or the Haryana State/Central Co-operative Bank. Financial management of the funds on daily basis was the responsibility of the Secretaries of the concerned MCs, who were personally accountable for any losses on this account.

4

An amount of ₹6.38 crore was spent on items which did not fall within the preview of PAPM Act.

Marketing Development fund ₹ 1.50 crore and Market Committee fund ₹ 3.61 crore.

Funds were kept in savings bank accounts instead of in FDRs which led to earning of less interest of ₹ 2.08 crore to MCs. It was noticed that average monthly balances ranging between  $\gtrless$  8.99 lakh and  $\gtrless$  2.88 crore were kept by 14<sup>5</sup> out of 27 test-checked MCs in savings bank accounts instead of investing the same in FDRs. Keeping funds in savings bank accounts was imprudent as they could have been invested in FDRs earning higher rate of interest. Had these MCs invested the funds in FDRs, additional interest of  $\gtrless$  2.08 crore could have been earned on account of the difference in the rate of interest between that of the savings bank and FDRs for the period 2005-10.

The CA of the Board, during the exit conference, agreed (July 2010) and assured to take remedial measures in future.

# 1.1.7.5 Water and sewerage charges

Market Committees provide amenities like water supply and sewerage to shopkeepers in the grain markets. The Board issued (December 2006) instructions for levy of water and sewerage charges at fixed rates.

Three<sup>6</sup> out of 27 test-checked MCs did not levy water and sewerage charges on shop owners though facilities of water and sewerage were provided to them. Further, electricity bills of tubewells installed in the *mandis* were being paid out of MC funds. Details of water/sewerage connections provided to the shop owners were not maintained by these MCs.

The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that the MCs would be directed to maintain proper accounts of water and sewerage connections and to recover charges for these services from the shopkeepers.

## 1.1.8 Functioning of *mandis*

The *mandis* were set up in the State to facilitate farmers in improving the marketing of their agricultural produce; collection and dissemination of market rates and news and providing facilities such as shelters, sheds, parking accommodation and drinking water, etc. for farmers in *mandis*. Scrutiny of the records of 27 *mandis* revealed the following deficiencies.

## 1.1.8.1 Infrastructural facilities in mandis

The Board, approved (June 2006) the provision of basic/additional facilities in each principal yard, sub-yard and purchase centre. Various infrastructural facilities like water supply and sewerage systems, weighbridges at entry points, covered platforms, internal service roads, rooms with coolers, canteens, *Sulabh Sauchalya*, etc. were required to be provided in each principal yard and sub-yard. Further, boundary walls, two dormitories with toilet facilities, one or two mini towers in addition to temporary lights, sufficient temporary arrangement for

Three MCs did not levy water and sewerage charges on shop owners.

Infrastructural facilities in principal yards, sub-yards and purchase centres of 16 MCs were inadequate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ateli, Ballabgarh, Barwala (H), Bhuna, Ellenabad, Gohana, Gurgaon, Hodal, Ismailabad, Kaithal, Kosli, Ladwa, Mohindergarh and Shahbad.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Bapoli, Ellenabad and Ismailabad.

drinking water and toilets under *Sulabh Sauchalya* were required to be provided in purchase centres. Scrutiny of records revealed that in the case of 16<sup>7</sup> testchecked MCs, these facilities were not provided in principal yards, sub-yards and purchase centres. The table below shows the number of MCs where various facilities not provided.

			(In numbers)
Facility	<b>Principal Yards</b>	Sub Yards	<b>Purchase Centres</b>
Boundary wall	3	6	12
Check post and gates	3	8	14
Common Auction platform	1	1	4
50 per cent covered platform	2	9	0
Weighbridge at entry point	13	12	0
Internal and service roads	0	1	0
Dormitory with toilet facilities	5	3	13
Light arrangement with tower	1	0	6
Drinking water facilities	3	9	10
Canteen	15	12	0
Provision for water supply and sewerage system	5	5	0
Provision for petrol/diesel pump	14	9	0

Table 5: Statement indicating facilities not available in mandis

Source: Data supplied by mandis.

It was observed that the Board had neither identified the *mandis* with inadeuqate facilities nor had prepared any timeframe to provide these facilities in all the principal yards, sub-yards and purchase centres.

It was, thus, evident that the Board had failed to create basic infrastructure for facilitating agricultural marketing in the *mandis* as decided in its meeting in June 2006. As a result of this, the farmers were deprived of these facilities.

#### 1.1.8.2 Conducting of non-agricultural business in the mandis

As per Rule 3 of the Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board (Sale of Immovable Property) Rules 2000, an allottee of a shop plot under these Rules should not be permitted to use the premises for any purpose other than marketing of notified agricultural produce. In case of misuse of such premises, the allotment would be cancelled and 10 *per cent* of the value of the plot, interest and other dues paid would be forfeited. Such an allottee should be debarred from allotment of any other site under these Rules.

In Bhattu Kalan *mandi*, it was observed that contrary to this rule, business relating to iron pipes, bamboos, building material, etc. other than that of the agricultural produce was being conducted in 13 shops. The MC had issued notices to the concerned shopowners for stopping non-agricultural business but no action to cancel the allotments was initiated.

Similarly, 53 shop plots were carved out in the new vegetable and fruit market Gurgaon, of which only 38 shops had been sold upto March 2010. Out of these, 15 shopkeepers (39 *per cent*) were engaged in businesses other than agricultural

In Bhattu Kalan *mandi* business of iron pipes, building material, etc. was being conducted in 13 shops.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Ateli, Bhuna, Ballabgarh, Gohana, Hodal, Ismailabad, Kaithal, Kosli, Ladwa, Mohindergarh, Naneola, Narwana, Nilokheri, Panchkula, Shahbad and Raipur Rani.

marketing in the premises which was not permissible under Rule 3 of the Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board (Sale of Immovable Property) Rules 2000. The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) during the exit conference that the enforcement staff would be activated for this purpose.

## 1.1.8.3 Encroachment on mandi land

In Tosham *mandi*, nine plots were lying unsold for the last 20 years and seven plots auctioned in May 1991 were not utilised by the allottees upto March 2010. The Secretary of the MC stated (March 2010) that plots were not sold due to encroachments on some portions of the land covering these plots. The encroachments were facilitated due to non-construction of the boundary wall of the *mandi*. A case had been filed (March 2010) with the District Session Court, Bhiwani for removal of the encroachments which was pending as of August 2010.

Similarly, the work of re-construction of the boundary wall of the Gurgaon *mandi* allotted (March 2008) to a company could not be completed due to encroachment of land. An expenditure of ₹ 18.76 lakh incurred on the work remained unfruitful.

The construction work of development of a vegetable market and a retail market, Gurgaon allotted (January 2009) to a contractor for  $\gtrless$  21.07 crore was shelved (February 2009) due to encroachments on the common platform where it was to be developed. The expenditure of  $\gtrless$  nine lakh incurred on this work was wasteful.

The CA of the Board, while admitting the facts, stated (July 2010) that the Board was seized of the problem of encroachment of *mandi* land and had issued instructions to MCs from time to time for not allowing anybody to encroach the same.

# 1.1.8.4 New Grain Market at Gurgaon

The New Grain Market (NGM), Gurgaon was established in 1976-77 and all the 119 plots were sold. Farmers were not using the NGM for marketing of foodgrain for more than 20 years as the Haryana Urban Development Authority, colonisers, etc. had purchased the agricultural land of nearby villages. Hence, it was not being utilised for agricultural marketing purposes. The New Vegetable and Fruit Market (NVFM) was set up (September 1995) on the land measuring 2.66 acres near NGM. As the area for NVFM was not sufficient for the present requirement, a proposal of converting the NGM after de-notification into NVFM was sent (April 2009) by MC, Gurgaon to the Board, but the Board had not taken any decision in the matter (July 2010). The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that the proposal was under process.

# 1.1.8.5 Auction of mandi plots

As per the HSAMB Sale of Immovable Property Rules, 2000, the shop plots in all the markets developed by the MCs are disposed of by way of open auctions whereas plots to the old dealers are allotted on reserve prices fixed by the Board.

A total of 288 plots of various sizes valuing ₹ 35.32 crore of MC, Shahbad, Mohindergarh, Gohana and Indri had not been sold (March 2010) for the last three to 28 years. This resulted in blocking of funds to the tune of ₹ 35.32 crore.

Encroachment of land was noticed in three test-checked *mandis*.

New Grain Market, Gurgaon was nonfunctional for last 20 years. In five *mandis*, 410 plots worth ₹ 36.53 crore remained unsold. The Board developed two sub-yards at Garhi and Dhanauri under the jurisdiction of MC, Narwana (Jind) during 1982-83 at a cost of ₹ 1.56 crore and carved out 158 plots. Out of these, 122 plots were not sold for over 26 years, resulting in blocking of funds to the tune of ₹ 1.21 crore. It was observed that adequate efforts were not made to sell the plots as the last auction of plots took place for Garhi sub-yard in 1999 and for Dhanauri sub yard in 2001. The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that the process for auction of plots was in hand and the remaining plots would be disposed of in the near future.

Land measuring 169 acres of Barwala (Hisar) *mandi* was lying vacant since 1981, when it was transferred by the colonisation department to the *mandi* for setting up the grain market. It was further observed that a proposal to utilise the land for development of the *mandi*, construction of godowns, development of a herbal park, transfer of land for construction of Government College and Tehsil office, etc. was sent (June 2009) by MC Barwala (Hisar) to the Board. However, the Board had not taken a decision in the matter (July 2010). The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that a proposal for transferring the land for the Tehsil office building was under consideration but reasons as to why the land was not leased out were not given.

# 1.1.8.6 Non-recovery of outstanding instalments of plots

As per the terms and conditions of allotment, 25 *per cent* of the allotment price/bid cost of a plot is to be paid by the allottee at the time of bid and the balance 75 *per cent* is to be paid either without interest within 30 days from the date of issue of the allotment letter or in six half-yearly instalments with 15 *per cent* interest or at such rate of interest as may be specified from time to time by the Board. In the case of failure to deposit the instalments in time, penal interest at four *per cent* per annum to be compounded half-yearly, is to be charged in addition to the normal interest. In the case of default of two successive instalments, the plot including building, if constructed, is to be resumed by the MC after providing the allottee an opportunity of being heard.

It was noticed that a total amount of ₹ 15.12 crore from 291 plot holders on account of instalments along with interest, was outstanding for a period from one to 10 years in  $10^8$  out of 27 test-checked MCs. Action to resume the plots was not initiated by the concerned MCs.

The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that the resumption proceedings would be initiated in the cases of old allotments.

# 1.1.8.7 Maintenance of immovable property registers

Under the provisions contained in Para 9.1 of the HSAMB Accounts Manual, the Board/Committee are required to maintain a register indicating the details of all the immovable property of the Board/MC vested in or owned or leased by the Board/MC. The Board/MC should periodically and not less than once a year, cause the register of immovable property to certify that the records are correct.

An amount of ₹ 15.12 crore on account of instalments, along with interest, was outstanding from 291 plot holders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ateli, Ellenabad, Gohana, Indri, Ismailabad, Kosli, Narwana, Panchkula, Mohindergarh and Shahabad.

Immovable property registers were not maintained as per codal provisions. Scrutiny of the records of MCs test-checked revealed that immovable property registers were not maintained as per codal provisions. Thus, the system of examination of property registers was not followed. Details of assets like offices, rest houses, *mandi* development works, sub-yards and covered sheds as depicted in the balance sheets were not available with the respective MCs.

The CA of the Board, while admitting (July 2010) the facts, stated that the requisite registers would be completed in due course.

# 1.1.9 Execution of works

The Board follows the State Public Works Department (PWD) code along with relevant financial rules and manuals. An analysis of the works executed by the Board on behalf of MCs brought out cases of execution of works without technical sanction, delays in completion of works, non-levy of compensation on contractors, wasteful expenditure, etc. as discussed in the following paragraphs.

# 1.1.9.1 Delay in completion of works

The timeframe prescribed for completion of any work is the essence of a contract. Contractors are required to adhere strictly to the time schedule.

During audit, it was noticed in six test-checked divisions that out of 201 works taken up during 2005-10, only six works were completed within the scheduled time. The delays for completion of works ranged from one to 71 months. The concerned EEs and SEs allowed extension of time usually on the ground of non-availability of labour, winter season, non-removal of encroachments on some portion of land, change in scope of work, shortage of material and personal problems of contractors. Instances were also noticed where the competent authorities granted time extensions without any valid reasons.

Reasons like non-availability of labour, winter season, etc. were, however, foreseeable and could have been taken into account by the contractors at the time of entering into agreements with the Board. As regards land encroachment and shortage of material, the divisions should have taken timely steps before awarding the works. Liquidated damages for delays in works amounting to ₹ 10.31 crore were to be recovered from the contractors. The delays in completion of works resulted in corresponding delays in providing smooth flow of traffic facilities to the farmers while non-levy of liquidated damages resulted in grant of undue favour to contractors and losses to the Board.

# 1.1.9.2 Execution of works without technical sanctions

Para 2.89 of the PWD code provides that before the commencement of works, detailed cost estimates should be technically sanctioned by the competent authorities after satisfying themselves that the proposals are structurally sound and the estimates are correct. In the test-checked divisions, out of 536 works, technical sanctions were obtained in respect of only 307 works during 2005-10.

Liquidated damages amounting to ₹ 10.31 crore for delays in works were not recovered from the contractors.

Forty three *per cent* works were started without technical sanctions.

Technical sanctions in respect of 229 works involving expenditure of  $\gtrless$  120.07 crore were pending (March 2010). Thus, these works were taken up by the EEs without ensuring soundness of the structures with reference to the required specifications and correctness of estimates. Further, the Accounts Branch passed the bills for payment without technical sanctions. Thus, Audit could not verify whether the works had been completed and paid for as per technical sanctions.

The CA of the Board while admitting the fact stated (July 2010) that due to shortage of technical staff in the field offices, the technical sanctions and the detailed estimates could not be prepared in all the cases.

#### 1.1.9.3 Purchase of packed bitumen

Rule 15.2 of the Punjab Financial Rules as adopted by Haryana and the Board, provides that all purchases should be made in the most economical manner.

The EEs of five<sup>9</sup> divisions purchased 5,630 metric tonnes (MT) of packed bitumen at rates which were higher than the rates of bulk bitumen, though there was no difference in quality. It was observed that the financial impact of the difference of rates between packed and bulk bitumen was not analysed by any of these divisions. This resulted in extra expenditure of ₹ 1.43 crore<sup>10</sup>.

The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) during the exit conference that packed bitumen was procured generally for patch works. However, efforts would be made to minimise the purchase of packed bitumen.

## 1.1.9.4 Undue favour to contractor

The work of construction of a covered shed of 18 metre span in the New Grain Market, Kharian was allotted (July 2005) to a contractor by the EE, HSAMB Division, Sirsa for ₹ 17.84 lakh with a time limit of five months. Work valuing ₹ 2.86 lakh was executed and payment was made (July 2007) to the contractor but thereafter, the contractor left the work midway. Neither was any action to execute the balance work at risk and cost of the contractor under clause III of the agreement initiated nor was penalty of ₹ 1.78 lakh recovered while making payment of the contractor bill in July 2007, although it had been imposed in November 2005 and subsequently deferred by SE upto March 2007. The work had not been completed (March 2010). Thus undue favour was extended to the contractor.

The EE stated (December 2009) that the work of construction of the covered shed was not got completed because as per instructions of CA issued in April 2009, the facility of construction of a shed was to be provided after two years of start of the business in the *mandis*. The reply is not convincing as business in the *mandi* was

Purchase of packed instead of bulk bitumen resulted in extra expenditure of ₹ 1.43 crore.

Penalty amounting to ₹ 1.78 lakh for delay in execution of works was not levied.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Faridabad, Gurgaon, Jind, Karnal and Rohtak.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Faridabad: ₹ 15.92 lakh; Gurgaon: ₹ 36.13 lakh; Jind: ₹ 45.84 lakh, Karnal: ₹ 26.52 lakh and Rohtak: ₹ 19.07 lakh.

being conducted for the last seven years and the work should have been completed as per the agreement.

Similarly, the work of 'Special repair of three HSAMB roads in Mewat District i.e.' (i) Alwalpur to Sikrawa (ii) Pinangwan to Jhimrwal and (iii) Rehana to Basai' was allotted (February 2006) to a contractor with a time limit of six months. During scrutiny of records of EE, HSAM Board, Gurgaon, it was seen that these works were incomplete as of March 2010 and no action was taken to impose penalty for delays in completion of works or to get the works executed at the risk and cost of the contractor under clauses II and III of the contract agreements. With the time overrun, the cost of bitumen increased from ₹ 15000 per MT to ₹ 32000 per MT, resulting in extra expenditure on purchase of bitumen to the tune of ₹ 35.55 lakh. The EE stated (February 2010) that penalty for delays in completion of works under clause II of the agreement was levied against the contractor but the SE deferred the penalty thrice in the interest of work.

#### 1.1.9.5 Expenditure on widening and strengthening of road

The work of widening and strengthening of the link road from Rohtak-Jhajjar Road to Village Sunarian was allotted (April 2006) to a contractor with an agreement amount of ₹ 68.84 lakh. The SE, HSAMB intimated (June 2006) SE, Public Health that his department had laid a water supply pipeline along this road at the left side towards Jhajjar road to Sunarian which would come under the metalled portion of the road. As this pipeline was laid about 1.5 to two feet deep from the existing surface of the road, the pipeline would not sustain the load of heavy vehicles passing through the road. The EE, PH division, Rohtak was requested (June 2006) to shift the pipeline coming under the metalled portion of the road but the same was not shifted by the PH division. However, EE, HSAMB ignored the current instructions and continued to get the work executed from the contractor which was completed in January 2007. During inspection (September 2007) of the work, the condition of the road was not found to be up to the mark especially the stretch from RD 1,150 to 1,800 was in poor condition. Further, the soil used on the work was not as per the specifications since the CBR<sup>11</sup> value of the soil was very low. This was not only uneconomical but also unsafe as reported by the Civil Engineering Department of NIT, Kurukshetra. Since the condition of the road was poor, the expenditure of ₹ 55.27 lakh incurred on the work was rendered wasteful.

The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that efforts were made to get the water supply pipeline shifted but the Public Health Department did not shift it. The work was executed on priority basis at the request of District Administration without shifting the pipeline.

## 1.1.9.6 Lack of co-ordination

The work of widening and strengthening of the road from the New Vegetable market, Jind to Safidon Jind road (near J.D. No. 7) was allotted (November 2007) by EE, HSAM Board, Jind to a contractor. The contractor completed items of earthwork and

Execution of roadwork without shifting the water supply pipelines resulted in wasteful expenditure of ₹ 55.27 lakh.

Lack of co-ordination resulted in wasteful expenditure of ₹ 31.53 lakh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> California Bearing Ratio: Penetration test meant for the evaluation of subgrade strength of roads and pavements.

metalling in September 2008 after incurring an expenditure of ₹ 31.53 lakh without premix carpeting. In the meantime, the Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Jind started (September 2008) laying a deep sewerline and the road got badly damaged. The contractual agency refused to execute the balance work as the already constructed work was damaged beyond repair and required construction affresh. Thus, due to lack of planning and co-ordination between the Board and Public Health Engineering Department, expenditure of ₹ 31.53 lakh incurred on earthwork and metalwork was rendered wasteful.

#### **1.1.10** Schemes for providing facilities and information to farmers

To provide post-harvest management infrastructure to farmers to shift foodgrain/agricultural produce from the farm level to markets, loan facilities, advisory services for agricultural development to farmers', etc. the Board formulated various schemes, the performance of which is discussed below:

#### 1.1.10.1 Financial Pledged Agricultural Produce Storage Scheme

During the peak harvesting season, farmers have to sell their agricultural produce at the prevailing market price/Minimum Support Price (MSP) which is not always considered remunerative by farmers. Some of the farmers want to keep stocks of agricultural produce with them so that they fetch a higher price at a later stage. In order to safeguard the interest of the farming community, the Board decided (March 2007) to introduce the 'Financial Pledged Agricultural Produce Storage Scheme'. Loans were to be arranged through banks on security receipts of storage of agricultural produce from the godowns. However, the scheme was not implemented. The CA of the Board, while admitting the facts, stated (August 2010) that the scheme could not be implemented due to administrative reasons.

#### 1.1.10.2 Farmers Marketing Assistance Schemes

To provide post-harvest management infrastructure to farmers to shift foodgrain/agricultural produce from the farm level to the markets, the Board approved (March 2007) Farmers' Marketing Assistance Schemes such as (i). creation of master trainers for post-harvest management (ii) providing financial support for packaging material/equipment and (iii) providing financial assistance for specialized transport facilities on transportation of highly perishable crops. It was, however, observed that the Board had not taken any step to implement these schemes. The CA of the Board, while admitting the facts, stated (August 2010) that the schemes could not be implemented due to administrative reasons and these had been kept in abeyance.

Financial Pledged Agricultural Produce Storage Scheme was not implemented.

Farmers' Marketing Assistance schemes in respect of post-harvest management were not implemented.

## 1.1.10.3 Agri-Business Information Centres

With a view to creating additional opportunities of marketing for the farmers and providing post-harvest technology and advisory services to the farmers, the Board decided (March 2006) to set up Agri-Business Information Centres (ABIC) in each district by the end of March 2008. However, the Board could set up (March 2010) these centres only in two districts (Hisar and Sirsa) out of the 21 districts in the State. The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that the project had been taken up in phased manner and that ABIC buildings at Ambala, Karnal and Rohtak had also been completed.

#### 1.1.11 Manpower management

# 1.1.11.1 Shortage of staff

The main function of the Board is to supervise and control the MCs in the State. It has a construction wing, enforcement staff, Executive officers cum Secretaries and field staff in all cadres from Classes I to IV. The field staff members are responsible for providing facilities for better regulation of purchase, sale, storage and processing of agricultural produce in the State. Any shortage of staff adversely affects the working of the Board. However, there was shortage of staff in various categories as per details given below:

Year	Category	Sanctioned strength	Persons-in- position	Shortage	Percentage of shortage
December 2007	Class-I	134	128	6	4
	Class-II	169	127	42	25
	Class-III	2855	2221	634	22
	Class-IV	375	289	86	23
Total		3533	2765	768	22
December 2008	Class-I	139	122	17	12
	Class-II	170	115	55	32
	Class-III	2861	2104	757	26
	Class-IV	290	285	5	2
Total		3460	2626	834	24
December 2009	Class-I	175	129	46	26
	Class-II	187	103	84	45
	Class-III	2885	2282	603	21
	Class-IV	320	282	38	12
Total		3567	2796	771	22

Table 6: Statement showing shortage of staff

Source: Data obtained from department.

As evident from the above table, there was shortage of staff ranging between 22 and 24 *per cent* during 2007 to 2009. The shortage was significant (45 *per cent*) in respect of Class II posts at the end of December 2009.

Agri-Business information centres were set up in two districts against the target of 21 districts by March 2008.

There was shortage of staff between 22 to 24 *per cent*.

#### **1.1.12** Internal control and internal audit

#### 1.1.12.1 Internal control

Internal control provides reasonable assurance to the Management about the compliance of applicable rules and regulations. It was observed that a proper internal control system was lacking in the Board in respect of various aspects as discussed earlier in paragraphs 1.1.8.2, 1.1.8.3 and 1.1.8.5. Further, the internal control was weak with regard to the working of enforcement staff and comparison of sale/purchase returns relating to agricultural produce as discussed below:

#### • Surprise checks by enforcement staff

The Board had enforcement staff i.e. one Chief Marketing Enforcement Officer, three Zonal Administrators, four Zonal Marketing Enforcement Officers and 12 District Marketing Enforcement Officers to prevent theft/evasion of market fees. The Board did not fix any periodicity of surprise checking or targets for each enforcement staff member.

It was observed that the enforcement staff conducted  $525^{12}$  to  $2,567^{12}$  surprise checks per year during 2005-10. The average number of surprise checks per enforcement staff per year worked out between 26 and 128 only during 2005-10.

The CA of the Board stated (July 2010) that targets of checking would be fixed for each enforcement staff member in future.

#### • Non-comparison of sale/purchase returns

As per the PAPM (General) Rules 1962, as soon as the auction for foodgrain lots is over, the auctioneer has to fill the particulars in a book to be maintained in Form 'H'. Every licensed grain dealer is to submit to the MC, a return in Form 'M' showing each transaction of purchases and sales of agricultural produce within seven days of the day of transaction. These 'M' returns are required to be entered in a Register 'N' which shows the totals of purchases and sales made by the dealer. The *Mandi* Supervisors (MSs) were to complete the comparison of N and H Registers. However, in nine<sup>13</sup> out of test-checked MCs, the MSs did not carry out any comparison of the above returns. In the absence of this, the possibility of evasion of market fees could not be ruled out.

The CA of the Board while admitting the facts stated (July 2010) that appropriate action would be taken to complete the comparison of the returns.

## 1.1.12.2 Inadequate internal audit

The HSAMB Accounts Manual stipulated that internal audit of all construction divisions and MCs having income below ₹ 50 lakh was to be conducted annually

The Board did not fix targets for enforcement staff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 2005-06: 1796; 2006-07: 2,567; 2007-08: 846; 2008-09: 525 and 2009-10: 1,324.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Ateli, Ballabgarh, Bhuna, Gohana, Hodal, Ismailabad, Kosli, Mohindergarh and. Shahbad.

and audit of the remaining committees having income above  $\gtrless$  50 lakh was to be conducted half-yearly by the internal audit parties of the Board. The Board did not conduct internal audit of units at regular intervals and a large number of units remained unaudited as detailed below:

Period of audit	Number of units to be audited	Number of units audited	Pending for internal audit	Percentage of units unaudited
2004-05	129	10	119	92
2005-06	129	14	115	89
2006-07	129	16	113	88
2007-08	129	17	112	87
2008-09	129	13	116	90
2009-10	129	8	121	94

Table 7: Number of unaudited units and internal audit conducted

Source: Data provided by the Board.

The Board did not conduct internal audit of units regularly.

It was observed that against the sanctioned strength of 31 posts, two to five officers/official were posted for internal audit during 2005-10.

The CA of the Board, while admitting the facts, stated (July 2010) that audit planning had been framed to cover all the units by the year 2011-12.

#### 1.1.13 Conclusion

The objectives of the Board were achieved on some aspects such as construction of new rural link roads, repairs of existing roads, development of one new sub-yard and 18 purchase centres, providing of additional facilities i.e. construction of common platforms, covered sheds, tower lights, sulabh shauchalayas in the existing mandis, etc. However, estimates of receipts were not prepared on the basis of agricultural production. Wide gaps between agriculture production and arrival of produce in *mandis* left much scope for increasing revenue realisation. Further, the working of the Board was marred by deficiencies such as non-preparation of Perspective Plan, non-fixation of annual physical targets, keeping of huge funds in savings bank accounts, spending of funds on activities not covered under the PAPM Act, inadequate facilities in mandis, etc. Delays in execution of works, wasteful expenditure, undue favour to contractors, etc. indicated the deficiencies in execution of works. Further, schemes such as the Financial Pledged Agricultural Produce Storage Scheme, Farmers Marketing Assistance Scheme for providing training for post-harvest management, providing financial assistance for packing material/equipments and specialised transport facilities, etc, though introduced by the Board, were not implemented.

#### 1.1.14 Recommendations

- The Board should prepare a long-term Perspective Plan, clearly indicating the annual physical targets under various activities.
- The budget estimates of receipts should be prepared on the basis of agriculture

production and the enforcement machinery should be geared up to reduce the gap between agriculture production and arrival of produce in *mandis*.

- The Board should evolve a proper system to ensure that farmers' welfare schemes, introduced by the Board, are implemented properly.
- Internal control system of the Board should be strengthened.

These points were demi-officially referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Agriculture Department in May 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

#### Women and Child Development Department

#### **1.2** Integrated Child Development Services Scheme

# Highlights

The Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS), a Centrally sponsored scheme, was launched in 1975-76 with the main objective of improving the nutritional standard and health status of children in the age group of zero to six years and to enhance the capability of mothers to look after the health of their children. Performance audit of the scheme brought out deficiencies in its implementation. Coverage of children and women beneficiaries under various components of the scheme was inadequate. About 44 per cent of the children in the age group of zero to six years were malnourished at the end of 2009-10. Huge funds were drawn in advance of requirement and were kept in bank accounts. About one-fifth of the targeted Anganwadi buildings were not constructed despite availability of funds. Facilities such as drinking water and toilets were not available in 73 and 57 per cent Anganwadi Centres respectively. Non-testing of food to check adequate micronutrients, non-maintenance of records of referral services, etc. also indicated deficient implementation of the scheme.

# Funds amounting to ₹ 10.62 crore were drawn in advance of requirement, of which ₹ 4.54 crore remained unspent for 12 to 24 months of their drawal.

(Paragraph 1.2.7.3)

Coverage of beneficiaries under ICDS increased by only two *per cent*, despite increase of 29 *per cent* in Anganwadi Centres during 2005-10.

(Paragraph 1.2.8.1)

Against the target of constructing 1,179 Anganwadi buildings, only 965 buildings were constructed during 2005-10. Funds amounting to ₹ 7.10 crore remained unspent.

(*Paragraph 1.2.8.2*)

Out of 17,444 Anganwadi Centres, drinking water facilities in 12,760 Anganwadi Centres and toilet facilities in 9,922 Anganwadi Centres were not available.

(Paragraph 1.2.8.3)

Shortfall in the coverage of children under the Supplementary Nutritional Programme ranged between 46 and 57 *per cent* while in the case of mothers, it was between 25 and 30 *per cent*.

(Paragraph 1.2.9.1)

Achievement of targets for enrolment of children for non-formal pre-school education declined from 84 to 58 *per cent* during 2005-10.

(Paragraph 1.2.12.1)

# There were shortfalls between 13 and 23 *per cent* in the achievement of targets for imparting training to staff.

(Paragraph 1.2.14.2)

## 1.2.1 Introduction

The Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS) scheme, a Centrally sponsored scheme, was started in 1975-76. The objectives of the scheme were:

- to improve the nutritional standard and health status of children in the age group of zero to six years;
- to reduce the incidence of mortality, morbidity, malnutrition and school dropouts among children;
- to achieve effective co-ordination and policy implementation amongst the various concerned departments and
- to promote child development and enhance the capability of the mother to look after the normal health and nutritional needs of the child.

These objectives were to be achieved with a package of services such as supplementary nutrition, immunization, health check-ups and referral services, non-formal pre-school education to children between three and six years of age and nutrition and health education to all women in the age group of 15-45 years. The scheme was implemented in 137 ICDS projects through 17,444 Anganwadi Centres (AWCs) as of March 2010. Though the scheme was being implemented for the last 35 years, about 44 *per cent* children were malnourished at the end of March 2010.

#### 1.2.2 Organisational set up

The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Women and Child Development Department is the administrative head at the Government level. The Director, Women and Child Development is the overall in-charge for administration, co-ordination, implementation and monitoring of the scheme and is assisted by a Joint Director, a Chief Accounts Officer, a Deputy Director at the Directorate level, Programme Officers (POs) at the district level and Child Development and Project Officers (CDPOs) at the block level. The ICDS package of services is delivered through AWCs set up in every village or ward of urban slum areas by Anganwadi Workers (AWWs).

# 1.2.3 Audit Objectives

The main objectives of the performance audit were to assess whether:

- the planning for the implementation of the scheme was adequate for achievement of its objectives;
- adequate funds were provided by the Central/State Governments and were utilised for the intended purposes;
- adequate facilities were provided in each Anganwadi Centre;
- the prescribed procurement procedure was adopted and various components of the scheme were implemented economically and effectively and as per the prescribed guidelines and
- implementation of the scheme was effectively monitored and periodically evaluated to ensure improvement in the nutritional and health standards of children.

# 1.2.4 Audit criteria

The audit findings were benchmarked against the following criteria:

- Scheme guidelines.
- Norms for identification of beneficiaries.
- The prescribed procurement procedure and quality assurance norms of food.
- Punjab Financial Rules as adopted by the Haryana and
- The prescribed monitoring mechanism.

## 1.2.5 Audit methodology and coverage

The methodology adopted was to test check records with reference to provisions of the guidelines of the scheme, the Punjab Financial Rules and Government orders and instructions. The sample for audit covered records relating to budget, expenditure, manpower policies, inventory control and various registers required to be maintained under the guidelines of the scheme. Records relating to implementation of the scheme for the period 2005-10 were test-checked between January and April 2010 in the Directorate of Women and Child Development. Five<sup>14</sup> out of 20 districts along with three<sup>15</sup> blocks under each district (15 blocks) and three AWCs under each block. Districts were selected by using the probability

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Faridabad, Hisar, Jind, Kaithal and Sonipat.

Faridabad (NIT), Faridabad (Rural), Ballabgarh (Urban), Hisar (Urban), Hisar I, Narnaund, Jind (Urban), Jind (Rural), Alewa, Kaithal (Urban), Kaithal (Rural), Pundri, Sonipat (Urban), Sonipat (Rural) and Kathura.

proportionate to size sampling (PPS) method. The number of beneficiaries were also kept in view while selecting the districts.

