### **CHAPTER V**

### **STAMP DUTY AND REGISTRATION FEES**

### 5.1 Tax administration

Receipts from stamp duty and registration fees are regulated under the Indian Stamp Act, 1899, (IS Act); Indian Registration Act, (IR Act) 1908. The Inspector General of Registration & Commissioner of Stamp Revenue (IGR & CSR) is the head of the Directorate of Registration and Stamp Revenue under the Finance (Revenue).

### 5.2 Internal audit

As on December 2017, the Department did not furnish details regarding Internal Audit Wing (IAW). Therefore, the performance of internal audit conducted by the Department could not be analysed.

### 5.3 Results of audit

In 2016-17, test check of the records of 57 units revealed non/short levy of stamp duty and registration fee etc. and other irregularities amounting to ₹ 18.53 crore in 193 cases, which fall under the categories given in **Table 5.1**.

### Table - 5.1

| <b>Results</b> of | f audit |
|-------------------|---------|
|-------------------|---------|

(₹ in crore) SI. Categories Number Amount No. of cases 1. Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees due 18 1.16 to misclassification of deed/property 2. Short assessment/realisation of stamp duty and 11 0.64 registration fees due to incorrect consideration of lease period Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees 2 3. due to omission of property details during 0.41 registration 7 0.34 4. Short levy of stamp duty due to incorrect particulars of amenities 5. Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees 2 012 due to irregular grant of remission 6. Others 153 15.86 Total 193 18.53

During the course of the year, the Department accepted non-realisation/blocking of revenue and other deficiencies of ₹ 1.27 crore in 108 cases, of which 102 cases involving ₹ 0.69 crore were pointed out during the year 2016-17 and the rest in earlier years. An amount of ₹ 33.21 lakh was realised in six cases at the instance of audit.

A few illustrative cases involving ₹ 50.57 crore are discussed in the following paragraphs.

## 5.4 Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees due to misclassification of instruments

In registration of nine deeds, stamp duty and registration fees was short-realised by ₹ 25.02 crore due to misclassification of instruments.

Stamp duty chargeable on an instrument depends upon the nature of instrument. The rate of stamp duty chargeable on an instrument is prescribed under Schedule IA of the Indian Stamp (IS) Act, 1899.

**5.4.1.** Article 63 of Schedule IA of the IS Act, 1899, provides that in case of instrument of transfer of lease by way of assignment, stamp duty applicable for sale/conveyance deed is chargeable on the market value of the properties. According to the clarification issued by IGR&CSR, West Bengal<sup>245</sup>, sub-lease for the entire unexpired remainder of term lease is treated as assignment of lease.

During scrutiny of deeds in two<sup>246</sup> Registration offices, Audit found<sup>247</sup> that two deeds of immovable properties involving market value of ₹ 10.82 crore were registered<sup>248</sup>. The Registering Authorities (RAs) classified these as sub-lease. On further scrutiny of both the deeds, Audit observed that the lessees were entitled to hold the properties on lease for a period of 99 years. Thereafter, the lessees sub-leased the properties to sub-lessees for the entire balance unexpired period of the original lease. Thus, the entire leasehold rights, title and interests of the lessees on the leasehold property was assigned to the sub-lessees in these cases. Accordingly, stamp duty and registration fees of ₹ 87.66 lakh, as calculated by Audit, was chargeable on the instruments on the market value of the properties. RAs, however, classified the instruments as sub-lease and realised stamp duty and registration fees of ₹ 0.40 lakh, on the basis of average annual rent and/or premium paid by the sub-lessees for the sub-lease. Thus, there was short levy of stamp duty and registration fees of ₹ 87.26 lakh.

The IGR&CSR, West Bengal, accepted the audit observations and stated<sup>249</sup> that, in both the cases, demand notices had been issued<sup>250</sup> by the RAs to the concerned parties. The cases were also referred to Deputy Inspector General of Registration (DIGR) for realisation of deficit stamp duty and registration fees. Demand notices were issued in October/December 2015. However, no recovery had been reported (February 2018).

