

Annexes

Annex-1.1

(Refer to paragraph 1.5)

State-wise list of new AIIMS and Government Medical Colleges/Institutions covered under this Performance Audit

Sl. No.	Name of the State	PMSSY Phase	AIIMS/GMCI	Name of the new AIIMS/GMCIs
1.	Bihar	I	AIIMS	AIIMS, Patna, Bihar.
		III	GMCI	Government Medical College, Darbhanga, Bihar.
		III	GMCI	Sri Krishna Medical College, Muzaffarpur, Bihar.
2.	Chhattisgarh	I	AIIMS	AIIMS, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3.	Gujarat	I	GMCI	BJMC, Ahmedabad, Gujarat.
		III	GMCI	PDU Government Medical College, Rajkot, Gujarat
4.	Haryana	II	GMCI	Rohtak Medical College, Rohtak, Haryana
5.	Himachal Pradesh	II	GMCI	RPMC, Tanda, Himachal Pradesh.
6.	Jammu and Kashmir	I	GMCI	JMC, Jammu; Jammu and Kashmir.
7.	Jharkhand	I	GMCI	RIMS, Ranchi, Jharkhand.
		III	GMCI	Patliputra Medical College, Dhanbad, Jharkhand.
8.	Karnataka	I	GMCI	BMC, Bangalore, Karnataka.
9.	Madhya Pradesh	I	AIIMS	AIIMS, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh.
		III	GMCI	Gajra Raja Medical College, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh.
10.	Maharashtra	II	GMCI	GMC, Nagpur, Maharashtra.
		I	GMCI	GMC, Mumbai, Maharashtra.
11.	Odisha	I	AIIMS	AIIMS, Bhubaneswar, Orissa.
12.	Punjab	II	GMCI	GMC, Amritsar, Punjab.
13.	Rajasthan	I	AIIMS	AIIMS, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
		III	GMCI	Government Medical College, Kota, Rajasthan.
14.	Tamil Nadu	I	GMCI	GMC, Salem, Tamil Nadu.
15.	Telangana	I	GMCI	NIMS, Hyderabad, Telangana.
16.	Uttar Pradesh	II	AIIMS	AIIMS, Rae Bareli, Uttar Pradesh.
		II	GMCI	AMU, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh.
		I	GMCI	IMS, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh.
17.	Uttarakhand	I	AIIMS	AIIMS, Rishikesh, Uttarakhand.
	Total	26	7-AIIMS; 19-GMCIs	

Annex-3.1

(Refer to paragraph 3.6)

Idling of funds

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Name of new AIIMS/GMCI	Audit observation	Amount
1.	JNMC-Aligarh	MoHRD transferred (October 2012) ₹ 25.00 crore to JNMC as one-time additional grant. During 2012-17, JNMC incurred an expenditure of ₹ 12.35 crore and the balance of ₹ 12.65 crore remained unutilized in bank.	12.65
2.	NIMS-Hyderabad	Due to non-finalisation of bills to the executive agency and non-procurement of equipment proposed in gap analysis/DPR an amount of ₹ 12.83 crore was available with NIMS.	12.81
3.	RIMS-Ranchi	In the accounts of RIMS-Ranchi, ₹ 8.51 crore was lying unutilized for the period February 2009 to October 2012.	8.51
4.	RPGMC-Tanda	The Ministry in August 2013, transferred an amount of ₹ 10 crore to RPGMC-Tanda for execution of civil works, but the Institution was unaware of the money having been deposited till January 2015. This resulted in blockade of funds between August 2013 to January 2015. RPGMC-Tanda, in its reply (June 2017) stated that no letter regarding transfer of funds was received from Ministry. Reply of the Institution indicated that regular reconciliation with the bank was not being done.	10.00
5.	IMS-Varanasi	MoHRD released (February 2007) ₹ 20.00 crore to IMS-Varanasi for upgradation. Out of which the Institution transferred ₹ 18.70 crore to M/s HLL for procurement of equipment during 2012-14. Thus, ₹ 18.70 crore remained idle during 2007-12.	18.70
		Ministry released (December 2008) ₹ 1.18 crore to the Institute for procurement of equipment. However, the funds were kept idle in current account of the Institution till July 2014. It was stated that the grant was meant for HDR Brachytherapy of Department of Radiology and remained untraced for more than five years.	1.18
Total			63.85

Annex-3.2

(Refer to paragraph 3.8)