An entry conference was held in January 2010 with the Director, Women and Child Development Department in which important issues regarding implementation of the scheme, audit objectives and audit criteria were discussed. A meeting was held with the Director in July 2010 in which all the audit findings were discussed. An exit conference was held in November 2010 with the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Women and Child Development Department. The views of the department were taken into consideration while finalising the performance audit report.

## Audit findings

## 1.2.6. Planning

Proper planning and survey of beneficiaries are imperative for achieving the objectives of programmes/schemes. It was observed that surveys of children within the age group of zero to six years and lactating and pregnant mothers were carried out by AWWs regularly but surveys to identify all the women in the age group of 15-45 years to educate them about the importance of nutrition and healthcare, as envisaged in the scheme, were not conducted.

The services under the ICDS package are delivered through AWCs set up at the village level and wards of urban slums. Infrastructure like buildings for AWCs along with facilities of drinking water, toilets, tables and chairs, toys, etc. were to be created for efficient and smooth delivery of quality services. A long-term Perspective Plan was essential so that the envisaged facilities were provided within a specific timeframe. It was, however, noticed that though the scheme was being implemented in the State from 1975-76, the department had not prepared any Perspective Plan for providing these facilities in the centres. Due to lack of planning, a large number of AWCs were deficient of various facilities as discussed later in paragraph 1.2.8.3.

#### **1.2.7** Financial management

#### 1.2.7.1 Funding pattern

Except for the cost of supplementary nutrition<sup>16</sup>, the Government of India (GOI) provided *cent per cent* funds for implementation of the scheme upto 2008-09. The expenditure on administration from the year 2009-10 was to be borne by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Additional nutritive diet containing 500 calories and 20 to 25 gram protein to pregnant/nursing mother and 300 calories and 8 to 10 gram protein to zero to six years old children.

(₹ in crore)

Centre and State Government in the ratio of 90:10. From 2005-06, GOI also extended assistance for supplementary nutrition at the rate of half of the financial norms laid down for various categories of beneficiaries or 50 *per cent* of the actual expenditure on supplementary nutrition, whichever was less. In addition to this, out of eight State Plan schemes viz. providing best mothers' awards, arranging sports meets for women, constitution of village level committees, *sakshar mahila samooh*<sup>17</sup>, granting of nutrition awards and awards for improving the declining sex ratio, providing swings to Anganwadi Centres implemented under ICDS, a specific scheme to curb anaemia amongst children of zero to six years and pregnant/lactating mothers was also funded by GOI.

## 1.2.7.2 Budget provision and expenditure

The budget provisions and expenditure during 2005-10 were as under:

Year	Budget estimates		<b>Revised estimates</b>		Expenditure		Excess (+)/ savings (-)	
	Central Plan	State Plan/ Non-Plan	Central Plan	State Plan/ Non-Plan	Central Plan	State Plan/ Non-Plan	Central Plan	State Plan/ Non-Plan
2005-06	51.96	5.16	54.17	5.04	54.17	5.04	-	-
2006-07	60.62	8.24	59.79	9.04	59.79	9.04	-	-
2007-08	67.00	24.86	65.17	28.24	65.17	28.24	-	-
2008-09	71.00	37.31	87.98	31.10	87.98	32.17	-	(+) 1.07
2009-10	138.53	43.56	112.94	64.65	108.13	64.65	(-) 4.81	-

Table 1: Budget provisions and expenditure under ICDS

Note: Figures for the year 2009-10 are provisional.

Source: Detailed Appropriation Accounts.

# Table 2: Budget provisions and expenditure under Supplementary Nutrition Programme (Central/State Plan Schemes funding on sharing basis) (₹ in crore)

Budget estimates	<b>Revised estimates</b>	Expenditure	Excess (+)/ savings (-)
64.89	40.46	40.46	-
57.57	68.11	72.74	(+) 4.63
139.63	136.03	136.90	(+) 0.87
144.02	117.24	117.24	-
181.00	145.71	145.71	-
	64.89 57.57 139.63 144.02	64.89         40.46           57.57         68.11           139.63         136.03           144.02         117.24	64.89         40.46         40.46           57.57         68.11         72.74           139.63         136.03         136.90           144.02         117.24         117.24

Note: Figures for the year 2009-10 are provisional.

Source: Detailed Appropriation Accounts.

Budget estimates of the Supplementary Nutrition Programme were not prepared on a realistic basis.

The Director stated (July 2010) that the surrender of funds under Supplementary Nutrition Programme during 2005-06 was due to the availability of ready-to-eat food at lower rates than the rates fixed by GOI. Excess expenditure in 2006-07 was attributed to upward revision of nutritional norms while the savings in 2008-09 and 2009-10 were reportedly due to less attendance of beneficiaries and diversion of funds towards the Old Age Pension Scheme of the State by the Finance Department.

## 1.2.7.3 Parking of funds

The following table depicts the funds drawn in advance of requirements from the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Group of literate women.

treasuries for various purposes, the amounts utilised/deposited back in treasuries and unspent balances:

Month of drawal	Purpose	Amount drawn (₹ in lakh)	Month of expenditure/ deposit into treasury	Amount of expenditure/ amounts deposited into treasury (₹ in lakh)	Balance (₹ in lakh)
March 2006	Purchase of furniture and durries for AWCs	143.92	May 2007 June 2007 January 2008 March 2008 January 2008 April 2008	53.49 43.07 34.68 6.31 2.18* 4.19*	Nil
March 2007	Purchase of registers for AWCs	25.85	May 2008	24.13	1.72
March 2008	Printing / binding of pre-school education Kits	86.59	July 2008 August 2009	43.00 34.56	9.03
March 2009	Printing of folders	29.40	November 2009 February 2010	21.76 7.64*	Nil
March 2009	Purchase of medicines	776.33	October 2009 March 2010 March 2010	70.02 111.19 152.30	442.82 <sup>18</sup>
		1062.09		608.52	453.57

Table 3: Details showing parking of funds

\* indicates the amount deposited back in treasuries. Source: Records of the department.

From the above table, it is evident that out of  $\gtrless$  10.62 crore drawn from the treasuries,  $\gtrless$  6.08 crore was utilized/deposited back in the treasuries after eight to 25 months. The balances amounting to  $\gtrless$  4.54 crore were lying with the department as of March 2010 in the form of bank drafts and fixed deposit receipts outside the Government account for 12 to 24 months from the time of their drawal from the treasuries, which was irregular. The Finance Department also clarified (March 2009) that drawing of funds in anticipation of requirements and parking unutilised funds outside Government account was against State Financial Rules.

During the exit conference, the Director, while admitting the facts, stated that the funds were drawn to avoid lapse of the budget grants and assured that this practice would not be repeated in future.

#### **1.2.8** Implementation of scheme

#### 1.2.8.1 Establishment of Anganwadi Centres

Anganwadi Centres (AWCs) are the focal point for delivering the package of services under ICDS to the children and mothers at their doorsteps. AWCs were

Funds amounting to ₹ 10.62 crore were drawn in advance of requirement, of which ₹ 4.54 crore remained unspent for 12 to 24 months after their drawal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Interest of ₹ 23.86 lakh was earned on this amount.

to be set up in every village with a population of 500-1500 (revised to 400 or more from November 2008).

The table below details the number of ICDS projects, AWCs sanctioned, and coverage of population (eligible children and pregnant and lactating mothers) during 2005-10:

Year	Number of ICDS projects	Number of AWCs	Pop (Children	Population not covered	
			To be covered (Targets)	Actually covered (Achievement)	(per cent)
2005-06	116	13,546	22.56	11.93	10.63 (47)
2006-07	128	16,359	24.66	14.06	10.60 (43)
2007-08	137	17,444	25.67	13.38	12.29 (48)
2008-09	137	17,444	25.83	13.00	12.83 (50)
2009-10	137	17,444	25.90	12.21	13.69 (53)

Table 4: Number	of Anganwadi	Centres and	coverage	of population

(Population in lakh)

Source: Progress report of the department.

Although there was an increase from 13,546 to 17,444 i.e., 29 *per cent* in the number of AWCs during 2005-10, the increase in coverage of population was only two *per cent* (from 11.93 lakh to 12.21 lakh) by the end of March 2010.

The Director stated (July 2010) that the monitoring mechanism had been strengthened to ensure participation of community members and stakeholders, for which all the Deputy Commissioners of districts had been asked to monitor the implementation of ICDS scheme at the grassroot level to increase the attendance of beneficiaries in AWCs.

Further, as per the guidelines, an AWC was to be considered operational when both the Anganwadi Worker (AWW) and the Anganwadi Helper (AWH) were in position in the AWCs and the services of SNP and pre-school education were delivered for 300 days in a year. It was observed that services of SNP and preschool education were delivered for 300 days during the years 2005-06 to 2009-10 but both the AWW and the AWH were not in position in all the AWCs during the period. The AWCs not having both AWW and AWH were also shown as operational by the department as depicted in the table below:

Table 5: Number of AWCs shown operational not conforming to norms

Year	Number of AWWs in position	Number of AWHs in position	Number of AWCs required to be shown as operational	Number of AWCs shown as operational	Number of AWCs shown as operational but not conforming to norms
2005-06	13,427	13,484	13,427	13,546	119
2006-07	16,234	16,249	16,234	16,359	125
2007-08	17,149	17,020	17,020	17,444	424
2008-09	17,276	17,116	17,116	17,444	328
2009-10	17,271	17,060	17,060	17,444	384

Source: Data supplied by the department.

Thus, 119 to 424 (one to two *per cent*) AWCs shown as operational during 2005-10 did not conform with the norms. The Director stated (February 2010/November 2010) that additional charge of AWCs was given to the nearby AWWs and the Programme Officers (POs) were directed to initiate action for filling up the vacant posts of AWWs at least three months before the

Although AWCs increased by 29 *per cent*, increase in coverage of population was two *per cent*.. vacancy actually occurred. The reply indicates that the POs had not taken timely action to fill up the vacant posts of AWWs and AWHs during 2005-10 to ensure providing of services for child development as provided in the scheme.

#### 1.2.8.2 Construction of Anganwadi Centre buildings

The AWC is not only the place where the package of services under the ICDS scheme is delivered but also a focal point for village women where they can congregate and discuss various issues freely. Most of the AWCs were functioning in village *chaupals*, *dharamshalas*, rented buildings, primary schools and at the residences of AWWs and AWHs. In order to provide a clean and healthy environment to the beneficiaries, the Government released ₹ 32.14 crore for the construction of 1,179 AWCs to the Panchayati Raj Department through the concerned Additional Deputy Commissioners during 2005-10 as per details given below:

Year	Number of AWCs to be constructed		Cost per AWC (₹ in lakh)	Target month for completion	Number of AWCs constructed as of June 2010	Funds utilised for construction (₹ in crore)	Shortfall (percentage)	Delay in months	Funds remaining unspent (₹ in crore)
2005-06	363	7.55	2.08	December 2005	356	7.40	7 (2)	54	0.15
2006-07	256	5.32	2.08	March 2007	243	5.05	13 (5)	39	0.27
2007-08	207 63	9.29	3.44	March 2008 December 2008	243	8.36	27 (16)	27 18	0.93
2008-09	87 203	9.98	3.44	March 2009 December 2009	123	4.23	167 (58)	15 6	5.75
Total	1,179	32.14			965	25.04	214 (18)		7.10

Table 6: Construction of Anganwadi Centres

Source: Data supplied by the department.

Against the target of constructing 1,179 AWC buildings, only 965 buildings were constructed despite availability of funds.

Drinking water facilities were not available in 12,760 AWCs and toilet facilities were not available in 9,922 AWCs. The Director stated (July 2010) that the remaining AWCs could not be completed on due dates due to elections, delays in site selection/disputes, etc. The reply of the department is not acceptable as the model code of conduct was not applicable to the ongoing construction works. Further, the model code of conduct was in force for only 30 days in 2008-09 and 114 days in 2009-10 for Lok Sabha and Vidhan Sabha elections and for short periods (34 to 48 days) for by-elections in some constituencies. The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary stated (November 2010) during the exit conference that 43 more AWCs had been constructed as of October 2010. Even after taking the latest position into account 171 AWCs (2005-06: 7, 2006-07: 10, 2007-08: 21 and 2008-09: 133) remained incomplete.

# 1.2.8.3 Facilities in Anganwadi Centres

Under the scheme, stress was laid on providing safe drinking water and hygienic and sanitary conditions in the AWCs. Though the ICDS scheme was being implemented in the State from 1975-76, inadequate facilities persisted in the AWCs as of July 2010. In 5,238 (30 *per cent*) *out* of 17,444 AWCs, neither drinking water nor toilet facilities were available. Out of remaining the 12,206 AWCs, drinking water facilities were not available in 7,522 AWCs and toilet facilities were not available in 4,684 AWCs. Thus, drinking water and toilet facilities were available only in 4,684 (27 *per cent*) and 7,522 (43 *per cent*) AWCs respectively. Though the scheme was being implemented for about 35 years, the department was not able to provide these facilities in all the AWCs in a time-bound manner.

During exit conference, the Director, while admitting the facts stated that funds of ₹ 3.99 crore had been released in the current year to provide for these facilities, including provision for cooking and storage space for supplementary nutrition.

The Government sanctioned  $\gtrless 8.80^{19}$  crore during 2007-09 for providing infrastructural facilities for children like swings, tables and chairs at AWCs. Out of this,  $\gtrless 5.80$  crore was placed at the disposal of Village Level Committees (VLCs) through the concerned POs and  $\gtrless$  three crore was diverted by the Finance Department towards the Old Age Pension Scheme. The purchases were to be made by VLCs in rural projects under the supervision of the Deputy Commissioners (DC)/Additional Deputy Commissioners (ADC) and POs and by committee of DC/ADC and POs in urban projects. It was observed that in 17 out of 21 districts (information in respect of Rohtak, Ambala, Jhajjar and Yamunanagar was not available at the Directorate), as against the allocation of  $\gtrless 4.79$  crore, only  $\gtrless 4.28$  crore was spent. The balance  $\gtrless 0.51^{20}$  crore was available with the VLCs in 10 districts. Delays in purchasing the material deprived children in AWCs of play material, tables and chairs.

The Director, while admitting the facts, stated (July 2010) that POs had been directed to request the committees constituted for the purpose to finalise the purchases within a month.

#### **1.2.9** Supplementary Nutrition Programme

Under the Supplementary Nutrition Programme (SNP), all children upto the age of six years and pregnant/lactating mothers were to be enlisted. The AWWs were responsible for conducting surveys of the villages, identifying and enlisting children up to six years as well as pregnant and lactating mothers for providing supplementary nutrition.

## 1.2.9.1 Inadequate coverage of beneficiaries

Supplementary nutrition was to be provided for 300 days in a year as per the programme. Details of the coverage of eligible beneficiaries during 2005-10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> 2007-08: ₹ 4.80 crore and 2008-09: ₹ 4 crore.

Bhiwani: ₹ 0.03 crore; Faridabad: ₹ 0.01 crore; Fatehabad: ₹ 0.06 crore; Gurgaon: ₹ 0.06 crore; Jind: ₹ 0.03 crore; Karnal: ₹ 0.02 crore; Narnaul: ₹ 0.05 crore; Panchkula: ₹ 0.05 crore; Panipat: ₹ 0.09 crore; Rewari: ₹ 0.11 crore.

are given below:

					(Ben	eficiaries in lakh)
Year	Eligible beneficiaries		Beneficiaries provided		Shortfall	
	-		supplementary nutrition		(per cent)	
	Children	Expectant and	Children	Expectant and	Children	Expectant and
		lactating mothers		lactating mothers		lactating mothers
2005-06	19.21	3.35	9.55	2.38	9.66 (50)	0.97 (29)
2006-07	20.84	3.82	11.19	2.87	9.65 (46)	0.95 (25)
2007-08	21.67	4.00	10.53	2.85	11.14 (51)	1.15 (29)
2008-09	21.79	4.04	10.15	2.85	11.64 (53)	1.19 (29)
2009-10	21.85	4.05	9.39	2.82	12.46 (57)	1.23 (30)

Table 7: Coverage of beneficiaries under SNP

Source: Progress reports of the department.

As can be seen from the table 7 the shortfall in the coverage of eligible children ranged between 46 and 57 *per cent* while in the case of expectant and lactating mothers, it ranged between 25 and 30 *per cent*.

In the test-checked districts, the shortfall in the coverage of children (zero to six years) and pregnant/lactating mothers ranged between 49 and 56 *per cent* and 26 and 37 *per cent* respectively (*Appendix 1.1*).

To ensure the benefits of the ICDS scheme, nutrition and health education was to be provided to all women in the age group of 15-45 years with priority to nursing mothers. It was, however, observed that adequate attention was not paid towards this activity as discussed in paragraph 1.2.11. This as well as the lack of basic facilities of safe drinking water, toilet, hygienic and sanitary conditions at AWCs as discussed in paragraph 1.2.8.3 resulted in less coverage of beneficiaries.

The Director, while admitting the facts, stated that with a view to increase the attendance of beneficiaries in AWCs and to implement SNP in an effective manner, several initiatives like providing creative pre-school kits, attractive and colourful tables and chairs, different types of recipes for providing food to the children, etc. had been taken.

## 1.2.9.2 Supply of ready-to-eat food

To improve the nutritional and health status of children in the age group of zero to six years, pregnant and lactating mothers, ready-to-eat food was to be provided under SNP. For this purpose, four supply orders for  $\gtrless$  5.34 crore were placed (February to June 2006) with a firm to supply Multi-Cereal Energy Mix Food. As per the supply orders, the food material was to be inspected by the State Level Committee. Each supply was subject to laboratory tests in any two Government approved laboratories. Further, the food material to be supplied to the POs were to be inspected by District Level Committees headed by the DCs before supplying it to the AWCs. The supplies made by the firm were to be tallied with the samples approved by the State Level Inspection Committee. Three<sup>21</sup> out of 19 POs, to whom the food material was supplied, observed that it was not as per the norms and rejected and returned the same to the firm while the other 16 POs

Shortfall in coverage of children under SNP ranged between 46 and 57 *per cent*, while in the case of pregnant/ lactating mothers, it ranged between 25 and 30 *per cent*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Fatehabad, Gurgaon and Rohtak.
accepted the food material as per details given below:

Sr. No.	Month of supply orders	Quantity ordered	Quantity rejected by three POs	Quantity accepted by other POs	Cost of quantity accepted (₹ in crore)
			(In quinta		
1	February 2006	6,624.00	365.80	6,258.20	1.16
2	March 2006	6,624.00	285.00	6,339.00	1.17
3	April 2006	8,018.20	662.20	7,356.00	1.36
4	June 2006	7,581.00	420.80	7,160.20	1.32
	Total	28,847.20	1,733.80	27,113.40	5.01

**Table 8: Details of substandard material** 

Source: Departmental records.

It was observed that these three POs brought the matter to the notice of the Directorate but no action was taken to check the quality of food material supplied in the other districts by the same supplier. Since the supplier was the same in all the districts, the possibility of serving sub-standard 27,113.40 quintal food material costing ₹ 5.01 crore, purchased at the rate of ₹ 1,850 per quintal, to the beneficiaries by 16 other POs could not be ruled out. The Director stated (February 2010) that the District Level Inspection Committees were to ensure the quality of food as per sample and laboratory reports sent to them. The reply is not acceptable as the Director should have taken cognizance of the fact that substandard food was supplied in three districts by the supplier. The risk of supplying sub-standard food in the other 16 districts also could not be ruled out.

Similarly, gur/sugar coated *chana* was purchased for ₹ 11.55 crore and provided to beneficiaries between December 2005 and April 2006. As per reports received from  $14^{22}$  districts, the beneficiaries did not like it as the *chana* (gram) used in preparing this item was hard and not fit for consumption of children, especially in the summer season. The Director stated (February 2010) that no objections were raised by any of the POs except PO, Karnal regarding supply of sub-standard quality of food. He also stated that since the chana was hard and not suitable for children upto six years, the department decided (January 2006) to serve it to the pregnant and lactating mothers and adolescent girls. It was also decided to discontinue the supply of this item after the summer of 2006-07.

From January 2007, the system of procurement of ready-to-eat food from contractors/manufacturers was dispensed with and Government decided (November 2006), on the directions of the Supreme Court that food would be prepared by Self Help Groups (SHGs) under the supervision of Village Level Committees (VLCs). As per directions of the Directorate issued in January 2007 to all the POs and reiterated in March 2008, the quantum of protein and calories of the food material as provided in the guidelines was to be ascertained and samples were to be got tested from time to time from the District Food Laboratories. It was observed that no food sample was sent for laboratory testing nor were any tests carried out by any of the AWCs in the test-checked projects. The Director stated (February 2010) that all the POs were directed to instruct the CDPOs/Supervisors

Ready-to-eat food costing ₹ 5.01 crore was served to beneficiaries in 16 districts although it was declared substandard in three other districts.

Purchase of gur/sugar coated *chana* not suitable for consumption for children was injudicious.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Bhiwani, Fatehabad, Gurgaon, Hisar, Jind, Jhajjar, Kaithal, Karnal, Kurukshetra, Narnaul, Rewari, Rohtak, Sirsa and Yamunanagar.

Audit Report (Civil) No. 2 for the year ended 31 March 2010

under their control to contact the VLCs/SHGs to ensure the quality of food served to the beneficiaries regularly. The reply is not acceptable as no laboratory test was carried out to ascertain the nutritious value of the food as per the scheme guidelines and to ensure that the food served to the beneficiaries had adequate protein and calorific value.

# 1.2.9.3 Recommended Dietary Allowances

Micronutrients are essential to the nutritional requirements of infants and young children, adolescent girls and pregnant and lactating women and due focus is necessary to provide micronutrients in supplementary nutrition provided under ICDS. In view of this, GOI decided (January 2006) to provide 50 *per cent* of the recommended dietary allowances for different micronutrients (calcium, iron, iodine, zinc, Vitamin A, riboflavin, ascorbic acid, folic acid, Vitamin B 12) to children between six months and six years through 80 grams of ready-to-eat energy food/raw material. To ensure the content of these micronutrients, it was necessary to get the food items tested from Government laboratories.

Scrutiny of the laboratory tests carried out in April and May 2006 at the Directorate level revealed that tests were carried out only to ascertain the contents of protein and calories in the food. No separate test to ascertain the contents of micronutrients was carried out. Further, no laboratory test was ever carried out by any of the 45 AWCs test-checked to check the contents of micronutrients in ready-to-eat food. The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary stated (November 2010) that due to delay in finalisation of tenders for ready-to-eat food items containing micronutrients, food items with micronutrients could not be supplied during January to October 2006. Thus, food was served to the beneficiaries without confirming the addition of micronutrients in the food served during January to October 2006.

# **1.2.10** Package of health services

#### 1.2.10.1 Immunisation

To protect children in the age group of zero to six years against various diseases like diphtheria, whooping cough, tetanus, poliomyelitis, measles, etc. all children and expectant mothers were required to be immunised as per the prescribed schedules of the Health Department. For this purpose, AWWs were required to prepare a list of children below six years of age as well as of expectant mothers in co-ordination with the Health Centres. Details of targets and achievements under

No separate test to ascertain micronutrients in food provided to infants/children was carried out.

Shortfall in immunisation of expectant mothers ranged between 17 and 24 *per cent* during 2005-10.

	(Beneficiaries in lakh)								
Year	Yearly	Ach	ievements (l	Percentage)		Yearly	Achievements	Shortfall	
	targets of BCG <sup>23</sup> , DPT <sup>24</sup> , Polio and Measles	BCG	DPT	Polio	Measles	targets of TT <sup>25</sup> for mothers	(per cent)	(per cent)	
2005-06	3.96	4.02 (102)	3.91 (99)	3.88 (98)	3.86 (97)	4.65	3.80 (82)	0.85 (18)	
2006-07	4.54	4.42 (97)	4.29 (94)	4.29 (94)	4.26 (94)	5.31	4.02 (76)	1.29 (24)	
2007-08	4.23	4.53 (107)	4.44 (105)	4.44 (105)	4.39 (104)	4.95	4.09 (83)	0.86 (17)	
2008-09	4.31	4.36 (101)	4.16 (97)	4.16 (97)	4.29 (100)	5.05	3.90 (77)	1.15 (23)	
2009-10	4.33	4.53 (105)	4.30 (99)	4.30 (99)	4.48 (103)	5.04	3.96 (79)	1.08 (21)	

immunisation of women and children are given below: Table: 9: Details of targets and achievements under immunisation

Source: Figures supplied by the department.

Although the targets in the case of children were almost achieved, the shortfall in the case of pregnant women ranged between 17 and 24 *per cent* during 2005-10. The Director stated (July 2010) that POs had been directed to cover all the beneficiaries under the immunisation programme.

#### 1.2.10.2 Health check-ups and referral services

Proper records of providing referral services were not maintained by AWCs. In order to detect diseases and evidence of malnutrition or infection etc., general check-ups of all children under the age of six years was to be done after every three to six months. A close watch over their nutritional status was also to be kept by serially recording their height and weight once in a month and referring serious cases to appropriate hospitals for specialised treatment.

The nutritional status of children examined on the basis of weight measurement at AWCs during 2005-10 was as under:

Year	Total number of	Number of malnourished children			Total number of	Percentage
	children weighed	Grade I	Grade I Grade II Grade III and IV		malnourished children	
		(Mild)	(Moderate)	(Severe)		
2005-06	16,94,135	5,82,393	2,04,326	3,607	7,90,326	47
2006-07	19,03,392	6,49,426	2,11,452	2,030	8,62,908	45
2007-08	19,38,545	6,59,210	2,06,040	1,135	8,66,385	45
2008-09	20,63,878	6,91,612	2,18,187	1,031	9,10,830	44
2009-10	20,59,565	6,87,333	2,08,883	1,480	8,97,696	44

Table 10: Year-wise details of malnourished children

Source: Progress reports supplied by the department.

As is evident from the above table, there was no substantial improvement in the nutritional status of the children. The percentage of malnourished children ranged between 44 and 47 during 2005-10.

Details of cases required to be referred and actually referred to PHCs/CHCs were maintained only in four<sup>26</sup> out of the 15 test-checked blocks.

The Director stated (July 2010) that the records of referral cases would be maintained in future.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Bacillus Calmette Guerin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Diphtheria Pertussis and Tetanus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Tetanus Toxoid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Ballabgarh (Urban), Faridabad (Rural), Hisar (Urban) and Narnaund.

#### 1.2.10.3 Supply of medicine kits

As a vital input to provide essential health check-ups and referral services, each AWC was to be provided with a medicine kit consisting of easy to use dispensable medicines as remedies for common ailments like cough, common cold, skin infections, etc. The kits were to be procured within the first six months of each financial year.

Scrutiny of records revealed that the supply of medicine kits was delayed by 12 days to six months from the stipulated period during 2005-06 and 2006-07. Mebendazole tablets meant for deworming of children were supplied only in Fatehabad and Hisar districts in November 2006 and January 2007 respectively out of the 21 districts in the State. Against the requirement of 18.31 lakh tablets (Fatehabad 6.54 lakh and Hisar 11.77 lakh), only two lakh tablets were supplied in each district. It was observed that though the supplier had not supplied the tablets, the department had not taken any action to procure these tablets from any other source. Medicine kits were not procured and issued to the AWCs in the State during 2009-10. Further, as per the scheme, the medicines were required to be procured within first six months of the financial year, but the proposal for procurement was initiated only in September 2009 and the financial sanction was accorded by the Government in February 2010. The supply orders were placed with four firms in March 2010 to supply medicines within four to eight weeks and funds to the tune of ₹ 1.04 crore were drawn from the treasury in March 2010 itself to avoid lapse of the budget grant. Thus, delay in supply/non-supply of the medicines at the AWCs deprived the children of the benefits of the scheme.

The Director stated (July 2010) that the medicines for the year 2009-10 could not be purchased due to operation of the model code conduct prior to elections. The reply is not convincing as the model code of conduct was in force upto 28 May 2009 (58 days) and from 31 August 2009 to 25 October 2009 (56 days) during 2009-10.

#### **1.2.11** Nutrition and health education

Under the ICDS scheme, Nutrition and Health Education (NHED) was to be given to all women in the age group of 15-45 years with priority to expectant and lactating mothers through publicity, special campaigns, home visits, etc. It was observed that targets to educate women were not fixed. The position regarding the number of women educated through home visits in test-checked blocks is given in *Appendix 1.2*. An analysis of the data revealed that out of the test-checked blocks, the number of women educated through home visits were negligible in Ballabgarh (Urban), Narnaund and Jind (Urban) blocks while no data in this regard was supplied by the Pundri and Kathura blocks.

Further, the department had not conducted any survey to identify the total number of women in the age group of 15-45 years, which was the target group under this component. In the absence of this, the extent of coverage under the component could not be ascertained in audit.

No targets were fixed for educating women about nutrition and health care. During the exit conference in November 2010, it was stated that no targets for coverage of women were fixed but targets for home visits were fixed. Further, NHED was also provided through mass media like advertisements through newspapers, jingles on the radio and organising special camps at block and village levels. The reply is not convincing as no documented plan was made to educate all the women in prescribed age group i.e. 15 to 45 years.

#### 1.2.12 Non-formal pre-school education

#### **Enrolment for pre-school** 1.2.12.1

As per the scheme, children between three to six years of age were to be provided non-formal pre-school education through AWCs to develop desirable attitudes, values and behaviour patterns among them. Targets for enrolment of children and achievements thereagainst were as under:

Enrolment of children	Year	Targets	Achievements (Percentage)
for pre-school	2005-06	5.41	4.55 (84)
education decreased	2006-07	6.54	5.25 (80)
from 84 <i>per cent</i> to 58	2007-08	6.91	4.56 (66)
per cent during	2008-09	6.91	4.21 (61)
2005-10.	2009-10	6.30	3.65 (58)
	Samuaa, Damantu		

#### Table 11: Targets and achievements under non-formal pre-school education

(Number in lakh)

#### Source: Departmental records.

The enrolment of children in AWCs decreased to 3.65 lakh in 2009-10 from 5.25 lakh in 2006-07 although the number of AWCs increased from 16,359 to 17,444 during the corresponding period.

The Director stated (January 2010) that the attendance of children for non-formal pre-school education in AWCs had decreased as the parents preferred to send their wards to Government/private schools. During the exit conference, it was also stated that the AWCs would be modernised to attract the children for nonformal pre-school education by introducing English teaching and issuance of performance certificates to children to facilitate their admission for further education

Records of children in the test-checked blocks regarding joining of primary schools after their non-formal pre-school education were maintained only in four<sup>27</sup> blocks. In the absence of confirmed records, it could not be ascertained in audit as to whether all the children had joined primary schools after their nonformal pre-school education or not.

The Director, while admitting the facts, stated (July 2010) that the POs had been directed to maintain the records and send the reports of children joining primary schools to the Directorate.

27 Ballabgarh (Urban), Faridabad (Rural), Hisar (Urban) and Narnaund.

# 1.2.12.2 Pre-school education kits

The pre-school education component under ICDS scheme is a crucial component, which aims at school readiness and development of positive attitudes towards education. Pre-school education kits were to be procured each year for all AWCs to strengthen pre-school education in AWCs. The Government could prepare kits of two types, one for the age group of zero to three years (Kit 'A' consisting of early stimulation play material) and the other for the age group of three to six years (Kit 'B' containing material which helped to develop pre-number concepts, vocabulary building, storytelling, conversation, etc.). Each AWC was to be provided with one or the other kit in each alternate year.

It was observed that despite drawal of funds<sup>28</sup> in advance in each year, pre-school education kits were procured and supplied to AWCs after delays of 16 to 28 months during 2005-08 as detailed below:

Year	Nature of kits	Month of receipt	Delay from start of session	
2005-06	Ankur Abhyas Pustika and	October 2006 and February/	18 to 24 months	
	Ankur Manual (Kit B)	March 2007		
2006-07	Kits containing early stimulation	August/November 2007	16 to 19 months	
	material (Kit A)	-		
2007-08	Ankur Pustika (Kit B)	November 2008 to August 2009	19 to 28 months	

Table 12: Delay in procurement of PSE kits

Source: Records of the Directorate.

The delays in procurement of kits was mainly due to delays in processing the cases in the department as also delays in printing of the kits by the printers. No such kits for the years 2008-09 and 2009-10 were procured due to delays in finalising the contents of the kits by the Directorate. Thus, inordinate delay/non-procurement of kits during 2005-10 defeated the national goal of GOI of improving the quality of school education in AWCs through a new initiative of regular provision of pre-school education kits in AWCs.

The Director stated (July 2010) that delays in the procurement of kits were due to late supply of material by the Printing and Stationery Department and delays in finalisation of the contents of kits.

