Statement showing investments made by State Government in PSUs whose accounts are in arrears

#### (Referred to in paragraph 1.11)

(Figures in columns 4 & 6 to 9 are ` in crore)

Sl. No.	Name of the Public Sector Undertaking	Year up to which accounts	Paid up capital	Period of accounts pending	Government during the accounts are in a		ing the ye	ar of which
		finalised		finalisation	Equity	Loans	Grants	Others to be specified (subsidy)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Α	Working Government Comp		r	1	r		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1	Haryana Scheduled Castes Finance and Development	2011-12	48.11	2012-13	-	-	-	4.35
	Corporation Limited			2013-14	-	-	-	6.50
				2014-15	-	-	-	6.75
				2015-16	1.00	-	-	6.75
2	Haryana Backward Classes	2011-12	21.47	2012-13	1.00	-	-	1.25
	and Economically Weaker Section Kalyan Nigam			2013-14	1.25	-	-	3.55
	Limited			2014-15	1.25	-	-	3.50
				2015-16	13.24	-	-	3.50
3	Haryana Women	2010-11	16.61	2011-12	-	-	-	3.35
	Development Corporation Limited			2012-13	-	-	-	3.91
	Linneu			2013-14	-	-	5.00	-
				2014-15	-	-	-	2.10
				2015-16	-	-	-	2.10
4	Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited	2014-15	48.84	2015-16	-	-	8.51	-
5	Haryana Police Housing	2013-14	25.00	2014-15	-	-	68.00	-
	Corporation Limited			2015-16	-	-	72.46	-
6	Haryana Tourism	2012-13	24.66	2013-14	1.00	-	20.29	-
	Corporation Limited			2014-15	-	-	21.50	-
				2015-16	4.81	-	21.05	-
7	Haryana Land Reclamation and Development Corporation Limited	2014-15	1.56	2015-16	-	-	-	11.83
8	Haryana Seeds Development Corporation Limited	2014-15	5.00	2015-16	-	-	29.85	-
9	Haryana Agro Industries Corporation Limited	2014-15	4.14	2015-16	-	-	-	5.50
10	Haryana Roadways Engineering Corporation Limited	2013-14	6.60	2015-16	0.05	-	-	-
11	Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited	2014-15	2148.78	2015-16	200.00	97.24	-	-
12	Haryana Power Generation Corporation Limited	2014-15	2890.24	2015-16	31.57	-	-	-
13	Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited	2014-15	1640.28	2015-16	831.82	-	2379.37	-

SI.	Name of the Public Sector	Year up to	Doidum	Period of	Investment made by State Government during the year of which accounts are in arrears					
51. No.	Undertaking	which Paid up accounts capital finalised		accounts pending finalisation	Equity	Loans	Grants	Others to be specified (subsidy)		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
14	Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited	2014-15	1449.12	2015-16	556.03	59.59	1513.13	2534.40		
15	Haryana State Electronics Development Corporation Limited	2014-15	9.89	2015-16	0.01	-	-	-		
16	Haryana Medical Services Corporation Limited			2014-15	5.00	-	-	-		
	Total A (Working Government Companies)		-	-	1648.03	156.83	4139.16	2599.34		
B	Working Statutory corporations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Total B (Working Statutory Corporations)		-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Grand Total (A + B)		-	-	1648.03	156.83	4139.16	2599.34		

Summarised financial position and working results of Government companies and Statutory Corporations as per their latest finalised financial statements/ accounts

(Referred to in paragraph 1.15)

(Figures in columns 5 to 12 are ` in crore)

