

**Annex-I**  
(Refers to paragraph 1.1)

<b>Details</b>	<b>Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>Kerala</b>	<b>Tamilnadu</b>	<b>Pondicherry</b>	<b>ANI</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
Coastal length affected in Km	985	250	1000	25	Entire coast line
Penetration of water into main land in Km	0.50-2.0	1-2	1-1.5	0.30-3.0	Nicobar Group inundated
Average height of the tidal wave (in metres)	5	3-5	7-10	10	10
No. of villages affected	301	187	376	33	192
Population affected (in lakh)	1.96	13.00	8.97	0.43	Entire population (3.56 lakh)
Human lives lost	105	171	8009	593	1395
Persons missing	11	Nil	Nil	48	5764
Persons moved to safer places	34264	24978	487185	70000	19339
Dwelling units damaged	481	17382	190000	10061	21100
Cattle lost	89	Nil	5500	2685	27331
Cropped area damaged (Ha)	596	7763	2589	792	11010
Boats damaged (nos.)	12189	10882	52638	6678	1401

**Annex-II**  
**(Refers to paragraph 1.3)**

Sector-wise and state-wise break-up of fund requirement (2005-06 to 2008-09)

*(Rupees in crore)*

S No.	UT/ State/ GOI	Housing	Fisheries & Livelihood (*)	Agriculture and Livelihood	Ports & Jetties	Roads & Bridges	Power and ICT	Water & Sewerages	Social Infra & Welfare	Environmental. & Coastal protection	Tourism	Misc.	TA	Total
1.	Tamilnadu	2178.00	607.02	32.88	74.70	954.20	28.51	50.58	180.77	8.00	5.52	-	120.00	4240.18
2.	Kerala	45.54	162.82	7.83	44.02	125.51	83.00	125.00	175.85	432.18	100.00	100.00	40.00	1441.75
3.	AP	7.80	52.66	-	-	42.00	-	55.65	1.00	4.00	-	-	5.00	168.11
4.	Pondicherry	127.54	48.02	4.01	75.00	75.15	6.90	4.50	9.25	152.70	-	-	15.00	518.07
5.	ANI	738.00	176.05	404.73	268.74	90.65	300.00	37.02	233.52	231.70	76.50	50.00	70.00	2676.91
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>3096.88</b>	<b>1046.57</b>	<b>449.45</b>	<b>462.46</b>	<b>1287.51</b>	<b>418.41</b>	<b>272.75</b>	<b>600.39</b>	<b>828.59</b>	<b>182.02</b>	<b>150.00</b>	<b>250.00</b>	<b>9045.02</b>
6.	DoS				775.23									775.23
7.	Core Group												50.00	50.00
<b>8.</b>	<b>Grand total</b>	<b>3096.88</b>	<b>1046.57</b>	<b>449.45</b>	<b>1237.69</b>	<b>1287.51</b>	<b>418.41</b>	<b>272.75</b>	<b>600.39</b>	<b>828.58</b>	<b>182.02</b>	<b>150.00</b>	<b>300.00</b>	<b>9870.25</b>
		<b>(31.38%)</b>	<b>(10.60%)</b>	<b>(4.55%)</b>	<b>(12.54%)</b>	<b>(13.04%)</b>	<b>(4.24%)</b>	<b>(2.76%)</b>	<b>(6.08%)</b>	<b>(8.39%)</b>	<b>(1.84%)</b>	<b>(1.52%)</b>	<b>(3.04%)</b>	<b>(100%)</b>

\* does not include the loan component of Rs. 639.24 crore as indicated in Rajiv Gandhi package for boats

ICT: Information Communication Technology

DoS: Department of Shipping

The total fund requirement has been calculated on the basis of data of initial damage assessment.