# 1.2.13 Other points

# 1.2.13.1 Excess printing of Growth Monitoring Weight Books

The Government sanctioned (March 2007) ₹ 30 lakh for the printing of Growth Monitoring Weight Books (GMWB) for monitoring and evaluation of the growth of children. For this purpose, 24,057 books were printed (2007-08) from a firm at the rate of ₹ 124.70 per book. It was noticed that the number of operational AWCs in the State during 2006-07 was 16,359, which increased to 17,444 during

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> February 2006: ₹ 67.33 lakh; March 2007: ₹ 81.79 lakh and March 2008: ₹ 86.59 lakh.

2007-08 and remained static upto 2009-10. The maximum requirement of books was 17,444 books, against which 24,057 books were got printed, resulting in excess printing of 6,613 books. Thus, non-assessment of requirement of books with reference to AWCs in operation resulted in an extra expenditure of  $\gtrless$  8.25 lakh.

The Director stated (July 2010) that more than one book was required in some AWCs, where the number of children was more than 200. The reply is not convincing, as the average number of children in AWCs was not more than 125 during 2007-10. Further, the department had not identified the AWCs, which required more than one GMWB.

# 1.2.13.2 Specific scheme to curb anaemia

Under a specific scheme to curb anaemia, all children in the age group of zero to six years, pregnant and lactating mothers and adolescent girls were to be provided iron and folic acid tablets. Children were also to be given mebendazole tablets for deworming.

Rule 2.10 (b) (5) of the Punjab Financial Rule as adopted by Haryana, provides that no money should be drawn from the treasury unless it is required for immediate disbursement.

For purchase of medicines under the 'Specific scheme to curb anaemia', the department withdrew ₹ 7.76 crore from the treasury in March 2009 and kept the amount in the form of Remittance Transfer Receipts upto 15 June 2009 and thereafter in the form of Fixed Deposit Receipts (FDRs), which was against the above mentioned financial rule. The department placed two supply orders in March 2009 to procure medicines of ₹ 7.76 crore, out of which medicines worth ₹ 3.34 crore only (43 per cent) were supplied during 2009-10. Thereafter, no medicines were supplied by the agency. It was observed that though the suppliers did not supply the medicines even within the extended period i.e. up to November 2009, no efforts were made to procure the medicines from any other source.

The Director stated (July 2010) that the firm could not supply the medicines due to inadequate infrastructure with the firm and the supply orders were cancelled due to non-supply of the medicines after the extended period. It is not clear from the reply as to whether the capacity of the firm was taken into account before placing the supply orders due to which the medicines could not be procured as per the supply orders.

Thus, despite drawal of funds, the department failed to purchase the required quantity of medicines, depriving the beneficiaries of benefits of the scheme.

# 1.2.13.3 Short recovery of penalty

To equip AWCs with information on the scheme to curb anaemia, a folder to record the health status of children was devised by the department for distribution to the beneficiaries at AWCs and to all the Civil Surgeons. For this purpose, an

Against withdrawal of ₹ 7.76 crore, medicines worth ₹ 3.34 crore were procured.

order for printing five lakh folders for ₹ 29.40 lakh was placed (March 2009) with a firm to supply the folders by 30 April 2009, failing which penalty at the rate of two *per cent* per week was to be imposed. As the delay in supply of printed folders ranged from 18 to 25 weeks, penalty of ₹ 11.73 lakh was to be imposed. The department released ₹ 21.76 lakh to the firm and withheld an amount of ₹ 7.64 lakh for the delayed supply, which was deposited (February 2010) back into the treasury. Penalty amounting to ₹ 4.09 lakh was short-recovered.

The Director stated (July 2010) that the firm offered the material for inspection on 28 July 2009. Therefore, penalty up to that period was imposed, which worked out to ₹ 7.64 lakh. The reply of the department is not acceptable as the material was supplied in September-October 2009.

#### 1.2.14 Manpower management

#### 1.2.14.1 Shortage of staff

As stated earlier, the Director, Women and Child Development is the overall incharge for implementation of the scheme. He is assisted by POs at the district level and CDPOs at the block level. The ICDS package of services is delivered through AWCs set up in every village or ward of urban slum areas by AWWs. Field level functionaries comprising AWWs, Supervisors, CDPOs and POs play an important role in implementation of the scheme. The POs/CDPOs are responsible for implementation of the scheme and provide links between the scheme functionaries and the administration. Any shortage of field level functionaries adversely affects the implementation of the scheme. However, there was shortage of staff as per details given below:

Year	Name of post	Sanctioned strength	Persons in position	Shortage
2005-06	PO	22	20	02
	CDPO	128	113	15
	Supervisor	654	648	06
	AWW	13,546	13,427	119
2006-07	PO	22	20	02
	CDPO	128	116	12
	Supervisor	654	641	13
	AWW	16,359	16,234	125
2007-08	PO	23	23	-
	CDPO	137	127	10
	Supervisor	687	624	63
	AWW	17,444	17,149	295
2008-09	PO	23	23	-
	CDPO	137	124	13
	Supervisor	687	621	66
	AŴW	17,444	17,276	168
2009-10	PO	24	23	1
	CDPO	140	124	16
	Supervisor	687	606	81
	AŴW	17,444	17,271	173

 Table 13: Statement showing shortage of staff

Source: information supplied by the department

The shortage of staff in the cadre of supervisor and AWW affected the implementation of the scheme. The shortage of staff in the cadre of CDPO, Supervisor and AWW affected the implementation of the scheme as there was shortfall in coverage of beneficiaries under SNP, non-formal pre-school education, immunisation, health check-ups and nutritional and health education.

# 1.2.14.2 Training

As per the guidelines, CDPOs, Supervisors, AWWs and AWHs were required to be trained or oriented for the task assigned to them.

There was a shortfall in achievement of targets (13 to 23 *per cent*) of imparting training to staff during 2005-10 (upto September 2009) as shown in *Appendix 1.3*. The major shortfall was in imparting of orientation/job training to AWHs. Against the target of imparting training to 7,250, only 4,691 AWHs were imparted training during 2005-10. Shortfall in imparting training had adverse impact on providing quality of services to children and women.

# 1.2.15 Monitoring and evaluation

A monitoring cell established in the Directorate was responsible for collecting and analysing periodic work reports as timely intervention was necessary for ensuring effective programme implementation. The AWWs were submitting monthly reports indicating the number of children, expectant/nursing mothers provided with supplementary nutrition and immunisation every month to the CDPO through Supervisors for checking, consolidation and further submission to the Director. The monitoring cell was compiling the statistical information received from AWCs. The cell was expected to report cases of underperformance to higher authorities and ensure follow-ups for improvement in services. It was observed that the monitoring cell had issued letters to the underperforming districts/units but had not followed up further to bring about the improvement. The working of the monitoring cell was not effective as there were substantial shortfalls in coverage of beneficiaries/population under the various components of the scheme.

It was stated (November 2010) that the department had devised a new format in September 2010 for monitoring the implementation of the scheme right from Supervisor/CDPO level to the Minister level.

The Health Department conducted a survey and examined the children of AWCs under Bal Swasthya Yojna during July-August 2010 to assess their health status. The survey disclosed that out of 8.93 lakh children examined, 2.37 lakh children (27 *per cent*) were anaemic.

There was a shortfall (13 to 23 *per cent*) in imparting training to staff.

# 1.2.16 Conclusion

The overall impact of implementation of the scheme was far from satisfactory as about 44 *per cent* children in the targeted age group were malnourished at the end of 2009-10. There were significant shortfalls in the coverage of targeted beneficiaries/ population under various components of the scheme such as SNP, immunisation, etc. Health check-ups of a significant number of children were not conducted to detect diseases and other evidence of malnutrition. Poor quality of food was supplied to children and pregnant/lactating mothers in certain projects. Further, drinking water and toilet facilities were not available in 73 and 57 *per cent* AWCs respectively. There were shortfalls in enrolment of children for nonformal pre-school education. The objectives of improving the nutritional and health standard of the children and enhancing the capability of mothers to ensure the nutritional and health standards of their children, thus, remained largely unachieved.

# 1.2.17 Recommendations

On the basis of performance audit, the following recommendations are made:

- The department should prepare a Perspective Plan to provide basic amenities such as drinking water, hygiene and sanitation in all the AWCs.
- Medicines and pre-school education kits should be made available to each AWC every year as per the norms.
- The specific scheme to curb anaemia should be implemented more rigorously to minimise cases of anaemia in the children of the State.
- Anganwadi Centres should keep proper records of health check-ups and referral cases for further follow-up.
- The department should ensure that records in respect of children who joined primary schools or dropped out after completing the stage of non-formal pre-school education are maintained at AWCs to monitor and assess the usefulness of such education towards developing their behaviour and pattern and further education.
- The scheme needs to be reviewed in the view of decline in the number of children for non-formal pre-school education and availability of facilities in Government/private schools.

These points were demi-officially referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Women and Child Development Department in June 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

#### Public Health Engineering Department

## 1.3 Indira Gandhi Drinking Water Scheme

# Highlights

The Indira Gandhi Drinking Water Scheme was introduced with the aim of providing private water connections to 30 lakh households, thereby saving wastage of water supplied through public standposts and mandays lost in drawing water from the standposts. Performance audit of the scheme revealed that targets of providing water connections to Scheduled Caste (SC) households were achieved but achievements in respect of General Category (GC) households were negligible. Resultantly, the aim of preventing wastage of water could not be achieved. Deficiencies in implementation of the scheme included expenditure of  $\mathbf{z}$ 94.94 lakh on general category households which was contrary to scheme non-levy of ₹8.50 crore as water guidelines, charges, providing 22,649 connections in areas where raw water was not available, etc. Besides, 69,819 Polyvinyl chloride tanks and 11.14 lakh metres of GI pipes were purchased in excess of requirement. Water distribution networks of only 37 out of 2,504 villages were handed over to Gram Panchavats for maintenance.

Targets for providing water supply connections to SC households were achieved but in respect of GC households, the achievements were negligible.

(Paragraph 1.3.8.1)

A total of 22,649 water supply connections were provided to SC households where sufficient raw water was not available leading to unfruitful expenditure of  $\gtrless$  6.34 crore.

(Paragraph 1.3.8.3)

In 12 out of 19 test-checked divisions, 22,796 water supply connections were provided at an expenditure of  $\gtrless$  6.39 crore to SC households, in excess of the identified households.

(Paragraph 1.3.8.4

Public Health Engineering Division, Sirsa II incurred an expenditure of ₹ 94.94 lakh on providing water supply connections to 17,180 GC households, contrary to scheme guidelines.

(Paragraph 1.3.8.5)

In 19 test-checked divisions, water distribution networks of only 37 out of 2,504 villages were handed over to Gram Panchayats for operation and maintenance.

(Paragraph 1.3.8.8)

Non-levy of water charges in 19 test-checked divisions resulted in loss of revenue of ₹ 8.50 crore to the Government.

(*Paragraph 1.3.8.9*)

# PVC tanks worth ₹ 17.52 crore were purchased in excess of requirement; GI pipes of ₹ 6.68 crore were lying unused in stock in 17 test-checked divisions.

(Paragraph 1.3.9.1)

#### 1.3.1 Introduction

Keeping in view the necessity of conserving and distributing water equitably and to improve the socio-economic condition of the people of the State, the Government introduced the Indira Gandhi Drinking Water Scheme in November 2006. The scheme envisaged motivating 30 lakh households (General category (GC): 22 lakh and Scheduled Caste category (SC): 8 lakh) to avail of the facility of private water connections in their houses, thereby saving wastage of water worth ₹ 100 crore, annually supplied free of cost in the State through five lakh public standposts and the mandays lost in drawing water from these standposts. By providing private water connections to all the identified households, employment opportunities to 20,000 persons were expected to be generated on account of installation and maintenance of water connections, collection of water bills, etc. The scheme was to be implemented in rural as well as urban areas. As per the guidelines, the scheme was to be completed in three years (i.e. 2006-07 to 2008-09). However, as the achievement was only 20 *per cent* upto December 2008, the scheme was extended in January 2009 upto 2009-10.

#### 1.3.2 Organisational set-up

The State Sanitary Board, Haryana (Board) looks after the formulation of policies/schemes and allocation of funds relating to water supply schemes and monitoring their implementation. The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary (FCPS) to the Government of Haryana, Public Health Engineering (PHE) Department is the administrative head at Government level and is responsible for implementation of policy decisions, programmes, schemes, etc. The Engineer in Chief (EIC), PHE Department is overall in-charge of the schemes and responsible for implementation of the scheme. He is assisted by four Chief Engineers (CEs), 20 Superintending Engineers (SEs) and 71 Executive Engineers (EEs). Organisation set up is also depicted in the *Appendix 1.4*.

#### **1.3.3** Audit objectives

The audit objectives were to assess whether:

• the process of planning was adequate and effective;

- the financial management was efficient;
- the implementation of the scheme was as per scheme guidelines and the objectives of the scheme were realised;
- a proper procedure for assessment of requirement and purchase of stores was followed and
- an effective monitoring and follow-up system was in place.

# 1.3.4 Audit criteria

For the achievement of audit objectives, the following criteria were adopted:

- Guidelines for implementation of the Indira Gandhi Drinking Water Scheme;
- Provisions of the Punjab Budget Manual and Punjab Financial Rules as adopted by Haryana;
- Rules, regulations and instructions of Government for execution of works and
- Public Works Department (PWD) Code.

#### 1.3.5 Scope of audit and methodology

Performance audit of the implementation of the scheme since its inception in November 2006 till March 2010 was conducted through test check of the records in the offices of the Board, EIC and  $19^{29}$  (out of 45) divisions under nine (out of 12) circles. Circles for test check were selected using probability proportionate to size without replacement method and divisions were selected on the basis of volume of expenditure on the scheme. An entry conference was held in March 2010 with the EIC, PHE Department and an exit conference was held in July 2010 with the FCPS to Government of Haryana, PHE Department to discuss the audit findings. Views of the department were considered while finalising the performance audit report.

# Audit findings

#### **1.3.6 Planning and survey**

The scheme was to be implemented in three phases. In the first phase (2006-07), 5,000 villages and approved urban colonies with availability of 40 LPCD<sup>30</sup> or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Ambala, Bhiwani-II, Fatehabad, Gohana, Hisar-I, Hansi, Jind, Kaithal, Narnaul, Naraingarh, Panchkula, Panipat-II, Rohtak-I and II, Rewari, Sirsa-I and II, Sonipat (D&P) and Yamunanagar-II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Litres per capita per day.

more drinking water supply were to be covered. In the second phase (2007-08), 1,000 villages, where availability of water was less than 40 LPCD were to be covered. In the third phase (2008-09), the remaining 759 villages, where no water source was easily available, were to be covered. For the implementation of the scheme in the first phase, it was essential to identify the villages having actual water supply status of 40 LPCD or more. It was, however, observed that no such exercise was carried out by the department. Targets were fixed without any survey or pilot study without taking into account the availability of water. Similarly, for the second and third phases also, no such exercise was conducted.

Further, as per the scheme, SC households were to be provided private water connections along with Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) water storage tanks fitted with water taps free of cost, while the GC households were to bear the expenditure on storage tanks, water taps, etc. themselves. Therefore, it was essential to conduct survey of GC households to assess whether they were willing to procure PVC tanks and taps for availing of water connections. However, no such survey was conducted by the department.

## **1.3.7** Financial management

## 1.3.7.1 Financial outlay and expenditure

As laid down in para 5.3 of the Punjab Budget Manual as adopted by Haryana, the budget estimates of ordinary expenditure should be framed as accurately as possible. Budget provisions for all items of expenditure that can be foreseen should be made and included under the proper sub-heads. The year-wise position of budget provisions and expenditure for the period 2006-10 was as under:

								(		
Year	Budget provision			Final grant/Appropriation			Actual expenditure			Excess (+)/
	Rural	Urban	Total	Rural	Urban	Total	Rural	Urban	Total	Savings (-)
2006-07	-	-	-	5.75	-	5.75	4.18	-	4.18	(-) 1.57
2007-08	138.00	10.00	148.00	146.50	3.50	150.00	103.60	-	103.60	(-) 46.40
2008-09	153.00	2.00	155.00	118.00	2.00	120.00	128.80	3.17	131.97	(+) 11.97
2009-10	100.00	30.00	130.00	100.00	30.00	130.00	103.10	23.91	127.01	(-) 2.99
Total	391.00	42.00	433.00	370.25	35.50	405.75	339.68	27.08	366.76	(-) 38.99
Common 1	FIC D	L'a Haal	4h Enai-							

 Table 1: Budget provisions and expenditure

(₹ in crore)

Source: EIC, Public Health Engineering Department.

The savings during 2006-08 were mainly due to non-execution of works with reference to physical targets fixed for the respective years as discussed in paragraph 1.3.8.1. Further, there was blocking of funds of  $\gtrless$  24.20 crore due to purchase of PVC tanks and GI pipes in excess of requirement as discussed in paragraph 1.3.9.1.

The FCPS stated at the exit conference that these savings were due to non-release of water supply connections to the targeted number of beneficiaries as in the initial years, the basic infrastructure required to be provided to ensure adequate drinking water was not available with the department.

# 1.3.7.2 Expenditure in excess of estimates

As per para 2.117 of the PWD code, a revised estimate must be submitted when the sanctioned estimate is likely to be exceeded by more than five *per cent*, either due to the rates being found insufficient or for any other reasons whatsoever.

In eight<sup>31</sup> out of 19 test-checked divisions, it was observed that against the approved estimated cost of ₹ 36.05 crore, an amount of ₹ 45.35 crore was incurred during 2007-10 on 19 works. An expenditure of ₹ 9.30 crore was incurred in excess of sanctioned estimates. The revised estimates for these works were not prepared as of August 2010.

The EE of Fatehabad division, while admitting the facts, stated (March 2010) that the excess expenditure would be got regularised through revised estimates. Further developments were awaited (August 2010).

## 1.3.7.3 Non-deposit of earnest money in Government Account

According to paragraph 2.70 of the PWD code, the earnest money received from successful bidders is required to be deposited in the Government Account. In three<sup>32</sup> out of 19 test-checked divisions, earnest money of ₹ 25.34 lakh received in respect of 268 works in the form of deposit at call receipt<sup>33</sup> (DAC) was retained by EEs instead of depositing the same in the Government Account. No records about return of earnest money to contractors were maintained by the EEs. The

EIC intimated (July 2010) that the earnest money was a part of security and the same was returned after completion of works. The reply was not in consonance with the codal provisions as earnest money received in the form of DACs was required to be deposited in the Government Account.

#### **1.3.8 Programme implementation**

# 1.3.8.1 Status of water connections provided to households

As per the guidelines, private water connections were to be provided to 22 lakh GC and eight lakh SC households. As per the directions (April 2007) of the EIC, GC households were to be persuaded/motivated by the department to avail of private water connections. Local non-Government organisations (NGOs) were also to be involved for this work. Further, SEs or any other officers deputed by them were required to hold meetings with GPs to sensitise them about the benefits of the scheme.

In order to achieve the objectives of any programme/scheme, it is essential to fix annual targets and watch the progress of work against those targets. Details of the number of private connections proposed to be provided and actually provided, as

An expenditure of ₹ 9.30 crore was incurred in excess of sanctioned estimates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Bhiwani-II,Fatehabad, Gohana, Naraingarh, , Rohtak-I, Rohtak-II, Rewari and Sonipat-II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Hansi, Panchkula and Rewari,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> DAC is issued by bank in the favour of the EE.

	-				(Fig	gures in lakh)
Year	Number of priv	ate connections provided	Wa	ter connections	provided	
	GC	SC	Total	GC	SC	Total
2006-07	5.00	1.00	6.00	Nil	0.20 (20)	0.20(3)
2007-08	10.00	4.00	14.00	Nil	3.48 (87)	3.48 (25)
2008-09	7.00	3.00	10.00	Nil	2.29 (76)	2.29 (23)
2009-10	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	2.58	2.58
Total	22.00	8.00	30.00	Nil	8.55 (107)	8.55 (29)

reported by the EIC, during 2006-10 are tabulated below:

 Table 2: Status of water connections provided

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentage.

(Source: EIC, Public Health Engineering Department)

As is evident from the above, against the target of releasing 22 lakh private water connections to GC households, no connections were provided by the department during 2006-10 as per EIC records. It was, however, observed in the nine test-checked divisions that 54,128 connections were provided to GC households during 2006-10. In the case of SC households, against the target of eight lakh households, private water connections to 8.55 lakh households were provided. The department overachieved the targets in the case of SC households.

Water connections provided to GC households were negligible during 2006-10. In order to provide required to allocat surveys and after of water facility connections was any survey bee

In order to provide water connections to GC households, the department was required to allocate the targets to various divisions after conducting village level surveys and after obtaining the willingness of GC households to avail of drinking water facility connections on payment basis. It was, however, observed that neither was any survey been conducted nor was the required willingness of GC households obtained.

Out of the 19 test-checked divisions, targets for providing GC connections were fixed only by three<sup>34</sup> divisions at their own level while the remaining 16 divisions did not fix any targets during 2006-10. Against the target of providing 87,226 connections to GC households, these three divisions provided 29,475 connections during 2006-10. Six other divisions also provided 24,653 connections to GC households on payment basis although no targets were fixed by these divisions.

It was further observed that no steps as per the directions (April 2007) of EIC were taken by the department to motivate the GC households for availing of private connections. In the test-checked divisions, out of 1,41,963 public standposts only 47,217 (33 *per cent*) could be removed. As GC households were not availing of private water connections, the majority of public standposts being the source for water were not removed. Therefore, the objective of preventing wastage of water and manhours lost in drawing water from the standposts remained unachieved.

The FCPS, while admitting the facts, stated (July 2010) that the main thrust of the department was on releasing private water connections to SC households. The reply is not convincing as the scheme was to be implemented for both SC and GC households. Further, thrust was to be given for providing water connections to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Rohtak I, Sirsa II and Sonipat (D&P).

GC households, as the target for coverage for GC households was about twice that of SC households.

# 1.3.8.2 PVC tanks not provided

In a water supply scheme, targets can be considered to have been achieved only when all the activities have been completed and all the facilities envisaged under the scheme are provided to the beneficiaries.

As per the scheme, one PVC tank was to be provided to each SC household free of cost with the release of a water connection. In two<sup>35</sup> out of the 19 test-checked divisions, it was noticed that 68,094 connections were shown as provided to SC households in 278 villages and five urban areas. However, while comparing the data of PVC tanks issued from the stores, it was noticed in audit that only 61,444 PVC tanks were issued in these divisions. Hence 6,650 SC households were not provided PVC tanks although they were required to be provided free of cost under the scheme. Since these beneficiaries were not provided full facilities as per the scheme guidelines, these beneficiaries should not have been included in the figures of achievements. The achievements of the scheme were, thus, inflated by 6,650 connections. The EIC, while admitting the facts, stated (July 2010) that field officers had been strictly advised to provide PVC tanks to SC households.

# 1.3.8.3 Providing connections without ensuring availability of water

As per the guidelines of the scheme, private water connections were to be released where the water supply status was 40 LPCD or more. Further, infrastructure for water supply in the villages was to be improved to provide at least 40 LPCD water before releasing private connections under the scheme.

In 499 villages under the jurisdiction of  $10^{36}$  divisions, 1,07,476 connections were released by incurring an expenditure of ₹ 30.09 crore during 2007-10. The availability of raw water in these villages was less than 40 LPCD (*Appendix 1.5*). Out of these connections, drinking water was not available to 22,649 households due to various reasons like non-availability of distribution pipelines in the area, abandoned waterworks, high altitude of the areas and wastage of water due to leakages, etc. in the pipeline. An expenditure of ₹ 6.34 crore was incurred on providing water tanks, taps and other expenses on providing connections without ensuring the availability of water in these areas.

Scrutiny of records revealed the following:

- The PHE Division, Narnaul provided 1,128 connections to SC households of 21 villages but these water supply connections were not functional as distribution pipelines were not provided or did not exist in the areas.
- In three<sup>37</sup> of the test-checked divisions, 5,365 connections were not functional as water supply pipes/system connecting the network were lying

Achievements in respect of SC households were inflated by 6,650 connections.

Water supply connections were provided without ensuring availability of raw water.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Hisar-I and Fatehabad.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Ambala, Fatehabad, Gohana, Hansi, Naraingarh, Narnaul, Panipat II, Panchkula, Rewari and Rohtak II.

Hansi, Gohana and Rohtak I.

abandoned. An expenditure of ₹ 1.50 crore was incurred on release of these connections.

During the exit conference, the EIC stated that due to less flow in the canal system, water supply could not be made to the desired level and that main objective of the scheme was to release private connections to households. The reply is not convincing as private water connections were to be released only after ensuring availability of water.

#### 1.3.8.4 Water connections provided in excess of identified SC households

According to scheme guidelines, village-wise lists of households were to be prepared for implementation of the scheme by the Sub-Divisional Officers on the basis of survey. However, in the test-checked divisions, the estimates for implementation of the scheme and execution of the required works were to be prepared on the basis of Census 2001 in the initial stage. Subsequently, these lists were to be updated after identifying the beneficiaries at the village level. It was noticed that against 61,755 identified SC households in 494 villages, 84,551 water connections were provided in  $12^{38}$  divisions, resulting in 22,796 excess water connections in SC households. The possibility of providing connections to bogus beneficiaries could not, therefore, be ruled out. The expenditure involved in providing these excess connections was ₹ 6.39 crore.

The FCPS stated (July 2010) that the project was conceived on the basis of the 2001 census as no other data was readily available with the department. However, subsequently, on the basis of actual survey, the SC households were found to be more. The reply is not in consonance with the records as 22,796 connections were provided in excess of the final approved list of identified beneficiaries.

#### 1.3.8.5 Irregular expenditure on GC households

As per the scheme guidelines, all GC households were required to get their water storage tanks fitted with taps and float valves, etc installed for getting private water connections. The connections from the distribution pipelines were to be taken by using ferrule of 6 mm and pipeline of 15 mm. The expenditure on these items was to be borne by the GC households themselves.

In PHE Division-II Sirsa, an expenditure of  $\gtrless$  94.94 lakh was incurred on providing and fixing ferrule connections to 17,180 GC households, contrary to the provisions of the scheme, as this expenditure was to be borne by the GC households themselves.

The EE of the division, while admitting the facts, stated (July 2010) that the expenditure on providing water connections to GC households was incurred in the initial stage of the scheme. It was also stated that no further expenditure was incurred on providing water connections to GC households after this was pointed out by Audit in August 2009. The fact remained that the division incurred an

In 12 divisions, 22,796 water connections in excess of targets were provided to SC households.

An expenditure of ₹ 94.94 lakh was incurred on providing water connections to GC households which was contrary to the scheme guidelines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Ambala, Fatehabad, Gohana, Hisar-I, Jind, Kaithal, Naraingarh, Rohtak- II, Sirsa-I and II, Sonipat (D&P), Yamunanagar-II.

expenditure of  $\gtrless$  94.94 lakh on GC households from scheme funds which had not been refunded back to the scheme.

# 1.3.8.6 Administrative delays in award and execution of work

The department could not adhere to the codal provisions regarding the Action Plan/time schedules framed for execution of the sanctioned works. This led to dilution of the control and accountability mechanism, coupled with delays in implementation of the scheme. The following instances of delay in awarding of works were noticed:

In five<sup>39</sup> out of 19 test-checked divisions, contracts for 179 works were awarded after delays of three to 12 months. Further, 12 works were awarded after delays of more than one year from the dates of their administrative approvals during 2007-09. Out of these, 166 works (93 *per cent*) remained incomplete even after the lapse of 10 to 36 months of the scheduled dates of completion.

The EIC attributed (July 2010) the delays to the scattered nature of works, identification of new agencies for execution of works at the initial stage of the scheme, gaps in availability of infrastructure, etc. The contention of the EIC was not correct as there were substantial and significant delays in awarding and completion of works.

# 1.3.8.7 Execution of work without call of tenders

The department fixed (February 2008) a limit of ₹ 50,000 for execution of works through work orders without call of tenders. In four<sup>40</sup> out of 19 test-checked divisions, EEs executed works valuing ₹ 63.12 lakh under the scheme during 2007-10 by splitting sanctions through 148 work orders instead of open tenders, as a result of which, the benefit of competitive rates could not be availed of. These works were split up into three to six work orders and were allotted to the same contractors to avoid sanction of higher authorities and thereby undue benefits were extended to the contractors. The EIC replied (July 2010) that this was done initially to boost the new scheme and later on the proper procedure was followed. The reply, in itself, is an admission of facts. It was observed that the splitting of works continued even in the year 2009-10.

# 1.3.8.8. Handing over of operation and maintenance to Gram Panchayats

According to the scheme guidelines, the rural water distribution network was to be handed over to Gram Panchayats (GPs) for maintenance as well as collection of water charges. The revenue realised on account of water charges was to be retained with the GPs for maintenance of the water distribution networks.

Further, the Commissioner and Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Public Health Department requested (January 2007) the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Development and Panchayat

An expenditure of ₹ 63.12 lakh was incurred without calling tenders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Fatehabad, Gohana, Hansi, Jind and Sonipat-II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Gohana, Hansi, Sonipat (D&P) and Sonipat-II

Departments to issue instructions to District Development and Panchayat Officers and Block Development and Panchayat Officers to direct the GPs to take over the records of water connections from the Public Health Department and start collection of water charges along with arrears, if any. No instructions were, however, issued by the Panchayat Department till November 2009.

In the test-checked divisions, 3.81 lakh<sup>41</sup> connections were provided during 2006-09 in 2,504 villages. Out of these, only 8,671 connections were handed over to 37 GPs by PHE Division II, Sirsa. The water charges from these connections were to be recovered by the concerned GPs but the same were not recovered by them.

The department continued to incur expenditure on repairs and maintenance of rural water distribution networks including infrastructure of those villages which were handed over to the GPs. As per the scheme guidelines, after handing over of water distribution networks to GPs, the expenditure on maintenance of drinking water networks was expected to get reduced. However, there was an increase in expenditure on maintenance of the water distribution system in the State as shown in the bar chart below.



Had the water distribution network been handed over to theGPs, the expenditure to that extent on maintenance could have been avoided.

During the exit conference, the FCPS admitted the fact that GPs had not been consulted for handing over the distribution networks to them for maintenance. The EIC intimated (July-2010) that the GPs were reluctant in the past to take over the maintenance of the water distribution systems. The fact remained that the department had not co-ordinated with the GPs and persuaded them effectively to take over the water distribution network.

In test-checked divisions, water distribution networks of only 37 out of 2,504 villages were handed over to GPs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> SC: 3.41 lakh and GC: 0.40 lakh.

# 1.3.8.9 Non-levy of water charges

As per the scheme guidelines, monthly charges on account of private water connections were to be levied and collected from the GC and SC households at the rate of  $\gtrless 20$  and  $\gtrless 10$  per connection respectively by the department. However, the water charges were to be recovered by the concerned GPs in cases where the water distribution networks were handed over to GPs.

In the test-checked divisions, 3.95 lakh connections were provided to GC and SC households in 16 urban areas and 2,504 villages of 14 districts. It was observed during audit that water charges were not levied on any of the households to whom the private connections were provided in rural as well as urban areas. The total amount of water charges due for the period April 2008 to March 2010 calculated as per at the prescribed rates per household, worked out to ₹ 8.50 crore.

While admitting the facts during the exit conference, the FCPS stated that the primary focus of the department was to achieve the main objective of the scheme to make drinking water available to GC and SC families by providing private water connections and not on collection of water charges. However, instructions had been issued to field offices to collect water charges. The reply is not in consonance with the provisions of the scheme guidelines as water charges were to be levied and collected from the households by the department. Thus, non-levy of water charges resulted in loss of revenue of ₹ 8.50 crore to the Government.

# 1.3.9 Stores management

#### 1.3.9.1 Purchases

Financial Rules {Rule 15.2 (b)} provide that purchases must be made in a most economical manner in accordance with the definite requirements of public service and care should be taken not to purchase stores in advance of actual requirements.

As per the guidelines of the scheme, SC households were to be provided PVC water storage tanks of 200 litre capacity free of cost. It was observed that against the target of providing eight lakh connections, the department purchased 10.37 lakh tanks between May 2007 and July 2009 at a cost of ₹ 100.17 crore. However, only 8.55 lakh connections were actually provided during this period. Therefore, 1.82 lakh tanks worth ₹ 17.52 crore were purchased in excess of requirements by the department.

It was further observed that the PVC tanks were designed exclusively for storage of water. These tanks could be kept in the open for a period of one year. If storage beyond one year was required, the tanks were to be covered or kept in the shade to avoid exposure of their inner white layers to the sun. However, in 17 divisions, 69,819 PVC tanks costing  $\gtrless$  6.74 crore were lying in the open in storeyards for 13 to 20 months exposing them to the risk of deterioration in quality.

Non-levy of water charges led to loss of revenue of ₹ 8.50 crore to the Government.

PVC tanks worth ₹ 17.52 crore were purchased in excess of requirement.



Similarly, 91.12 lakh metres of GI pipes were procured at a cost of ₹ 54.78 crore between February 2007 and November 2009. It was noticed in  $17^{42}$  test-checked divisions that 59.97 lakh metre GI pipes were allocated by the EIC during 2007-09. Out of this, 48.83 lakh metre GI pipes were consumed in releasing water connections and 11.14 lakh metre pipes amounting to ₹ 6.68 crore were lying in stores (March 2010).