Diversion of funds

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Name of the new AIIMS/GMCI	Details	Amount
1.	BJMC-Ahmedabad	The Ministry released ₹ 18.68 crore to BJMC Ahmedabad for procurement of equipment. Out of which an amount of ₹ 3.59 crore was utilised for Comprehensive Maintenance Contract and purchase of consumable items by the Civil Hospital of BJMC.	3.59
2.	BMCRI-Bangalore	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds amounting to ₹ 4.84 crore meant for procurement was diverted towards civil works. Funds amounting to ₹ 3.07 crore meant for computerisation was diverted for Centralised Air Conditioning. Funds amounting to ₹ 0.91 crore meant for computerisation of Institute was diverted for minor civil works. A Comprehensive Hospital Management System was proposed at an estimated cost of ₹ 5 crore. However, ₹ 3.98 crore was diverted for Centralised Air Conditioning and additional minor works. 	4.84 3.07 0.91 3.98
3.	NIMS-Hyderabad	Ministry had released an amount of ₹ 7.68 crore for procurement of equipment indicated in gap analysis approved by JIPMER. But, NIMS utilised this amount for installation of Gas Manifold system at a cost of ₹ 8.58 crore including State Government funds of ₹ 90 lakh.	7.68
4.	RIMS-Ranchi	M/s HLL had procured and supplied 56 equipment like ICU Ventilator, ABG Machine, Colour Doppler etc. costing ₹ 2.64 crore for installation in nine different upgraded departments of RIMS, Ranchi. It was, however, noted that these equipment were diverted for use in the other departments.	2.64
Total			26.71

Annex-3.3

(Refer to paragraph 3.9)

Outstanding Utilization Certificates

(*₹ in crore*)

Sl. No.	Name of the GMCI	Details	Amount
1.	JNMC-Aligarh	MHRD released ₹ 25.00 crore (October 2012) for JNMC-Aligarh against which the expenditure of ₹ 12.35 crore was incurred during 2012-17. It was noticed that UCs for ₹ 12.35 crore were not submitted by the JNMC-Aligarh to MHRD as of March 2017.	12.35
2.	BMCRI-Bangalore	The Institute had not submitted utilisation certificate (UC) for the amounts released by the State Government under the PMSSY scheme to the tune of ₹ 6.79 crore.	6.79
3.	NIMS-Hyderabad	Out of ₹ 60.20 crore released by State Government and utilised by NIMS-Hyderabad, UC submitted for ₹ 31.18 crore, resulting in non-submission of UC for ₹ 29.02 crore to the end of July 2017.	29.02
4.	Pt. BDS, PGIMS-Rohtak	Out of the total release of ₹ 42.75 crore, an expenditure of ₹ 21.01 crore was made. However, the UC for the same were not submitted.	21.01
5.	GMKMC-Salem	Ministry released (March 2011) ₹ 4.27 crore to the GMKMC-Salem which deposited (July 2011) the amount with TNMSC for procurement and supply of equipment. TNMSC supplied and installed (April 2010 to November 2014) the equipment. GMC did not send UC to the Ministry, which resulted in non-receipt of balance fund of ₹ 3.65 crore under the head 'equipment'.	4.27
6.	RPGMC-Tanda	Funds amounting to ₹ 42.50 crore were received by Dr. RPGMC from Ministry between November 2011 and November 2014. These funds were further released to the executing agencies between March 2013 and March 2015. Audit noticed that the UCs/Completion Certificates were not obtained by the Institute as on June 2017. Non-submission of UCs resulted in non-release of ₹ 10.00 crore by the Ministry which were meant for civil works.	42.50
7.	IMS-Varanasi	(i) In IMS, Varanasi an expenditure of ₹ 86.23 crore was incurred (March 2017) by CPWD on civil and electrical works. However, CPWD furnished UC for ₹ 1.59 crore only up to 2016-17 and the UC for balance amount of ₹ 84.64 crore was not furnished so for (March 2017).	84.64
		(ii) During scrutiny of records, it was observed that, in spite of an expenditure of ₹ 21.20 crore and ₹ 13.20 crore incurred by HLL for procurement of Medical equipment for IMS-Varanasi and JNMC-Aligarh respectively, UCs were not submitted by the Executive Agency to the Ministry.	34.40
Total			234.98

Annex-3.4
(Refer to paragraph 3.11)

Avoidable/Irregular/Excess payment made by new AIIMS/GMCIs

(₹ in crore)

