

Appendix-1.1

(Refer paragraph-1.1, Page-1)

Statement of list of Departments

Sl. No.	Name of the Department
1.	Agriculture
2.	Agriculture Marketing
3.	Animal Resources Development
4.	Bio-Technology
5.	Commerce and Industries
6.	Consumer Affairs
7.	Co-operation
8.	Environment
9.	Fisheries, Aqua-culture, Aquatic Resources and Fishing Harbours
10.	Food Processing Industries and Horticulture
11.	Forest
12.	Hill Affairs
13.	Information Technology & Electronics
14.	Irrigation and Waterways
15.	Land and Land Reforms
16.	Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises & Textiles
17.	North Bengal Development
18.	Paschimanchal Unnayan Affairs
19.	Power and Non-Conventional Energy Sources
20.	Public Enterprises and Industrial Reconstruction
21.	Public Works
22.	Science and Technology
23.	Sericulture
24.	Sundarban Affairs
25.	Tourism
26.	Transport
27.	Water Resources Investigation and Development

Appendix – 1.2

(Refer paragraph-1.3, Page-2)

Statement of list of Autonomous Bodies

Sl. No.	Name of the Department	Name of the Autonomous Bodies
1.	Agriculture Marketing	West Bengal State Marketing Board
2.	Animal Resources Development	West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Science
		West Bengal Veterinary Council
		Paschim Banga Go-Sampad Bikash Sanstha
3.	Environment	East Kolkata Wetland Management Authority
		Institute of Environmental Studies and Wetland Management
		West Bengal Pollution Control Board
		West Bengal Bio-Diversity Board
4.	Forest	Compensatory Afforestation Fund Management and Planning Authority
5.	Hill Affairs	Gorkhaland Territorial Administration including Nurses Training School
6.	Micro Small and Medium Enterprises & Textiles	Food Craft Institute
		West Bengal Khadi and Village Industries Board
		West Bengal State Export Promotion Society
		Modern Mini Tool Room and Training Centre
7.	Power and Non-Conventional Energy Sources	West Bengal Renewable Energy Development Agency
8.	Public Works	Commissioners for the Rabindra Setu
9.	Science and Technology	West Bengal State Council of Science and Technology
10.	Transport	Hooghly River Bridge Commissioners

Appendix – 1.3
(Refer paragraph-1.6.2, Page-3)

Departments who did not submit suo-motu replies with number of paras/reviews involved

Sl. No.	Name of the Department	Number of paras/reviews involved in reports for the years					
		Upto 1998-99	Upto 2012-13	Upto 2013-14	Upto 2014-15	Upto 2015-16	Total
1.	Agriculture	6	2	1	-	-	9
2.	Agriculture Marketing	-	1	-	-	-	1
3.	Animal Resources Development	-	3	-	-	-	3
4.	Commerce and Industries	-	1	-	-	-	1
5.	Consumer Affairs	-	-	-	2	-	2
6.	Co-operation	-	5	-	-	-	5
7.	Fisheries	-	1	-	1	-	2
8.	Forest	-	3	1	-	-	4
9.	Irrigation and Waterways	27	6	1	-	-	34
10.	Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises & Textiles	-	1	-	-	-	1
11.	Paschimanchal Unnayan Affairs	-	1	-	-	-	1
12.	Public Enterprises and Industrial Reconstruction	-	2	-	-	-	2
13.	Public Works	-	6	1	3	-	10
14.	Public Works (Roads)	-	3	2	1	-	6
15.	Power and Non-Conventional Energy Sources	-	4	-	-	-	4
16.	Transport	-	-	1	2	-	3
17.	Water Resources Investigation and Development	1	1	-	-	-	2
	Total	34	40	7	9	-	90

Appendix – 1.4

(Refer paragraph-1.6.2, Page-3)

Statement showing significant recommendations of PAC against which Action Taken Notes were outstanding from Departments