On this being pointed out (July 2009) in audit, the EIC stated (July 2010) that orders for purchase of material were placed as per annual targets. The reply of the EIC is not based on facts as purchase order of 10.37 lakh PVC tanks was made against the targets of providing eight lakh connections.

# 1.3.9.2 Material found missing

In PHE Division, Panchkula (Sub-Division I, Panchkula), material such as composite pressure pipes, PVC water storage tanks, cement, etc. at site amounting to  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  6.97 lakh was neither carried forward in the Material-at-Site (MAS) register nor taken into account at a later stage. The said material was also not handed over by the Junior Engineer to his successor at the time of relinquishing his charge on his retirement in May 2009. This resulted in shortage of material of  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  6.97 lakh. On this being pointed out (January 2010) in audit, the EIC intimated (July 2010) that an inquiry had been initiated in the matter and suitable action would be taken against the concerned defaulting officials.

# **1.3.10** Impact of the scheme

The scheme was formulated with the objective of saving wastage of water costing ₹ 100 crore annually, being provided free of cost by the State through five lakh

42

GI pipes worth ₹ 6.68 crore were lying unused in 17 testchecked divisions.

Material worth ₹ 6.97 lakh was found missing

in PHE Sub Division-I.

Panchkula.

Ambala, Bhiwani-II, Fatehabad, Gohana, Hisar-I, Hansi, Jind, Narnaul, Naraingarh, Panchkula, Panipat, Rohtak-II, Rewari, Sirsa-I & II, Sonipat (D&P) and Yamunanagar-II.

public standposts. The status of removal of water standposts by various divisions was not maintained by the EIC.

Similarly, by providing 30 lakh private connections to all SC/GC households, employment opportunities were to be generated for about 20,000 persons in the private sector for installation and maintenance of water connections and levy and collection of water charges. Savings of mandays lost in drawing water from public standposts was anticipated. The department had not fixed any criteria to assess the impact of the scheme on these parameters. Only 28 *per cent* of the targeted private connections were provided. It was observed that the projections were made without any statistical information and therefore, the achievement of these objectives were limited.

During the exit conference, the FCPS stated that a survey would be conducted soon to assess the impact of the scheme.

## **1.3.11** Monitoring and evaluation

The EIC was responsible for monitoring the implementation of the scheme through progress reports from field units. A fortnightly progress report was prescribed for monitoring the progress of release of water connections to households. It was observed that the fortnightly progress reports received in the planning branch of EIC office from the field offices were not scrutinised. No remedial measures were taken on the various components/aspects of scheme to ensure improvement in the implementation of the scheme. It was further observed that providing of water connections in respect of SC households was being reported through the progress reports but progress in respect of GC households was neither reported nor monitored by the EIC office.

As per information provided by the EIC, no water supply connections had been provided to GC households since the inception of the scheme. However, during scrutiny of records of PHE divisions, it was observed that in nine<sup>43</sup> out of 19 test-checked divisions, 54,128 connections were released to such households during this period. The information furnished by the EIC was, therefore, not based on the records of field units.

The FCPS directed (July 2007) all the Deputy Commissioners (DCs) in the State to monitor the implementation of the scheme regularly on monthly basis at the district level and to provide feedback to him. Further, DCs were required to issue instructions to Block Development and Panchayat Officers (BDPOs) and Tehsildars to interact closely with the departmental officers for speedy implementation of the scheme. It was observed that monthly monitoring of the scheme at the district level by the DCs was not done and neither were any directions issued to BDPOs and Tehsildars.

43

Impact assessment of the scheme regarding preventing wastage of water from public standposts was not carried out.

Fatehabad, Hisar-I, Kaithal, Panchkula, Rohtak-I and II, Sonipat (D and P) and Sirsa-I and II.

The FCPS stated in the exit conference that the progress of the scheme had been discussed by him and EIC with DCs during their visits to the field. However, it was observed in audit that no record of proceedings of these meetings were available.

# 1.3.12 Conclusion

The aim of the scheme was to motivate 30 lakh households to avail of the facility of private water connections in their houses, thereby saving wastage of water and the mandays lost in drawing water from standposts. The department emphasized the providing of connections to SC households, but did not persuade GC households to avail of the facility of private water connections due to which the achievement in respect of providing connections to GC households was negligible. As a result, only 33 per cent standposts could be removed in the testchecked divisions. Thus, the aim of the scheme of saving wastage of water could not be fully achieved. Besides, there were cases of providing of connections in areas without distribution networks and insufficient raw water for distribution. Water distribution networks of only 37 out of 2,504 villages in the test-checked divisions were handed over to Gram Panchayats for operation and maintenance. Water charges amounting to ₹ 8.50 crore were neither levied nor collected from the households to make the water distribution system self-reliant and economically viable. No mechanism to assess the impact of the scheme on water conservation and saving of mandays had been evolved by the department.

# 1.3.13 Recommendations

- Specific targets of GC households should be allocated amongst the divisions for achieving them within a proper time frame.
- The department should provide private water connections after ensuring availability of water.
- The department should co-ordinate with Gram Panchayats for handing over of rural distribution networks to them for operation and maintenance as well as levy and collection of water charges.
- The department should evolve a proper mechanism to assess the impact of the scheme on water conservation and saving of mandays.

During the exit conference, the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Public Health Engineering Department agreed to implement the recommendations in the near future.

#### **Town and Country Planning Department**

## 1.4 Information Technology Audit of Computerisation of Haryana Urban Development Authority

# Highlights

The Haryana Urban Development Authority (HUDA) is engaged in planned development of urban areas in the State. It undertakes development of land after the same is acquired by the Government for specific land use such as residential, commercial and industrial in accordance with the development plans of particular areas. In October 2005, HUDA entered into an agreement with Tata Consultancy Services (TCS) for designing and developing a web-enabled application software at a cost of ₹ 97.40 lakh. The software had two modules, namely, the Plot and Property Management (PPM) and the Financial Accounting System (FAS). Information technology audit of these two mission critical modules was conducted to evaluate the performance and effectiveness of IT applications and general controls of the computerised set-up. Some important findings of the audit are given below:

Due to inadequate validation checks in the software, against actual receipts of  $\gtrless$  8.24 crore in respect of 1,148 cases,  $\gtrless$  17.45 crore was posted in the allottee ledger accounts.

(Paragraph 1.4.7.4)

Uploading of receipts in the allottee ledgers without proper validation resulted in uploading of the same draft more than once in 74 cases.

(Paragraph 1.4.7.5)

A fictitious name of a bank was created only to adjust the net unreconciled difference of  $\gtrless$  3.57 crore between withdrawals amounting to  $\gtrless$  19.93 crore and deposits amounting to  $\gtrless$  16.37 crore appearing in various saving/current bank accounts of the HUDA.

(Paragraph 1.4.8.6)

Suspected embezzlement of ₹ 2.10 lakh was observed in Horticulture Division due to non-reconciliation of accounts and non-segregation of duties.

(*Paragraph 1.4.8.7*)

Slow progress of implementation had not only resulted in delays in achieving the intended objectives but also in cost overruns.

(Paragraph 1.4.9.2)

# 1.4.1 Introduction

The Haryana Urban Development Authority (HUDA), constituted in April 1977 through an Act of legislature, is engaged in the planned development of urban areas in the State. It undertakes development of land after the same is acquired by the Government for specific land use such as residential, commercial and industrial, in accordance with the development plans of particular areas.

Keeping in view the enormity of transactions, computerisation of HUDA was envisaged by the Information Technology Planning, Re-engineering, Implementation, Steering and Monitoring Cell (IT PRISM) in its meeting in March 2001. HUDA entered into an agreement with Tata Consultancy Services (TCS) in October 2005 for designing and developing a web-enabled application software.

# 1.4.2 Organisational set up

The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary (FCPS) to the Government of Haryana, Town and Country Planning Department is responsible for formulation of policies and programmes relating to urban development and their implementation. HUDA is headed by a Chief Administrator (CA), who is assisted by five Zonal Administrators, located at Faridabad, Gurgaon, Hisar, Panchkula and Rohtak and one Administrator stationed at Headquarters. The entire State is divided into 29 urban estates. The various activities of HUDA are discharged through 12 wings, each reporting to the Chief Administrator. Some of the important wings are the Engineering Wing (Civil, Electrical and Horticulture divisions in the field), Finance Wing, Town Planning Wing, Information Technology Wing, Land Acquisition Wing, etc.

# **1.4.3** Application architecture of modules

The software has been developed in the Structured Query Language (SQL) with visual studio as the front-end tool, at a cost of  $\gtrless$  97.40 lakh. The software has two modules, namely, Plot and Property Management (PPM) and Financial Accounting System (FAS). While the PPM module was operational in all the units of the HUDA on 31 March 2010, the FAS module was operational only at Panchkula. The web-based architecture of the application is depicted

in the following diagram:

Client Tier	App	Database Tier	
	Presentation Layer (Web Server) IIS .aspx Pages	Busine ss Layer ASP Net Business o-sharp Application Server	

HUDA – PPM and FAS application architecture

# 1.4.4 Audit objectives

Information Technology Audit of HUDA was conducted to:

- review the core objectives of the computerisation viz. increased transparency in the property management and financial accounting system;
- analyse the data for completeness, integrity, reliability and accuracy;
- evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of security controls built into the system to make it reliable;
- ensure that the computerised system was designed to take care of all business rules, policies and procedures being followed in the manual system.

# 1.4.5 Audit criteria

The audit objectives were evaluated against the following criteria:

- The Haryana Urban Development Authority Act, 1977;
- Agenda and minutes of IT PRISM; and
- Generally accepted IT best practices.

# 1.4.6 Scope and methodology of audit

The head office and five<sup>44</sup> divisions located at Panchkula, where the module was operational for the last three years, were selected for detailed analysis of the FAS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> HUDA Construction Division 1, 2 and 3, Panchkula, Horticulture Division, Panchkula and Electrical division, Panchkula

module. For analysing the PPM module, seven<sup>45</sup> Estate Offices were selected. Selection of Estate Offices was made on the basis of inconsistencies in data noticed during the pilot study.

Data generated by the PPM and the FAS modules was analysed using a computerassisted audit technique called IDEA. The IT controls were evaluated against best practices of IT governance by scrutiny of records maintained at headquarters and selected divisions. An entry conference was held in March 2010 with the FCPS and the CA to discuss the audit objectives, scope and methodology. An exit conference was held in August 2010 with the FCPS and the CA in which the audit findings were discussed. Replies to audit findings furnished during the exit conference and the views of the officers of the HUDA were taken into consideration while finalising the performance audit report.

# 1.4.7 Plot and Property Management Module

The Plot and Property Management (PPM) module was designed to feed all the information relating to allottees from the plot files. The objective was quick reporting of plot inventory and reconciling allottee information by automatic calculation of dues, enhancements and reconciliation of accounts. The system aimed to provide:

- efficient and quick service to all users;
- controlled access to sensitive data;
- flexibility to accommodate future needs;
- extensive management control;
- a convenient and effective information system and
- reduction in manual efforts in terms of information maintenance and report generation.

# Audit findings

The data captured through the PPM module was critical and crucial as it mainly related to payments received from allottees, interest on delayed payments, calculation of dues, issue of notices to defaulters, etc. Further, no manual records for capturing these details were being maintained. The magnitude of the transactions can be gauged from the fact that in the year 2008-09, income on this account was ₹ 2,292.54 crore.

Keeping in view the sensitivity of data, it was important that the IT application used by the HUDA had adequate inbuilt controls to ensure that the data entered was genuine, complete, valid, accurate and duly authorised. Analysis of data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Ambala, Bahadurgarh, Faridabad, Gurgaon-1, Gurgaon-2, Hisar, and Panchkula

pertaining to electronic allottee ledgers revealed absence of input controls leading to storage of incomplete and inaccurate data rendering the database and associated information unreliable as discussed in the following paragraphs:

# 1.4.7.1 Data entry in PPM

As on 31 March 2010, data from 1,44,140 plot files out of a total of 2,54,816 plot files had been entered into the PPM module. Out of 1,44,140 plot files, vital details of payments received from allottees like names of banks, bank draft numbers, payment schedules, etc. were not captured in 77,453 cases. Data analysis of the remaining 66,687 files revealed that data pertaining to payments received from allottees in the PPM module was incomplete and inaccurate as detailed below:

- In 14,977 cases, names of the banks issuing drafts were either not there or were invalid. The total receipts in these cases amounted to ₹ 77.33 crore.
- In 749 cases, bank draft numbers had not been entered. The total receipts in these cases amounted to ₹ 4.38 crore.

During the exit conference, the FCPS stated (August 2010) that Estate Offices would be instructed to verify these payments before issuance of no due certificates to allottees.

# 1.4.7.2 Inaccurate data in the field 'date of payment'

The 'date of payment' is a key field and data in this field should be accurately and compulsorily entered as calculation of penal interest on account of delays in making payments is to be done on the basis of this field. Data analysis revealed 'nil' and invalid dates like 4 February 4985, 22 June 2207, etc. in a number of cases, which undermined the reliability of the computerised system, particularly in cases where this field was required for calculation of dues.

The CA stated (August 2010) that a validation check had now been introduced in the system to ensure that the dates of payment were between the dates of allotment and the system dates. The reply is not tenable as the inaccuracies existing in the data before introduction of the validation check had not been rectified.

# 1.4.7.3. Unique ID to sectors and plots

HUDA develops urban estates by dividing the total area of the urban estate into sectors, which are further divided into plots of land, areas for parks, roads, etc. The software has the provision to enter data of sectors and plots by allotting unique IDs to sectors and plots. Data analysis revealed that the data regarding sectors and plots entered into the PPM module of the software was neither complete nor accurate as detailed below:

• Sector masters were incomplete as the total number of plots, areas for parks, roads, encroachment, etc. had not been properly entered. For

In 14,977 cases, names of the banks issuing drafts were either not there or invalid. The total receipts in these cases amounted to ₹ 77.33 crore. In 749 cases, bank draft numbers had not been entered. The total receipts in these cases amounted to ₹ 4.38 crore. instance, in Estate Office (EO), Faridabad, as per the initial records in three sectors, 11.70 acres of land was under encroachment but as per the Sector master, no area had been shown to be under encroachment.

- In Estate Office, Panchkula, five plot IDs had been assigned for two school sites of Doon Public School in Sector 21, Panchkula. There was intermingling of receipts in these five plot IDs and the actual payments received from the school *vis-à-vis* the amounts outstanding were not ascertainable from the software.
- There was no validation check to ensure that the sector area did not exceed the area acquired. Data analysis revealed that in 10 urban estates, the total area acquired was 95.29 crore sqm. whereas the total sector area as per the Sector master was 8,318.70 crore sqm. In six urban estates, the area acquired was shown as zero but as per the table plot detail, plots had been allotted.
- It was also observed that plot IDs had not been assigned in a sequential manner. There were 19,989 gaps in the plot IDs.

As the data pertaining to sectors and plots was unreliable, the objective of a quick plot inventory through the software could not be achieved.

The CA, while admitting the facts, stated (August 2010) that necessary guidelines had been issued to all the Estate Offices to enter complete and accurate data in the Sector master.

# 1.4.7.4 Payments received from allottees ledgers

Data analysis revealed that historic data of PPM, digitised by the banks and uploaded in the web-site of HUDA, was not reliable after cent *per cent* checking by a Chartered Accountant and 20 *per cent* random checking by the staff of HUDA. There was no validation check to ensure that cheques bearing the same numbers and dates and issued by the same bank were not entered more than once, which led to the following inconsistencies:

- In 1,148 cases, one bank draft was entered more than once in the software. Against the actual receipt of ₹8.24 crore in these cases, ₹ 17.45 crore had been posted in the allottee ledgers.
- In 392 cases, duplicate entries were made against the same allottee. In 757 cases, a draft received from one allottee was credited in the accounts of more than one allottee. In 128 out of 757 cases, drafts deposited by allottees residing in particular residential sectors of one urban estate had also been credited in the accounts of other allottees of similar sector in different urban estate.

Thus, lack of proper validation checks resulted in excess credit of  $\gtrless$  9.21 crore to the allottees.

As the data pertaining to sectors and plots was unreliable, the objective of quick plot inventory through the software could not be achieved.

Absence of validation checks resulted in excess credit of ₹ 9.21 crore to the allottees. While analysing the reasons for these discrepancies, it was observed that the objectives of inviting limited tenders from scheduled banks for digitization of plot files to utilize the professional competence of banks and to keep away smaller companies was defeated as the banks had outsourced<sup>46</sup> this work further to smaller companies. The Estate Officer-2, Gurgaon intimated (June 2008) to the Chief Administrator, HUDA that the bank was not supervising the work properly and the company engaged by HDFC for the digitising work had deployed unqualified staff. However, no action was taken by the Management. Further, instead of entering the data through the software, these companies designed their own input data screens resulting in inconsistencies in data as discussed in paragraphs 1.4.7.1 to 1.4.7.4 *ibid*.

It was also noticed that out of 1,148 cases, 302 cases pertained to Estate Office, Panchkula which was taken as a pilot project. Non-detection of these errors and non-strengthening of input controls before replicating the software at other locations resulted in similar errors in other Estate Offices. Further, updated manual allottee ledgers were not available in any of the test-checked Estate Offices. Due to non-availability of the manual allottee ledgers, the data was posted from plot files. File management was poor as original receipts in these cases were appended in wrong files. Plot files to which the receipts actually pertained were subsequently completed by appending attested photocopies of the receipts submitted by the actual allottees. Data Entry Operators credited these receipts in the name of two allottees separately without verifying the details, thereby resulting in double accounting. In Estate Office, Hisar, it was noticed that Oriental Bank of Commerce had given two receipts in lieu of one draft. Both receipts were entered in the allottee ledger resulting in double accounting.

Excess credit in the computerised allottee ledgers was accepted (May 2010) by three<sup>47</sup> out of the seven test-checked Estate Offices. No response was received from the remaining four test-checked EOs.

Existence of double credits in the computerised allottee ledgers cast doubts on the credibility of data and was fraught with the risk of loss of revenue to HUDA.

The CA stated (August 2010) that 392 duplicate entries against the same allottees had been corrected by deleting the extra entries and the remaining cases, where one demand draft had been entered in the accounts of more than one allottee, corrections would be carried out after getting them verified from different Estate Offices.

#### 1.4.7.5 Allottee ledgers

It was envisaged that once the process of digitization of historic data was complete, subsequent receipts would be uploaded daily by obtaining flat files from the nodal bank. Analysis of data revealed that uploading had not been

Existence of double credits in the computerised allottee ledgers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Axis bank outsourced the work to G.S. Infotech; HDFC to CBSL ltd

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Bahdurgarh, Hisar and Ambala

started in 10<sup>48</sup> urban estates as of March 2010, thereby resulting in non-updating of allottee ledgers. Analysis of this data in the Estate Offices where PPM had been started without implementing FAS revealed following deficiencies:

#### • Linkage between PPM and FAS modules

The PPM and the FAS are integrated modules. However, it was observed that both these modules were implemented in Estate Office, Panchkula only. At all other locations, the PPM module was started by uploading receipts in allottee ledgers from the flat files furnished by banks without implementing FAS with the result that there was no correlation of the uploaded amounts totaling ₹ 48.51 crore credited in the allottee ledgers up to March 2010 with the amounts actually received as per the computerised cash book.

In Estate Office, Panchkula, where FAS was operational for the last three years, vouchers in respect of receipts amounting to  $\gtrless$  8.77 crore pertaining to the period from 10 April 2007 to 17 March 2010, uploaded through flat files, had not been reflected in FAS. The cumulative difference of  $\gtrless$  275.15 crore between the closing balance of cash as per the computerised bank book and the manual bank book as discussed in paragraph 1.4.8.5 is indicative of the fact that PPM receipts had been credited more than once in the allottee ledgers and were not being linked with FAS.

Further, no hierarchy had been provided in the software for checking the uploaded data at different levels. The flat files were uploaded by System Analysts using user\_ids without verification by other officers.

The CA stated (August 2010) that vouchers of receipts amounting to  $\gtrless$  8.62 crore had been located and would be captured in the system within one month.

# • Double upload of same receipt through flat file

Analysis of data pertaining to daily receipts being uploaded in the allottee ledgers through flat files prepared by banks revealed that in 74 cases involving receipt of ₹ 70.86 lakh, the same draft had been uploaded more than once in the allottee ledgers.

While analysing the reasons for these discrepancies, it was observed that the banks did not link the amounts received as per the scrolls with the amounts included in the flat files with the result that one draft was included more than once in the flat files by showing different payment memos or payment dates. Instances were found where payments received through the same payment\_memo and date had been credited in the accounts of more than one allottee.

Since the payment memo number was a system-generated auto number, double generation of payment memo numbers and changes of dates was indicative of the

Uploading of receipts in allottee ledgers by using records from banks without validation resulted in uploading of the same draft more than once in 74 cases, involving receipt of ₹ 70.86 lakh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Bhiwani, Dharuhera, Fatehabad, Gohana, Hansi, Hathin, Meo, Narnaul, Palwal, Rozka and Shahbad

fact that the uploaded amounts was prone to tampering. The Management may consider real time uploading instead of collecting data in the form of flat files. Suitable changes in the software were also required so that drafts bearing the same number and date and issued by the same bank were not uploaded twice.

During the exit conference, the FCPS viewed (August 2010) the double upload of same receipt seriously and instructed the CA, HUDA to initiate disciplinary action against the officials who did not tally the amounts entered in the scroll with the uploaded amounts.

# 1.4.7.6 Penal interest

The PPM module had been designed to calculate the penal interest automatically on the basis of rates given in the PPM interest rate master. However, the module was deficient in calculating penal interest in the cases attracting special rates of interest as per court decisions. For instance, the Supreme Court, in the case of Roochira Ceramics vs. Haryana Urban Development Authority, 2001 HRR 97, the SC ordered that if an allottee defaulted in payment of an instalment, HUDA was entitled to charge interest at the rate of 10 per cent only. Rates given in the interest rate master were 18 per cent during the period 15 January 1987 to 3 April 2000, 14 per cent from 15 November 2002 to 31 May 2005, 12 per cent from 1 January 2006 to 3 January 2009 and 15 per cent from 4 April 2009 onwards. This resulted in an increase in the number of litigation cases. Calculation of interest in these cases was being done manually and the differences between interest calculated manually and interest calculated by software was shown as a waivers. Data analysis revealed that in 2812 such cases, a sum of ₹ 11.13 crore had been shown as waivers. No trail of interest charged and details of calculations were available in such cases, which defeated the purpose of computerisation.

The CA stated (August 2010) that calculations were available in the files and the necessity to computerize the same would be examined. The FCPS, however, stated during the exit conference that he was not in favour of automatic calculation of penal interest in such cases as manual intervention in such cases was necessary to have a proper control.

#### 1.4.8 Financial Accounting System module

The Financial Accounting System module deals with preparation of all account books, generation of final accounts, reconciliation of funds, preparation of budget, monitoring of loans, entry of bills and their payments, etc. The following diagram illustrates the data flow into FAS and its linkage to PPM:

Data analysis revealed that in 2812 cases, a sum of ₹ 11.13 crore had been shown as waiver.



The module was aimed to achieve the following objectives:

- Entry of bills at various divisional offices;
- Payments to contractors through cheques;
- Preparation of Day Books, General/Subsidiary Ledgers, Trial Balances, Income and Expenditure Accounts and Balance Sheets. Monthly Accounts would be easily available through the Trial Balances.
- Reconciliation of funds:
  - released by the head office to various Estate and Executive Engineer (XEN) Offices providing details of head office-wise matched/unmatched and unit-wise matched /unmatched entries.
  - disbursed by each Drawing and Disbursing Officer (DDO) with bank statements providing details of DDO-wise matched /unmatched entries and bank-wise matched /unmatched entries.
  - $\circ$  received in the office with the receiving banks' statements.
- Preparation of Budget:
  - Budget estimates of the receipts and expenditure of the current financial year at HO level, XEN Office level and estate office level.
- Monitoring of loans.

Data analysis and scrutiny of various records of HUDA revealed that the IT controls in the FAS module were deficient as discussed in the succeeding paragraphs.

# 1.4.8.1 Codification of heads of accounts

In the software, General Ledger (GL) codes were designed to capture expenditure and receipts under various heads such as salary, maintenance, etc. Subsidiary Ledger (SL) codes were designed to capture details of expenditure/receipts under each GL code. The software consolidated and aggregated these figures and generated a trial balance which was used to generate the final accounting statements like balance sheets and income and expenditure statements. For the final accounting statements to present a true and fair view of the financial position of the HUDA, it was necessary that each item of expenditure/receipt was properly classified and captured. Analysis of data revealed that the method used to assign codes to various heads of expenditure/receipt was not scientific as elaborated below:

- No distinction had been made between capital and revenue heads.
- More than one GL code was assigned to capture expenditure under a particular head of account. For instance, three GL Codes viz., 400074, 400079 and 400080 had been assigned for capturing conversion charges. Similarly, GL Code 400006 and 400060 were assigned for capturing expenditure on maintenance works.

The CA stated (August 2010) that some heads were not operative and had been deleted.

• Rights to create SL codes were given to field offices resulting in multiplicity of codes. Analysis of table Contractor/Supplier master revealed that in 31 cases more than one code had been assigned to 15 firms.

The CA, HUDA stated (August 2010) during the exit conference that hereafter, these rights would be restricted to the Zonal Administrator level.

- SL codes were also found to be arbitrarily assigned. For instance, instead of capturing contractor-wise details of receipt and refund of earnest money, 16 SL codes were assigned to reflect lump sum entries to account for earnest money with the result that there was no trail of receipts and refund of earnest money in these cases.
- A brief narration of the objects of expenditure/receipt is given in the field SL\_description. However, in 10 cases, SL\_description was shown as ABC or ABC of HQ.
- Against the sanctioned strength of 7,038 field staff in 45 categories like fitters, sweepers, *malis*, sewer-men, etc., 6,087 regular employees were on the rolls as on 31 March 2010. No GL or SL code had been assigned to capture expenditure on salary of this staff. The expenditure was being booked against different works by divisions with the result that actual expenditure on the salaries of field staff was not ascertainable from the accounts. Due to the shortage of manpower in these categories, the organisation had to resort to get these works done through contractors by inviting quotations. However, it was observed that there were no identified sectors with shortage of manpower requiring work to be done through contractors. As a result, the expenditure incurred towards these maintenance works through contractors as well as salaries to the regular staff was not being monitored.

• As the calling of tenders is required for works exceeding ₹ 20,000, data analysis revealed that in five construction divisions located at Panchkula, the expenditure on individual works was kept below ₹ 20,000 in 3,332 cases involving a sum of ₹ 5.02 crore during the period April 2007 to 23 March 2010, thereby avoiding the tendering process. The expenditure of ₹ 5.02 crore included ₹ 18.32 lakh on works which were unverifiable such as cleaning of sewers, etc. Also, considering the fact that embezzlement of ₹ 1.84 crore on such type of works was reported (November 2009) in Division IV, Faridabad, proper codification to capture the exact expenditure on establishment and works needed to be done to reduce such risks.

The CA stated (August 2010) that the fraud at Faridabad occurred due to some other reason which had no relevance with FAS. The reply is not acceptable as the embezzlement occurred due to weak internal control with regard to the deployment of regular field staff and non-tracking of work done on contract basis without calling for tenders.

# 1.4.8.2 Estimate Report not designed properly

The software generates an 'Estimate Report', the primary objective of which is to monitor the financial progress of works being carried out by HUDA. It was observed that this report was not designed properly, due to which its effectiveness for monitoring certain key parameters of a particular work got diluted as discussed below:

- The Estimate Report generated through the software did not calculate the correct expenditure incurred on works in a particular year. In three test-checked construction divisions, there was a difference of ₹ 2.76 crore in the figures pertaining to the expenditure incurred on various works in the year 2009-10, calculated by the software, with the actual expenditure shown in the accounts (*Appendix 1.6*).
- Cumulative expenditure incurred on a particular work since inception was also not ascertainable from the estimate report as the software was depicting expenditure of the previous year only.
- The Estimate Report did not facilitate summary of expenditure incurred against an estimate at one place as the grouping was done on the basis of the work code and not on the basis of the estimate code. Data analysis revealed that in 534 cases, expenditure against estimates had been depicted at more than one place.

The CA stated (August 2010) that the estimate-wise and work-wise details of differences in amounts were available and the same would be corrected.
## 1.4.8.3 Generation of trial balance

The software provides the facility of generating trial balances *(i) for a given period (ii) as on month and (iii) as on date.* For preparation of a balance sheet, the trial balance should reflect the cumulative figures in respect of capital heads and figures for the current year only in respect of revenue heads. Analysis of the trial balance generated through the FAS module of the software revealed the following:

- If the option, *as on month* or *as on date* was selected, the software calculated the cumulative balance of all the heads, irrespective of capital heads or revenue heads.
- On the other hand, if the option *for a period* was selected, the software calculated the cumulative figures of that period for all heads, irrespective of capital heads or revenue heads.

The trial balances being generated by the software were, thus, based on incorrect accounting assumptions. This seriously undermined the credibility of the final accounts prepared by HUDA.

In the exit conference, the CCF, HUDA assured (August 2010) that the first two options would be disabled and thereafter, the cumulative balances of all the capital heads would be worked out and depicted in the trial balance for the year 2009-10 onwards. The reply is not acceptable as due to improper codification of heads of accounts and non-adoption of opening balances, correct figures of capital heads cannot be arrived at.

## 1.4.8.4 No provision for calculating profit

For the purpose of calculating income, HUDA recognizes a sector as the basic unit. HUDA was following the 'Completed Contract Method' for revenue recognition and was capitalising all receipts received as a result of auction of commercial sites and other such proceeds from a sector till its completion. HUDA considers that a sector is completed in 10 years. Profit on the sale of plots, as such, is booked after 10 years. No provision had, however, been made in the software to calculate profit on this basis. This calculation was, therefore, being done manually. Thus, the objective of computerizing the entire process of generation of final accounts got defeated.

During the exit conference, the CCF, HUDA stated that preparation of the module to generate profit and loss was under process and the same was expected to be implemented before March 2011.

## 1.4.8.5 Incorrect figures under various heads of expenditure and receipts

The FAS module of the software was made operational in Estate Office, Panchkula in the year 2007 by adopting opening balances of cash in hand and cash at bank. Opening balances of other GL heads like stores, earnest money, advances to suppliers, advances to employees, etc, had, however, not been captured in the FAS module (March 2010). The opening balances in these heads were taken as 'Zero' with the result that cumulative figures in respect of these heads as shown by the software were inaccurate and did not present the true picture of the financial position of HUDA.

The data analysis revealed wide variations in the closing balances of cash at bank (as on 31 March 2010) as per the computerised cash book generated by the software and the manual cash book maintained in test-checked offices which undermined the credibility of the software as discussed below:

- In Horticulture Division, Panchkula, the closing balance as per the software was ₹ 4.26 crore whereas as per the monthly account, it was ₹ two crore.
- In Estate Office, Panchkula, the closing bank balance as per computerised accounts was ₹ 275.76 crore, whereas ₹ 0.61 crore was appearing in accounts.
- In Electrical Division, Panchkula, cash at bank as per the software was shown as ₹ 39,44,848 and cash as per monthly account was ₹ 40,39,056 which indicated shortage of cash of ₹ 94,208 which was not reconciled.

The CA stated (August 2010) that the opening balances as on 1 April 2009 in respect of Panchkula circle had been taken and in respect of other offices, the opening balances would be taken and entered in the system shortly.

## 1.4.8.6 Creation of a fictitious bank account at Head Office, Panchkula

Analysis of data at the head office at Panchkula revealed that under GL Code 100007 (Cash at bank) and SL Code 74 (Name of bank), name of a fictitious bank called 'BRS bank' was entered. It was found that the net difference of ₹ 3.57 crore between unreconciled withdrawals amounting to ₹ 19.93 crore and unreconciled deposits amounting to ₹ 16.37 crore appearing in various savings/current bank accounts of HUDA was shown under this head. The details of these withdrawals and receipts were not available with HUDA. Existence of such a bank account in the software could result in serious financial irregularities and wrong depiction of the bank position.

The CA stated (August 2010) that the old outstanding entries had been located and reconciled and would be fed in the computer system through FAS. However, details of the adjusted amount were not made available to Audit.

## 1.4.8.7 Suspected embezzlement due to non-segregation of duties

The data analysis revealed that there was no segregation of duties for voucher entry, voucher approval and payment authorization. In Horticulture Division, Panchkula, suspected embezzlement of  $\gtrless$  2.10 lakh was facilitated due to non-segregation of duties, non-scrutiny and non-validation of money received for crediting into the accounts of HUDA by the Drawing and Disbursing Officer

A fictitious name of a bank had been created to adjust the net unreconciled difference of ₹ 3.57 crore.