Sl. No.	Sector/ name of the Company	Period of accounts	Year in which accounts finalised	Paid- up capital	Loans outstanding at the end of year	Accumul- ated profit(+)/ loss(-)	Turnover	Net profit (+)/ loss(-)	Net impact of Audit comments	Capital employed @	Return on capital employed	Percentage of return on capital employed	Manpower
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
А.	WORKING GOVERNMENT C	OMPANIES											
AGRI	CULTURE AND ALLIED												
1	Haryana Agro Industries Corporation Limited	2013-14	2015-16	4.14	13.22	-40.29	2813.55	-45.12	-20.77	-22.93	163.19	-	95
2	Haryana Land Reclamation and Development Corporation Limited	2014-15	2015-16	1.56	-	6.47	64.81	-0.04	-0.49	8.08	-0.04	-	99
3	Haryana Seeds Development Corporation Limited	2014-15	2015-16	5.00	-	9.82	79.92	-1.08	-7.68	25.25	0.84	3.33	222
4	Haryana Forest Development Corporation Limited	2014-15	2016-17	0.20	-	45.70	50.69	2.68	-0.17	45.90	2.68	5.84	67
	r Wise Total			10.90	13.22	21.70	3008.97	-43.56	-29.11	56.30	166.67	296.04	483
FINA													
5	Haryana Scheduled Castes Finance and Development	2010-11	2016-17	48.11	11.18	2.62	1.30	0.99	-0.66	61.91	1.47	2.37	84
	Corporation Limited	2011-12	2016-17	48.11	9.52	5.36	7.58	2.74	Under finalisation	62.99	3.04	4.83	
6	Haryana Backward Classes and Economically Weaker Section Kalyan Nigam Limited	2011-12	2016-17	21.47	66.59	-10.62	1.25	-1.35	-0.68	88.06	0.18	0.20	26
7	Haryana Women Development Corporation Limited	2010-11	2016-17	16.61	-	0.02	3.89	-0.21	Non Review Certificate	17.50	-0.21	-	34
Secto	r Wise Total			86.19	76.11	-5.24	12.72	1.18	-0.68	168.55	3.01	1.79	144
INFR	ASTRUCTURE							•					
8	Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development	2013-14	2015-16	48.83	1934.01	1119.64	5073.29	748.59	-1.97	3154.78	1046.76	33.18	549
	Corporation Limited	2014-15	2016-17	48.84	1741.02	1160.22	912.19	143.75	Under finalisation	3098.04	342.95	11.07	
9	Haryana Police Housing Corporation Limited	2013-14	2015-16	25.00	183.85	0.35	45.43	0.08	Nil comment	209.20	21.47	10.26	192

#### Audit Report No. 6 of 2016 on PSUs (Social, General and Economic Sectors)

Sector           POWE           11           12           13           14	2 Haryana State Roads and Bridges Development Corporation Wise Total R Haryana Power Generation Corporation Limited Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited	3 2013-14 2014-15 2014-15	4 2015-16 2016-17	5 122.04 122.04 <b>195.88</b>	6 0.00 0.00	<b>7</b> -29.76	<b>8</b> 157.13	9	10	11	12	13	14
Sector           POWE           11           12           13           14	Development Corporation           Wise Total           R           Haryana Power Generation           Corporation Limited           Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam	2014-15		122.04		-29.76	157.13				14	15	14
POWE 11 12 13 14	R Haryana Power Generation Corporation Limited Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam		2016-17		0.00			-4.48	-10.79	92.28	134.74	146.01	66
POWE 11 12 13 14	R Haryana Power Generation Corporation Limited Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam	2014-15		105.99		39.54	141.86	69.30	-10.51	161.58	86.62	53.61	
11       12       13       14	Haryana Power Generation Corporation Limited Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam	2014-15		175.00	1924.87	1200.11	1099.48	213.13	-10.51	3468.82	451.04	13.00	807
12 13 14	Corporation Limited Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam	2014-15											
13 14			2015-16	2890.24	3310.28	-333.68	6377.45	108.21	-106.39	6340.73	758.05	11.96	2920
14		2014-15	2015-16	2148.78	5818.45	189.26	1377.61	-8.42	1.26	8495.48	468.04	5.51	4061
	Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited	2014-15	2016-17	1640.28	18140.21	-16309.78	10550.54	-1480.57	-57.97	3966.89	-55.57	-	8764
	Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited	2014-15	2016-17	1449.12	13370.58	-12719.03		-636.16	-89.96	3206.18	314.34	9.80	9682
Sector	Wise Total			8128.42	40639.52	-29173.23	29475.63	-2016.94	-253.06	22009.28	1484.86	6.75	25427
SERVI													
	Haryana Tourism Corporation Limited	2012-13	2015-16	24.66	-	25.78	264.92	-6.12	Non Review Certificate	52.21	-6.12	-	1406
	Haryana Roadways Engineering Corporation Limited	2013-14	2016-17	6.60	-	16.10	132.24	2.23	Non Review Certificate	23.58	4.81	20.40	114
	Haryana State Electronics Development Corporation Limited	2014-15	2015-16	9.89	-	61.20	25.93	3.51	-3.66	75.97	6.19	8.15	189
18	Hartron Informatics Limited	2014-15	2015-16	0.50	-	3.24	0.61	0.26	Non Review Certificate	3.74	0.26	6.95	-
	Gurgaon Technology Park	2011-12	2016-17	14.72	-	13.40	2.22	4.41	0	41.35	4.41	10.67	
	Limited	2012-13	2016-17	14.72	-	13.56	0.90	0.16	0	41.51	0.16	0.39	1
		2013-14	2016-17	14.72	-	14.00	0.67	0.26	0	41.95	0.26	0.62	
	Haryana Mass Rapid Transport Corporation Limited	2014-15	2015-16	1.00	-	0.12	-	0.27	Non Review Certificate	1.12	0.27	24.11	-
	Haryana Medical Services Corporation Limited*												
Sector	Wise Total			57.37	-	120.44	424.37	0.41	-3.66	198.57	5.67	2.86	1710
Total A	A (All sector wise working Govern	ment compa		8478.76	42653.72	-27836.22	34021.17						