Year of Audit report with para number	PAC report number and year	Name of the department(s)	Gist of the Audit Para	Recommendations of PAC
4.3.3 of AR 2003-04	48 th PAC Report 2005-06	PWD and PWD (Roads)	Payment of price escalation by the Executive Engineers ignoring contract provision led to inadmissible payment of ₹ 5.47 crore to contractors.	The Department should make due efforts to recover the excess payments on account of price escalation from the contractors as quickly as possible and report to the Committee within six months positively.
4.9 of AR 2000-01 Vol-I	10 th PAC Report 2007-08	Public Works (Roads)	Though initial technical bid of March 1995 was cancelled and fresh technical bid was opened in August 1996, the EE, 24 Parganas Highway Division paid price escalation with reference to March 1995 (base month) resulting in excess payment of ₹ 62.29 lakh to the contractor	The Committee recommended that the department should investigate the matter thoroughly in order to find out the person/persons responsible for excess payment of ₹ 62.29 lakh and recover the same from the contractor
4.3.3 of AR 2002-03	15 th PAC Report 2007-08	Public Works (Roads)	Arbitrary recommendation made by the Chief Engineer, Public Works (Roads) Directorate for acceptance of abnormally higher rates than that quoted by the agency in the work of widening and strengthening of Calcutta-Basanti road at 53 KMP to 86 KMP (length 33 kms) of South 24 Parganas district resulted in undue benefit of ₹ 1.53 crore to the agency	Considering the gravity of the matter, the Committee recommended that the matter be referred without delay to the Vigilance Commissioner for thorough investigation. The Committee also desired that the Commission should be requested to leave no stone unturned to divulge the facts and thereby submit the report with in three months.

Year of Audit report with para number	PAC report number and year	Name of the departments(s)	Gist of the Audit Para	Recommendation of PAC
4.3.1 of AR 2006-07	4 th PAC Report 2012-13	Co-operation	The Co-operation Department incurred an additional expenditure of ₹ 50.68 crore towards payment of undue subsidy for extra financial benefits extended by BENFED to the millers, transporters <i>etc.</i> , against the procurement orders placed on it by F&S department.	The Committee recommended that the Department may take up the matters of field level verification and admissibility of the claims in respect whereof payments have been made to the BENFED as subsidy, with the food and Supplies Department and if any, over payment is detected, necessary steps may be taken for recovery thereof.
3.2.4.1 of AR 2009-10	9 th PAC Report 2013-14	Transport	The Department's failure to include a suitable clause in the agreement to enhance the consideration amount taking into account the annual growth of traffic is inexplicable. The undue favour thus extended to the toll operator resulted in loss of revenue to the extent of ₹ 12.69 crore till March, 2010.	The Committee recommended that an immediate inquiry should be instituted to determine the role played by the Department in respect of the following: (i) Acceptance of tender price as offered by the agency at a price far below the reserve prices fixed by both the RITES Ltd. and Finance Department without putting in place any mechanism to crosscheck the agencies' own assessment of traffic growth for ascertaining the extent of projected annual traffic growth involved in the offer price meant for the entire period of contract before finalizing the Tender. (ii) Modification of NIT Clause in the Tender Document as regards mode of submission of financial offer without protecting the interest of the Government. (iii) Deficiency in the agreement which did not include any suitable clause for periodic revision of consideration amount on the basis of annual traffic growth.

Year of Audit report with para number	PAC report number and year	Name of the departments(s)	Gist of the Audit Para	Recommendation of PAC
3.4.6 of AR 2010-11	10 th PAC Report 2014-15	Food Processing Industries & Horticulture and Commerce & Industries	Failure of the Corporation in ensuring temporary relocation of vendors, and lack of initiative, coordinated effort and ineffective monitoring on implementation of the project coupled with delay in obtaining clearances from the appropriate authorities resulted in idling of expenditure of ₹ 1.84 crore, while expenditure of ₹ 78.37 lakh became infructuous. Besides, the State Government incurred a liability of penal interest of ₹ 1.88 crore. The objective of creation of modern export infrastructure facilities for the flower market was not achieved.	The Committee recommended that the Department should take prompt and appropriate administrative and legal steps to find out the reasons behind the cancellation of the Mallickghat flower Market Project under the ASIDE Scheme by the Government of India and to fix up the responsibilities of the officials/functionaries of the Department, WBSFPHDCL and other agencies concerned and take suitable disciplinary as well as penal measures against the defaulters so that such incidents may not recur.

Appendix – 1.5

(Refer paragraph-1.6.3, Page-4)

Statement of department-wise break up of outstanding IRs and Paras

Name of the Department	Number of IRs/Paragraphs pending as of 31 August 2017	
	IRs	Paragraphs
Agriculture	209	630
Agriculture Marketing	33	67
Animal Resources Development	64	148
Bio-Technology	1	7
Commerce & Industries	4	8
Consumer Affairs	6	11
Co-operation	44	111
Environment	4	9
Fisheries, Aqua-culture, Aquatic Resources and Fishing Harbours	38	83
Food Processing Industries & Horticulture	3	6
Forest	13	39
Hill Affairs	7	26
Irrigation and Waterways	56	147
Land and Land Reforms	24	70
Micro and Small Scale Enterprises & Textiles	54	131
North Bengal Development	3	27
Paschimanchal Unnayan Affairs	1	6
Power & Non-conventional Energy Sources	1	3
Public Enterprises and Industrial Reconstruction	10	20
Public Works	98	241
Public Works (Social Sector)	19	35
Public Works (Roads)	40	111
Science & Technology	4	8
Transport	11	50
Water Resources Investigation and Development	57	134
Total	804	2128

Appendix-3.1
(Refer paragraph-3.1.15, Page-51)

Statement showing shortfall in number of tests of Non-Bituminous items during execution of work.