This issue was brought to the notice of the Government in September 2017. Reply was awaited (February 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup> Memo No. CON-347/(28)/4C-54/2003 dated 19 December 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>246</sup> Additional Registrar of Assurances (ARA) I and II, Kolkata.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>247</sup> Between October 2015 and December 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>248</sup> Between November 2014 and December 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>249</sup> May 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup> Between October and December 2015.

**5.4.2.** Section 118 of the Transfer of Properties (TP) Act, 1882, provides that when two persons mutually transfer the ownership of one thing for the ownership of another, the transaction is called an exchange. Under Section 2 (24) (b) of the IS Act, 1899, settlement means any non-testamentary disposition, in writing, of movable or immovable property made for the purpose of distributing property of the settlor. Under Articles 31 and 58 of Schedule IA of the IS Act, same stamp duty applicable for the conveyance/sale deed is applicable in case of the exchange and settlement deeds. Under Section 122 of the TP Act, 1882, gift is the transfer of certain existing movable or immovable property made voluntarily and without any consideration, by donor to the donee, and accepted by or on behalf of the donee. Gift to family members attracts lower rate of stamp duty of 0.5 *per cent* of the market value of the property under Article 33 of Schedule IA of the IS Act.

During scrutiny of deeds in two<sup>251</sup> Registration offices, Audit found<sup>252</sup> that three gift deeds in favour of family members involving market value of properties of ₹ 5.03 crore were registered<sup>253</sup> in those offices. Of these, in two deeds registered under one<sup>254</sup> Registration office, the donees also made return gifts of movable properties to the donors in exchange of the gifted properties. Thus, the deeds should have been classified as deed of exchange by the RA instead of gift deeds. In the remaining case, the gifted property belonged to a private trust to which the donor was one of the trustees. The property belonged to the trust which was not dissolved by the trustees. Therefore, the trustee/donor could only transfer his/her rights on the property through settlement deed. In the instant case, however, the property of the trust was gifted by the trustee/donor in favour of family members. Therefore, the deed should have been classified as deed of settlement instead of gift deed. The RAs levied and realised stamp duty of ₹ 2.51 lakh instead of ₹ 35.19 lakh leviable on the market value of the properties. This resulted in short levy of stamp duty of ₹ 32.68 lakh.

The IGR&CSR, West Bengal accepted the audit observations and stated<sup>255</sup> that, in two cases, Additional District Sub-Registrar, Sealdah had been directed to issue notices to the parties and inform the matter to the concerned DIGR for realisation of deficit stamp duty. In the remaining case, it was stated that demand notice had been issued<sup>256</sup> by the RA to the concerned party and the matter had also been referred<sup>257</sup> to DIGR. However, no recovery has been reported (February 2018) even after lapse of more than 32 months.

This issue was brought to the notice of the Government in September 2017. Reply was awaited (February 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>251</sup> ADSR, Sealdah and ARA-I, Kolkata.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>252</sup> Between May 2014 and January 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>253</sup> Between January 2012 and December 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>254</sup> Additional District Sub-Registrar, Sealdah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>255</sup> May 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>256</sup> February 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>257</sup> April 2015.

**5.4.3.** Under Article 5 of Schedule IA of the IS Act, 1899, the rate of stamp duty on development agreement<sup>258</sup> depends upon the market value of the property. The maximum rate of stamp duty chargeable on development agreement is ₹ 0.75 lakh only. Under Article 23 of the IS Act, sale/conveyance deed of any property situated under a municipal corporation and having market value exceeding ₹ 25 lakh attracts stamp duty of seven *per cent* on the market value of the property.

During scrutiny of records of Additional Registrar of Assurances (ARA)-I, Kolkata, it was found<sup>259</sup> that four agreements of properties situated within the Kolkata Municipal Corporation were registered<sup>260</sup> as development agreements. The RA classified the deeds as development agreements and levied stamp duty of ₹ 0.75 lakh in each case.