Appendices

Sl. No.	Sector/ name of the Company	Period of accounts	Year in which accounts finalised	Paid- up capital	Loans outstanding at the end of year	Accumul- ated profit(+)/ loss(-)	Turnover	Net profit (+)/ loss(-)	Net impact of Audit comments	Capital employed @	Return on capital employed	Percentage of return on capital employed	Manpower
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
B.	Statutory corporations												
AGRI	CULTURE AND ALLIED												
1	Haryana State Warehousing Corporation	2014-15	2016-17	5.84	30.54	-	95.77	30.83	-3.24	352.60	33.25	9.43	609
		2015-16	2016-17	5.84	50.21	-	84.22	23.45	under finalisation	393.64	26.54	6.74	
	r Wise Total			5.84	50.21	-	84.22	23.45	-	393.64	26.54	6.74	609
FINA													
2	Haryana Financial Corporation	2014-15	2015-16	207.66	-	-108.77	4.02	51.83	-0.04	224.24	52.13	23.25	66
	r Wise Total			207.66	0.00	-108.77	4.02	51.83	-0.04	224.24	52.13	23.25	66
Total	B (All sector wise working Statutor	ry corporatio	ns)	213.50	50.21	-108.77	88.24	75.28	-0.04	617.88	78.67	12.73	675
Gran	d Total (A+B)			8692.26	42703.93	-27944.99	34109.41	-1770.50	-297.06	26519.40	2189.92	8.26	29246
C. NON- WORKING GOVERNMENT COMPANIES													
AGRI	CULTURE AND ALLIED												
1	Haryana State Minor Irrigation and Tubewell Corporation Limited	2014-15	2016-17	10.89	-	-353.23	0.00	-0.34	Non Review Certificate		-0.34	-	-
Secto	r Wise Total			10.89	-	-353.23	0.00	-0.34	0.00	-342.34	-0.34	-	-
FINA	NCE												
2	Haryana State Housing Finance Corporation Limited #	ended 31 Aug 2001	2003-04	-	-	-	-	-	Non Review Certificate		-	-	-
Secto	r Wise Total			-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-
POW	ER												
3	Yamuna Coal Company Private Limited	2015-16	2016-17	1.24	-	-0.37	-	-0.32	Non Review Certificate		-0.32	-	-
4	HARUP Coal Corporation Limited*			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	r Wise Total			1.24	-	-0.37	-	-0.32	-	0.87	-0.32	-	-
INFR	ASTRUCTURE												
		100-00	1000.00	6.05	2 (0	27.10	1	<b>7</b> 07		0.40	0.57		
5	Haryana Concast Limited #	1997-98	1998-99	6.85	3.69	-27.18	-	-7.97	-	9.40	-3.57	-	-