S. No.	Name of new AIIMS/GMCI	Observation	Amount
1.	AIIMS-Bhopal	Avoidable payment of electricity demand charges The Institute incurred an avoidable expenditure of ₹ 1.61 crore on accounts of electricity bills due to injudicious assessment of power consumption and non-maintenance of required power factor.	1.61
		Irregular payment of Service Tax As per Notification No 25/2012 - service tax dated 20 th June, 2012 (Point No. 9) of the Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue; services provided to or by an educational institution in respect of auxiliary educational services ¹ , were exempted from payment of service tax from 1 st July 2012. AIIMS, Bhopal, made payment of ₹ 41 lakh towards service tax in respect of services provided by different agencies for security, cleaning and house-keeping services during the period of January 2013 to October 2015 thus incurring an irregular expenditure of ₹ 41 lakh. The Institute stated (June 2017) that they had stopped the payment of service tax since October 2015. However, the facts remain that the Institute has not initiated any action for recovery/refund of irregularly paid service tax from the service provider.	0.41
2.	AIIMS-Bhubaneswar	Avoidable payment of electricity demand charges Against the contracted demand of 1,000 KVA, the actual consumption of electricity ranged between 13 <i>per cent</i> to 87 <i>per cent</i> during January 2014 to March 2015, attracting power factor penalty. Therefore, an amount of ₹ 26.33 lakh had to be paid as penalty to CESU on this account.	0.26
3.	AIIMS-Patna	Avoidable payment of electricity demand charges As per South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited tariff policy for high tension lines, the billing demand will be the maximum demand recorded during the month or 85 <i>per cent</i> of the contracted demand, whichever is higher. In AIIMS-Patna, against the contracted demand of 1400 KVA for hospital campus (since April 2013), actual consumption of electricity by the Institute ranged between 120 KVA to 905 KVA, but the Institute had to pay for 1,190 KVA (85 <i>per cent</i> of contract load of 1400 KVA). In November 2014, Institute decided to increase contracted load from 1400 KVA to 6,667 KVA as two floors of Trauma Centre with three new Operation Theatres along with hostel of nursing college were to be functional soon. In January 2015, Institute was allotted the proposed contract load of 6,667 KVA. During January 2015 to March 2017, the maximum demand of institute ranged between 296 KVA to 1,240 KVA against the contracted load of 6,667 KVA, but the institute had to pay charges for 5,666.95 KVA (85 <i>per cent</i> of 6,667 KVA). This resulted in avoidable expenditure of ₹ 3.77 crore during the period December 2013 to March 2017 towards excess demand charges.	3.77

¹ The auxiliary educational services meant any services relating to imparting any skill, knowledge, education or development of course content or any other knowledge enhancement activity.