Further, Audit observed in all the cases, the properties were actually conveyed to the developers and the owners did not have any right, title or interest on the said properties. In order to avoid payment of higher stamp duty on conveyance deed, development agreements were executed to obscure the real motive of the transaction. Thus, stamp duty and registration fees of ₹ 23.86 crore was leviable in these cases on the assessed market value of the properties of ₹ 294.63 crore by classifying them as sale deeds. Due to misclassification of deeds by the RA, however, stamp duty and registration fees of only ₹ 0.04 crore was levied. This resulted in short levy of stamp duty and registration fees of ₹ 23.82 crore.

The IGR&CSR, West Bengal accepted the audit observations and stated (June 2017) that directions had been issued to the RA to refer the cases to the concerned DIGR for realisation of deficit stamp duty and registration fees. Audit verified that all the cases had been referred<sup>261</sup> to the concerned DIGR as per the directions of the IGR&CSR. Report on realisation, however, had not been received (February 2018).

The matter was reported to the Government in July 2017. Reply was awaited (February 2018).

# 5.5 Short levy of stamp duty due to incorrect determination of lease period

In registration of 50 lease deeds, stamp duty and registration fees of ₹ 22.87 crore was short-levied due to incorrect determination of lease period.

Under Sections 6 (3) (a) (iv), 6 (3) (b) (ii) and 6 (3) (c) (ii) of the IS (West Bengal Amendment) Act,  $2012^{262}$ , where any lease purports to be for a term/any term renewed which exceeds 30 years, stamp duty applicable for conveyance/sale deed is applicable on the market value of the leased out property.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>258</sup> Article 5 of Schedule IA of the Act states that a development agreement is an agreement giving authority to a promoter or developer for construction on, or sale of, or transfer (in any manner whatsoever) of, any immovable property.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>259</sup> Between January 2015 and February 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>260</sup> Between August 2013 and October 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>261</sup> June 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>262</sup> Issued under Notification No. 42 L dated 8 January 2013 by Law Department, Government of West Bengal.

Further, explanation-II below the said Sections provides that, apart from the lease period stated in the lease document, any prior/subsequent period in continuation of the present lease shall also be added with the present lease period for the purpose of determination of the lease period, if the lessor and lessee for the said periods are same.

Stamp duty on lease deeds up to 30 years is chargeable on the basis of average annual rent and/or premium paid for the lease. Further, Section 27 of the IS Act, 1899, provides that the consideration and all other facts and circumstances affecting the chargeability of any instruments with duty shall be fully and truly set forth therein.

During scrutiny of the lease deeds, Audit found<sup>263</sup> that 50 lease deeds involving market value of ₹ 333.58 crore were registered<sup>264</sup> under five<sup>265</sup> Registering Authorities (RAs). In these cases, leases were granted for a period ranging between 20 and 30 years. Further, Audit observed that in one case, renewal of the lease for a period of 30 years was registered in continuation of the previous lease of 25 years. In another case, the lessor had executed and registered two lease deeds on the same day in favour of a lessee for a period of 30 years each, with consecutive effect. The lessor, however, in order to avoid payment of higher stamp duty, did not disclose this fact in the recitals of the deeds.

In remaining 48 cases, the lease deeds contained specific clauses of automatic renewal/ further renewal of the leases for the subsequent period ranging between 30 and 99 years. Therefore, the RAs, while determining the actual lease period, were required to take into consideration the previous or subsequent lease periods<sup>266</sup>. Thus, due to incorrect determination of lease periods by the RAs, stamp duty of ₹ 0.46 crore was levied in those cases on the basis of the average annual rent/premium paid for the leases instead of ₹ 23.33 crore leviable, on the market value of the properties. This resulted in short levy of stamp duty of ₹ 22.87 crore.