**Annex-III**  
**(Refers to Paragraph 5.2.5)**

S. No.	State	Amount (Rupees in crore)	Remarks
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1.04	Rs. 71.53 lakh was released in excess in Krishna district on procurement of boats. In East Godavari district Rs. 32.80 lakh was sanctioned in excess of actual requirement on repair of damaged boats.
2.	Kerala	0.23	The RGRP <sup>1</sup> provided for subsidy at prescribed ceilings on unit cost for replacement of boats damaged. The government incurred excess expenditure of Rs. 23.48 lakh on provision of working capital to beneficiaries which was not envisaged in RGRP.
		0.22	Excess payment of Rs. 22.10 lakh was incurred by allowing higher rates to contractors on construction of 17 semi permanent sheds.
3.	Tamilnadu	2.35	Additional expenditure amounting to Rs. 2.35 crore was incurred in the districts of Villupuram (Rs. 1.45 crore) and Cuddalore (Rs. 89.55 lakh) on payment of relief at enhanced rates to FRP <sup>2</sup> catamarans.
		0.35	The Small Industries Development Corporation Ltd. (SIDCO) incurred excess expenditure of Rs. 35.31 lakh as SIDCO did not apply for TNGST/CST exemption on the purchase of trunks, kerosene stoves and stainless kudams for distribution to tsunami affected families. The finished products were despatched directly to affected districts without exercising quality checks and the scrap was not obtained from the agents resulting in loss of the proceeds from the sale of scrap.
		0.20	There was excess expenditure to the tune of Rs. 19.99 lakh in the districts of Cuddalore and Nagapattinam for supply of water on account of incorrect adoption of rates/higher rates and continuance of water supply even after repairing of damaged pipes .
4.	ANI	0.50	Rs. 19.99 lakh was paid to ANI Integrated Development Corporation Ltd. (ANIIDCO) for supply of vegetables without adjustment of advance payment of Rs. 50 lakh given in March 2005.
		0.06	Procurement of bicycles for distribution in Car Nicobar and Nancowry Islands by the Relief Commissioner (Supplies) in February 2005 on single tender basis resulted in excess expenditure of Rs. 5.82 lakh.
<b>Total</b>		<b>4.95</b>	

<sup>1</sup> Rajiv Gandhi Rehabilitation Package

<sup>2</sup> Fibre Reinforced Plastic

**Annex-IV**  
**(Refers to Paragraph 5.2.10.1)**

<b>Sl.no.</b>	<b>Amount (Rs in crore)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	2.40	Out of 450 MTs of skimmed milk powder, 325 MTs of milk powder, valued at Rs. 2.40 crore remained unutilised (March 2006).
2	6.38	Relief amount of Rs. 6.38 crore was disbursed to 3330 unregistered catamarans in four test checked districts.
3	0.08	Claim of free textbooks worth Rs. 8.46 lakh was settled without specific sanction.
4	0.03	Rs. 3.25 lakh was disbursed to 13 ice fish companies/ice manufacturing units for production loss and machines kept idle which did not qualify for such assistance.
5	0.14	In Nagapattinam district, compensation for damaged crops amounting to Rs. 14 lakh was paid (March 2005) to farmers who received compensation earlier for crop loss due to heavy rainfall in September-November 2004. After the flood of October-November 2004, there was no possibility of sowing or raising fresh crops in December 2004
6	0.78	Out of Rs. 1.10 crore received as donations/contributions, an amount of Rs. 77.60 lakh was utilised without specific sanction from the Government.
7	0.70	The state government issued orders (February 2005) to educational institutions to claim tuition fees and special fees payable from 1 January 2005 to the end of academic year 2005-06 in respect of tsunami affected students. In six test checked districts, though the students had paid the fees before 26 December 2004 for the whole academic year, full reimbursement was made to educational institutions in violation of government orders. Irregular claim of Rs. 54.22 lakh by colleges for reimbursement of tuition fees towards the fees paid prior to tsunami was accepted and the colleges did not pass on the benefit to the students. Excess claim of Rs. 15.66 lakh towards reimbursement of tuition fees was recommended.
<b>Total</b>	<b>10.51</b>	