S. No.	Name of new AIIMS/GMCI	Observation	Amount																																
4.	AIIMS-Raipur	<p>Avoidable payment of electricity demand charges</p> <p>An agreement was executed between AIIMS-Raipur and Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Ltd. (CSPDCL), Raipur in January 2013 for supply of 1500 KVA electricity. This was subsequently raised to 2,500 KVA w.e.f. September 2013 and 5,000 KVA w.e.f. 1 August 2014. However, considering the low consumption of power, the Institute requested (August 2014) the CSPDCL to reduce contract demand. Accordingly, an agreement (February 2015) was executed with CSPDCL, Raipur for supply of 2,500 KVA against existing 5,000 KVA. It was noticed from the electricity bills that the electric consumption of the Institute ranged between 82 to 1,496 KVA against the contract demand between February 2013 and March 2017. Thus, due to inadequate assessment of requirement of electricity, the Institute incurred avoidable expenditure of ₹ 1.71crore on account of less consumption than contract demand. The Institute stated (July 2017) that required information and documents were not available.</p>	1.71																																
5.	AIIMS-Bhopal, Bhubaneswar, Jodhpur, Raipur and Rishikesh	<p>Irregular grant of Learning Resource Allowance</p> <p>The Ministry vide Circular No.28016/103/2013-SSH dated 8.8.2014 instructed all AIIMS that the “Learning Resource Allowance” (LRA) be stopped immediately and instead Faculty Members/Group ‘A’ Officers in AIIMS may be allowed to send requisitions to the Library/Administration for purchasing books/journals etc. relating to their work. However, an amount of ₹ 2.27 crore was paid by the Institutes as LRA as detailed below:</p> <p>Payment of LRA by new AIIMS</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(₹ in crore)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>Name of new AIIMS</th> <th>Period</th> <th>Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Bhopal</td> <td>2013-14 to 2015-16</td> <td>0.47</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Bhubaneswar</td> <td>2013-14 to 2016-17</td> <td>0.70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Jodhpur</td> <td>2012-13 to 2013-14</td> <td>0.44</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Raipur</td> <td>2014-15 to 2016-17</td> <td>0.43</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Rishikesh</td> <td>2012-13 to 2016-17</td> <td>0.21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>Patna</td> <td>2014-15 to 2016-17</td> <td>0.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Total</td> <td>2.27</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S. No.	Name of new AIIMS	Period	Amount	1.	Bhopal	2013-14 to 2015-16	0.47	2.	Bhubaneswar	2013-14 to 2016-17	0.70	3.	Jodhpur	2012-13 to 2013-14	0.44	4.	Raipur	2014-15 to 2016-17	0.43	5.	Rishikesh	2012-13 to 2016-17	0.21	6.	Patna	2014-15 to 2016-17	0.02	Total			2.27	2.27
S. No.	Name of new AIIMS	Period	Amount																																
1.	Bhopal	2013-14 to 2015-16	0.47																																
2.	Bhubaneswar	2013-14 to 2016-17	0.70																																
3.	Jodhpur	2012-13 to 2013-14	0.44																																
4.	Raipur	2014-15 to 2016-17	0.43																																
5.	Rishikesh	2012-13 to 2016-17	0.21																																
6.	Patna	2014-15 to 2016-17	0.02																																
Total			2.27																																
6.	AIIMS-Raebareli	<p>Excess payment of Consultancy fee</p> <p>As per the agreement, the Ministry had to pay the Consultancy fee of ₹ 14.15 crore to M/s HSCC. However, an excess payment of consultancy fee amounting to ₹ 2.88 crore was made to the consultant.</p>	2.88																																
7.	IMS- Varanasi	<p>Excess payment of Consultancy fee</p> <p>As per the MoU between Ministry and M/s HLL on February 2007, consultancy fees was payable @ seven <i>per cent</i> on the construction cost component and @ two <i>per cent</i> on medical equipment cost component. IMS-Varanasi entered a MoU with M/s HLL in April 2012 for consultancy services for the construction of certain facilities for which funds of ₹ 20 crore was provided by MoHRD. Of this amount the component for civil works was only ₹ 1.85 crore. However, instead of restricting consultancy fee of seven percent to the cost of works component seven <i>per cent</i> consultancy fee was paid to M/s HLL on the whole project cost. This led to an excess payment of ₹ 91 lakh (five <i>per cent</i> of ₹ 18.15 crore) towards consultancy fees for procurement of equipment.</p>	0.91																																

S. No.	Name of new AIIMS/GMCI	Observation	Amount
8.	BJMC- Ahmedabad	<p>Avoidable payment of custom duty</p> <p>As per circular No. 50/99-CUS (TU) dated 10-08-1999 read with Government of India, Ministry of Finance letter F. No. 354/28/99-TRU dated 18 March 1999, hospitals run or controlled by State Government can get customs duty exemption certificate from the concerned State Government towards purchase of imported medical equipment and furnish the same to Customs Department for getting exemption from payment of customs duty. BJMC, Ahmedabad had made a payment of ₹ 92.40 lakh towards customs duty for 36 equipment as the institute did not arrange to get the Custom duty exemption certificate from the State Government. The Medical Superintendent stated that necessary action would be taken to obtain Custom duty exemption certificate.</p>	0.92
Total			14.74

Annex-3.5
(Refer to paragraph 3.12)