The IGR&CSR, West Bengal accepted the audit observations. IGR&CSR further stated<sup>267</sup> that in six cases involving ₹ 13.48 crore, demand notices had been issued<sup>268</sup> by the RAs. In six cases involving ₹ 1.59 crore, the matter had been referred to the concerned DIGR by the RAs for realisation of deficit stamp duty. In the remaining 38 cases involving ₹ 7.80 crore, the concerned RAs had been directed to refer the cases to the concerned DIGR. Report on realisation, however, had not been received (February 2018).

The matter was reported to the Government in July 2017. Their reply was awaited (February 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>263</sup> Between December 2014 and December 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>264</sup> Between August 2013 and March 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>265</sup> ADSR, Asansol; Additional Registrar of Assurances-(ARA)-I, II &III, Kolkata and DSR, Malda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>266</sup> As per explanation-II below Section 6 of the IS (West Bengal Amendment) Act, 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>267</sup> June 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>268</sup> Between February 2015 and December 2015.

# 5.6 Stamp duty and registration fees in respect of deeds containing several distinct matters not levied

In registration of three deeds containing several distinct matters, stamp duty and registration fees of  $\gtrless$  1.61 crore was not levied.

Under Section 5 of the IS Act, 1899, if any instrument contains several distinct matters, it shall be chargeable with the aggregate amount of the duties applicable on each instrument containing such matters.

Article 5 of Schedule IA of the Act states that a development agreement is an agreement giving authority to a promoter or developer for construction on, or sale of, or transfer (in any manner whatsoever) of, any immovable property. Further, Section 2(24)(b) of the IS Act states that 'settlement' means any non-testamentary<sup>269</sup> disposition, in writing, of movable or immovable property made for the purpose of distributing property of the settlor among his family or those for whom he desires to provide, or for the purpose of providing for some person dependent on him.

Audit observed<sup>270</sup> in the office of Additional Registrar of Assurances-I & II, Kolkata that three deeds were registered<sup>271</sup> as development agreements. Stamp duty of ₹ 0.75 lakh was levied on registration of each deed. Audit observed in recital of one deed that the share of the owner and the developer was 30 *per cent* and 70 *per cent* respectively in 48 land properties and 10 units of constructed properties of flats/shops/ garages. Thus, the deed contained two separate distinct matters. One for 'settlement' by means of the distribution of share out of constructed flats/shops/garages having market value ₹ 14.03 crore<sup>272</sup> and another for development agreement on the property.

In another case, the developer agreed to pay  $\stackrel{\textbf{R}}{\phantom{t}}$  6.50 crore as amount of compensation to the third party to withdraw litigation. The third party was neither the owner of the property nor the developer of the property. Thus, the instant development deed also contained another distinct matter of 'settlement' with third party.

In the remaining case, the agreement included a third party who was neither the developer nor the owner of the property. The market value of the property involved was ₹ 8.95 crore. The allocation of share agreed upon by the owner, the developer and the third party was 10 *per cent*, 50 *per cent* and 40 *per cent* respectively. Thus, the deed not only was a 'development agreement' between the 'owner' and the 'developer' but also included distinct matter of 'ownership'<sup>273</sup> between the 'owner' and the 'third party' by entrusting 40 *per cent* of the share.

This resulted in overall non-realisation of revenue of ₹ 1.61 crore (stamp duty ₹ 1.39 crore and registration fee ₹ 21.89 lakh).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>269</sup> Non-testamentary disposition is the disposition or transfer of property that takes effect upon execution of the deed by the person making it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>270</sup> December 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>271</sup> Between April 2014 and September 2014.

SDRF of ₹79.55 lakh is leviable on ₹9.82 crore (70 *per cent* of ₹14.03 crore).

SDRF of  $\mathbf{E}$  28.99 lakh is leviable under Article 23 of the Schedule IA of IS Act.

