

Chapter–VI Land Revenue

6.1 Tax Administration

The receipts from Land Revenue are regulated under Karnataka Land Revenue (KLR) Act, 1964, and the Rules made thereunder and administered at the Government level by the Principal Secretary, Revenue Department. The Principal Secretary is assisted by four Regional Commissioners, 30 Deputy Commissioners (DCs), 44 Assistant Commissioners and 179 Tahsildars.

6.2 Internal Audit

The objective of an Internal Audit Wing (IAW) is to have a deterrent and reforming effect by pointing out mistakes and ensuring remedies without loss of time.

IAW has not been constituted under the Department, which leaves it vulnerable to the risk of control failure.

Audit recommends setting up of IAW in the Department to examine and evaluate the level of compliance with the rules and procedures so as to provide a reasonable assurance on the adequacy of the internal controls.

6.3 **Results of Audit**

In 2016-17, test check of the records of 46 units of Land Revenue Department revealed short/non-levy of cost of land, lease rent, conversion fine, compounding amount and other irregularities amounting to \gtrless 5.23 crore raised through 38 paragraphs, which fall under the following categories as given in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Results of Audit

(F :n amama)

| | | | (< in crore) |
|-----|--|------------|--------------|
| Sl | Category | No. of | Amount |
| No. | | paragraphs | |
| 1. | Short /non levy of cost of land and lease rent | 9 | 3.00 |
| 2. | Short/non levy of conversion fine and compounding amount | 20 | 1.28 |
| 3. | Other irregularities | 9 | 0.95 |
| | Total | 38 | 5.23 |

During the course of the year, the Department accepted under assessments worth \mathfrak{F} 6.41 lakh in four paragraphs. In 31 paragraphs, an amount of \mathfrak{F} 1.53 crore was also recovered as pointed out during earlier years.

An illustrative case relating to non/short realisation of compounding amount involving \gtrless 1.11 crore is discussed in the following paragraph.

6.4 Non/short levy of compounding amount

Section 95(2) of the KLR Act, 1964, provides for diversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes with the permission of the DC. Further, as per Section 96(4) of KLR Act, 1964, in case of diversions without permission, the DC may compound such diversion on payment of a compounding amount. The rates of compounding amount are prescribed under Rule 107-A of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966.

During test check of records of three Tahsildars and six DCs between August 2015 and December 2016, Audit noticed that in 13 cases permission was granted by the DC for diversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes during the years 2012-13 to 2015-16. On scrutiny of the land inspection reports of the Tahsildars' concerned, it was, however, noticed that these were agricultural lands and were diverted for non-agricultural purposes by constructing structures, carrying on mining and other industrial activities, etc. before obtaining permission of DC for diversion. Since these cases were diversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes without permission of DC, compounding amount at prescribed rates should have been levied in all these cases, as per Section 96(4) of KLR Act, 1964. Out of the above, in six cases, compounding amount of $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 61.88 lakh was not levied and in the remaining seven cases, it was levied short by $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 48.81 lakh.

The total non/short levy of compounding amount works out to $\stackrel{\textbf{z}}{\textbf{z}}$ 1.11 crore as given in **Table 6.2**.

| | | | | (₹ in lakh) | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Name of the Office | Number of cases | Compounding amount leviable | Compounding amount levied | Non/short levy of compounding amount | | | | |
| Tahsildar, Bengaluru North Taluk | 02 | 9.06 | 1.81 | 7.25 | | | | |
| Compounding amount was levied at rates prescribed for residential purposes instead of non-residential purposes. | | | | | | | | |
| Tahsildar, Bengaluru East Taluk, K.R.Puram | 01 | 14.62 | 1.12 | 13.50 | | | | |
| Compounding amount w | as levied for | 1,955 square feet inst | ead of 25,434 squa | are feet. | | | | |
| DC, Bengaluru Urban. | 02 | 8.32 | 1.14 | 7.18 | | | | |
| In one case, compoundir | ng amount wa | s levied for 1,980 squa | are feet instead of | 13,068 square feet. | | | | |
| In another case, compou | | | | | | | | |
| DC, Bidar | 02 | 50.53 | Nil | 50.53 | | | | |
| Compounding amount was not levied for diversion of agricultural land for stone crushing purposes. | | | | | | | | |
| DC, Chamarajanagara | 01 | 1.16 | Nil | 1.16 | | | | |
| Compounding amount w | as not levied | for diversion of agric | ultural land for qu | arrying of granite. | | | | |
| DC, Davanagere | 02 | 12.73 | 4.84 | 7.89 | | | | |
| Compounding amount was levied at rates prescribed for residential instead of non-residential rates. | | | | | | | | |
| Tahsildar, Hassan | 01 | 7.38 | Nil | 7.38 | | | | |
| Compounding amount was not levied for diversion of agricultural land for quarrying activities. | | | | | | | | |
| DC, Hassan | 01 | 2.00 | Nil | 2.00 | | | | |
| Compounding amount was not levied for diversion of agricultural land for quarrying activities. | | | | | | | | |

 Table 6.2

 Details showing non/short levy of compounding amount

(Fin lakh)

| Name of the Office | Number of cases | Compounding amount leviable | Compounding amount levied | Non/short levy of compounding amount | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| DC, Tumakuru | 01 | 17.40 | 3.60 | 13.80 | | | | |
| Compounding amount was levied at rates prescribed for residential purposes instead of non-residential purposes. | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 13 | 123.20 | 12.51 | 110.69 | | | | |

After these cases were brought to the notice of the Department and the Government between February and March 2017, DC, Tumakuru, stated that compounding amount was levied at the rate applicable for residential purposes as land was diverted for construction of residential houses. Reply of DC, Tumakuru, is not acceptable since the land was diverted for non-agricultural (industrial) purposes and not for residential purposes. Reply in respect of remaining cases is awaited (November 2017).

Bengaluru The (Bijit Kumar Mukherjee) Accountant General (Economic and Revenue Sector Audit) Karnataka

Countersigned

New Delhi The (Rajiv Mehrishi) Comptroller and Auditor General of India