Short deduction/non-deduction of Taxes by new AIIMS

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Name of new AIIMS	Details	Amount																								
1.	AIIMS-Bhopal	<p>Short deduction of tax at source</p> <p>Any professional/technical fees paid to any resident exceeding ₹ 30,000/- during the financial year is subject to tax deduction at source (TDS) @ 10 per cent as provided under section 40(a) (ia) of the Income Tax Act. However, the Institute deducted TDS @ two per cent on payments made to two consultants. for rendering consultancy services. This had resulted in short deduction of ₹ 52.00 lakh as given in Table below:</p> <p align="center">Short deduction of TDS</p> <p align="right">(₹ in lakh)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Name</th> <th>Amount paid</th> <th>Tax to be deducted @ 10%</th> <th>Tax deducted @ 2%</th> <th>Short deduction of TDS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>M/s Enarch Consultant Pvt. Ltd.</td> <td>630.15</td> <td>63.01</td> <td>12.60</td> <td>50.41</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M/s PremChaudhary & Associates Pvt. Ltd.</td> <td>17.25</td> <td>1.73</td> <td>0.35</td> <td>1.38</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>647.40</td> <td>64.74</td> <td>12.95</td> <td>51.79</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The Institute stated (July 2017) that the case relating to M/s Enarch Consultancy was under arbitration and short deduction, if any, would be made at the time of final settlement and in case of M/s Prem Chaudhary, one bill amounting to ₹ 9.80 lakh was still pending and short deduction, if any, would be made from the same..</p>	Name	Amount paid	Tax to be deducted @ 10%	Tax deducted @ 2%	Short deduction of TDS	M/s Enarch Consultant Pvt. Ltd.	630.15	63.01	12.60	50.41	M/s PremChaudhary & Associates Pvt. Ltd.	17.25	1.73	0.35	1.38	Total	647.40	64.74	12.95	51.79	0.52				
Name	Amount paid	Tax to be deducted @ 10%	Tax deducted @ 2%	Short deduction of TDS																							
M/s Enarch Consultant Pvt. Ltd.	630.15	63.01	12.60	50.41																							
M/s PremChaudhary & Associates Pvt. Ltd.	17.25	1.73	0.35	1.38																							
Total	647.40	64.74	12.95	51.79																							
2.	AIIMS-Raebareli	<p>Non-deduction of TDS from the Consultancy fees</p> <p>M/s HSCC had debited its consultancy fee of ₹ 8.67 crore up to July 2015 from the Project funds. The expenditure on consultancy fee was to be booked under 'Professional Services' as revenue expenditure, however, due to drawing of the consultancy fees from the Project funds, the amount got booked under the Capital Head. This had also resulted in non-deduction of TDS amounting to ₹ 86.70 lakh (@ 10 per cent of the consultancy fees) in terms of the provision under 194 (J) of Income Tax Act.</p>	0.87																								
3.	AIIMS-Raipur, Jodhpur, Rishikesh and Raebareli	<p>Statutory Recoveries</p> <p>Statutory dues viz. royalty, TDS and Value Added Tax etc amounting to ₹ 7.97 crore were not deducted or deducted at lower rates as per details given in the Table below. Ministry stated (February 2018) that royalty would be deducted from final bill which is not acceptable as such dues cannot be allowed to accumulate.</p> <p align="center">Details of statutory recoveries not made</p> <p align="right">(₹ in crore)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Name of the new AIIMS</th> <th>Details of Taxes not recovered/short deducted</th> <th>Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Raipur</td> <td>➤ Royalty ➤ Short deduction of TDS</td> <td>1.84 0.32</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Jodhpur</td> <td>➤ Royalty ➤ Short deduction of VAT (Package-I) ➤ Short deduction of VAT (Package-II) ➤ Service tax</td> <td>3.35 0.34 0.29 0.16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Rishikesh</td> <td>Royalty (Package-II & IV).</td> <td>0.57</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Raebareli</td> <td>Royalty (Package-I)</td> <td>0.58</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td align="center">Total</td> <td>7.45</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	Name of the new AIIMS	Details of Taxes not recovered/short deducted	Amount	1.	Raipur	➤ Royalty ➤ Short deduction of TDS	1.84 0.32	2.	Jodhpur	➤ Royalty ➤ Short deduction of VAT (Package-I) ➤ Short deduction of VAT (Package-II) ➤ Service tax	3.35 0.34 0.29 0.16	3.	Rishikesh	Royalty (Package-II & IV).	0.57	4.	Raebareli	Royalty (Package-I)	0.58			Total	7.45	7.45
Sl. No.	Name of the new AIIMS	Details of Taxes not recovered/short deducted	Amount																								
1.	Raipur	➤ Royalty ➤ Short deduction of TDS	1.84 0.32																								
2.	Jodhpur	➤ Royalty ➤ Short deduction of VAT (Package-I) ➤ Short deduction of VAT (Package-II) ➤ Service tax	3.35 0.34 0.29 0.16																								
3.	Rishikesh	Royalty (Package-II & IV).	0.57																								
4.	Raebareli	Royalty (Package-I)	0.58																								
		Total	7.45																								
Total			8.84																								

Annex-5.1
(Refer to paragraph 5.3)