ARA-II, Kolkata contested the case involving land properties and constructed properties of flats/shops/garages stating that the deed was a development agreement, not a deed of settlement. The reply was not tenable. Distribution of share between owners and developers in constructed flats/shops/garages (already registered sale deeds executed between the year 2005 and 2008) was not for future development but a settlement. In remaining two cases, the ARA-I accepted the audit observations.

The matter was reported to the Government in July 2017. Reply was awaited (February 2018).

# 5.7 Allowance of incorrect exemption of stamp duty on assignment of copyright

In registration of a deed of assignment of copy right, incorrect exemption of stamp duty of  $\gtrless$  54 lakh was allowed by the Registering Authority.

Clause (a) under the Article 23 of Schedule IA to the IS Act, 1899, as applicable in West Bengal provides that assignment of copyright by entry made under Section 18<sup>274</sup> of the Indian Copyright (IC) Act, 1957, is exempted from stamp duty. Correction of entries made in the register of copyright is permissible under Section 49 of the IC Act. Under Section 50A of the IC Act, every such correction made shall be published by the Registrar of Copyright in the Official Gazette or in such manner as may be prescribed.

Audit observed<sup>275</sup> from the records of the Additional Registrar of Assurances (ARA)-III, Kolkata that one deed of assignment of copyright was registered in January 2015. The assignor assigned the copyright in favour of the assignee at a consideration of  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  9 crore by executing the deed of assignment on non-judicial stamp paper of  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  300. On further scrutiny of records, Audit observed that the stamp duty payable on the deed of assignment was assessed at  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  54 lakh through the Computerisation of Registration of Documents (CORD) software. Audit also observed that while registering the deed, the RA exempted the stamp duty in full, on the basis of provision contained in clause (a) below Article 23 of Schedule-IA of the IS Act. The said exemption was allowable only if the assignment had been done by entry made under the IC Act. The RA failed to produce the copy of gazette notification issued under Section 50A of the IC Act or any other document, on the basis of which such exemption was allowed. This resulted in allowance of incorrect exemption of stamp duty of  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  54 lakh.

IGR&CSR, West Bengal accepted the audit observation and stated<sup>276</sup> that ARA-III had referred the matter to the concerned DIGR.

The matter was reported to the Government in July 2017. Reply was awaited (February 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>274</sup> Section 18 of IC Act deals with assignment of copyright where the owner of the copyright may assign to any person the copyright.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>275</sup> December 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>276</sup> June 2017.

# 5.8 Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees due to misclassification of property

Registering Authorities misclassified 10 commercial properties as residential and semicommercial properties. This resulted in short levy of stamp duty and registration fees of  $\gtrless$  31.67 lakh.

As per Rule 3B of the West Bengal Stamp (Prevention of Undervaluation of Instruments) Rules, 2001, read with the business process of CORD software, market value of flats will be enhanced according to the type of use, namely, residential, semicommercial and commercial. Market value of semi-commercial and commercial property shall be one and half times and two and half times of the market value of the residential property respectively.

Audit observed<sup>277</sup> from CORD data in the office of four<sup>278</sup> RAs that in 10 deeds<sup>279</sup>, the RAs classified the properties mentioned in four deeds as residential property and that in six deeds, as semi-commercial property. Stamp duty was assessed and realised accordingly. From recitals of the deeds, Audit found that the properties were misclassified. The purpose of use of the property was categorically written in these deeds as commercial in place of residential/semi-commercial. This resulted in short determination of market value with consequent short realisation of stamp duty and registration fees of ₹ 31.67 lakh as detailed in the following table:

| Sl.<br>No. | Nature of<br>misclassification                                 | No. of<br>cases/<br>deeds | Market value<br>of property<br>assessed | Market<br>value of<br>property<br>assessable | Short<br>determination<br>of market<br>value | Short<br>levy of<br>SDRF |
|------------|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| 1.         | Residential property<br>in place of commercial<br>property     | 4                         | 101.17                                  | 252.91                                       | 151.74                                       | 12.12                    |
| 2.         | Semi-commercial<br>property in place of<br>commercial property | 6                         | 363.65                                  | 606.09                                       | 242.44                                       | 19.55                    |
|            | Total  | 10                        | 464.82                                  | 859.00                                       | 394.18                                       | 31.67                    |