Suspected embezzlement of ₹ 2.10 lakh was facilitated in Horticulture Division. as discussed below:

- a) Data pertaining to receipts on account of sale of tender and earnest money from contractors in the computerised cash book under the GL code 300039 was incomplete. In nine cases, a total amount of ₹ 28940 received during the period between October 2008 and June 2009 was neither entered in the manual cash book nor in the computerized cash book, resulting in suspected embezzlement of this amount.
- b) In three cases, against the actual amount of ₹ 51,950 received during the period between June 2008 and March 2009, ₹ 34,450 was accounted for in the manual cash book pointing towards suspected embezzlement of ₹ 17,500. Since cash books of sub-divisions was maintained manually, this amount could not be correlated with the computerised cash book.
- c) Whereas cash-in-hand as on 30 June 2009 as per the manual cash book was ₹ 1,63,938.40, opening balance on 1 July 2009 was incorrectly adopted as ₹ 1,13,938.40, resulting in possible embezzlement of ₹ 50,000.
- d) Cash in hand after 1 July 2009 was consistently shown in the monthly accounts as ₹ 1,13,438.40 whereas as per the charge report given (8 January 2010) by previous incumbent only 40 paise was lying in the chest, resulting in embezzlement to that extent.

Thus, suspected embezzlement of  $\gtrless 2.10^{49}$  lakh took place due to failure of the Drawing and Disbursing Officer to exercise codal checks prescribed in the Financial Rules for maintenance of accounts electronically or manually. In addition, contrary to the codal provisions which, *inter alia*, prescribe that money collected should be deposited in the bank daily or at the most in the next working day, cash-in-hand with the cashier ranged between  $\gtrless 9,533.40$  in April 2007 to  $\gtrless 6,12,038.40$  in May 2009, resulting in temporary misappropriation of this amount.

Further, totalling of the manual cash book/bank book had not been done from 30 June 2009 till March 2010. Totalling prior to this period was done by erasable pencil and not authenticated by the DDO. Reconciliation with the bank had also not been done.

The CA stated (August 2010) that an inquiry would be conducted to investigate the embezzlement.

## 1.4.8.8 Transactions with contractors

One of the stated objectives of computerisation was to keep a watch over the transactions of HUDA with the contractors carrying out various works. Data analysis revealed that in 224 out of 1,105 cases, dummy entries instead of the PAN numbers of contractors were made in this field. In the absence of PAN numbers, it was difficult to track income tax deducted from payment to contractors. PAN numbers should be made a mandatory field and proper

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Sub para (a): ₹ 28,940; Sub para (b): ₹ 17,500; Sub para (c): ₹ 50,000; Sub para (d): ₹ 1,13,938.

validation checks should be put in place to ensure that dummy data is not entered in this field.

In Construction Division -2, Panchkula, an advance of ₹ 1,24,84,800 given to M/s Gujarat Ambuja in the month of June 2007 was classified under the General Ledger (GL) Code 100012 (Advances to suppliers) and Subsidiary Ledger (SL) Code 489. Subsequent payments amounting to ₹ 2,90,61,278 and supplies worth ₹ 3,76,04,300 were, however, classified under GL code 200018 (Sundry Creditors) and SL Code 489. Thus, as per the FAS module of the software, an amount of ₹ 1,24,84,800 was shown as outstanding against the firm since June 2007. The actual position could not be ascertained as subsidiary ledgers were not maintained manually.

The CA stated (August 2010) that field offices had been instructed to change the dummy PAN numbers and adjustment of the advance of M/s Gujarat Ambuja would be made under the proper head of accounts.

## **1.4.9** Issues relating to implementation of the computerisation project

Computerisation of HUDA was an ongoing project. Scrutiny revealed that weak monitoring of the project adversely impacted upon its effectiveness as discussed in the succeeding paragraphs.

## 1.4.9.1 Key features of software not being used

Data analysis revealed that many key features of the software were not being used as detailed below:

• There was a provision in the FAS module of the software to generate balance sheets and profit and loss accounts. However, it was not used with the result that these statements were prepared manually in MS Excel.

During the exit conference, the CCF, HUDA intimated (August 2010) that the module to generate balance sheets was under examination and the same was expected to be implemented before 31 March 2011.

- The database was designed to keep a track on funds kept in term deposits. Data analysis revealed that as on March 2010, as per this table, an amount of ₹ 8,168.84 crore was deposited in term deposits of various banks. All these term deposits were shown as active. However, no details were entered regarding the term deposits encashed, amounts realised, renewed, etc with the result that these term deposits could not be tracked.
- The database was designed to store details of parties from whom land was acquired and the land acquisition court cases in which details of enhanced compensation to be paid were to be entered. These tables had not been operationalised, with the result that there was no control over land payments and payments made by Land Acquisition Officers on behalf of

HUDA. Thus, there was no way to monitor delays in making payments which could lead to avoidable payment of interest. Monitoring total awards *vis-à-vis* payments made against the awards could also not be done through the software. It was further observed that due to inadequate control over payments, a sum of  $\gtrless$  62.97 crore was attached as per orders of courts (March 2009).

During the exit conference, the CCF, HUDA stated that in the design phase of the application, there was provision to enter land acquisition details. However, when the system became live, it was observed that complete functionality was required for the automation of the LAO office which was not in the scope of work. The reply is not acceptable as even without automation of LAO, details could have been collected and entered in the module.

## 1.4.9.2 Delay in implementation leading to cost overrun

Computerisation was to be done in three phases at a cost of ₹ 22.98 crore. In the first phase, the software was to be made operational at pilot sites viz; Panchkula and Gurgaon from October 2006 and December 2006 respectively. In second phase, it was to be made functional in Hisar, Rohtak, Sonipat, Panipat, Faridabad, Karnal and Bahadurgarh Urban Estates from April 2007. In the third phase, the software was planned to be made operational throughout the State in all the Estate Offices and Divisions from July 2007.

It was observed that the software had been fully implemented in Panchkula only. The PPM module had been partially implemented in all the urban estates from January 2010 by making some of the sectors online after digitising the historic data. As of 31 March 2010, against the agreement cost of  $₹ 26.38^{50}$  crore, payment of ₹ 13.85 crore had been released. The slow progress of implementation had not only resulted in delay in achieving the intended objectives but also in cost overrun. Expenditure on digitisation of plot files, which was awarded to scheduled banks on the basis of limited tenders, increased from ₹ 320 to ₹ 600 per plot file. Further, due to delay in finalisation of software, an amount of ₹ 25.69 lakh paid to M/s Reliance Communication for hosting and connecting the data centre at Gurgaon (January to December 2009) became wasteful.

During the exit conference, the GM (IT), HUDA stated that the project got delayed as the implementation of the PPM and the FAS was a mammoth task, both in terms of size of the activity and geographic spread. The reply is not tenable as the time schedule was prepared after taking into account the volume of work.

50

Slow progress of implementation had not only resulted in delay in achieving the intended objectives but also in cost overrun.

Digitization of files: ₹ 13 crore; Application Software: ₹ 0.98 crore, Hardware/Software: ₹ 5 crore; Data Centre: ₹ 4.90 crore; WAN: ₹ one crore: LAN: ₹ 1.30 crore and Miscellaneous: ₹ 0.20 crore

## 1.4.9.3 Expenditure on digitising plot files

As per the bid document, where allotment was prior to January 1998 and either of three events viz; (i) execution of sale deed; (ii) execution of conveyance deed and (iii) issue of no due certificate had occurred, the schedule of payment as well as details of payments made by allottees were not required to be captured. No such condition was, however, inserted in the contract agreement and a uniform rate for all the plot files was allowed. Further, data analysis revealed that out of 1,44,140 uploaded plot files, the payment schedules and detail of payments made by allottees had not been entered in 77,453 plot files. Payment of ₹ 3.75 crore in these cases, particularly for digitising details of 23,066 properties (30 *per cent*) sold before constitution of HUDA which was for statistical purposes and where the time schedule was not important, could have been avoided by segregating these cases and the banks could have been told to concentrate on new sectors where the amounts were being deposited by allottees.

During the exit conference, the CA, HUDA stated (August 2010) that it was a conscious decision to digitize all the available files. However, in the absence of any mention of utility of data, the expenditure incurred on digitising these files was injudicious.

## 1.4.9.4 Expenditure on establishing Wide Area Network

In order to provide common citizen services and implement major e-governance applications, the Department of Information Technology (DIT), Government of India sanctioned a project for setting up the Haryana State Wide Area Network (SWAN). The estimated cost of the project was ₹ 102.62 crore, out of which ₹ 62.62 crore was to be funded by DIT for meeting the capital expenditure on vertical connectivity. The Government was to contribute ₹ 40 crore towards operational expenditure for this network. Since at the time of implementation of the PPM and the FAS modules, SWAN was not ready, it was decided in the IT Plan of HUDA prepared by IT PRISM<sup>51</sup> that data connectivity and storage may be initially awarded to a private service provider as a pilot project as a temporary measure. It was envisaged that once SWAN was established, HUDA would switch over to SWAN for the rest of the locations.

SWAN became operational in the State in February 2008. On the request (February 2008) of HUDA, HARTRON prepared a project report for linking all the Estate Offices with SWAN. Work was awarded (May 2008) by HARTRON to M/s Spanco at a cost of ₹ 62.63 lakh but HUDA stopped (October 2008) the project and allotted the work (March 2009) to M/s Bharati Airtel at a cost of ₹ 87.66 lakh, resulting in an extra expenditure of ₹ 25.03 lakh. Further, by not availing of the facility of SWAN, nugatory expenditure had been incurred by HUDA on connectivity. In response to an audit query, HARTRON stated (March 2010) that the reasons for not switching over to SWAN were best known to the HUDA and the selection of Bharti Airtel was made by HUDA at their own level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Chief Administrator, HUDA is in the technical Committee of IT PRISM

During the exit conference, the GM (IT) stated that the data centre of Haryana State Wide Area Network was still not ready. The reply is not tenable because as per the Status of Mission Mode e-Governance Projects in Haryana dated 31 December 2008, the NIC State Data Centre Haryana with a data Storage capacity of eight terabytes had been established in Civil Secretariat. The FCPS assured that the latest position in this regard would be intimated.

## 1.4.10 Conclusion

HUDA had outsourced development of the software for automating its operations and generating various MIS reports. It was the primary responsibility of the Management to ensure that the software designed would accurately map all its operations on to the computerised system and adequate IT controls were put in place to ensure reliability and integrity of data. However, audit revealed that many features of the manual system were either not computerised or were wrongly computerized. If correctly computerized, they were either not being put to use or were being wrongly used. Further, it was seen that despite spending ₹ 13.85 crore till March 2010, the software had been fully implemented in Panchkula only, showing that the project was way behind the targeted date. Even in Panchkula, the data was incomplete and inaccurate and unreliable. Errors found in Panchkula during audit were replicated at other locations. This undermined the credibility of all the MIS reports including final accounts, which were either being prepared by the software or were being prepared manually by taking data from the software.

## 1.4.11 Recommendations

- Data entry work by banks needs to be closely monitored as most of the inaccuracies are arising at the data entry stage.
- HUDA must immediately carry out a post-implementation review of the functioning of the software and take steps to correct the data inaccuracies and inconsistencies by ensuring that adequate input controls and validation checks are put in place.
- Balance Sheets and Income and Expenditure Accounts should be generated through FAS to check its efficacy.
- A scientific method may be adopted for codification of general and subsidiary ledger codes so that expenditure on capital and revenue heads can be properly tracked.
- It must be ensured that the staff engaged in implementation of the computerisation project is properly trained.

The FCPS, during the exit conference, accepted (August 2010) all the above recommendations.

#### **CHAPTER II**

#### **AUDIT OF TRANSACTIONS**

Audit of transactions of the departments of Government, their field formations as well as that of the autonomous bodies, brought out several instances of lapses in management of resources and failures in the observance of the norms of regularity, propriety and economy. These have been presented in the succeeding paragraphs under broad objective heads.

#### 2.1 Fraud/misappropriation/embezzlement/losses/overpayments

Social Justice and Empowerment Department (District Red Cross Society, Narnaul)

#### 2.1.1 *Embezzlement due to inadequate financial control*

Embezzlement of ₹ 4,22,100 occurred in the District Red Cross Society, Narnaul due to non-observance of the provisions of financial rules regarding receipts and deposit of service charges levied for issue of registration certificates of vehicles and driving/conductors' licences.

The Indian Red Cross Society (IRC) was constituted (1920) for administration of the money and various gifts received from the public for the purpose of medical and other aid to the sick and wounded and other purposes of a like nature during war and also for continuation in peace time.

The Punjab Financial Rules (Rule 2.2) as applicable to the Haryana Government and also to Red Cross Societies require a Drawing and Disbursing Officer (DDO) to satisfy himself that all the monetary transactions are entered in the cash book as soon as they occur and the same are attested by him. The official who receives the money on behalf of the Government is required to remit the same to the employee having a cash book or deposit the amount into the treasury/bank on the same day or in the morning of the next day. The head of the office is also required to verify all the entries including totals of all the entries in the cash book or have this done by some responsible official other than the writer of the cash book and initial all entries as correct.

The Government, in contravention of the provisions of the constitution of the IRC, decided (October 2000) to implement the Haryana Registrations information System (HARIS) through District Red Cross Societies (DRCSs). Accordingly, the work of issue of registration certificates of vehicles and renewal of driving and conductor's licences under the system was entrusted to DRCSs. Service charges for providing these services, in addition to the fee fixed by the Government at the rate of  $\gtrless$  100 per case were fixed for issue

of registration certificates of vehicles, renewal of permanent driving licences, conductor's licences and ₹ 50 for each learner's licence. Printed receipt books of ₹ 100 and ₹ 50 were issued to the data entry operators (DEO) for issue of receipts to payees. The DEOs were required to deposit the amounts collected with DRCS on the same day or latest by the next day.

Scrutiny (December 2009) under Section 20(1) of Comptroller and Auditor General of India's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Services) Act, 1971 of the records of DRCS, Narnaul and Sub-Divisional Officer (Civil), Mahendragarh for the period from August 2004 to December 2009 revealed that the cash book was not being maintained properly and the entries in the cash book were neither checked by the responsible official nor attested by the head of the office. It was also not ensured as to whether the money collected by the DEO through receipt books was deposited with the cashier or not. During this period, 12,448 vehicle registration certificates, 18,189 driving licences and 12,461 learner licences were issued for which service charges amounting to ₹ 36,86,750<sup>1</sup> were collected from the applicants. Against this, only ₹ 32,64,650<sup>2</sup> were deposited with DRCS upto 14 December 2009. This resulted in short deposit of ₹ 4,22,100 which tantamounted to embezzlement by the DEO, who collected the service charges.

On this being pointed out in audit, Secretary, DRCS, Narnaul while admitting these facts, stated (December 2009) that ₹ 4,16,400 had been recovered from the delinquent employee and accounted for in the cash book. He further attributed (June 2010) the short deposit of money received to mismanagement on the part of the then Secretary of DRCS. The balance amount of ₹ 5,700 was still to be recovered. Further action to fix the responsibility of the defaulting officers/officials and lodging the first information report with the Police authorities was not taken. Secretary, DRCS, being the DDO, was responsible for ensuring that all the receipts were properly accounted for in the cash book. The embezzlement occurred due to non-observance of the provisions of the Financial Rules regarding receipt and deposit of service charges in the bank.

The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Social Justice and Empowerment Department stated (March 2010) that the President, DRCS, Narnaul had been directed to recover the balance amount and to fix responsibility of the delinquent officer/official. Further action was awaited (August 2010).

1

<sup>12,448</sup> x ₹ 100 plus 18,189 x ₹ 100 plus 12,461 x ₹ 50 = ₹ 36,86,750.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ₹ 11,34,800 plus ₹ 15,92,100 plus ₹ 5,37,750 = ₹ 32,64,650.

## 2.2 Excess/Wasteful/Infructuous expenditure

#### Public Works Department (Buildings and Roads Branch)

## 2.2.1 Extra expenditure due to injudicious allotment of works

Three works amounting to  $\gtrless$  109.73 crore allotted to an ineligible agency led to non-completion of the works and re-allotment of the balance works at higher rates, resulting in extra expenditure of  $\gtrless$  10.02 crore, besides creation of liabilities of  $\gtrless$  14.44 crore on the unexecuted works.

The Engineer-in-Chief (EIC), Public Works Department (Buildings and Roads Branch) {PWD (B&R)}, issued (January 2006) fresh guidelines for preparing documents/conditions of contracts. These guidelines provided that while preparing tender documents, the eligibility criteria for the agencies participating in the bids should be clearly defined and tenders of those agencies who did not fulfil the prescribed eligibility criteria should not be opened. The guidelines further provided that the eligibility criteria should be determined on the basis of financial turnovers, previous performance and residual biding capacity<sup>3</sup> of the applicants.

The Executive Engineer (EE), Provincial Division No. IV, Rohtak, after obtaining approval from EIC, PWD (B&R), invited tenders for construction of three buildings during August and September 2007 as per details given below:

Sr. No.			-		Amount of the lowest bidder (₹ in crore)
1.	New District Jail at Rohtak	19.88	13 September 2007	19 September 2007	29.38
2.	New Out-Patient Department (OPD) at Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences (PGIMS), Rohtak		13 September 2007	18 September 2007	33.83
3.	Trauma Centre, Dental Hospital and Mother and Child Hospital at PGIMS, Rohtak		10 October 2007	12 February 2008	46.52
Total		72.87			109.73

In the detailed notices inviting tenders (DNIT) for all the above three works, the applicants were required to fulfil one of the three conditions<sup>4</sup> and were to have annual financial gross turnover equal to 30 *per cent* of the amount of work put to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Residual biding capacity is defined as [2\*2\* (maximum value of civil engineering works executed in any one financial year since 1 April 2000 updated to the base date of << Base Date>> through a simple interest @ 8 *per cent* per annum,) - (value of works as on <<Base Date>> updating through a simple interest @ 8 *per cent* per annum, of existing commitments/ongoing works yet to be executed)].

i) Agencies which had satisfactorily completed three works costing each equal to 20 *per cent* of the cost of work or ii) two works costing each equal to 25 *per cent* of cost of work or iii) one work costing equal to 40 *per cent* of cost of work of the similar nature during last seven years ending last day of the month of tender.

tender in any one year during the last three years for participating in the bids. Besides this, the successful bidder had to submit a performance security equal to two *per cent* of the bid amount, which was subsequently withdrawn in the pre-bid meetings.

Scrutiny of records revealed that tenders for the first two works (at Sr. No. 1 and 2 above) were opened on the same day. The eligibility to determine the financial capacity of the agency to execute the works was worked out on the basis of estimated cost of works i.e. ₹ 40.40 crore and both the works were allotted (September 2007) within a span of one day at a cost of ₹ 63.21 crore (56 *per cent* higher than estimated cost). After allotment of these works, the residual bidding capacity of the agency for further works was 'nil'. The third work (at Sr. No. 3 above) for which tenders were opened in October 2007 was allotted (February 2008) to the same agency for ₹ 46.52 crore. While determining the financial capacity of the agency, the value of the existing commitments/already allotted works i.e. the value of above two works (₹ 63.21 crore) was not taken into account. Thus all the above three works were allotted to a single agency for approximately ₹ 109.73 crore.

The contractor left all the three works incomplete in July 2008 after executing a part of the works to the extent of ₹ 9.68 crore against the total cost of ₹ 109.73 crore. On the failure of the agency to execute the works, the contracts for the buildings at serial number 1 and 2 above were terminated in November 2008 and the at one serial number 3 in January 2009, after levying a penalty of ₹ 12.36 crore<sup>5</sup> which was not recovered (July 2010). Tenders for the balance works for all the three buildings of the value of ₹ 100.05 crore were reinvited between December 2008 and February 2009 and the works were allotted for ₹ 128.25 crore. The rates were higher than those at which the works were allotted to the first agency. The agencies executed the balance work to the extent of ₹ 58.86 crore upto June 2010.

Thus, allotment of the third work amounting to ₹ 46.52 crore without taking into account the value of the already allotted works and not making any provision for determining the financial capacity on the allotted cost which was higher by 56 *per cent* than the estimated cost, resulted in non-completion of works. The allotment of the balance works at higher rates resulted in extra expenditure of ₹ 10.02 crore on the work done upto June 2010, besides creation of extra liabilities of ₹ 14.44 crore on unexecuted works as compared to the originally allotted rates.

On this being pointed out, the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, PWD (B&R) stated (August 2010) during discussion that there was no provision in the DNITs to consider other ongoing works being executed by an agency for assessing the qualification criteria for allotment. The reply is not acceptable as all the three works were awarded by one division

5

<sup>₹ 5.38</sup> crore in respect of new OPD, ₹ 5.36 crore in respect of District Jail and ₹ 1.62 crore in respect of Trauma Centre.

simultaneously without incorporating the existing guidelines regarding eligibility criteria for the agencies participating in the bids in the tender documents and also without verifying the financial status of the agency before awarding works of such large projects.

## **Irrigation Department**

## 2.2.2 Unfruitful expenditure on construction of channel

Construction of an irrigation channel with high cost borrowing from the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development without ensuring the availability of water rendered the expenditure of  $\gtrless$  85.75 lakh along with the interest of  $\gtrless$  41.16 lakh thereon, unfruitful.

In order to bring additional areas under irrigation and to increase the intensity of irrigated areas by utilising the available water efficiently through improved management of the present water resources, the Irrigation Department, Haryana undertook the work of construction of new minors and raising and extension of minors by taking loans at 12 per cent interest per annum from the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD). The Chief Engineer (Lift Department Haryana, Panchkula Canal Unit). Irrigation sanctioned (October 2000) a scheme for construction of the Kubja Nagar Minor from the Loharu Canal system in Bhiwani District, which was based on water from the Western Yamuna Canal system at an estimated cost of ₹ 33.89 lakh. The scheme envisaged irrigation of 1,413 acres of land of four villages (Berla, Todi, Nihalgarh and Kubja Nagar) based on assessment of 6.3 cusecs discharge with water allowance of 4.05 cusecs per hundred *acre*. The work was allotted to an agency by the Executive Engineer, Loharu Water Services Division, Charkhi Dadri, District Bhiwani in May 2002 and completed in August 2005. An expenditure of ₹ 85.75 lakh (including cost of land of ₹ 36.01 lakh) was incurred on the work.

During audit (September 2009), it was noticed that against the envisaged irrigation potential of 1,413 *acres*, the actual irrigated areas during 2005-06, 2006-07, 2007-08 and 2008-09 were only 78, 47, 29 and 12 *acres* respectively due to less/non-availability of water for irrigation. Thus, the expenditure of  $\gtrless$  85.75 lakh incurred on the construction of the minor out of high cost borrowing from NABARD on which interest of  $\gtrless$  41.16<sup>6</sup> lakh at the rate of 12 *per cent* was paid during the last four years had not served the desired purpose as less than five *per cent* area of the targeted area was irrigated.

On this being pointed out (September 2007), the Engineer-in-Chief, Irrigation Department, Haryana intimated (September 2009) that the minor was constructed keeping in view the proposed availability of water from the SYL system and other sources i.e. the Hansi-Butana Link Channel. The reply is not acceptable as

6

<sup>₹ 85.75</sup> lakh × 12 per cent × 4 years = ₹ 41.16 lakh.

according to the project report of the work, the department did not anticipate any additional water from SYL system and Hansi-Butana link channel. The channel was constructed with the assumption of availability of additional water through present water resources but the department failed to improve the exiting water resources due to which the expenditure of ₹ 84.75 lakh was rendered unfruitful besides payment of interest of ₹ 41.16 lakh on the NABARD loan.

Thus, constructing of a channel without ensuring the availability of water rendered the expenditure of  $\gtrless$  85.75 lakh unfruitful, besides payment of interest of  $\gtrless$  41.16 lakh on the NABARD loan.

The matter was referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Public Works Department (Irrigation Branch) in January 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

# 2.2.3 Extra expenditure due to non-finalisation of tenders within the validity period

## Non-finalisation of tenders within the validity period resulted in an extra expenditure of $\gtrless$ 34.12 lakh.

The Indri Drain passes through the vicinity of Tarauri town and the wastage of the town is disposed of in this drain which results in heavy slush and choking up of the internal section of the drain. With a view to address the issue, the Chief Minister (CM), during a public meeting held on 03 September 2006 at Nilokheri accepted the demand of the inhabitants for side pitching of the Indri Drain, Tarauri minor and Chautang Feeder Canal for the portions passing through Tarauri town. Accordingly, the Chief Engineer (CE), Yamuna Water Services (YWS) sanctioned (January 2007) an estimate for ₹ 78.80 lakh for "Renovation of Indri Drain from RD 119800 to 138350 and side pitching from RD 127140 to 132050 including replacement of pipe bridge at RD 131000.

As the work was to be completed before the rainy season and the administrative approval (AA) for the work was not received, the Executive Engineer (EE), Nardak Water Services Division, Karnal, after obtaining (December 2006) anticipatory sanction<sup>7</sup> from CE, invited tenders for this work which were opened on 24 January 2007 (AA was accorded by the Government in July 2007). The tender of the lowest bidder with a validity period of 90 days i.e. upto 24 April 2007 with tendered cost of ₹ 88.02 lakh was recommended (29 January 2007) for acceptance to the Superintending Engineer (SE), Yamuna Water Services Circle. The SC in turn recommended (31 January 2007) the same to the CE, YWS for acceptance on the ground that the rates received were quite reasonable taking into account the site conditions and higher rates of material. The case was returned by the CE on 30 March 2007 i.e. after two months, to ascertain whether the scheme was actually announced by the CM, although this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Anticipatory sanction is a sanction by a competent authority in case whether on grounds of urgency or otherwise a Divisional Officer is required to carry out a work for which no estimates have been sanctioned or for which no financial provision exists.

fact was mentioned by the SE, YWS Circle, while recommending the tenders for approval to CE. Thus the case was delayed in the CE office. The case was resubmitted with the clarification by the SE on 25 April 2007. Meanwhile the validity of tender expired on 24 April 2007 and the agency refused (26 April 2007) to extend the validity period of his offer. Tenders for the work were reinvited in December 2007 and the work was allotted to another agency in May 2008 who completed the work in November 2008 at a cost of ₹ 1.32 crore.

Scrutiny of records (August 2009) of the EE, Nardak Water Services Division, Karnal revealed that on retendering, the work with the same scope and specifications was got executed at higher rates than the rates offered in the tender by the first agency which was not decided within validity period. As a result, the department paid ₹ 1.32 crore to second agency as against ₹ 97.83 lakh which would have been payable to the first agency as per actual work. This led to an extra expenditure of ₹ 34.12 lakh.

On being pointed out (August 2009), the Engineer-in-Chief stated (May 2010) that the work could not be allotted within the validity period as the administrative approval for execution of work was accorded by the Government in July 2007 and the tenders were re-invited thereafter. The reply is not acceptable as the anticipatory sanction from the competent authority accorded in December 2006 was already available. Thus, the department failed to get the rates approved within the validity period, which resulted in extra expenditure of ₹ 34.12 lakh.

The matter was demi-officially referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Irrigation Department in March 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

## 2.2.4 Unfruitful expenditure on incomplete drainage scheme

Non-acquisition of land for linking a part of a drain constructed to carry flood water with a carrier drain resulted in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 52 lakh.

According to Paragraph 2.82 of the Public Works Department Code, when land is required for public purposes, the concerned officer of the Public Works Department should, in the first instance, consult the Collector of the concerned district and obtain from him, complete information as to the probable cost of the land, per acre or otherwise, for which compensation would have to be paid. Thereafter, necessary proceedings for acquisition of land as per provisions of Land Acquisition Act should be started.

In order to provide relief to six<sup>8</sup> flood-prone villages of Jhajjar district, a scheme for constructing the MP Majra link drain was approved for ₹ 69.37 lakh by the Haryana State Flood Control Board in December 2004. The cost was revised to ₹ 3.56 crore in January 2006 due to increase in cost of land. To acquire land required for the purpose, notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act were issued in March and April 2005. Award of land pertaining to five villages was

<sup>8</sup> 

Fortpura, Gwalison, Islamgarh, Khatiwas, MP Majra and Tomaspura.

announced in March 2006 by the Land Acquisition Collector but the award in respect of one village (MP Majra) was not announced due to a stay granted by the Punjab and Haryana High Court (April 2006). The estimates of the work were sanctioned (June 2005) by the Chief Engineer and the work was awarded to an agency in April 2006 by the Executive Engineer (EE), Jhajjar Water Services Divisions, Jhajjar. An expenditure of ₹ 2.14 crore was incurred on the project up to June 2007 and further work was stopped (June 2007) as the land could not be acquired due to a stay order on the portion of land required for completion of project. The drain was constructed in reaches 0 to 10,350 and 15,500 to 18,900 while the middle reach between RD 10350 to 15500 was left incomplete.

It was noticed (April 2007 and January 2009) in audit that the construction of the drain from RD 15500 to 18900 was executed by incurring expenditure of  $\gtrless$  52 lakh (approximately) though the land required for execution of drain for the portion from RD 10350 to 15500 had not been acquired. Construction of this portion of the drain had not served the desired purpose of carrying the accumulated flood water as this portion of the drain was not linked with its carrier drain. Therefore, the expenditure incurred on this portion of the drain was unfruitful.

The EE, in his replies (May 2007 and March 2010) stated that the accumulated flood water in reach 15500 to 18900 was being pumped out into the nearby Riaya minor. The drain in the remaining portion of RD 10350 to 15500 would be got excavated after the decision of the court. The reply is not acceptable as the department should have started the work in RD 15500 to 18900 only after acquisition of the total land as the purpose of construction of the drain was not served due to non-linkage of the constructed portion. If the methodology adopted for saving the villages from floods by pumping the flood water in the existing minor was sufficient to cater to the need, the department should have not planned the execution of the drain from RD 10350 to RD 18900 by incurring expenditure of ₹ 52 lakh approximately. Moreover, the department had to incur avoidable expenditure of ₹ 9.16 lakh on installation of pumping sets for draining out flood water from the area during 2007-10.

The matter was demi-officially referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Irrigation Department in March 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

#### Public Health Engineering Department

## 2.2.5 Unfruitful expenditure on incomplete water supply work

Failure to conduct a feasibility study and survey before selecting a site for waterworks in village Kassar resulted in the work being left incomplete and rendered expenditure of ₹ 49.29 lakh unfruitful.

According to the Manual on Water Supply and Treatment issued by the Government of India, which is used as a basic document by the State Public Health Engineering Department, a feasibility study should be undertaken before

starting a water supply project to see if it is technically, financially and economically feasible. Further, according to the Punjab Buildings and Roads Manual of Orders, also applicable to Haryana, the site for execution of work or buildings should be selected by the department before conducting the detailed survey of the area for preparation of detailed drawings and estimates of a project. Along with the survey, strata of land, its load bearing capacity, drainage of site, etc. should also be attached to decide the design of work.

In order to provide adequate drinking water to the inhabitants of Kassar village in Jhajjar district, a scheme for construction of independent waterworks was approved (December 2004) by the State Sanitary Board, Haryana at an estimated cost of ₹ 98.95 lakh. Tenders for a part work<sup>9</sup> were invited by the Executive Engineer (EE), Public Health Engineering Division (PHED), Bahadurgarh in March 2005 and the work was awarded (May 2005) to an agency at a cost of ₹ 58.80 lakh with a completion time of eight months. The agency, after partial execution, left (July 2006) the work incomplete as the land provided for construction of the waterworks was in a low-lying area and was filled with water during the rainy season. The department had incurred an expenditure of ₹ 49.29 lakh on the work as of July 2006, after which no work was executed. The balance work was allotted to another agency at a cost of ₹ 58.56 lakh in December 2008 with a completion time of four months. Even this agency could not start the work as the site was filled with water and no space was available for dewatering.

Scrutiny (August 2009) of records in the office of EE, PHED, Bahadurgarh, revealed that the work was started without conducting any feasibility study and survey to ascertain the suitability of the site, which was a pre-requisite for taking up any water supply project. As a result, the work had been lying abandoned since July 2006. This not only resulted in unfruitful expenditure of  $\gtrless$  49.29 lakh but also in non-achievement of the objective of the scheme of providing potable water to the inhabitants of Kassar village.

The EE stated (February 2010) that the work was executed on the land provided by *Gram Panchayat* and no other land was available with the *Gram Panchayat* for the waterworks. The reply is not in consonance with the provisions of the manuals on the subject as before start of the work, the department should have conducted the feasibility study to decide the suitability of site.

The matter was referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Public Health Engineering Department in April 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

<sup>9</sup> 

Construction of inlet channel, pump chamber, high level tank, sedimentation and storage tank, filter bed, clear water tank, suction well, head works, pipeline, boundary wall and all works contingent thereto.

#### Home Department

#### 2.2.6 Extra expenditure on acquisition of land

Due to delay in sanction of funds by the Government, the Police Department had to pay land compensation at enhanced rates, resulting in extra expenditure of ₹ 49.01 lakh.