Project wise status of GMCIs under PMSSY

Sl. No.	Phase	Name of the GMCI	Name of works	Work started in	Time for completion (in months)	Time taken for completion (in months)	Delay in months	Status as on March 2017
1.	I	JMC-Jammu	Super Specialty Block Building works	February 2008	15	47	32	Completed
2.	I	RIMS-Ranchi	Construction of Super speciality, Oncology & service Block	October 2009	20	42	22	Completed
3.	I	BMCRI-Bangalore	Construction of Trauma Block	January 2007	12	96	84	Completed
			Construction of Victoria Tower Block		12	36	24	
4.	I	GMKMC-Salem	Construction of Super Speciality Block & Trauma Care Centre	January 2008	12	48	36	Completed
5.	I	NIMS-Hyderabad	Construction of Speciality Hospital	March 2008	15	34	19	Completed
6.	I	BJMC-Ahmadabad	Construction of Nursing School and College	July 2010	14	28	14	Procurement of equipment in progress.
7.	I	GMC-Mumbai	Construction of Administrative Building	April 2011	30	92	62	Under progress (95 per cent completed)
8.	I	IMS-Varanasi	Trauma Block including electrical installation and sanitary work	October 2008	20	58	38	Completed
9.	II	GMC-Amritsar	Construction of Babe Nanki Centre for Mother & Child Care	August 2011	18	31	13	Completed
			Service Block	August 2011	18	31	13	
			Diagnostic & Super Speciality Block	January 2012	18	41	23	Handing over still in progress
10.	II	Pt. BDS, PGIMS-Rohtak	Up gradation of GMC	November 2012	18	55	37	Under progress (86 per cent completed)
11.	II	RPMC-Tanda	Construction of Super speciality Block	November 2011	18	26	8	Completed
			Works for	December	4	4	--	

Performance Audit of Pradhan Mantri Swasthya Suraksha Yojana

Sl. No.	Phase	Name of the GMCI	Name of works	Work started in	Time for completion (in months)	Time taken for completion (in months)	Delay in months	Status as on March 2017
			Modular and normal OTs	2014				
			Medical Gas Pipeline System	December 2014	7	7	--	
			Anatomy block, lecture Theatres and Examination halls	Jun-11	24	24	--	
			1st year MBBS hostel	Jun-16	24	In progress	--	Under progress
12.	II	GMC-Nagpur	Construction of new wards	August 2014	12	15	3	Under progress (Construction of 3 ICCU: 70 per cent completed)
			Construction of ICCU	May 2016	15	15	--	
			Construction of Trauma Care Centre	August 2012	18	22	4	
			Construction of wards in wing A at Super Speciality hospital	December 2016	15	15	--	
			Construction of compound wall and services road	November 2015	6	6	--	
13.	II	JNMC-Aligarh	Construction work	January 2011	21	53	32	Completed but procurement of equipment in progress
14.	III	DMCH-Darbhanga	Up gradation of GMC	December 2016	18	In progress	-	8.50 per cent completed
15.	III	SKMC-Muzaffarpur	Up gradation of GMC	December 2016	18	In progress	-	9.25 per cent completed
16.	III	PDUMC-Rajkot	Up gradation of GMC	June 2016	-	In progress	--	Construction work initiated in May 2017
17.	III	PMCH-Dhanbad	Up gradation of GMC	November 2016	16	In progress	-	30 per cent completed
18.	III	GRMC-Gwalior	Super Speciality Block	July 2016	16	In progress	-	18 per cent completed
19.	III	GMC-Kota	Construction of Super specialty Block	May 2016	16	In progress	-	32 per cent completed

Annex-5.2
(Refer to paragraph 5.6)