GSB

Name of the tests	Range of percentage of test not done				
	0-25	25-50	50-75	75-99	100
Gradation test	5	7	4	-	2
Atterberg test (PI & LL)	1	-	2	1	35
Deleterious content test	-	-	-	-	40
Moisture content test	1	2	1	2	34
Density of compacted layer test	1	2	4	11	6
CBR test	-	-	-	-	40

WBM

Name of the tests	Range of percentage of test not done				
	0-25	25-50	50-75	75-99	100
AIV test	-	-	3	2	5
Grading test	1	1	5	-	1
CF & E Test	-	2	4	-	7

WMM

Name of the tests	Range of percentage of test not done				
	0-25	25-50	50-75	75-99	100
Gradation	4	14	14	10	2
CF & E test	1	6	7	7	27
Density of compacted layer	1	6	17	19	5
AIV Test	6	4	4	10	18

Appendix-3.2
(Refer paragraph-3.1.16, Page-51)

Statement showing Shortfall in number of tests of Bituminous items during execution of work.

BM

Name of the tests	Range of percentage of test not done				
	0-25	25-50	50-75	75-99	100
AIV Test	4	5	12	11	14
CF & E	3	3	8	12	20
Stripping and coating	-	-	-	-	48
Water absorption	-	-	-	-	45
Grading	4	3	11	4	4
Binder content	3	4	4	8	4
Temperature monitoring	-	-	-	-	29
Density of compacted layer	2	1	6	26	8
Water sensitivity test	-	-	-	-	14

DBM

Name of the tests	Range of percentage of test not done				
	0-25	25-50	50-75	75-99	100
AIV	-	2	2	5	2
CF & E	-	2	1	5	4
Water absorption	-	-	-	-	11
Stripping and coating	-	-	-	-	11
Grading	2	1	-	1	-
Stability and void analysis	-	2	1	2	5
Temperature monitoring	-	-	-	-	8
Density of compacted layer	2	1	4	4	1
Binder content	3	2	1	1	-
Quality of binder test	1	-	-	-	11

SDBC

Name of the tests	Range of percentage of test not done				
	0-25	25-50	50-75	75-99	100
AIV	-	1	1	1	18
CF & E	-	-	1	2	19
Water absorption	-	-	-	-	22
Coating and stripping	-	-	-	-	24
Stability and void analysis	-	-	2	-	22
Grading	1	4	3	1	5
Temperature monitoring	-	-	-	-	20
Binder content test	2	1	3	2	7

Name of the tests	Range of percentage of test not done				
	0-25	25-50	50-75	75-99	100
Density of compacted layer	3	2	2	5	12
Quality of binder test	-	-	-	-	22
Soundness test	-	-	-	-	6
Moisture susceptibility	-	-	-	-	6

BC

Name of the tests	Range of percentage of test not done				
	0-25	25-50	50-75	75-99	100
AIV	-	1	3	3	3
CF & E	-	1	2	3	4
Grading	1	-	2	-	1
Water absorption	-	-	-	-	9
Stripping and coating	-	-	-	-	9
Stability and void analysis	1	2	-	2	3
Temperature monitoring	-	-	-	-	6
Binder content test	-	2	3	1	-
Density of compacted layer	-	2	3	5	1
Quality of binder test	-	-	-	-	9

Mastic Asphalt

Name of the tests	Range of percentage of test not done				
	0-25	25-50	50-75	75-99	100
Stripping value	-	-	-	-	2
Grading	-	-	-	-	1
Temperature monitoring	-	-	-	-	1
Hardness number	-	-	-	-	1
Water sensitivity	-	-	-	-	2
Water absorption	-	-	-	-	1
Soundness test	-	-	-	-	2

MSS & OGPC

Name of the tests	Range of percentage of test not done				
	0-25	25-50	50-75	75-99	100
AIV	-	1	-	2	10
CF & E	-	1	2	2	10
Grading	-	-	4	3	7
Temperature monitoring	-	-	-	-	12
Water absorption test	-	-	-	-	13
Coating and stripping	-	-	-	-	15
Binder content test	1	-	1	6	5