For acquisition of land for a public purpose, the State Government is required to issue a preliminary notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (the Act), showing its intention to acquire the land. After hearing and settling of objections, if any, received from the public or interested parties, a final gazette notification for acquiring land is required to be published under Section 6 of the Act. In case the award for acquisition of land is not announced within two years from the date of the notification under Section 6 of the Act, the notifications under Section 4 and 6 lapse. Further, Section 23 of the Act stipulates that the amount of compensation payable to the landowners should be determined with reference to the market value of the land prevailing on the date of notification published under Section 4.

The Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Haryana Police Housing Corporation informed (April 2002) the Superintendent of Police (SP), Panipat that some<sup>10</sup> additional land was required for planning of New Police Lines, Panipat, of which 62 *acre* and four *marla* were acquired in July 2001. Notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Act to acquire land measuring nine *acre* one *kanal* and nine *marla* were issued in February 2003 and March 2004 respectively. The Land Acquisition Collector (LAC), Panipat asked (September 2005) the SP, Panipat to deposit a sum of ₹ 1.90 crore for making payment to the landowners. The price of land was fixed (August 2005) at the rate of ₹ 12.50 lakh per *acre* by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division. Though the funds were available with the department, the required sanction was not accorded by the Government and the funds could not be deposited with LAC. Consequently, the notification issued under Sections 4 and 6 lapsed in March 2006.

The department restarted (April 2006) the process for acquisition of the above land and notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Act were issued in September 2007 and August 2008 respectively. Meanwhile, the market price was enhanced (December 2008) to ₹ 16 lakh per *acre* by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division. This was higher by ₹ 3.50 lakh per *acre* than the price fixed in August 2005. Finally, the department deposited (June 2009) ₹ 2.28 crore with the LAC who announced (September 2009) an award for ₹ 2.25 crore at enhanced rates.

Scrutiny (August/September 2009) of records of SP, Panipat revealed that the department did not deposit the amount for acquisition within the stipulated period of two years from the date of notification under Section 6 of the Act. Later, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 9 Acres, 1 Kanal and 9 Marla.

process of land acquisition took about three years. In the meantime, the rates of land were increased. As a result, the department had to incur extra expenditure of  $₹ 49.01^{11}$  lakh on acquisition of land.

The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Home Department stated (June 2010) that the District Revenue officer-cum-Land Acquisition Collector (DRO-cum-LAC), Panipat had taken 19 months for calculating the cost of land and a very small period was left with the Government/Police Department for making payment. The reply is not acceptable as the notification under Section 7 of Act to take orders for acquisition was issued by the Home Department in June 2005. The DRO-cum-LAC raised the demand in September 2005 and the DGP requested (November 2005) the Government (Home Department) to accord the sanction but no sanction was accorded within the validity period of Section 6 of the Act. Thus, the case was delayed by the office of the Director General of Police, Haryana and Home Department for which no action to fix responsibility was taken.

Thus, due to non-sanction of funds by the Government within the validity period of notifications for acquisition of land, the department had to incur extra expenditure of ₹ 49.01 lakh.

#### 2.3 Violation of contractual obligations/Undue favour to contractors/ Avoidable expenditure

# Town and Country Planning Department (Haryana Urban Development Authority)

## 2.3.1 Avoidable expenditure due to lack of planning and co-ordination between executing agencies

Due to lack of planning and co-ordination between Haryana Urban Development Authority (HUDA) and Public Health Engineering Department, HUDA had to incur avoidable expenditure of ₹ 3.49 crore on reconstruction of roads.

In order to regulate the heavy traffic density and ease the traffic jam problem in the old city of Gurgaon, the Government decided (August 2004) to widen/four lane two roads (i) from Bhuteshwar Mandir to Basai Railway crossing and (ii) from Pataudi Chowk to Junction of Sector 10, Gurgaon through Haryana Urban Development Authority (HUDA). Accordingly, the Chief Administrator,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 9 Acre 1 Kanal 9 Marla = 1,469 Marla
₹ 3.50 lakh (₹ 16 lakh (-) ₹ 12.50 lakh) × 1,469/160 = ₹ 32,13,438 + 30 per cent compulsory acquisition charges = ₹ 9,64,031 + 12 per cent per annum for the period 7 September 2007 to 22 July 2009 (One year 320 days 365 + 320 = 685) = ₹ 7,23,684 (₹ 49,01,153).

HUDA approved (January 2006) two estimates for widening and strengthening of these two roads for  $\gtrless$  4.43 crore and  $\gtrless$  2.14 crore respectively. The Executive Engineer, HUDA, Division No. 4, (EE, HUDA), Gurgaon got the work of widening and strengthening executed by incurring an expenditure of  $\gtrless$  6.40 crore ( $\end{Bmatrix}$  4.22 crore and  $\end{Bmatrix}$  2.18 crore) during 2006-10.

Further to regulate the stormwater of the town, the State Sanitary Board approved (April 2004) a scheme for  $\gtrless$  11.56 crore, of which HUDA deposited its share of  $\gtrless$  six crore with the Public Health Engineering Department (PHED) between March 2006 and February 2008. An expenditure of  $\gtrless$  9.86 crore was incurred by PHED on execution of the scheme between 2004-05 and 2008-09, against which only 47 *per cent* of work was completed upto July 2010.

Scrutiny (February 2009) of the records of HUDA, Division No. 4, Gurgaon revealed that the alignment of the stormwater drain passed through the abovementioned roads. As there was no co-ordination between HUDA and PHED, one lane each of the newly constructed roads was excavated by PHED to construct the drain which was reconstructed by HUDA between October 2008 and January 2009 by incurring an expenditure of ₹ 3.49 crore (₹ 1.65 crore and ₹ 1.84 crore).

Audit observed that the stormwater drain, the laying of which was approved in April 2004 was laid between 2004-05 and 2008-09 by PHED and the work of widening and strengthening was executed between 2006-07 and 2008-09 by HUDA. Had there been co-ordination and proper planning in execution of works between the two organisations or at least had the drain in its area been excavated by HUDA, the expenditure of ₹ 3.49 crore incurred on reconstruction of the roads and departmental charges of ₹ six lakh (at the rate of 10 *per cent*) charged by PHED could have been saved. Thus, lack of planning and co-ordination between the two executing agencies led to an avoidable expenditure of ₹ 3.49 crore on reconstruction of roads.

The matter was referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government Haryana, Town and Country Planning Department in May 2010 and reminder issued (June 2010). Reply had not been received (August 2010).

#### **Transport Department**

#### 2.3.2 Excess expenditure on purchase of Cummins Naturally Aspirated Gas buses

The Transport Commissioner incurred excess expenditure of ₹ 19.05 lakh on account of higher rate of VAT on purchase of Cummins Naturally Aspirated Gas buses.

The High Powered Purchase Committee (HPPC) of the Government of Haryana decided (June 2006) to purchase 15 low floor Cummins Naturally Aspirated Gas

air-conditioned buses (five buses each) from Tata Motors, Ashok Leyland and JCB Limited, Ex-RSO Karnal at the negotiated rate of  $\gtrless$  46.59 lakh per bus including excise duty and Value Added Tax (VAT) at the rate of four *per cent*. Accordingly, the Transport Commissioner placed (August 2006) purchase orders for five buses each on these firms, to be supplied within 135 days from the date of issue of the supply orders.

Scrutiny (May 2009) of the records of the Transport Commissioner, Haryana revealed that M/s JCB supplied the buses in December 2007 and was paid at the rate of ₹ 50.40 lakh per bus instead of ₹ 46.59 lakh. The difference of ₹ 3.81 lakh per bus in the rate was due to charging of VAT at the rate of 12.5 *per cent* instead of four *per cent* as agreed by the firm during negotiation and decided by HPPC in June 2006. The payment of VAT at higher rates than that agreed to resulted in excess expenditure of ₹ 19.05 lakh. Besides, the supply of buses was delayed by about one year but the department could not take any action against the firm as there was no penalty clause in the supply order in this regard.

The Joint State Transport Controller stated (August 2010) that the acceptance of STD-IV was discontinued on inter-State purchases and VAT was paid at the rate of 12.5 *per cent* instead of four *per cent*. The reply is not acceptable as the buses were to be supplied from Karnal (Haryana) and not from Lalru (Punjab). Acceptance of buses from Punjab was against the terms and conditions of the supply order.

Thus, the Transport Commissioner incurred an excess expenditure of  $\gtrless$  19.05 lakh on purchase of these buses at higher rates and could not charge penalty for the delayed supply.

The matter was referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Transport Department in March 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

## 2.4 Idle investments/Idle establishment/Blocking of funds/ Misutilisation of funds

**Education Department** 

#### 2.4.1 Parking of funds outside Government Accounts

Drawal of funds in anticipation of requirement coupled with non-supply of dual desks by the suppliers resulted in blocking of funds of  $\gtrless$  1.22 crore for about four years and also deprived primary school students of these basic amenities.

Punjab Financial Rules {Rules 2.10 (b) (5)}, as applicable to Haryana, provide that money should not be drawn unless required for immediate disbursement.

Any unspent amount not required for immediate disbursement should be refunded into the treasury promptly.

The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Education Department sanctioned (July 2005) ₹ 5.50 crore for purchase of dual desks for primary schools under the scheme 'Expansion of Facilities Classes I-V (full time) provision of dual desks for Primary Schools (Material and Supplies)' with the objective of providing better environment and seating arrangements for children. On a request of the Director, Primary Education {now under the control of Director Elementary Education (DEE)} to the Director, Supplies and Disposal (DSD) for purchase of dual desks, the DSD, after finalisation of rates placed (December 2005) supply orders for supply of 1, 25,284 dual desks at the rate of ₹ 439 per desk on four firms with a delivery period of one month from the date of supply order.

Scrutiny (October 2009) of records of the DEE revealed that the entire amount of  $\mathbf{\xi}$  5.50 crore was placed at the disposal of 13 District Elementary Education Officers (DEEOs) to whom the dual desks were to be supplied by the firms. The DEE also directed (December 2005) the DEEOs to draw the amounts from the treasuries before 31 March 2006 and keep the amounts in the form of bank drafts in the names of suppliers for making payments on receipt of the material. The DEEOs withdrew the entire amount of  $\mathbf{\xi}$  5.50 crore and showed the same as spent, in the records of the DEE.

Further scrutiny of records revealed that out of 1, 25,284 dual desks, 97,516 were supplied by the firms between March 2006 and May 2008 but two firms<sup>12</sup> did not supply 27,768 dual desks to five<sup>13</sup> DEEOs as of February 2010. It was observed that the two firms were not capable of suppling material at such a large scale due to financial constraints. Ultimately, the DEE requested (May/August 2009) the DSD to cancel the supply orders and to take appropriate action against the firms. Thus, placing the supply orders with incapable firms not only deprived the primary school students of basic amenities as per the objectives of the scheme but also resulted in blocking of funds of ₹ 1.22 crore, which were lying with these DEEOs in the form of bank drafts/ current accounts for the last four years, causing loss of interest of ₹  $35.74^{14}$  lakh.

On this, being pointed out, the DEE directed (March 2010) the concerned DEEOs to deposit the unutilised balance into the treasuries. Two DEEOs (Sonipat and Faridabad) had deposited ₹ 27.88 lakh in treasuries on 30 and 31 March 2010. Further progress was awaited (June 2010).

The matter was referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Education Department in March 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

Haryana Khadi and Village Industries Board: 15,768 and M/s Sunrise Home Appliances:
 12,000.
 13

Faridabad: 4,500; Panipat: 3,000; Rohtak: 11,000; Sonipat: 1,768 and Yamunanagar: 7,500.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> ₹ 1,21,90,152 × 7.33/100 × 48/12 Months (April 2006 to March 2010) = ₹ 35,74,152 say ₹ 35.74 lakh.

Public Works Department (Buildings and Roads Branch)

## 2.4.2 Blocking of funds

Deposit of funds without approval of a project by the Railways resulted in blocking of funds amounting to  $\mathbf{E}$  1.72 crore, besides loss of interest amounting to  $\mathbf{E}$  42.02 lakh.

The prescribed procedure of the Railways for sanctioning of railway overbridges (ROB)/railway underbridges (RUB) in lieu of busy level crossings *inter alia* provides that before undertaking any such project, a team of State officials and concerned Divisional Railway officials shall carry out a joint inspection and prepare feasibility report indicating whether the proposal for constructing the ROB/RUB is technically feasible. After receipt of a conceptual profile sketch, duly approved by all the authorities, an abstract estimate of the bridge portion is prepared by the Railways and sent to the State Government for acceptance.

On demand from residents of the Ambala city to construct a ROB/RUB on a railway crossing on then old Hisar road between Ambala Cantonment and Ambala City, the Executive Engineer (EE), Provincial Division No. 1 PWD (B&R), Ambala Cantonment formed (September 1990) a three member committee<sup>15</sup> to assess the feasibility. The committee did not find (October 1990) the proposal feasible as there was no manned level crossing and another ROB already existed near the site. The EE informed (October 1990) the findings of the joint inspection committee to the Grievance Committee which dropped the proposal (October 1990).

The demand to construct the ROB/RUB was made by the Chairman '*Beopar Mandal*', Ambala city (December 1997) to the Deputy Commissioner (November 1999) and the then Member of Parliament of the area, who was also the Union minister for Urban Development and Poverty Alleviation in September 2005. The Government accorded approval to construct a RUB at the above site in April 2006.

The total cost of the RUB was to be met by the State Government. The EE, without insisting for feasibility report and joint inspection of the site, deposited funds amounting to  $\gtrless$  1.72 crore with the Railways in April 2007. Subsequently, in a joint feasibility study conducted by the PWD (B&R) and Railway officers in December 2008, the proposal to construct the RUB was rejected due to safety considerations, operational problem and non-availability of land. Another rough cost estimate for construction of a foot overbridge (FOB) in lieu of the RUB at the same site at a cost of  $\gtrless$  6.69 crore was submitted by the department to the Government in May 2009 for administrative approval. However, the Government accorded administrative approval (April 2010) for preparation of a detailed project report (DPR) for the ROB work instead of the FOB, the proposal for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Three members committee

i) Executive Engineer, Public Health Division, Ambala Cantt.;

ii) Executive Engineer, Drainage Division, Irrigation, Ambala; and

iii) Chairman, Municipal Committee, Ambala City.

which was sent to Railways. The Railways requested (July 2010) the PWD for acceptance of the abstract of cost. Further action was awaited (August 2010).

Thus, the funds deposited with the Railways in April 2007 for the project, the DPR of which had not been prepared resulted in blocking of funds of  $\gtrless$  1.72 crore and loss of interest of  $\gtrless$  42.02 lakh to the Government as of July 2010. Further, the amount had been shown as spent in Government accounts but the intended benefits had not been achieved.

The matter was referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Public Works Department (Buildings and Roads Branch) in April 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

## Public Health Engineering Department

## 2.4.3 Blocking of funds on a storm water drainage scheme

Starting of work on a storm water drainage scheme without obtaining permission of road cuts from the Chief Engineer National Highways resulted in blocking of funds of ₹ 59.57 lakh for five years.

The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Public Health Engineering Department (PHED) administratively approved (June 2005) a work viz 'Storm Water Drainage Scheme of Barwala Town District Hisar' for ₹ 64.81 lakh. The objective of the scheme was to save low-lying localities from floods during the rainy season as there was no sanitary sewerage and storm water sewer arrangement in the town. The alignment of the proposed drain passed through the Hisar-Ambala section of the National Highway (NH 65) and any work proposed to be executed along this road required prior approval of the Chief Engineer (CE) National Highways Public Works Department (Buildings and Roads) {PWD (B&R)}. However, it was observed that without obtaining permission for road cut from the CE National Highways, the work of laying of the RCC pipeline and all the other works contingent thereto was awarded to an agency in July 2005 at a cost of ₹ 36.35 lakh, with a completion time of 12 months by the Executive Engineer (EE), Public Health Engineering Division No. II, Hisar. The cost of the work was enhanced (April 2006) to ₹ 51.33 lakh due to increase in the scope of the work. The agency executed work to the tune of ₹ 44.80 lakh (paid in December 2006) and left after the execution of work was stopped by PWD (B&R) in January 2006 for want of permission for road cuts.

Similarly, the work of 'Supply, execution, testing and commissioning of pumping machinery at disposal' was allotted (February 2006) to another agency at a cost of  $\gtrless$  20.22 lakh. This agency executed work to the tune of only  $\gtrless$  14.77 lakh (August 2008) and the balance work was still incomplete (June 2010).

Though the EE had asked (January 2006) the concerned Sub-Divisional Engineer

to seek permission for road cuts on the NH from PWD (B&R), no action was taken in this regard. On this being pointed out by Audit in July 2009, the matter regarding road cuts was taken up by the EE in September 2009. Thus, the scheme which was sanctioned as a flood protection work was incomplete for almost five years as of July 2010, due to non-obtaining of permission from the CE, National Highway PWD (B&R) for road cuts. This not only blocked the funds of ₹ 59.57 lakh incurred on the scheme but also deprived the inhabitants of the town of the intended benefits.

The Engineer-in-Chief, PHED, Haryana in his reply (May 2010) stated that the sanction for the road cuts had been received and the scheme of storm water drainage would be made functional within next six months. The reply is not acceptable as the permission for road cuts was accorded by the CE in January 2010 but the work has not been started as of July 2010. Further, the consent of the agencies to complete the work at the old rates was not obtained as the validity of the agreements had expired in July 2006 and May 2009. Further progress was awaited (August 2010).

The matter was referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Public Health Engineering Department in April 2010; reply had not been received (August 2010).

## 2.4.4 Blocking of funds on purchase of stores in excess of requirement

The department purchased AC pipes without assessing the actual requirements, which resulted in blocking of funds of  $\gtrless$  2.08 crore in four divisions.

According to Rule 15.2 of the Punjab Financial Rules as applicable to Haryana, purchases of stores must be made in the most economical manner and in accordance with the definite requirements of public service. Rule 15.18 states that stores remaining in stock for over a year should be considered surplus unless there is any good reason to treat them otherwise.

For supply of asbestos cement (AC) pressure pipes of various diameters to be used in water supply schemes, Executive Engineers (EEs) send their annual requirement to the Engineer-in-Chief (EIC) through the concerned Superintending Engineers. The EIC, after consolidating the demands, places a consolidated supply order with the firms at the rates approved by the Director, Supplies and Disposal, Haryana.

During test check of records of four<sup>16</sup> Public Health Engineering Divisions (PHEDs), it was noticed that against the total requirement of 28,100 metre AC pipes of various diameters during 2006-09, a supply order for 70,420 metre pipes was placed on behalf of these divisions by the EIC. Supply of 70,400 metre pipes was received by these divisions during March 2006 to August 2008 and payments to suppliers were also made by these divisions. Of these, only 16,675 metre pipes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Division No. II, Hisar, Hansi, Narwana and Sohna.

were utilised during March 2006 to June 2010 and the balance 53,725 metre pipes valuing  $\gtrless$  2.08 crore were lying unused in the divisions for the last 23 to 52 months as detailed in *Appendix 2.1*.

Though the EIC was apprised regularly of the existing stock position, no efforts were made for gainful utilisation of the existing stock in other divisions. Thus, the material was purchased in excess of requirement/without requirement, which was in contravention of the Financial Rules and resulted in blocking of funds of ₹ 2.08 crore.

On this being pointed out, the EIC stated (August 2010) that the pipes were purchased against the specific requirements from field offices and could not be utilised due to changes in the proposals. Now all the pipes had been utilised / were being utilised and the balance in the reserve stock was nil. The reply is not acceptable as further verification of records revealed (August 2010) that these pipes had not been actually utilised on the works and were accounted for as unutilised in the material-at-site registers after transfer from the stores. Scrutiny of estimates for works where the pipes were shown to have been transferred revealed that there were no provisions for these pipes in the estimates.

The matter was referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Public Health Engineering Department in May 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

#### 2.5 Regulatory issues and others

Social Justice and Empowerment Department (Indian Red Cross Society, Haryana)

#### 2.5.1 Irregularities in Red Cross Societies

The Indian Red Cross Society (IRC) was constituted (1920) for administration of the money and various gifts received from the public for the purpose of medical and other aid to the sick and wounded and other purpose of a like nature during the war and also for continuation in peace time. A separate branch of IRC for the Haryana State was constituted in June 1971. There are 20 District Red Cross Societies (DRCSs) located in each district headquarters in the State.

Audit of DRCSs was conducted under Section 20 (1) of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India's (DPC) Act, 1971. Test check of records of all the 20 DRCSs for the period 2005-10 was conducted between October 2008 and

March 2010 and the following irregularities were noticed:

## 2.5.1.1 Irregular charge on Red Cross Funds

The Red Cross Society provides funds for aid to the sick and wounded members of the Armed Forces; child welfare; nursing and ambulance work; relief for the mitigation of suffering caused by epidemics; earthquakes, famines, floods and other disasters and for such other cognate objects as may be approved by the Society from time to time. The expenses of the management of the society and its branches and affiliated societies and bodies are also to be met from the funds of the society besides the expenses on maintenance/services under the Haryana Registrations Information System (HARIS).

Audit (October 2008-March 2010) of the Secretaries, of  $12^{17}$  out of  $20^{18}$  DRCSs revealed that funds amounting to  $\gtrless 2.86$  crore as detailed in *Appendix 2.2* were spent (April 2005 to March 2010) on items such as expenditure for maintenance of Government office buildings, purchase of gifts, furniture items, mobile phones, telephone bills, purchase and repair of vehicles for the Deputy Commissioner and Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), etc. These items were not covered under the aims and objectives for which funds of the society could be used. Expenditure on these items from Red Cross funds was, therefore, irregular.

Secretaries of eight<sup>19</sup> DRCSs stated (March 2009, February, May and June 2010) that the expenditure was incurred in public interest in accordance with the objectives of the Red Cross Society. The replies are not acceptable as the expenditure incurred on purchase of mobile phones, vehicles of SDM and City Magistrate, computers, air-conditioners, etc for other offices/residence of DC was not covered under the aims and objectives of the Society and was in violation of the provisions of the Act. No reply was received from other District Red Cross Societies.

Thus, by incurring expenditure on items which were not permitted under the Act, Red Cross funds amounting to  $\gtrless$  2.86 crore were irregularly utilised.

## 2.5.1.2 Retention of relief amount

In 14<sup>20</sup> out of 20 DRCSs, donations amounting to ₹ 9.84 crore were received from the public for providing relief to the victims of the Gujarat earthquake, the Bihar floods, tsunami and other disasters during 1999-2000 to 2009-10. Of this, ₹ 5.88 crore was spent on these disasters. The unspent balance of ₹ 3.96 crore was lying with the concerned DRCSs as detailed in *Appendix 2.3.* On this being

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Ambala, Faridabad, Gurgaon, Jhajjar, Kaithal, Mewat, Narnaul, Panipat, Panchkula, Rewari, Rohtak and Sonipat.

Ambala, Bhiwani, Faridabad, Fatehabad, Gurgaon, Hisar, Jhajjar, Jind, Karnal, Kurukshetra, Kaithal, Mewat, Narnaul, Panipat, Panchkula, Rewari, Rohtak, Sirsa, Sonipat and Yamunanagar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Faridabad, Jhajjar, kaithal, Narnaul, Panchkula, Panipat, Rohtak and Sonipat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Ambala, Faridabad, Hisar, Jhajjar, Jind, Karnal, Kurukshetra, Narnaul, Panipat, Panchkula, Rohtak, Sirsa, Sonipat and Yamunanagar.

pointed out, four Secretaries, DRCS, (Panipat, Hisar, Jhajjar and Kurukshetra) stated (September 2009 and July 2010) that  $\gtrless$  84 lakh had now been transferred to the PM's/CM's Relief Fund and three Secretaries, DRCS, (Rohtak, Narnaul and Panchkula) stated (February and July 2010) that the amounts would be utilised in future for such types of natural calamities. The replies are not acceptable as the amounts donated by the general public for a specific purpose should have been spent for the same purpose. Replies from the other Secretaries were awaited (August 2010).

## 2.5.1.3 Activities not covered under the objectives of Red Cross Society

The Government decided (October 2000) to implement HARIS through the District Red Cross Societies (DRCS) with a view to streamline the system of registration and to provide facilities to the general public under one roof. Accordingly, the work of issue of registration certificates of vehicles and renewal of driving and conductor's licences under the system was entrusted to DRCS and service charges for providing such services were fixed. It was noticed in audit that the work assigned to the DRCS was not provided in the constitution of the IRC and the service charges fixed were in addition to fees fixed by the Government for the same services through the Revenue Department. Thus, the decision of the Government to implement HARIS through the DRCS was not only against the aims and objectives of the Red Cross Societies but also burdened the general public with extra charges.

#### 2.5.1.4 *Outstanding loans and advances*

The Punjab Financial Rules, as applicable to Haryana, provide that loans and advances given to various institutions /projects and individuals should be recovered in a prescribed timeframe. It was noticed that 19 DRCSs advanced interest-free loans of  $\gtrless$  2.97 crore (as detailed in *Annexure 2.4*) to various institutions/projects, schemes and individuals but no efforts to recover the amounts were made although these were outstanding for periods ranging between one and 10 years. The payment of interest-free loans was against the provisions of the Financial Rules and non-recovery thereof would result in loss. Secretaries of five DRCS, (Rohtak, Bhiwani, Sirsa, Karnal and Faridabad), while admitting the facts stated (April-July 2010) that these loans and advances would be recovered shortly. Replies from the other DRCS were awaited (August 2010).

The matter was referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Social Justice and Empowerment Department (District Red Cross Society, Haryana) in June 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

**Rural Development Department** 

#### 2.5.2 Execution of inadmissible works under Member of Parliament Local Area Development Scheme

Failure to ensure execution of works as per the guidelines of the Member of Parliament Local Area Development Scheme resulted in execution of inadmissible works worth ₹ 27.94 lakh in Faridabad.

The Member of Parliament Local Area Development Scheme (MPLADS) was introduced in December 1993 to enable Members of Parliament (MPs) to recommend works of developmental nature with emphasis on the creation of durable community assets based on locally felt needs to be taken up in their constituencies. The guidelines provided that the district authorities would have full powers to get the works technically and financially sanctioned from the competent authorities. The district authorities were to ensure that the works was Where the district authorities considered that a as per the guidelines. recommended work should not be executed due to some reasons, they were required to inform the reasons to the MPs concerned, under intimation to the Government of India and the State Government. All works within places of religious/worship or on land belonging to or owned by religious faiths/groups, works relating to office and residential buildings belonging to Central and State Governments and their departments, private, co-operative, commercial and public sector undertakings, organisations, etc. were prohibited and were not to be executed under the scheme.

During test check (December 2009) of records relating to MPLADS in the office of the Additional Deputy Commissioner (ADC) Faridabad for the period April 2006 to March 2009, it was noticed that an expenditure of  $\gtrless$  27.94 lakh was incurred on 10 works executed in places of religious worship and on land belonging to religious faiths/groups, as well as for Government buildings and private organisations as detailed in *Appendix 2.5*, which were not permissible under the scheme. Although the works were initially recommended by the concerned MP, the ADC should have ensured that the works conformed to the guidelines issued by the Government of India on the subject. He was required to bring the inadmissible works to the notice of the MP, so that the MP could have recommended alternate ones. Thus, the ADC executed inadmissible works under the scheme involving expenditure of  $\gtrless$  27.94 lakh.

In reply, ADC, Faridabad stated (May 2010) that these works were executed on the recommendation of the MP. The reply is not acceptable as the works executed were not as per guidelines issued on the subject by the Government of India.

The matter was referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Rural Development Department in January 2010; reply had not been received (August 2010).

2.6 General

## Finance Department

## 2.6.1 (a) Follow up on Audit Reports

According to the instructions issued in October 1995 by the Finance Department and reiterated in March 1996 and July 2001, administrative departments were to initiate *suo moto* positive and concrete action on all audit paragraphs and reviews featuring in the Comptroller and Auditor General's Reports (ARs) regardless of whether the cases were taken up for examination by the Public Accounts Committee or not. They were also required to furnish detailed notes, duly vetted by Audit, indicating the remedial action taken or proposed to be taken by them within three months of the presentation of the ARs to the legislature.

A review of the position regarding receipt of Action Taken Notes (ATNs) on the paragraphs included in the ARs up to the period ended 31 March 2008 revealed that the ARs for the periods 2004-05, 2006-07 and 2007-08 were presented<sup>21</sup> to the State Legislature. Of the 80 paragraphs and reviews of 26 administrative departments included in the ARs, 17 administrative departments had not submitted ATNs on 33 paragraphs and reviews as per details given in Appendix 2.6. The administrative departments viz, the Irrigation, Public Health Engineering, Education, Medical and Health, Finance, and Home departments had not submitted ATNs in respect of 21 out of 33 paragraphs and reviews. Six administrative departments, which submitted ATNs, had not taken action to recover a total amount of ₹ 207.27 crore in respect of 10 paragraphs and reviews as per details given in Appendix 2.7. Further, the response of the administrative departments towards the recommendations of PAC was not encouraging as 365 recommendations relating to Audit Reports 1970-71 to 2003-04 and 2005-06 were still pending for want of final action by the concerned administrative departments as per details given in Appendix 2.8.

## Home Department

#### (b) Non-responsiveness to audit findings

After periodical inspections of Government departments, the Principal Accountant General (Audit) issues Inspections Reports (IRs) to the heads of the offices audited with copies to the next higher authorities. The executive authorities are expected to promptly rectify the defects and omissions pointed out

Audit Report 2004-05: March 2006, Audit Report 2006-07: March 2008 and Audit Report 2007-08: February 2009.
 Audit Report 2008-09 presented to State Legislature on 16 March 2010 and will be due for discussion in PAC after 16 June 2010.

and report compliance to the Principal Accountant General (Audit) within six weeks. Half-yearly reports of IRs pending for more than six months are also sent to the concerned administrative Secretaries of departments to facilitate monitoring and compliance of the audit observations in the pending IRs.

A review of IRs issued upto March 2010 of various offices of the Home Department (Police, Jail, Home Guard and Administration of Justice) disclosed that 855 paragraphs of 361 IRs with money value of ₹ 325.34 crore *(Appendix 2.9)* remained outstanding as on 15 June 2010. Of these, 117 paragraphs involving 83 IRs were more than five years old. Category-wise details of irregularities pointed out through these IRs which had not been settled as of 30 June 2010 are indicated in *Appendix 2.10*.

The Administrative Secretary of the department, who was informed of the position through half-yearly reports, failed to ensure prompt and timely action by the departmental officers.

The matter was referred to the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Home Department in January 2010. Reply had not been received (August 2010).

**CHAPTER III** 

#### **INTEGRATED AUDIT**

#### **Fisheries Department**

#### 3.1 Integrated Audit of Fisheries Department

#### Highlights

The main objective of the Fisheries Department is to develop and improve fish culture in the State as it is a potential income and employment generator and a source of low-cost animal protein. Integrated audit of the department revealed non-achievement of physical targets, substantial savings under plans and rush of expenditure in the last quarter of the year. Further, there were cases of parking of funds in bank accounts, shortfall in imparting training, non-monitoring of schemes, etc. Government owned Fish Seed Farms were not economically viable, expenditure on maintenance of the farms being seven times the value of the fish seed produced. Survival of fish seed was only 22 per cent against the norm of 30 per cent. Only 48 per cent of the water area in the farms was utilised.

Budget preparation by the department was not realistic. There were wide variations between budget provisions and expenditure. Cases of excess expenditure (38 to 48 *per cent*) in the last quarters of the years 2007-10 were observed.

(Paragraphs 3.1.7.1 and 3.1.7.2)

Working of six Government Fish Seed Farms was not economically viable as against the expenditure of ₹ 5.97 crore, fish seed worth only ₹ 0.87 crore was produced during 2006-10.

(Paragraph 3.1.8.3)

In three Government Fish Seed Farms, only 48 *per cent* of the available water area was utilized leading to less production of fish seed. Survival of fish seed was only 22 *per cent*, against the stipulated norm of 30 *per cent* fixed by the department.

(Paragraph 3.1.8.4)

Funds amounting to  $\gtrless$  61.18 lakh provided for development of new ponds and renovation of old ponds remained unutilized with the implementing agencies in savings bank accounts outside Government account for 12 to 24 months.

(Paragraph 3.1.8.6)

Shortfall in imparting training to staff and fish farmers was 13 *per cent* and 19 *per cent* respectively. No record to assess the impact of the training on fish farmers was maintained by the department.

(Paragraph 3.1.9.2)

Monitoring by the department was not effective. There was no internal audit system in place.

(Paragraphs 3.1.11.1 and 3.1.12)

## 3.1.1 Introduction

The main objective of the Fisheries Department is to develop and improve fish culture in the State as it is a potential income and employment generator and a source of low-cost animal protein. Water area coverage in the State had increased from 10,532 hectares in 2005-06 to 15,290 hectares in 2009-10 and the production of fish increased from 48,200 tonnes in 2005-06 to 88,130 tonnes in 2009-10.