Non installation/Delay in installation of equipment

Sl. No.	Name of the GMCI	Number of equipment	Cost of equipment	Months for which equipment were not installed	Reason of non-installation/Delay in installation
1.	BJMC-Ahmadabad	4	1.08	10 to 19	Equipment of the Biochemistry Department and Pathology Department i.e. Elisa Test System, Stem Cell Lab and Automated Immunisation were received by the Civil Hospital Ahmadabad on August 2015 and May 2016. However, the equipment could not be installed till date due to inadequate efforts made by BJMC. The Medical Superintendent stated that necessary action would be taken for the installation of equipment.
		152	5.40	3 to 13	Delay in installation was mainly due to late visit of engineer or time taken for necessary arrangement (electric or civil) for installation.
2.	Pt.BDS, PGIMS-Rohtak	12	2.18	3 to 7	➤ Digital Radiography, Multipurpose monitors were issued to concerned departments on 18 September 2016 and 9 January 2017 respectively but were not installed as of April 2017.
		67	5.39	4 to 25	Delay in installation of equipment i.e. Color Doppler portable, anesthesia work station, power drill system etc. affected the service delivery to patients. The Director stated during the exit conference that due to certain complex technical issues raised by the concerned departments, installation of machinery and equipment was delayed.
3.	IMS-Varanasi,	1	1.65	13	Digital Radiography costing ₹1.65 crore received in March 2016 could not be installed till March 2017 due to supply of defective X-ray table.
		34	12.02	6 to 23	➤ The equipment "Flat Pansinel Digital Cardiac Cath Lab single mono plane" procured and delivered by HLL in October 2009 but installed in April 2011. ➤ Ministry released ₹ 21.62 crore to HLL upto March 2017 out of which HLL procured medical equipment of ₹ 16.12 crore. However, Medical equipment costing ₹ 7.65 crore were installed with delay of 6 to 23 months resulting in non-operation of Trauma Centre. Further, equipment costing ₹ 5.78 crore (48 per cent) had not been installed so far (March 2017).
4.	JMC-Jammu	65	5.24	48 to 84	Equipment procured during 2009-13 were not installed as on March 2017.
5.	GMC-Nagpur	1	0.56	90	Five equipment could not be installed for want of required civil and electrical works and other statutory permissions.
6.	GMC-Mumbai	4	0.42	7 to 24	
7.	BMCRI-Bangalore	16	16.65	7 to 39	Delay in installation for a long period has inherent risk of obsolescence of equipment as the warranty remained valid for 24 months from the date of installation and

Report No. 10 of 2018

					commissioning followed by a Comprehensive Maintenance Contract for a period of 5 years.
8.	GMC- Amritsar	2	6.51	12 to 14	Equipment received between July 2016 and September 2016 could not be made functional even after a period of up to 8 month from their receipt due to non-fixation of fittings/fixtures and non-availability of requisite manpower.
9.	GMKMC- Salem	12	2.26	26 to 41	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The SSB and Trauma Care Centre were opened for out-patients care services only during February 2011 and July 2011 respectively. High end equipment were installed after a period of three years from opening of the services. ➤ The failure to post Specialist and Technicians to oversee the installation of equipment resulted in delay in starting of services in Nephrology and Cardiothoracic department.
10.	RPGMC- Tanda	38	11.89	3 to 20	The tenders/supply orders had a clause of imposition of penalty in case of delay in supply of equipment, no penalty was imposed against the suppliers. The institute had not obtained any performance bank guarantee from the suppliers which were in contravention of the Himachal Pradesh Financial Rules 2009.
Total		408	71.25		

Annex-5.3
(Refer to paragraph 5.7)

Idle/non-functional equipment

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Name of the GMCI	Number of equipment	Cost of equipment	Date of receipt of equipment	Period of idling of equipment	Reason of non-utilisation
1.	RIMS-Ranchi	67	10.93	-	2009 to 2016	Equipment installed between 2009 and 2016 not being utilised due to breakdown, department not functional, lack of manpower etc.
		18	1.78	Nov. 2013 to June 2014	Dec. 2013 & June 2014	
2.	BMCRI-Bangalore	6	2.22	Nov. 2008 to October 2013	2011 to 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Equipment costing ₹ 17 lakh was not commissioned by the supplier till May 2017. Further, due to lack of technical expertise the equipment was not utilised till date. ➤ Six equipment costing ₹ 2.05 crore received during 2011-13 remained idle for want of repairs.
3.	GMC-Mumbai	17	4.31	2010	2010 to 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Equipment were non-functional owing to software problems, lack of supporting equipment/infrastructure, occurrence of defects. ➤ In 21 cases, GMCIs did not provide date of receipt, installation and reason for non-functioning.
4.	GMC-Nagpur	11	3.72	2012 to 2015	2012 to 2017	
5.	RPGMC-Tanda,	2	1.56	May 2016	November 2016	Machines procured through M/s HLL, were lying idle since installation (November 2016). One machine was shifted to Indira Gandhi Medical College (IGMC) Shimla, in March 2017.
		821 ²	3.94	August-September 2015	June 2017.	An amount of ₹ 3.94 crore had been spent on procurement of 985 items (desktop computers, tablets, and other peripherals)

² Desktop computers: 133; Workstations: 8; Network Printer: 44; Laserjet Printers: 122; HP Tablets: 231; VoIP Smart Video set: 113; VoIP Audio set: 170.