#### Audit Report No. 6 of 2016 on PSUs (Social, General and Economic Sectors)

Sl. No.	Sector/ name of the Company	Period of accounts	Year in which accounts finalised	Paid- up capital	Loans outstanding at the end of year	Accumul- ated profit(+)/ loss(-)		Net profit (+)/ loss(-)	Net impact of Audit comments	Capital employed @	canital	of return on canital	Manpower
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
MISCELLANEOUS													
6	Haryana Minerals Limited	2013-14	2015-16	0.24	5.03	-12.30	-	-0.05	Non Review Certificate	-4.46	0.05	-	-
		2014-15	2016-17	0.24	5.03	-12.40	-	-0.11	-	-4.57	-0.01	-	
Secto	Sector Wise Total				5.03	-12.40	-	-0.11	-	-4.57	-0.01	-	-
Total	Total C (All sector wise non working Government companies)				8.72	-393.18		-8.74		-336.64	-4.24	-	
Gran	Grand Total (A+B+C)				42712.65	-28338.17	34109.41	-1779.24	-297.06	26182.76	2185.68	8.35	29246

@ Capital employed represents Shareholders' funds and long term borrowings.

# Companies under liquidation.

\* First Accounts still awaited.

# Statement showing loss of interest for non receipt of subsidy claimed from the State Government

						(	in crore )
Year	Subsidy claimed by the DISCOMs	Subsidy received by the DISCOMs	Subsidy yet to be released by the GoH	UHBVNL subsidy (as per annual Accounts)	UH subsidy (in % to total subsidy)	UH share in unreleased subsidy	Interest loss of Holding cost
2011-12	4,298.96	3,576.58	722.38	1,946.75	54.43	393.19	48.17
2012-13	5,287.65	5,129.13	158.52	3,620.03	70.58	111.88	13.43
2013-14	7,065.93	5,200.00	1,865.93	3,520.48	67.70	1,263.26	151.59
2014-15	7,805.37	5,234.63	2,570.74	3,136.59	59.92	1,540.39	184.86
2015-16	11,193.35	6,323.35	4,870.00	3,794.01	60.00	2,922.00	350.64
			Total				748.69

(Referred to in Paragraph 2.6.1.5 ii)

# Statement showing the AT&C losses of the Company during 2011-12 to 2015-16

Sl. No.	Particulars	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
1	No. of consumers (in lakh)	26.18	27.27	25.48	26.36	27.27
2	No of units received for sale (in MUs)	16,744.46	17,648.62	17,719.70	19,312.01	19,647.87
3	No. of units sold/billed (in MUs)	13,202.51	12,131.74	11,979.05	13,406.00	13,459.84
4	No. of units realised (in MUs)	12,146.86	11,365.93	11,361.01	12,738.78	12,991.44
5	Revenue assessed (in ` crore )	3,902.42	4,908.88	6,158.95	6,924.23	7,872.33
6	Revenue realised (in ` crore )	3,590.39	4,599.01	5,841.19	6,579.61	7,598.50
7	Average realisation rate per unit(in `)	3.18	4.35	4.86	4.75	5.52
8	Actual AT&C losses (in MUs) (2-4)	4,597.60	6,282.69	6,358.69	6,573.23	6,656.43
9	AT&C losses allowed by HERC(in per cent)	36.42	34.68	31.53	28.38	25.67
10	Actual AT&C losses(in per cent) (8/2*100)	27.46	35.60	34.30	34.04	33.88
11	Excess losses than norms (in per cent) (10-9)	-	0.92	2.77	5.66	8.21
12	Excess losses than norms (in MUs) (8*11/10)	-	162.36	513.52	1,092.96	1,613.03
13	Value of excess losses (` in crore) (12*7*0.1)	-	70.63	249.57	519.16	890.39
	Total (` in crore)		172	9.75		