The department is responsible for development of fish culture in the State. Its main objectives are:

- to manage and conserve the natural fisheries in rivers, canals, drains and other water bodies and to utilise unused agricultural land for fish farming;
- to utilise available village ponds and tanks for fish farming and to provide technical and financial assistance to fish farmers;
- to create a class of trained fish farmers and provide additional employment opportunities in rural areas of the State and
- to increase the production of quality fish seed of all species.

To fulfil the above objectives, the department implemented various State and Central Plan schemes (sharing basis) as detailed in *Appendix 3.1* and a *cent per cent* Centrally sponsored scheme i.e. Strengthening of Data Base and Information Networking for Fisheries Sector for development of fish culture in the State. One scheme namely Rashtriya Krishi Vikas Yojna of Agriculture Department was also implemented by the department.

#### 3.1.2 Organisational set-up

The Commissioner and Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Fisheries Department is the administrative head at the Government level and is responsible for formulation of the policies and programmes of the department. The Director, Fisheries is the head of the Department and the Chief Controlling Officer. He is assisted by a Joint Director, two Deputy Directors at the headquarters level, four Deputy Directors at the division level and 21 District Fisheries Officers (DFO), one Fisheries Research Officer (FRO) and 10 Fisheries Farm Managers (FFM) in the field. There is an Aquaculture Research and Training Institute (ARTI) headed

by a Principal located at Hisar. Besides, 18 Fish Farmers Development Agencies, registered under the Societies Registration Act, were implementing the Fish Farmers Development Agency Scheme. The Organisational set-up is also given in the *Appendix 3.2.* 

## 3.1.3 Audit objectives

The objectives of audit were to assess whether:

- planning for the various schemes was adequate;
- the financial management was effective and efficient;
- implementation of the various schemes by the department was effective and its objectives were achieved;
- the internal controls were adequate and the monitoring, internal audit and vigilance arrangements were effective.

## 3.1.4 Audit criteria

The audit criteria were as under:

- Guidelines of various schemes and instructions issued by Government from time to time;
- Annual Action Plans including targets fixed for various activities;
- Punjab Budget Manual/Punjab Financial Rules/Punjab Treasury Rules; and
- Procedure prescribed for monitoring at various levels.

## 3.1.5 Audit scope and methodology

Audit of the department for the period 2006-10 was conducted during October – December 2009. The audit sample was drawn from 38 Drawing and Disbursing Officers of the department. Records of the Director of Fisheries, the Principal, ARTI, the Deputy Director, Jyotisar, eight DFOs<sup>1</sup>, and three FFMs<sup>2</sup> were selected for test check. Besides, some information was collected from FFMs located at Jhajjar, Karnal and Sampla to ascertain their performance.

An entry conference was held during October 2009, in which important issues regarding implementation of various schemes, audit objectives and audit criteria were discussed. A meeting was held with the Director in July 2010 wherein the audit findings were discussed with the department. An exit conference was held in November 2010 with the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Finance Department. The views of the department were taken into consideration while finalising the Report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ambala, Faridabad, Gurgaon, Kaithal, Kurukshetra, Panipat, Sirsa and Yamunanagar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Damdama, Jyotisar and Tohana.

## Audit findings

#### 3.1.6 Planning

No survey was conducted and hence the total potential for fish culture was not ascertained. The department planned its activities with reference to Five Year Plans (Tenth and Eleventh) for achievement of its goals and objectives. Annual programmes were prepared wherein targets for various activities were fixed and budget allocations sought from the Government. A Centrally sponsored scheme for Strengthening of Data Base and Information Networking for Fisheries Sector was implemented to compile statistics to frame development programmes for enhancing fish production. As against the budget provision of ₹ 61.20 lakh, an expenditure of ₹ 50.52 lakh was incurred for the implementation of the scheme during 2005-10. Under the scheme, survey of all the village ponds was to be conducted to ascertain the potential of enhancing fish production in the State. A target of surveying 6,759 village ponds for each year was fixed during 2005-09 for formulation of schemes and policies but no survey was conducted except coding of village ponds. In the absence of any survey, the total potential for fish culture could not be ascertained, as a result of which, comprehensive planning for development of village ponds for fish culture covering all the village ponds in the State was not done.

#### 3.1.7 Financial management and budgetary control

The budget is an important tool for ensuring financial discipline. Monitoring the progress of expenditure against well formulated budget targets is an important management function. Poor budgetary control not only results in inefficient use of scarce financial resources but also hampers achievement of organisational objectives.

#### 3.1.7.1 Budget provision and expenditure

As laid down in para 5.3 of the Punjab Budget Manual, the budget estimates of ordinary expenditure<sup>3</sup> should be framed as accurately as possible. The year-wise budget provisions and expenditure for the period 2005-10 were as under:

	(₹ in ci						
Year	Original budget provision	Final grant/ Appropriation	Actual expenditure	Saving (-)/Excess (+)			
2005-06	14.04	12.76	12.81	(+) 0.05			
2006-07	14.50	11.81	11.76	(-) 0.05			
2007-08	16.61	14.75	14.50	(-) 0.25			
2008-09	20.52	21.47	21.33	(-) 0.14			
2009-10	27.44	23.82	24.17	(+) 0.35			

Table 1: Budget provisions and expenditure

Figures for 2009-10 were provisional.

**Source: Detailed Appropriation Accounts** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ordinary expenditure relate to such expenditure as is expected to be incurred during the year for normal working of the department with reference to existing sanctions.
The year-wise position of original budget provisions, final grants/appropriations and actual expenditure under the State Plan, the Central Plan scheme (sharing basis) and *cent per cent* Centrally sponsored schemes is given in *Appendix 3.3*.

It was observed that variations between the original budget provisions and the actual expenditure had to be re-appropriated at the end of the year. Thus, the original budget provisions were not made accurately. Shortfalls in expenditure with reference to the original budget provisions were mainly due to non/partial implementation of Centrally sponsored Plan schemes such as Strengthening of Post-Harvest Infrastructure, Information Technology, National Fisheries Development Board and Scheme for Fisheries Education, Training and Extension.

The Director stated (August 2010) that due to budget constraints of the Government of India (GOI), the schemes were either not sanctioned or partially sanctioned. Even for some on-going schemes, funds were not released by GOI. During the exit conference, the Director stated that expenditure during 2008-09 and 2009-10 under Non-Plan exceeded the original budget provision due to implementation of the recommendations of the Sixth Pay Commission.

## 3.1.7.2 Expenditure control

As per the provisions of four<sup>4</sup> schemes, expenditure of the year was to be incurred uniformly in four quarters in the ratio of 20, 20, 30 and 30 from the first to the fourth quarter respectively. The details of quarter-wise expenditure on these schemes and the percentage of expenditure to total expenditure in each quarter are tabulated below:

Year	Total expenditure	Percentage to total expenditure								
	(₹ in lakh)	First quarter	Second quarter	Third quarter	Fourth quarter					
2005-06	430.74	13	26	32	29					
2006-07	469.93	20	20	29	31					
2007-08	342.23	14	14	24	48					
2008-09	602.79	6	26	20	48					
2009-10	677.30	22	12	28	38					

Table 2: Details of quarter-wise percentage of expenditure of four schemes

Source: Data obtained from the department.

As is evident from the above table, the expenditure was not incurred as per the norms prescribed in the schemes and there was rush of expenditure in the last quarter of the years during 2007-10. The Director stated (August 2010) that there was rush of expenditure in the last quarters as execution of works of ponds was done during off-season of fishing. The reply is not acceptable as the rush of expenditure in last quarter was in contravention of scheme and financial rules. Further, during the exit conference in November 2010, it was stated that the department would improve in this regard in future.

## 3.1.7.3 Grants-in -aid of Central Plan Scheme

In order to develop fish culture in the State, the Government of India started a 'Fish Farmers Development Agencies Scheme', a Central Plan scheme on sharing

There was rush of expenditure

(38 to 48 *per cent*) in the last quarter of the year under four schemes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> National Fish Seed Development Programme, Intensive Fisheries Development Programme, Agriculture Human Resources Development and Welfare of Scheduled Caste Families (Fisheries Sector).

basis with the State Government (75:25). Grants-in-aid of  $\gtrless$  1.62 crore were released to five<sup>5</sup> Fish Farmers Development Agencies during 2006-09. The opening balance under the scheme was  $\gtrless$  57.03 lakh. Out of this, an amount of  $\gtrless$  1.17 crore was spent and an amount of  $\gtrless$  57.81 lakh was deposited back into the Government treasury under the receipt head '0405 Fisheries'. Deposit of funds in the State's receipt head was irregular. Proportionate funds of  $\gtrless$  43.36 lakh should have been refunded to GOI.

The Director stated (August 2010) that the amount of unspent balance was deposited in the State's receipt head in view of Government instructions issued in November 1988. The reply is not convincing, as the instructions issued were in contravention of the basic accounting principles according to which only taxes, fees and sale proceeds were to be deposited under the revenue head and unspent balances were to be accounted for as reductions in expenditure under the relevant expenditure heads. Further, it was stated during the exit conference in November 2010 that the instructions would be followed in future.

## 3.1.7.4 Sale of fish seed

As per the procedure in vogue, fish seed is to be sold to fish farmers through DFOs and FFMs. The sale proceeds of fish seed are deposited in the Government treasuries and copies of the treasury challan are required to be submitted to the concerned DFOs/FFMs from where the fish seed is obtained to ensure that the sale proceeds of fish seed are deposited in the Government account.

Scrutiny of records of three<sup>6</sup> FFMs, revealed that fish seed worth ₹ 9.54 lakh was procured by  $six^7$  DFOs and two<sup>8</sup> FFMs from these three FFMs for sale during 2005-10. However, the treasury challans showing deposit of sale proceeds of fish seed in the Government account had not been furnished to the concerned FFMs (March 2010). The Director stated (August 2010) that the accounts were under reconciliation.

## 3.1.7.5 Status of Utilisation Certificate

An amount of ₹ 12 lakh was drawn (March 2006) under the Centrally sponsored scheme 'Fisheries Education, Training and Extension' by the Director, Fisheries Department and the same was remitted to the Principal, ARTI, Hisar for conducting studies on different topics such as infrastructure and resource mapping proposal of Haryana Space Application Centre, Hisar, to conduct preliminary feasibility study on fish culture possibilities of 'magur' species in Haryana, fish bio-diversity in Haryana and survey, isolation and identification of bacterial and other pathogenic diseases in fresh water fishes in Haryana.

It was observed that utilisation certificates (UCs) only for  $\gtrless$  7.01 lakh were furnished and UCs for the balance amount of  $\gtrless$  4.99 lakh were awaited (July 2010). The department had not monitored the utilisation of funds. The

Unspent funds of ₹ 57.81 lakh under FFDA scheme were deposited in State's receipt head.

Non-deposit of ₹ 9.54 lakh as sale proceeds of fish seed in Government Account was noticed.

Utilisation certificates (42 *per cent*) were awaited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ambala, Gurgaon, Kaithal, Kurukshetra and Yamunanagar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Jyotisar, Sampla and Tohana.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Bhiwani, Fatehabad, Hisar, Jind, Mewat and Rohtak.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Jyotisar and Sampla.

Director stated (August 2010) that study reports from the concerned agencies had been received and the same were being used for planning departmental activities. The status of unspent funds with the agencies had not been intimated.

## 3.1.8 **Programme implementation**

## 3.1.8.1 Achievement of targets

In order to achieve the objectives of any programme/scheme, it is essential to fix annual targets and watch the progress against those targets. It was observed that physical targets fixed during 2005-10 for four schemes were not achieved and the shortfalls ranged between 13 and 80 *per cent* as tabulated below:

		J	0		8		
Sr. No.	Name of the scheme	Item	Unit	Targets	Achievements	Shortfall	Percentage in Shortfall
1.	Development of fisheries in water	Area under fish culture	Hectare	525	390	135	26
	logged areas	Fish production	Tonne	2120	1520	600	28
		Development of new area	Hectare	150	101	49	33
2.	Utilisation of saline ground water	Area under fish culture	Hectare	331	214	117	35
	for fish culture	Fish production	Tonne	1302	832	470	36
		Development of new area	Hectare	75	51	24	32
3.	Development of Fisheries in	Area under fish culture	Hectare	200	174	26	13
	marshy areas	Fish production	Tonne	690	579	111	16
4.	National Fisheries Development Board	Renovation of pond	Hectare	410	86	324	79

 Table 3: Physical targets and achievements during 2005-10

Source: Monthly progress reports of the department.

Year-wise details of physical targets and achievements are given in Appendix 3.4.

The Director stated (August 2010) that the shortfalls in achievement of targets were due to variations in water areas, scant rainfall in the last 10 years, reduction in water-logged areas in the State, etc. However, during the exit conference in November 2010, it was assured that the department would meet the shortcomings.

## 3.1.8.2 Development of fisheries in running water

In order to conserve natural fisheries in running water and stock quality fish seed to have sustainable yield in an eco-friendly manner, the Government started a State Plan Scheme. Under the scheme, fish seed nurseries were to be established at selected places to rear fish fry<sup>9</sup> into fingerlings<sup>10</sup> and putting them into rivers/drains/canals etc. to conserve natural fisheries in running water. In addition to this, germ plasm was also to be developed at Government Fish Seed Farm, Dadupur (Yamunanagar) to develop quality fish species.

It was observed that neither were the fish nurseries established for rearing fish fry nor was any germ plasm developed at Dadupur (Yamunanagar). Thus, the objective of the scheme remained unachieved.

## 3.1.8.3 Government Fish Seed Farms

Supply of quality fish seed to fish farmers is the basic input for fish culture. With

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Small fish of 25 mm size of 15 days old.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Two-three months old fish.

a view to providing fish seed to fish farmers, the department established 15 Government Fish Seed Farms (GFSF) (10 under the charge of Fisheries Farm Managers (FFMs) and five under the charge of DFOs).

After incurring expenditure of ₹ 5.97 crore on these farms during 2006-10, it was observed that fish seed valuing ₹ 87.44 lakh was produced by  $six^{11}$  FFMs which was about seven times the value of the fish seed produced as per details given below:

Sr. No.	Name of the unit	Targets of fish seed production	Fish seed produced	Total expenditure	Value of fish seed produced		
		(Fish see	d in lakh)	(₹ in lakh)			
1.	Fish Farm Manager, Damdama	205.00	179.67	90.67	11.68		
2.	Fish Farm Manager, Jyotisar	470.00	414.33	152.91	26.93		
3.	Fish Farm Manager, Jhajjar	230.00	174.36	74.89	11.33		
4.	Fish Farm Manager, Saidpura	225.00	218.84	114.16	14.22		
5.	Fish Farm Manager, Sampla	275.00	237.55	67.76	15.44		
6.	Fish Farm Manager, Tohana	180.00	120.60	96.88	7.84		
Total		1,585.00	1,345.35	597.27	87.44		

 Table 4: Targets and achievements of fish seed farms

Source: Data obtained from department.

The above table shows that the working expenditure of the Fish Seed Farms was very high in comparison to the fish seed production in these farms and thus, the working of the farms was not economically viable.

The Director, while admitting the fact, stated (August 2010) that the department was supplying good size of fish seed at subsidised rates to fish farmers and that the work of seed production was not commercial but to watch the interest of fish farmers. The reply was not convincing, as fish seed was also available in the market at the same rate at which Fish Seed Farms were selling the fish seed. Therefore, these types of activities should be economically viable. During the exit conference, it was further stated that steps would be taken to make the working of Government Fish Seed Farms viable.

## 3.1.8.4 Underutilisation of water area

Quality fish seed is the pre-requisite for successful fish farming. The department was using the technique of hypophysation<sup>12</sup> for production of fish seed. Under this technique, one crore spawns (eggs) could be produced in a year in an area of one hectare. The Director instructed the field officers from time to time to prepare nursery ponds on a scientific pattern to have at least 30 *per cent* survival rate of fish seed from spawn.

It was noticed that in three Fish Seed Farms<sup>13</sup>, as against the total water area of 12.26 hectare, only 5.93 hectare (48 *per cent*) was utilised for the production of fish seed while 6.33 hectare (52 *per cent*) area remained unutilised during 2006-09. The department had not planned to utilise the entire available water area for fish seed

Government Fish Seed Farms were not economically viable.

In three Government Fish Seed Farms, only 48 *per cent* of the available water area was utilised for production of fish seed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Damdama, Jyotisar, Jhajjar, Saidpura, Sampla and Tohana.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Planned spawning and production of fish fry.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Jyotisar, Jhajjar and Sampla.

production. Underutilisation of water area led to less production of 5.70 crore fish seed valuing  $\gtrless$  37.05<sup>14</sup> lakh during 2006-09.

Further, out of the total production of 2,715.80 lakh spawn, only 593.48 lakh (22 *per cent*) fish seed survived against the stipulated norm of 814.74 lakh (30 *per cent* of total spawn) fixed by the department resulting in less survival of 221.26 lakh fish seed valuing ₹ 14.38 lakh (at ₹ 6,500 per lakh fish seed). The survival of fish seed during 2006-09 was only 14 *per cent* in Government Fish Seed Farm, Mundri under DFO, Kaithal.

The Director attributed (August 2010) the underutilisation of the water area to water seepage in nurseries and the low survival of fish seed to fluctuation in temperatures during the rearing of spawn.

## 3.1.8.5 Expenditure on Ornamental Fish hatchery

An Ornamental Fish Hatchery was established (2006-07) under the Centrally Sponsored Scheme 'Development of Ornamental Fisheries' at Fish Seed Farm, Saidpura (Karnal) at a cost of  $\gtrless$  6.46 lakh. The objectives of the project were to demonstrate breeding and rearing of ornamental fish to train the educated youth for self-employment and for supply to the traders.

It was noticed that out of 3,260 brooders<sup>15</sup> purchased from the market during 2006-10, only 879 (27 *per cent*) survived. An examination of the records revealed that the low survival of brooders was due to non-availability of trained staff and non-maintenance of the required temperature. Further, an expenditure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  2.80 lakh was incurred on the feed and about 0.36 lakh spawn of ornamental fish was produced during 2006-07. The proposal for fixing the rate was sent to the Government in February 2007 but their approval was awaited (March 2010). No fish fry was sold in the market due to non-fixation of sale rates. Further, no training was imparted to fish farmers for farming ornamental fish, though funds of  $\mathbb{Z}$  50,000 were provided for this purpose during 2008-09.

The FFM, Saidpura stated (April 2010) that the cisterns<sup>16</sup> available for breeding were small in size, due to which the survival rate of spawn was less and that big size cisterns would be constructed shortly.

The Director, while admitting the facts, stated (August 2010) that this project of ornamental fish was taken up on an experimental basis and it was too early to conclude about the outcome of the project. The survival was less due to acclimatization of the brooder in the changing environment. He assured that the rates for sale of fish seed would be fixed by the Government within two months. Thus, due to improper planning, the benefits from the Ornamental Fish Hatchery could not be derived and the entire expenditure of  $\gtrless$  9.26 lakh remained unfruitful.

Non-maintenance of the required temperature rendered the expenditure on Ornamental Fish Hatchery unfruitful.

Against the norm of

30 per cent survival

of fish seed, only 22 *per cent* fish seed

survived.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> 190 lakh spawn x 3 year x Rs 6500 per lakh=37.05 lakh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Adult male and female fish used for breeding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> An artificial reservoir or tank for holding water.

#### 3.1.8.6 Development of new ponds and renovation of old ponds

Under various<sup>17</sup> fisheries development schemes, there was a provision for excavation of new ponds on waste land and renovation of old ponds.

In seven<sup>18</sup> DFOs-cum-CEOs, FFDA and FFM, Jhajjar, funds amounting to  $\mathbf{\xi} \, 61.18^{19}$  lakh were drawn (March 2008 to March 2009) from the Government treasury for excavation of new ponds on waste land and renovation of old ponds but these were kept in savings bank accounts. No excavation work was taken up (March 2010) although a period of 12 to 24 month had already lapsed since drawal of funds. Drawing of funds in anticipation of requirement and keeping the same outside Government accounts is against the Financial Rules {Rules 2.10 (b) (5)} of the Punjab Financial Rules as adopted by Haryana.

The Director attributed (August 2010) the non-completion of works in time to enforcement of the code of conduct during the Parliament, State Assembly and Panchayat elections and disputes among local people. The reply is not convincing as the model code of conduct was in force only for 30 days in 2008-09 and 114 days in 2009-10 for the Lok Sabha and Vidhan Sabha elections and for short periods (34 to 48 days) for by-elections in some constituencies. Further, during the exit conference, it was stated that suitable action would be taken to complete the works.

#### 3.1.8.7 Non-completion of repair works

Under the National Fish Seed Development Programme, funds amounting to ₹4.86 lakh were sanctioned (March 2007) for maintenance, repair and construction work at the Government Fish Seed Farm, Damdama.

An amount of ₹ 4.86 lakh was drawn (March 2007) by the Director from the treasury and was remitted to FFM, Damdama through bank transfer for construction of a water supply channel, repair of a rearing tank and residential and hatchery buildings. The work was allotted to a co-operative society. The society did not complete the work within the stipulated period and time extension was granted upto 27 August 2007. An amount of ₹ 1.43 lakh was paid (June 2007) to the society. No work was executed after June 2007 and the balance work of ₹ 3.43 lakh was not executed despite the lapse of 33 months (March 2010). An amount of ₹ 3.43 lakh was lying in the savings bank account but the amount was shown as expenditure in the Government account. Keeping of Government funds in a bank account was contrary to the Financial Rules. Besides the water supply channel remained unconstructed and the rearing tank and the residential and hatchery buildings remained unrepaired.

The Director stated (August 2010) that payment to the society was withheld because of poor performance. Thus, the work remained incomplete and the purpose of sanction of funds was not served.

<sup>19</sup> March 2008: Rs 10.70 lakh, December 2008: Rs 28.38 lakh and March 2009: Rs 22.10 lakh.

Funds of ₹ 61.18 lakh provided for development of new ponds and renovation of old ponds remained unspent.

```
Repair work of Fish
Seed Farm, Damdama
remained incomplete
for 33 months.
```

Welfare of Scheduled Caste Families, Intensive Fisheries Development Programme, Development of Fisheries in running water and Rashtriya Krishi Vikas Yojana.
 Development of Fisheries in running water and Rashtriya Krishi Vikas Yojana.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> DFOs Ambala, Faridabad, Gurgaon, Kurukshetra, Kaithal, Sirsa and Yamuna Nagar

## 3.1.8.8 Reservation for SC beneficiaries in fish market

Shops in fish markets at Faridabad and Yamunanagar were not reserved for SC beneficiaries. As per paragraph 5 of the Welfare of Scheduled Caste Families (Fisheries Sector) Scheme, 30 *per cent* of total shops constructed in the fish market were to be reserved for Scheduled Caste (SC) beneficiaries. Shops in the fish markets were rented out through auction by the Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board (Board). Subsidy on rent for wholesale shops at the rate of ₹ 3,000 per month and for retail shops at the rate of ₹ 1,500 per month or 50 *per cent* of rent, whichever was less, was to be provided to the SC beneficiaries. Scrutiny of records of DFOs Faridabad and Yamunanagar revealed that out of the total of 61 shops, only 45 were rented out and 16 were lying vacant. However, no shop was reserved for SC beneficiaries. Further, the rent subsidy of ₹ three lakh allocated in 2007-08 remained unutilised.

The Director stated (August 2010) that the condition of reservation for SC beneficiaries was not included in the terms and conditions, while handing over the fish markets to the Board. Therefore, reservation of shops could not be made for them. The reply is not convincing as the auction of shops was held at different intervals by the Board. The department should have taken steps for reservation of shops for SC beneficiaries.

## 3.1.9. Human resource development

## 3.1.9.1 Shortage of staff

Field level functionaries comprising DFOs, Fisheries Officers and others play an important role in implementation of various schemes. It was observed that against 674 sanctioned posts in various categories, only 558 officers/officials were in position as on July 2010 *(Appendix 3.5)*. The shortage was mainly in the field offices i.e, DFOs: seven, Fisheries Officer: 13, Farm Assistants: 5 and Others: 91.

## 3.1.9.2 Training

The main objective of ARTI, Hisar was to impart training to the departmental staff and fish farmers so as to acquaint them with the latest technologies of fish farming. The field staff of the department were to motivate the farmers to adopt fish culture. Training was to be imparted to the farmers interested in fish culture. The Institute incurred an expenditure of ₹ 34.81 lakh for imparting training during 2005-10.

## Training to staff

The position of targets and achievements of imparting training to staff during this period was as under:

Year	Targets	Achievements	Shortfall
2005-06	230	295	(+) 65
2006-07	200	162	38
2007-08	200	160	40
2008-09	220	152	68
2009-10	100	60	40
Total	950	829	121

Shortfall in imparting training to staff was 13 *per cent*.

Source: Data provided by the department.

As is evident from the table 5, there was a shortfall of 13 *per cent* in imparting training to staff.

#### Training to farmers

The position of targets and achievements in respect of imparting training to fish farmers during this period was as under:

Year	Targets	Achievements	Shortfall
2005-06	425	114	311
2006-07	840	651	189
2007-08	730	602	128
2008-09	900	816	84
2009-10	1170	1097	73
Total	4,065	3,280	785

Table 6: Targets and achievement of training to farmers

Shortfall in imparting training to fish farmers was 19 *per cent*.

Source: Data provided by the department.

As seen from the above table, the shortfall in imparting training to fish farmers was 19 *per cent*. The Director stated (August 2010) that despite best efforts, the farmers did not turn up for training and assured that more efforts would be made to motive the farmers for training.

Although 3,280 fish farmers were trained during 2005-10, data regarding the number of fish farmers who started their own business after obtaining training was not maintained. In the absence of this, the impact of the training on the farmers could not be ascertained. The Principal of ARTI stated (October 2009) that there were no instructions to maintain such data. However, at the exit conference, it was assured that proper data would be maintained to assess the impact of training.

#### Non-utilisation of computers

To acquaint the staff with the latest developments in information technology, the Fisheries Department supplied (July 2001) seven computers costing  $\gtrless$  4.07 lakh to ARTI, Hisar. It was observed that computer training was not imparted to the staff in the institute.

Further, out of seven computers, four computers were damaged (July 2009) due to electric short circuit and the balance three were also lying idle since the date of purchase. The Principal, ARTI, Hisar stated (October 2009) that no trained computer operator was posted in the Institute for imparting training. As such, the expenditure of ₹ 4.07 lakh incurred on purchase of computers was unfruitful.

#### **3.1.10** Delay in completion of deposit works

The Fisheries Department deposited  $\gtrless$  95.99 lakh with the Public Works Department (Buildings and Roads Branch) for the construction of a fish hatchery, sewage fed fish farm, Fish Seed Farm, etc. It was observed that the construction works had not been completed and there were delays of 24 to 68 months.

In the absence of a computer operator, seven computers purchased at a cost of ₹ 4.07 lakh remained idle.

Sr. No.	Name of the work	Month of deposit	Amount of deposit (₹ in lakh)	Number of months since the deposit of funds as on March 2010
1.	Construction of hatchery and boundary wall at Government Fish Seed Farm, Badkhal	September 2005	21.73	54
2.	Construction work of sewage fed fish farm at Guhla-Cheeka (Kaithal)	July 2004 July 2005 April 2008	21.04	68
3.	Construction of fresh water prawn seed hatchery at Government Fish Seed Farm, Sampla	March 2008	17.20	24
4.	Construction work of Government Fish Seed Farm, Ottu	April 2006 Total	36.02 95.99	47

The details of deposit of funds and position of works as shown below: Table 7: Details showing position of outstanding deposit works

Source: Data obtained from department.

The department had not pursued the completion of works with the Public Works Department on regular intervals.

The department admitted (August 2010) non-completion of work by PWD (B&R) due to diversion of sewerage water and non-construction of hatchery at Sampla due to closer of prawn culture scheme. However, it was assured during the exit conference that the works would be got completed shortly.

## 3.1.11 Internal control

Internal control provides reasonable assurance to the Management about the compliance of applicable rules and regulations. The internal control system in the department was inadequate in respect of expenditure, accounting of receipts on account of sale of fish seed, implementation of schemes, etc. as discussed in the foregoing paragraphs.

## 3.1.11.1 Monitoring and evaluation

The Director, Fisheries Department is responsible for reviewing the progress of the schemes at State level and the Deputy Directors are responsible for supervising the offices falling under the jurisdiction of their divisions. Monthly meetings are to be conducted at the division level to review the progress of different schemes.

It was observed that monitoring of various activities was being carried out at the Directorate level. Scrutiny of the records, however, revealed that the Deputy Director, Jyotisar had neither prescribed any returns to monitor the activities nor had maintained any records of his supervision and the monthly meetings by him. Monitoring work in the department was not effective as there were substantial shortfalls in achievement of targets under various Central schemes.

The Director stated (August 2010) that all the Deputy Directors had been directed to monitor the various activities through monthly meetings.

The impact of implementation of the schemes of the department was never evaluated through any external agency.

## 3.1.12 Internal audit and non-responsiveness to audit finding and observations

To improve the overall quality of work, reduce errors/omissions and irregularities, there should be an internal audit system in all the Government departments. It was observed that there was no Internal Audit System in the department.

The Director stated (August 2010) that a committee headed by a Deputy Director had been constituted to conduct the internal audit of the offices of the department.

After periodical inspections, the Principal Accountant General (Audit) issues Inspection Reports (IRs) to the heads of offices audited with copies to the next higher authorities. The executive is expected promptly rectify the defects and omissions pointed out and report compliance to the Principal Accountant General (Audit) within six weeks. A half-yearly report of IRs pending for more than six months is also sent to the concerned Administrative Secretary of the department to facilitate monitor and finalise audit observations in the pending IRs.

In the department, 114 Paragraphs of 39 IRs with money value of  $\gtrless$  25.07 crore *(Appendix 3.6)* remained outstanding at the end of March 2010. Of these, 16 paragraphs involving seven IRs were more than five years old. Category-wise details of irregularities pointed out through these IRs and which were outstanding as of March 2010 are given in *Appendix 3.7*.

The Director stated (August 2010) that instructions have been issued to all the field offices to submit the reply of pending audit paras.

## 3.1.13 Conclusion

The main objective of the department of developing fish culture in the State was achieved to some extent as fish production had increased by 55 *per cent* from 48,200 tonnes in 2005-06 to 88,130 tonnes in 2009-10. However, there were still deficiencies in many areas such as non-conducting of surveys of village ponds, lack of planning for achievement of physical targets as there were substantial savings under the Plan budget and rush of expenditure at the end of the various years. Working of Government Fish Seed Farms was not economically viable. Underutilisation of water areas in these farms, low survival of fish seed, parking of scheme funds in bank accounts, non-monitoring of implementation of schemes, non-existence of Internal Audit System, shortfall in imparting training, non-assessment of impact of the department.

## 3.1.14 Recommendations

• The department should conduct surveys of village ponds to assess the potential for fish culture.

- The department should make the working of Government Fish Seed Farms economically viable by utilising the available water areas and containing the fish mortality rate.
- Proper data to assess the impact of training on fish farmers should be maintained.
- An internal audit system should be put in place in the department.

These points were demi-officially referred to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government of Haryana, Fisheries Department in June 2010; reply had not been received (August 2010).

Chandigarh Dated: (Sushama V. Dabak) Principal Accountant General (Audit), Haryana

Countersigned

New Delhi Dated: (Vinod Rai) Comptroller and Auditor General of India

#### (Reference: Paragraph 1.2.9.1; Page 29)

## Statement showing the shortfall in coverage of beneficiaries under SNP in the test-checked districts

Sr. No.	Name of district	Period	Total popula	Total population within project		f SNP beneficiaries	Shortfall in coverage ( <i>per cent</i> )		
			Zero-six years	Pregnant and lactating mothers	Zero-six years	Pregnant and lactating mothers	Zero-six years	Pregnant and lactating mothers	
1	Hisar	2005-10	6,85,703	1,22,645	3,36,239	90,181	3,49,464 (51)	32,464 (26)	
2	Jind	2005-10	6,35,626	1,08,849	2,93,703	78,062	3,41,923 (54)	30,787 (28)	
3	Kaithal	2005-10	5,17,703	91,939	2,61,528	67,335	2,56,175 (49)	24,604 (27)	
4	Sonepat	2005-10	6,50,982	1,08,257	2,90,978	79,398	3,60,004 (55)	28,859 (27)	
5	Faridabad	2005-10	9,64,756	1,73,892	4,24,124	1,10,180	5,40,632 (56)	63,712 (37)	

Source: Information supplied by the Directorate

## (Reference: Paragraph 1.2.11; Page 34)

#### Statement showing women educated under Nutrition and Health Education

Name of	Name of block		Number	r of women e	educated	
District		2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Faridabad	Faridabad, NIT	-	-	3,868	2,889	3,493
	Faridabad (Rural)	3,950	4,040	4,434	3,060	4,490
	Ballabgarh (Urban)	-	-	775	765	720
Hisar	Hisar (Urban)	-	800	904	9,057	NA
	Hisar I	5,190	5,636	6,860	11,530	6,840
	Narnaund	1,220	1,281	1,276	1,230	1,230
Jind	Jind (U)	-	-	825	1,031	2,035
	Jind (R)	4,092	3,724	3,710	3,625	3,225
	Alewa	1,022	442	1,692	786	2,720
Kaithal	Kaithal (U)	-	-	1,799	12,913	12,272
	Kaithal (R)	3122	3,583	2,693	2,693	2,767
	Pundri	NA	NA	NA	3,134	NA
Sonipat	Sonipat (U)	-	3,380	3,540	3,570	3,588
	Sonipat (R)	9,999	9,999	9,999	9,999	9,999
	Kathura	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Source: Information supplied by CDPOs.