Sl. No.	Name of the GMCI	Number of equipment	Cost of equipment	Date of receipt of equipment	Period of idling of equipment	Reason of non-utilisation
						received in August-September 2015, but 821 of these items remained unutilised as of June 2017. The Institution stated (June 2017) that the machine was not being used due to deputation of the specialist doctor to IGMC, Shimla (March 2016) and that one machine had been shifted to IGMC Shimla in compliance with the directions of the State Government (February 2017).
6.	BJMC-Ahmedabad	25	3.22	February 2017	-	21 ventilators procured (February 2010) at the cost of ₹ 2.35 crore, three Ethylene Oxide Sterilizers procured at the cost of ₹ 0.58 crore and one Generator Set procured at the cost of ₹ 0.29 crore were found uninstalled due to incomplete civil work.
7.	GMKMC-Salem	7	1.41	April 2010 to May 2010	May 2010 to January 2011	Seven equipment in five departments costing ₹ 1.41 crore were not working due to repair and non-maintenance for a period varying from five to 76 months.
8.	JMC-Jammu	2	1.58	August 2012 and March 2013	August 2013 and July 2015	CSSD equipment were out of order and could not be maintained due to non-availability of AMC contract. Further, 32 CCTV cameras were installed but not functioning.
9	Pt.BDS, PGIMS-Rohtak	1	0.32	June 2006	5 months	Fully automated ELISA reader installed in Microbiology Department was non-functional due to non-availability of specified micro tips which were required for making the machine functional.
Total		977	34.99			

Annex-5.4

(Refer to paragraph 5.8)

Procurement of equipment outside approved list

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Name of the GMCI	Number of equipment	Cost of equipment (₹ in crore)	Remarks
1.	RIMS-Ranchi	96	2.36	Out of 167 multipara monitors, 71 were delivered by HLL and 96 purchased by RIMS. However, 96 multipara monitors purchased by RIMS, Ranchi were not in the approved list of medical equipment.
		2	0.18	Two Diathermy machines valuing ₹ 18 lakh were purchased, despite the machines not being in the approved list of medical equipment of the Ministry.
2.	JNMC-Aligarh	30	0.63	M/s HLL has procured 45 patient monitors (5 parameter) costing ₹ 95.24 lakh, against the approval of 15 monitor, which resulted in excess procurement of 30 monitor costing ₹ 63.49 lakh. JNMC stated that equipment will be utilised as soon as Trauma Centre starts.
3.	BMCRI-Bangalore	4	0.45	Equipment were procured which was not included in the approved list vetted by the Ministry
4.	IMS-Varanasi	6	6.66	Out of MoHRD fund, C-Arm with Image Intensifier, Flash Sterilizer, Arthroscopy System complete, Battery operated Drill & Saw System etc. amounting to ₹ 6.66 crore procured without assessing the requirement and not part of the DPR approved by the Ministry.
5.	GMC-Mumbai	70	3.34	Ventilators, computers radiography system, steriliser etc. were procured, despite the machines not being in the approved list of medical equipment of the Ministry.
6.	GMC-Nagpur	85	6.24	Video endoscope, ICU Monitoring System, colour Doppler eco & ultrasound machines etc. were procured, despite the machines not being in the approved list of medical equipment of the Ministry.
Total		293	19.86	

Annex-5.5

(Refer to paragraph 5.9)

Comprehensive Maintenance Contract of medical equipment

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Name of the GMCI	Number of equipment	Cost of the equipment	Equipment not working due to non-maintenance		Remarks
				Number	Period in months	
1.	GMC-Amritsar	8	0.87	8	4 to 22	Two equipment costing ₹ 0.75 crore lying unused due to want of repair for 12 months.
2.	IMS-Varanasi	13	9.68	13	--	Equipment procured and installed from firm A in May 2013 with warranty period of five years from the date of acceptance but supplier refused to resolve the problem free of cost and demanded extra charge.
3.	GMKMC-Salem	126	17.35	16	2 to 36	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Out of 136 equipment, the Institution entered into CMC contract for 10 equipment. Remaining 126 equipment costing ₹ 17.35 crore, the GMC, Salem, did not enter into CMC contract. ➤ Sixteen equipment purchased at a cost of ₹ 1.85 crore which were under repair for a period ranging from two to 36 months could not be replaced/repaired and put to use, as they were not covered under CMC.
Total		147	27.90	37		