# (Referred to in Paragraph 2.7.9)

# Statement showing revenue assessed, its collection and outstanding during five years up to 2015-16

#### (Referred to in paragraph 2.8.1)

#### (Figure ` in crore)

Sl.No.	Particulars	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
1	Balance outstanding at the beginning of the year	2,377.97	2,735.84	3,148.94	2,860.09	3,357.59
2	Revenue assessed/billed during the year	3,902.42	4,908.88	6,158.95	6,924.23	8,209.19
3	Total amount due for realisation (1+2)	6,280.39	7,644.72	9,307.89	9,784.32	11,566.78
4	Amount realised during the year	3,590.39	4,599.01	5,841.19	6,579.61	7,764.31
5	Amount written off during the year	-	-	-	-	-
6	Balance outstanding at the end of the year	2,735.84	3,148.94	2,860.09	3,357.59	3,802.47
7	Percentage of amount realised to total dues (4/3 x 100)	57.17	60.16	62.76	67.25	67.13
8	Arrear in terms of nos. of months assessment [(column 6/(Column 2/12)]	8.41	7.70	5.57	5.82	5.56

# Statement showing category wise position of arrears of revenue (excluding Jind Circle) for the year 2011-12 and the period ending March 2016.

(Referred to in Paragraph 2.8.3)

(`in lakh)

Category of		Connected	consumer	s	Disconnected consumers				
consumer	2011-12		March 2016		2011-12		March 2016		
	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	
Domestic (Rural)	246760	46044.72	304461	65764.89	200483	38989.63	234374	57126.11	
Domestic (Urban)	53943	6360.17	73198	8009.91	64437	5807.69	81344	8552.96	
Total Domestic	300703	52404.89	377659	73774.80	264920	44797.32	315718	65679.07	
Non Domestic	24789	5234.71	50662	8597.21	33877	4105.83	40699	6516.38	
Agriculture	86560	6904.22	110115	6122.40	8132	1530.74	13331	1617.77	
Industrial	2505	6284.59	5719	12455.43	6200	4314.44	6290	4502.95	
Govt. Dept.	5555	7320.09	5938	12798.24	316	226.95	532	490.12	
Total	420112	78148.5	550093	113748.08	313445	54975.28	376570	78806.29	

Statement showing cases where Corporation either failed to link the settlement amount with the value of mortgaged security or failed to settle the account in accordance with the guidelines of the Scheme

(Referred to i	in paragraph	3.11.3.1)
----------------	--------------	-----------