#### (Reference: Paragraph 1.2.14.2; Page 39)

## Statement showing targets and achievements of training programmes for different cadres during 2005-10

Category of	Name of	2005	5-06	2000	5-07	200	7-08	2008	-09	200	9-10	To	tal	Shortfall	Percentage
staff	Training	Т	Α	Т	Α	Т	Α	Т	Α	Т	Α	Т	Α		
AWH	Orientation/ Job Training	800	522	2,100	1,908	3,900	1,877	-	50	450	334	7,250	4,691		
	Refresher training Course	7,250	5,342	840	835	2,200	2,538	11,000	7,614	7,040	6,425	28,330	22,754		
	Total	8,050	5,864	2,940	2,743	6,100	4,415	11,000	7,664	7,490	6,759	35,580	27,445	8,135	23
AWW	Job Training	350	275	2,450	1,920	1,575	1,362	350	278	315	281	5,040	4,116		
	Refresher training course	6,440	5,399	600	626	1,760	2,239	9,200	7,774	8,000	6,890	26,000	22,928		
	Total	6,790	5,674	3,050	2,546	3,335	3,601	9,550	8,052	8,315	7,171	31,040	27,044	3,996	13
Supervisor	Job Training	16	22	125	14	25	19	-	-	50	39	216	94		
	Refresher training course	304	417	375	266	625	416	225	176	250	179	1,779	1,454		
	Total	320	439	500	280	650	435	225	176	300	218	1,995	1,548	447	22

T: Targets and A: Achievements

Source: Information supplied by the Directorate.

## (Reference: Paragraph 1.3.2; Page 42)

## Organisational set-up of Public Health Engineering Department



## (Reference: Paragraph 1.3.8.3; Page 47)

# Statement showing providing of private water connections under IGDWS in water deficient villages (water supply less than 40 LPCD)

Sr. No.	Name of divisions	Total number of villages	Total number of connections	number of connections released having no water		Expenditure incurred on private Connections (₹ in lakh)
1	Panipat-II	36	5,506	2,187	40	61.24
2	Gohana	23	4,686	2,256	48	63.16
3	Panchkula	5	858	98	11	2.74
4	Naraingarh	12	1,225	1,225	100	34.30
5	Rohtak-II	35	9,014	5,547	62	155.32
6	Ambala	99	25,212	4,784	19	133.95
7	Rewari	34	4,388	432	10	12.10
8	Narnaul	44	3,232	2,071	64	57.99
9	Hansi	65	16,914	1,529	9	42.81
10	Fatehabad	146	36,441	2,520	7	70.56
	Total	499	1,07,476	22,649	21	634.17

#### (Reference: Paragraph 1.4.8.2; Page 66)

Statement showing expenditure in the year 2009-10 as per estimate reports vis-à-vis monthly accounts in test-checked divisions

		New works	Continued works	Annual Maintenance works	Special repair	Building works	Deposit works by HUDA	Deposit work by other than HUDA	Total expenditure
Expenditure as per FAS	Division-1	5,51,17,417	1,34,87,453	12,36,16,298	3,35,50,165	4,48,66,787	29,25,725	0	27,35,63,845
	Division-11	4,32,73,270	1,22,53,712	15,46,15,024	7,57,13,178	4,63,87,135	9,61,570	0	33,32,03,889
	Division-1II	2,11,61,780	12,96,18,952	1,07,41,451	91,88,482	4,46,38,885	40,00,000	0	21,93,49,550
	Total:1	11,95,52,467	15,53,60,117	28,89,72,773	11,84,51,825	13,58,92,807	78,87,295	0	82,61,17,284
Expenditure as per Monthly Account	Division-1	2,53,68,894	4,28,44,138	18,76,48,710	3,57,69,122	4,70,17,943	29,33,167	0	34,15,81,974
	Division-11	2,87,35,422	1,41,68,132	14,26,23,658	9,55,56,059	57,00,197	18,12,91,785	0	46,80,75,253
	Division-1II	17,34,862	2,43,53,233	70,29,876	9,78,073	29,89,331	29,47,000	40,00,000	4,40,32,375
	Total:2	5,58,39,178	8,13,65,503	33,73,02,244	13,23,03,254	5,57,07,471	18,71,71,952	40,00,000	85,36,89,602
Difference (Total:1-Total:2)		-6,37,13,289	-7,39,94,614	4,83,29,471	1,38,51,429	-8,01,85,336	17,92,84,657	40,00,000	2,75,72,318

Source: Estimate reports generated through FAS and Monthly accounts for the year 2009-10.

## (Reference: Paragraph 2.4.4; Page 91)

## Statement showing the details of AC pipes lying unused in the divisions

Month of receipt	Item	Quantity required	Quantity received	Quantity consumed	Balance quantity	Value of balance quantity	Months since lying in stock
		*	Quantity in metres			<b>(₹</b> in lakh)	(up to July 2010)
March 2006	AC pipe (250 mm)	-	3,800	Nil	3,800	24.21	52
February 2007	AC pipe (80 mm)	10,000	20,000	4,753	15,247	14.49	41
February 2007	AC pipe (100 mm)	8,000	16,000	10,444	5,556	7.44	41
March 2007	AC pipe (150 mm)	8,400	8,000	1,100	6,900	23.11	40
June 2007	AC pipe (200 mm)	-	2,000	335	1,665	9.82	37
October 2007	AC pipe (300 mm)	-	3,100	08	3,092	34.88	33
March 2008	AC pipe (150 mm)	-	7,020	Nil	7,020	34.40	28
April 2008	AC pipe (150 mm)	-	560	Nil	560	2.20	27
August 2008	AC pipe (150 mm)	-	8,220	Nil	8,220	38.72	23
August 2008	AC pipe (250 mm)	1,700	1,700	35	1,665	18.82	23
	Total	28,100	70,400	16,675	53,725	208.09	

#### Appendix 2.2 (Reference: Paragraph 2.5.1.1; Page 93) Statement showing irregular expenditure incurred in DRCS

(₹ in lakh)

	Description of items on which expenditure incurred.	Faridabad	Gurgaon	Rohtak	Rewari	Ambala	Narnaul	Panchkula	Panipat	Sonipat	Jhajjar	Kaithal	Mewat	Total
	Purchase of Mobile Phone, EPABX, Telephone Bills,etc.	4.05	0.61	0.47	3.41	3.11	Nil	Nil	Nil	0.11	Nil	Nil	1.24	13.00
	Purchase/repair of Water Cooler, Aqua- guard, etc. in different offices other than Red Cross office.	0.31	1.21	1.58	Nil	0.44	Nil	0.83	Nil	0.52	Nil	0.41	1.78	7.08
	Purchase/repair of Staff Car/Vehicles of D.C. Office.	8.25	13.84	8.11	16.59	9.74	Nil	5.74	Nil	5.97	Nil	14.06	Nil	82.30
- (-)	Purchase& maintenance of Ballero (2 nd Vehicle) for SDM, Ambala, City Magisterate, Narnaul & other officers.	2.79	2.58	0.40		4.98	11.00	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	5.21	26.96
	Purchase of Camera, Projector, Photography work, TV, Dish antenna, etc.	Nil	1.93	Nil	Nil	0.25	Nil	Nil	Nil	1.64	Nil	0.67	1.13	5.62
	Electric installation in different offices other than Red Cross office.	1.66	0.63	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	2.54	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	0.25	5.08
	Purchase/repair of computers, invertors and lap top, etc.	0.37	6.16	0.44	Nil	0.49	Nil	9.25	Nil	3.74	Nil	0.11	0.36	20.92
	Purchase of ACs/Fans and other equipments, Photostat machine for D.C. office	4.30	6.18	0.08	Nil	12.11	Nil	Nil	Nil	0.99	Nil	0.09	6.09	29.84
	Purchase of furniture, curtains and carpet, etc. for D C camp office (residence)	0.11	1.76	0.27	Nil	4.03	Nil	0.20	0.40	2.73	Nil	Nil	5.13	14.63
	Expenditure of Mini Secretariat/DC office, Sanitation work and wages of lift operators, shed in SDM Office, Salaries of Home guards deployed at the disposal of Mining department, Sonipat.	Nil	11.02	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	2.23			Nil	13.25
	Other expenditure <sup>1</sup>	4.17	6.44	0.26	20.58	0.10	Nil	Nil	21.47	0.46			4.11	67.46
G	rand Total	26.01	52.36	11.61	40.58	35.25	11.00	18.56	21.87	18.39	1.54	23.67	25.30	286.14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Other expenditure includes expenditure incurred on repair of Teacher Bhawan, Gurgaon, contingency expenditure of DC office, expenditure on Diwali gifts, ECG machine for police line hospital, shed in SDM office, purchase of cement for SDM office, barricades for police department, Republic day, repair and maintenance of DC residence, salary of peons with DC office and Commissioner office ,purchase of different items, financial assistance to various institutions, purchase of blankets, etc.

## (Reference: Paragraph 2.5.1.2; Page 93)

## Statement showing the collection of donations for specific purposes

Sr. No.	Name of the DRCS	Amount collected from public ('₹ in lakh )	Year of collection Expenditure incurred/ transferred (₹ in lakh )		Amount transferred to PM/CM relief fund		
						( <b>₹</b> in	lakh)
1	Ambala	83.04	2000-01 to 2005-06	50.24	2000-01 to 2005-06	32.80	Nil
2	Hisar	91.91	1999-2000 to 2005-06	43.16	1999-2000 to 2005-06	48.75	38.08
3	Jhajjar	55.00	2001-02 to 2008-09	8.07	2001-02 to 2008-09	46.93	20.75
4	Karnal	187.96	1999-2000 to 2004-05	138.26	1999-2000 to 2004-05	49.70	Nil
5	Kurukshetra	16.52	2000-01 to 2004-05	Nil	2000-01 to 2004-05	16.52	7.29
6	Narnaul	53.00	2000-01 to 2004-05	10.99	2000-01 to 2004-05	42.01	Nil
7	Panchkula	16.04	2004-05 to 2005-06	5.36	2004-05 to 2005-06	10.68	Nil
8	Rohtak	76.63	2000-2001 to 2008-09	45.37	2000-2001 to 2008-09	31.26	Nil
9	Sonipat	156.99	1999-2000 to 2008-09	110.70	1999-2000 to 2008-09	46.29	Nil
10	Panipat	10.53	2008-09	Nil	2008-09	10.53	9.51
11	Sirsa	49.95	1999-00 to 2004-05	20.41	1999-00 to 2004-05	29.54	7.90
12	Yamunanagar	40.22	1999-2000 to 2004-05	18.82	1999-2000 to 2004-05	21.40	Nil
13	Faridabad	79.72	1999-2000 to 2008-09	74.22	1999-2000 to 2008-09	5.50	Nil
14	Jind	66.10	2001-02 to 2009-10	62.30	2001-02 to 2009-10	3.80	Nil
	Total	983.61		587.90		395.71	83.53

## (Reference: Paragraph 2.5.1.4; Page 94)

Statement showing the loans and advances given by District Red Cross Societies

Sr. No.	Name of the DRCS	Balance outstanding (₹ in lakh )
1	Ambala	28.04
2	Bhiwani	3.29
3	Faridabad	31.33
4	Gurgaon	12.07
5	Hisar	8.76
6	Jind	11.11
7	Jhajjar	7.47
8	Karnal	53.77
9	Kurukshetra	34.77
10	Mewat	1.39
11	Narnaul	7.18
12	Panipat	13.60
13	Panchkula	3.03
14	Rohtak	14.05
15	Rewari	9.01
16	Sirsa	7.46
17	Kaithal	0.42
18	Sonipat	29.59
19	Fatehabad	20.60
	Total	296.94

Say₹2.97 crore

## (Reference: Paragraph 2.5.2; Page 95)

# Statement of inadmissible works executed under MPLAD Scheme

Sr. No.	Name of the work	Expenditure incurred (₹ in lakh)
1.	Janta Ramlila Committee, NIT-2 E, Faridabad	5.70
2.	Jan Seva Pooja Samitee, Jawahar colony, Faridabad	2.00
3.	Jan Seva Pooja Samitee, Jawahar colony, Faridabad	3.00
4.	Construction of Chhath Pooja Ghat at Dayal Nagar, Faridabad	2.10
5.	Construction of Chhath Pooja Ghat at Dayal Nagar, Faridabad	0.50
6.	Dharamshala in Ramlila committee, NIT-I Faridabad	5.00
7.	Construction of Hall in Maharishi Dham, Sector -7, Faridabad	2.50
8.	Boundary wall near Mandir	5.00
9.	Sainik Rest Houase in village Tigaon	1.04
10.	Construction of Advocate Bar Association in Government Courts	1.10
	Total	27.94

## (Reference: Paragraph 2.6.1 (a); Page 96)

#### Statement showing the names of departments where Action Taken Notes were awaited

Sr. No.	Name of Department	Year of Audit Report	Para No.	Number of paragraphs	Total
1.	Animal Husbandry	2007-08	$4.6.1(b)^2$	1	1
2.	Co-operation	2007-08	4.5.1	1	1
3.	Education	2006-07	3.4, 4.3.3	2	2
		2007-08	$4.1.1^2$	1	1
4.	Environment.	2006-07	3.3	1	1
5.	Finance	2006-07	4.5.7,4.6.2	2	2
		2007-08	$4.2.8, 4.6.1(a)^2$	1	1
6.	Food and Supplies	2007-08	4.3.4	1	1
7.	Forest	2007-08	3.1	1	1
8.	General Administration	2006-07	4.4.2	1	1
9.	Home (Police)	2006-07	4.2.2 <sup>3</sup> , 4.3.6 <sup>2</sup> , 4.5.2	3	3
10.	Industries and Commerce	2006-07	5.1	1	1
11.	Social Justice and Empowerment	2007-08	4.3.5	1	1
12.	Public Works Department (Irrigation)	2006-07	4.2.1, 4.5.5, 4.2.2 <sup>3</sup>	2	2
	(inigation)	2007-08	$4.1.1^2, 4.2.3, 4.2.7, 4.2.9, 4.4.2, 4.5.2^2$	5	5
13.	Home (Jail)	2007-08	5.1	1	1
14.	Medical and Health	2006-07	$4.5.3, 4.6.1, 4.3.6^2$	2	2
15.	Public Works Department	2006-07	$\frac{4.5.3, 4.6.1, 4.3.6^2}{3.2, 4.2.2^3}$	1	1
	(Water Supply and Sanitation)	2007-08	4.2.6, 4.4.1, 4.5.2 <sup>2</sup>	2	2
16.	Town and Country Planning	2004-05	4.2.1	1	1
	(HUDA)				
17.	Transport	2007-08	4.2.4, 4.3.3	2	2
		<b>Total Paras</b>		33	33

2

3

Paragraphs appearing against two departments.

Paragraphs appearing against three departments.

## (Reference: Paragraph 2.6.1 (a); Page 96)

## List of paragraphs where recoveries have been pointed out but no action has been taken by the administrative departments

SI. No.	Name of Administrative Department	Year of Audit Report	Paragraph Number	Amount (₹ in lakh)
1	Agriculture	2000-01	6.3	40.45
			6.6	30.60
2	Animal Husbandry	2000-01	3.4	21.96
		2001-02	6.3	747.00
3	Finance	2001-02	3.3	5.62
4	Food and supplies	2002-03	4.6.8	23.89
5	Rural Development (DRDA)	2001-02	6.1.11	0.54
		2003-04	4.5.1	273.00
6	Town and Country Planning	2000-01	3.16	15,529.00
	(HUDA)	2001-02	6.10	4,055.00
		Total	10	20,727.06

Say ₹ 207.27 crore

## (Reference: Paragraph 2.6.1 (a); Page 96)

## Statement of outstanding recommendations of the Public Accounts Committee on which the Government is yet to take final action

Sr. No.	PAC Report	Year of Audit Reports	Total no. of outstanding recommendation of PAC (1970-71 to 2005-06)
1.	7 <sup>th</sup>	1970-71	1
2.	9 <sup>th</sup>	1971-72	1
3.	14 <sup>th</sup>	1973-74	1
4.	16 <sup>th</sup>	1975-76	1
5.	18 <sup>th</sup>	1976-77	1
6.	19 <sup>th</sup>	1977-78	1
7.	21 <sup>st</sup>	1978-79	1
8.	22 <sup>nd</sup>	1979-80	3
9.	23 <sup>rd</sup>	1979-80	2
10.	25 <sup>th</sup>	1980-81	4
11.	26 <sup>th</sup>	1981-82	2
12.	28 <sup>th</sup>	1982-83	1
13.	29 <sup>th</sup>	1983-84	4
14.	32 <sup>nd</sup>	1984-85	7
15.	34 <sup>th</sup>	1985-86	5
16.	36 <sup>th</sup>	1986-87	9
17.	38 <sup>th</sup>	1987-88	9
18.	$40^{\text{th}}$	1988-89	9
19.	42 <sup>nd</sup>	1989-90, 90-91, 91-92	11
20.	44 <sup>th</sup>	1990-91, 91-92, 92-93	15
21.	46 <sup>th</sup>	1993-94	7
22.	48 <sup>th</sup>	1993-94, 94-95	4
23.	50 <sup>th</sup>	1993-94, 94-95,95-96	39
24.	52 <sup>nd</sup>	1996-97	20
25.	54 <sup>th</sup>	1997-98	13
26.	56 <sup>th</sup>	1998-99	19
27.	58 <sup>th</sup>	1999-2000	41
28.	60 <sup>th</sup>	2000-01	48
29.	61 <sup>st</sup>	2001-02	18
30.	62 <sup>nd</sup>	2002-03	30
31.	63 <sup>rd</sup>	2005-06	29
32.	64 <sup>th</sup>	2003-04	9
		Total	365

## (Reference: Paragraph 2.6.1 (b); Page 96)

Statement showing year-wise breakup of outstanding Inspection Reports and paragraphs

Sr. No.	Years	YearsNumber of Inspection Reports OutstandingNumber of Paragraphs outstanding		Amount (₹ in lakh)
1.	1998-99	1	1	0.13
2.	1999-2000	13	20	45.51
3.	2000-01	9	12	57.59
4.	2001-02	5	6	47.44
5.	2002-03	9	12	223.89
6.	2003-04	18	26	375.98
7.	2004-05	28	40	1,274.31
8.	2005-06	39	62	1,137.64
9.	2006-07	36	74	2,277.06
10.	2007-08	67	162	4,311.48
11.	2008-09	63	200	16,420.96
12.	2009-10	73	240	6,361.56
	Total	361	855	32,533.55

Say ₹ 325.34 crore

## (Reference: Paragraph 2.6.1 (b); Page 96)

## Statement of serious irregularities pointed out through outstanding Inspection Reports

Sr. No.	Nature of Irregularities	Number of Paras	Amount (₹ in lakh)
1	Non-obtaining/non-production of utilisation certificate and wanting actual payees receipts from firms/departments	63	3,073.60
2.	Non-recovery/short-recovery and outstanding recovery of cost of police force deployed to other department	25	1,901.29
3.	Excess/irregular/wasteful expenditure on pay and allowances	191	5,009.18
4.	Irregular/wasteful/unfruitful/expenditure/diversion of funds etc.	127	2,276.22
5.	Non-production/Non-maintenance of records	8	Nil
6.	Non-condemnation of old/unserviceable articles/vehicles and non-disposal of condemned store articles/vehicles	52	128.25
7.	Irregular retention/ Misutilisation/ Non-utilisation of Government money/funds/ loans/ blockade of Government funds and excess expenditure over budget	178	17,933.88
8.	Non-adjustment of advance payment/ advances	30	509.36
9.	Miscellaneous irregularities/Cash-book	153	416.91
10	Non recovery of penalty on account of rent/license fee	28	1,284.86
	Total	855	32,533.55

Say ₹ 325.34 crore

## (Reference: Paragraph 3.1.1; Page 100)

# Statement of Central and State Schemes of Fisheries Department

Sr. No.	Name of the schemes						
Central P	Central Plan Schemes (Sharing basis)						
1.	Development of Fisheries in Water Logged Area (75:25)						
2.	Development of Inland Capture Fisheries (Reservoir/Rivers) (75:25)						
3.	Development of Ornamental Fisheries (75:25)						
4.	Establishment of National Fisheries Development Board (90:10)						
5.	Fish Farmers Development Agency (75:25)						
6.	Fisheries Education, Training and Extension (80:20)						
7.	Utilisation of Saline Ground Water for Fish Culture (75:25)						
State Plan	Schemes						
1.	Agricultural Human Resources Development						
2.	Development of Fisheries in Marshy Area, Cat Fish and Sewage Fed Fish Culture						
3.	Development of Fisheries in Running Waters						
4.	Intensive Fisheries Development Programme						
5.	National Fish Seed Development Programme						
6.	Welfare of Scheduled Caste Families (Fisheries Sector)						

Say ₹ 2.86 crore

# Appendix 3.2 (Reference: Paragraph 3.1.2; Page 100) Organisational set up of the Fisheries Department



## Appendix 3.3 (Reference: Paragraph 3.1.7.1; Page 102)

#### Statement showing budget provision and expenditure

(₹ in crore)

Name of Schemes	Year	Original provision	budget	Final Appropriat	grant/	Actual e	xpenditure	Saving (-)/Ex	cess (+)
Schemes		Plan	Non-plan	Plan	Non-plan	Plan	Non-plan	Plan	Non-plan
State Plan	2005-06	4.29	3.86	4.44	3.95	4.47	3.97	(+) 0.03	(+) 0.02
Scheme	2006-07	4.71	4.09	4.82	4.30	4.82	4.25	Nil	(-) 0.05
	2007-08	3.53	7.99	3.16	8.90	3.15	8.96	(-) 0.01	(+) 0.06
	2008-09	6.70	8.45	6.51	12.11	6.52	11.96	(+) 0.01	(-) 0.15
	2009-10	7.45	15.51	7.26	14.61	7.26	14.96	Nil	(+) 0.35
Central Plan	2005-06	4.63	Nil	4.19	Nil	4.19	Nil	Nil	Nil
Scheme (Sharing	2006-07	3.40	Nil	2.59	Nil	2.59	Nil	Nil	Nil
Basis)	2007-08	4.89	Nil	2.62	Nil	2.32	Nil	(-) 0.30	Nil
	2008-09	5.27	Nil	2.79	Nil	2.79	Nil	Nil	Nil
	2009-10	4.38	Nil	1.85	Nil	1.85	Nil	Nil	Nil
Cent per cent	2005-06	1.26	Nil	0.18	Nil	0.18	Nil	Nil	Nil
Centrally	2006-07	2.30	Nil	0.10	Nil	0.10	Nil	Nil	Nil
Sponsored Schemes	2007-08	0.20	Nil	0.07	Nil	0.07	Nil	Nil	Nil
Schemes	2008-09	0.10	Nil	0.06	Nil	0.06	Nil	Nil	Nil
	2009-10	0.10	Nil	0.10	Nil	0.10	Nil	Nil	Nil

Source: Detailed Appropriation Accounts.

## (Reference: Paragraph 3.1.8.1; Page 105)

#### Statement showing year-wise details of physical targets and achievements

Name of the	Items	Unit	200	5-06	200	06-07	200	07-08	200	)8-09	200	)9-10		Total		Percentage
scheme			Target	Achieve-	Shortfall	of										
				ments		Shortfall										
Development of Fisheries	Area under fish culture	Hectare	50	56	50	35	125	72	150	102	150	125	525	390	135	26
in Water	Fish	Tonne	140	159	225	121	625	381	680	479	450	380	2120	1520	600	28
	production	Tohne	140	139	225	121	025	361	080	4/9	430	380	2120	1520	000	28
	Development of new area	Hectare	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	65	50	36	150	101	49	33
Utilisation of Saline	Area under fish culture	Hectare	25	19	-	-	56	29	125	90	125	76	331	214	117	35
Ground Water for	Fish production	Tonne	100	59	-	-	252	157	575	356	375	260	1302	832	470	36
Fish Culture	Development of new area	Hectare	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	30	25	21	75	51	24	32
Development of Fisheries	Area under fish culture	Hectare	100	102	100	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	200	174	26	13
in Marshy Area	Fish production	Tonne	240	274	450	305	-	-	-	-	-	-	690	579	111	16
National Fisheries Development Board	Renovation of pond	Hectare	-	-	-	-	200	84	210	-	-	-	410	84	326	80

Source:

Figure taken from monthly progress report for 2005-10.

## (Reference: Paragraph 3.1.9.1; Page 109)

## Statement showing shortage of staff

Sr. No.	Designation	Sanctioned	Persons in position	Shortage
1.	Joint Director	1	-	1
2.	Principal, ARTI, Hisar	1	-	1
3.	Deputy Director, Fisheries/Assistant Professor	7	6	1
4.	District Fisheries Officer	35	28	7
5.	Assistant District Attorney	1	-	1
6.	Deputy Superintendent	1	-	1
7.	Fisheries Extension Officer	2	1	1
8.	Fisheries Officer	77	64	13
9.	Assistant Fisheries Officer	7	5	2
10.	Laboratory Technician	2	-	2
11.	Supervisor	8	5	3
12.	Farm Assistant	10	5	5
13.	Technical Assistant	1	-	1
14.	Assistant/Accountant	56	55	1
15.	Junior Scale Stenographer	1	-	1
16.	Steno Typist	9	6	3
17.	Cashier	1	-	1
18.	Clerk	57	34	23
19.	Driver	34	22	12
20.	Pumping Set Driver	1	-	1
21.	Commercial Fisherman	20	17	3
22.	Peon	62	56	6
23.	Field Assistant	21	15	6
24.	Fisherman-cum-chowkidar	200	186	14
25.	Sweeper-cum-chowkidar	19	13	6
	Total	634	518	116

## (Reference: Paragraph 3.1.12; Page 112)

Statement showing year-wise breakup of outstanding Inspection Reports and paragraphs

Year	Inspection Reports	Paragraphs	Amount (₹ in crore)
2003-04	2	4	4.59
2004-05	5	12	2.12
2005-06	2	9	1.65
2006-07	6	12	0.25
2007-08	3	6	0.05
2008-09	8	22	11.08
2009-10	13	49	5.33
Total	39	114	25.07

## (Reference: Paragraph 3.1.12; Page 112)

## Statement showing irregularities pointed out through outstanding Inspection Reports

Sr. No.	Nature of Irregularities	Number of Paras	Amount (₹ in crore)
1	Non-Obtaining/non-production of utilisation certificate and wanting actual payees receipts from firms/departments	7	1.73
2	Non-recovery/short-recovery and outstanding recovery of loan/seed and margin money/interest free loan/subsidy	8	0.70
3	Excess/irregular/wasteful expenditure on pay and allowances	8	0.01
4	Irregular/wasteful/unfruitful expenditure	12	0.98
5	Non-production/non-maintenance of records	11	0.01
6	Non-condemnation of old/unserviceable articles/ vehicles and non-disposal of condemned store articles/vehicles.	5	0.01
7	Irregular retention/misutilisation/ non-utilisation of Government money/funds/loan/blockade of Government funds and excess expenditure over budget.	31	10.05
8	Non-adjustment of advance payments/advances	5	8.70
9	Miscellaneous irregularities	27	2.88
	Total	114	25.07

# **Glossary of Abbreviations**

Sl. No.	Abbreviation	Full Form
1.	AA	Administrative Approval
2.	AAP	Annual Action Plan
3.	ABIC	Agri-Business Information Centres
4.	AC	Asbestos Cement
5.	ADC	Additional Deputy Commissioner
6.	ARTI	Aquaculture Research and Training Institute
7.	ATN	Action Taken Note
8.	AWCs	Anganwadi Centres
9.	AWH	Anganwadi Helper
10.	AWW	Anganwadi Worker
11.	B&R	Buildings and Roads
12.	BC	Backward Class
13.	BDPO	Block Development and Panchayat Officer
14.	BE	Budget Estimate
15.	BECIL	Broadcast Engineering Consultants India Limited
16.	CA	Chief Administrator
17.	CC	Cement Concrete
18.	CDPO	Child Development and Project Officer
19.	CE	Chief Engineer
20.	CFA	Controller of Finance and Accounts
21.	CM	Chief Minister
22.	CMEO	Chief Marketing Enforcement Officer
23.	CNG	Cummins Naturally Aspirated Gas
24.	DAC	Deposit at Call Receipt
25.	DC	Deputy Commissioner
26.	DCDRF	District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum
27.	DDO	Drawing and Disbursing Officer
28.	DEEO	Districts Elementary Education Officer
29.	DEO	Data Entry Operator

## Audit Report (Civil) No. 2 for the year ended 31 March 2010

Sl. No.	Abbreviation	Full Form
30.	DFO	District Fisheries Officer
31.	DGP	Director General of Police
32.	DIT	Department of Information Technology
33.	DMEOs	District Marketing Enforcement Officers
34.	DNIT	Detailed Notice Inviting Tenders
35.	DEE	Director Elementary Education
36.	DPR	Detailed Project Report
37.	DRCS	District Red Cross Society
38.	DRO	District Revenue Officer
39.	DSD	Directorate of Supplies and Disposal
40.	EE	Executive Engineer
41.	EIC	Engineer-in-Chief
42.	EO	Estate Office
43.	FAS	Financial Accounting System
44.	FCPS	Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary
45.	FDR	Fixed Deposit Receipt
46.	FFM	Fisheries Farm Manager
47.	FOB	Foot Overbridge
48.	FRO	Fisheries Research Officer
49.	GC	General Category
50.	GFSF	Government Fish Seed Farm
51.	GL	General Ledger
52.	GMWB	Growth Monitoring Weight Books
53.	GOI	Government of India
54.	GP	Gram Panchayat
55.	HARIS	Haryana Registration Information System
56.	HARTRON	Haryana State Electronics Development Corporations Limited
57.	HPPC	High Powered Purchase Committee
58.	HSAMB	Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board
59.	HUDA	Haryana Urban Development Authority

Sl. No.	Abbreviation	Full Form
60.	ICDS	Integrated Child Development Services
61.	IR	Inspection Report
62.	IRC	Indian Red Cross
63.	IT	Information Technology
64.	IT PRISM	Information Technology Planning, Re-engineering, Implementation, Steering and Monitoring Cell
65.	LAC	Land Acquisition Collector
66.	LAO	Land Acquisition Officer
67.	LPCD	Litres Per Capita Per Day
68.	MCF	Municipal Corporation Faridabad
69.	MC	Market Committee
70.	MPLADS	Members Parliament Local Area Development Scheme
71.	MPs	Members Parliament
72.	MSP	Minimum Support Price
73.	MSs	Mandi Supervisors
74.	MT	Metric Tonne
75.	NABARD	National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development
76.	NCDRF	National Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum
77.	NGM	New Grain Market
78.	NGO	Non-Government Organisation
79.	NH	National Highways
80.	NHED	Nutrition and Health Education
81.	NVFM	New Vegetable and Fruit Market
82.	OPD	Out Patient Department
83.	PAC	Public Accounts Committee
84.	PAPM	Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets
85.	PFR	Punjab Financial Rules
86.	PHE	Public Health Engineering
87.	PHED	Public Health Engineering Department
88.	POAS	Passive off the Air Interception Solutions
89.	POs	Programme Officers

## Audit Report (Civil) No. 2 for the year ended 31 March 2010

Sl. No.	Abbreviation	Full Form
90.	PPM	Plot and Property Management
91.	PPS	Probability Proportionate to Size Sampling
92.	PSE	Pre-School Education
93.	PWD	Public Works Department
94.	RD	Reduced Distance
95.	RMC	Ready Mix Concrete
96.	ROB	Railway Overbridge
97.	RUB	Railway Underbridge
98.	SC	Scheduled Caste
99.	SCDRF	State Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum
100.	SE	Superintending Engineer
101.	SHGs	Self Help Groups
102.	SL	Subsidiary Ledger
103.	SNP	Supplementary Nutrition Programme
104.	SP	Superintendent of Police
105.	SQL	Structured Query Language
106.	SRSWOR	Simple Random Sampling without Replacement
107.	ST	Scheduled Tribe
108.	SWAN	State Wide Area Network
109.	TC	Transport Commissioner
110.	TCIL	Telecommunication Consultants India Limited
111.	TCS	Tata Consultancy Services
112.	UCs	Utilisation Certificates
113.	VAT	Value Added Tax
114.	VLCs	Village Level Committees
115.	XEN	Executive Engineer
116.	YWS	Yamuna Water Services
117.	ZAs	Zonal Administrators
118.	ZMEOs	Zonal Marketing Enforcement Officers