(Fin labh)

Table - 5.2Misclassification of property

Two<sup>280</sup> RAs accepted<sup>281</sup> audit observations in five cases involving ₹ 28.90 lakh. They however, did not furnish any report on realisation. In the remaining cases, reply was awaited (February 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>277</sup> Between January 2015 and December 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>278</sup> ADSR, Chandannagar and ARA-I, II&III, Kolkata.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>279</sup> Executed and registered between 2011 and 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>280</sup> ARA-I&II, Kolkata.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>281</sup> Between February 2015 and December 2015.

The matter was reported to the Government in July 2017. Reply was awaited (February 2018).

# 5.9 Short levy of stamp duty and registration fees due to short determination of market value of roof right

Stamp duty and registration fees of ₹ 21.15 lakh in case of registration of 28 flats was short-realised due to incorrect determination of market value of roof rights.

In terms of Rule 123 of West Bengal Registration Rules, 1962, every person shall submit a duly filled in requisition form to the Registration office corresponding to the subject matter of a deed for determination of market value (MV) of the property. After entering all particulars of such requisition form in the computer, the registering office shall take steps to generate an assessment slip automatically. The slip shall contain therein market value of the property, stamp duty and registration fees to be paid and other particulars. The RA shall verify such entries mentioned in the requisition form and scrutinise the assessment slip so generated.

Rule 3C (4b) of the West Bengal Stamp (Prevention of Undervaluation of Instruments) [WBS (PUI)] Rules, 2001, read with the business process of CORD software provides that in determining the MV of roof right<sup>282</sup> the following procedure may be adopted:

(i) When the right of construction would be given over the roof of a residential flat, MV of roof right may be determined on 60 *per cent* of MV of the flat.

(ii) When such right of construction would not be given over the roof, MV may be determined on 40 *per cent* of the MV of the residential flat of that locality only for the right to use the said roof.

Audit observed<sup>283</sup> from analysis of CORD data of seven<sup>284</sup> Registration offices that:

- In assessment slips<sup>285</sup> in respect of 27 flats out of 28 flats registered between 2011 and 2014, there was mention of 'right to use the roofs only' (without right of construction) as roof right of the flats. Audit, however, found from recitals of deeds that right of construction over the roof was also given to the purchasers.
- In the case of one flat out of the 28 flats, registered in 2013, assessment slip did not have any information in respect of roof right, though right to use roof of the flat was specified in the deed. RAs did not cross-verify the accuracy of such declarations with those mentioned in the recitals of the deeds before assessing the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>282</sup> Right over the roof of a residential flat for use or further construction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>283</sup> Between May 2014 and February 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>284</sup> ADSR, Alipore; ADSR, Asansol; ADSR, Sealdah; ARA–I, II & III, Kolkata and DSR, Howrah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>285</sup> Generated on the basis of particulars furnished by the purchasers.

MV of the roof right. They accepted the declarations made in assessment slips to be correct. Thus,

- Stamp duty and registration fees in case of registration of roof right in 27 flats (out of 28 flats) was realised at 40 *per cent* instead of on 60 *per cent* of the MV of flats.
- In case of one flat (out of 28 flats), no stamp duty and registration fees on registration of roof right was realised.

This resulted in short determination of market value of roof right by  $\gtrless$  2.65 crore with consequent short levy of stamp duty and registration fees of  $\gtrless$  21.15 lakh.

Three<sup>286</sup> RAs accepted the audit observations in 15 cases involving ₹ 7.65 lakh.

The matter was reported to the Government in July 2017. Reply was awaited (February 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>286</sup> ADSR, Alipore; ADSR, Sealdah and ARA-II, Kolkata.