Sl. No.	Name of the borrower	Facts of the case	Reply of the Corporation
1	J B Agro, Yamuna nagar (3 Ioan accounts)	Three loans amounting to `16.10 lakh were disbursed during January 1995 to December 1998. The unit was in default since inception. The Corporation approved (March 2010) borrower's case for one time settlement (as an individual case and not under any OTS Scheme) at `0.32 crore against outstanding dues of `1.24 crore which was not paid and the OTS decision was cancelled (July 2011). Upon request from the borrower (August 2012) its earlier settlement decision was revived (December 2012) at `0.38 crore (OTS amount `0.32 crore and for the interest `0.06 crore). The borrower deposited the amount in July 2013. Audit observed that the borrower had mortgaged the land valuing `0.66 crore (December 2008) with Corporation. Since the OTS – NPA policy 2011 also covered those cases in which earlier OTS stood cancelled so this case was to be considered and settled under OTS Scheme 2011 and should not have been settled at the value less than the value of mortgaged property. This settlement favouring the borrower resulted in loss of `0.28 crore (`0.66 crore - `0.38 crore) to the Corporation.	The Corporation stated (July 2016) that collateral security could not be sold as the same was under illegal encroachment since more than 20 years and hence the settlement amount was not linked with the value of security. The reply is not tenable as loan was granted 18 years back (1995 to 1998) against the security and at the time of sanctioning the loan the Corporation should have physically verified the security besides verifying from revenue records whereas also as per reply the names of encroacher were recorded.
2	A D L International (2 loan accounts)	The Corporation accepted (January 2012) the proposal (January 2011) of the borrower to settle the loan at `0.61 crore against the outstanding dues of `5.07 crore whereas the value (February 2011) of assets mortgaged with the Corporation was `2.14 crore. This resulted in self inflicted loss of `1.53 crore (`2.14 crore - `0.61 crore).	The Corporation stated that the loanee offered `0.61 crore which was accepted by the Corporation citing financial crunch of loanee as a reason. The reply is not tenable as the settlement was made violating the policy of linking settlement amount with value of security.
3	Gobinda Builders, Sonipat (1 loan account)	The Corporation settled (June 2013) a loan account of a borrower at `0.43 crore against outstanding dues (April 2013) of `20.88 crore and the value (March 2012) of mortgaged property was `2.45 crore. Audit noticed that the loan was settled (June 2013) at `42.89 lakh, without considering the realizable value (March 2012) of collateral security of `2.45 crore, which resulted in loss of `2.02 crore (`2.45 crore - `42.89 lakh).	The Corporation stated (July 2016) that the main promoter had already expired and the guarantor was willing to pay OTS amount of `42.89 lakh only. The reply is not tenable as the value of security available was `2.45 crore and should have been linked to work out the OTS amount.
4	National Steel Tube, Bhiwani (1 loan account)	As against the outstanding dues of `92.53 lakh of the borrower, the value (May 2012) of the property mortgaged with the Corporation was `44.42 lakh. However, the Corporation settled (December 2012) at `0.32 lakh only. Thus, settlement of loans at less than the value of property mortgaged resulted in loss of `44.10 lakh (`44.42 lakh - `0.32 lakh).	The Corporation stated (July 2016) that the original documents of one collateral security were missing and remaining two collateral securities could not be sold. Hence, the settlement was arrived at without linking with value of security. The reply is not acceptable as the documents of security should have been kept by the Corporation in safe custody.

Sl.	Name of the	Facts of the case	Reply of the Corporation
No.	borrower		
5	Parsley Foods Limited, Hisar. (2 loan accounts)	Against outstanding (November 2012) dues of `8.06 crore in case of a borrower the assessed value of the security was `56.23 lakh. The Corporation worked out settlement amount at `88.12 lakh. The account was settled at `70 lakh by the Board of Directors on the proposal of the subcommittee which was in violation of the policy and resulted in loss of `18.12 lakh.	The Corporation stated (July 2016) that the available security was disputed and the process under Section 31 of the SFC Act, 1951 would be time consuming. Hence, settlement arrived at the amount stated by the borrower. The reply is not tenable as the recourse available in Act should have been resorted to and settlement done as per policy.
6	Mukund Pipe, Sirsa (2 loan accounts)	Out of the two Settlement Schemes, minimum settlement amount in the case of OTS-NPA was higher than OTS - Loss scheme as in case of the latter, only principal amount of the re-cast accounts is recovered, whereas in case of former, principal as well as interest of re-cast account is recovered. Audit observed that total outstanding (December 2012) amount against four loans sanctioned to a unit was `22.17 crore. The Corporation accepted (March 1996) the collateral security at `52 lakh but had not reassessed the same. The borrower applied (July 2012) for the settlement of loan under OTS-Loss Scheme. Since the Corporation was having the collateral security so the case was to be covered under OTS-NPA Scheme and minimum settlement amount under it worked out to `1.07 crore. However, the Corporation agreed (July 2012) to settle the account for `72.32 lakh under OTS Loss Scheme. This resulted in loss of `34.30 lakh (`106.62 lakh - `72.32 lakh ) to the Corporation.	The Corporation stated (July 2016) that the borrower informed the Corporation about its poor financial position and was able to pay the OTS amount as per loss policy instead of NPA policy. Thus, the loan was settled as per loss policy. The reply was not acceptable as the security of the borrower was available and should have been covered under NPA policy.
7	Bhiwani Fibers, Bhiwani (3 loan accounts)	A unit had outstanding balances (May 2013) of `39.30 crore in three accounts applied (June 2013) for settlement of loan under OTS-NPA Scheme and the Corporation worked out (January 2014) the settlement amount at `2.34 crore. The borrower disputed the date of one account becoming doubtful and worked out (January 2014) the settlement amount as `1.55 crore. The Board of Directors while deciding (September 2014) the settlement amount, observed that the minimum recoverable amount would remain at `2.34 crore irrespective of the date of account becoming doubtful. Audit noticed that the Corporation settled (December 2014) the account at `1.86 crore as offered (May 2014) by the borrower, citing the reasons that the available mortgaged security could not be sold as the borrower had approached (2003) Board of Industrial Financing and Restructuring (BIFR) for winding up. Since the settlement amount worked out to `2.34 crore as such, the decision to settle the account at `1.86 crore resulted in loss of `0.47 crore to the Corporation.	The Corporation stated (July 2016) that the value of the available security was `1.34 crore and BIFR had ordered winding up of the borrower Company. Hence, the proposal of the borrower for settlement at `1.86 crore were accepted. The reply is not tenable as in terms of policy, the OTS amount could not be less than the principal amount of `2.34 crore. Further, even if BIFR orders for winding up are passed, the claim for the Corporation would remain and the recovery can be affected through liquidator. However, after settlement at lesser amount the claim of the Corporation is treated as already settled.
8.	Air Impact, Bahadurgarh (1 loan account)	Against Outstanding dues (January 2012) of a unit of `25.41 crore (principal & miscellaneous expenses `0.87 crore and interest `24.54 crore), the assessed value (February 2012) of the mortgaged property was `6.15 crore. The Corporation decided (March 2012) to settle loan at `0.98 crore due to the fact that it had not been able to sell the primary security (valuing `0.98 crore) as well as collateral security (valuing `5.18 crore) for last 11 years. The primary security could not be sold because some portion of land was acquired by HUDA and the remaining land had not been demarcated. The Corporation did not consider the valuation of prime and collateral security by an independent agency and settled the loan at `0.98 crore instead of `6.15 crore which caused a loss of `5.17 crore (`6.15 crore - `0.98 crore).	The Corporation stated (July 2016) that the security could not be sold despite a lapse of 11 years and in case the Corporation filed case under Section 31 of SFC Act, 1951 for recovery of dues by disposing collateral security, it would be lengthy process. The reply is not tenable as the recourses available in SFC Act should have been exhausted and settlement done as per policy.

#### Statement showing financial position and working results of Haryana Forest Development Corporation Limited for the last four years ending March -2015

#### (Referred to in Para 3.12.2)

(` in crore)

Particulars	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
Liabilities						
Paid Up Capital	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20		
Reserve & Surplus	31.93	38.10	43.23	45.70		
Borrowings	0	0	0	0		
Other Long Term Liabilities	0.79	0.51	0.71	0.74		
Current Liabilities and Provisions	8.89	27.86	29.18	28.49		
Deferred tax Liabilities	0	0.03	0.06	0.01		
Total	41.81	66.70	73.38	75.14		
Assets						
Net Fixed Assets	3.24	3.17	3.37	5.43		
Long Term Loans/Advances	0.57	0.51	0.34	0.45		
Other Non-Current Assets	3.43	2.95	2.54	2.83		
Current Assets/ Advances	34.56	60.07	67.13	66.43		
Deferred Tax Assets	0.01	-	-	-		
Total	41.81	66.70	73.38	75.14		
Capital Employed <sup>1</sup>	32.92	38.81	44.14	46.64		
Net Worth <sup>2</sup>	32.13	38.30	43.43	45.90		
Income	48.41	87.60	76.12	53.91		
Expenditure	42.45	78.22	67.50	49.93		
Profit (+) or Loss (-) for the year	5.97	9.38	8.62	3.97		
Prior Period adjustment	-	-	-	-		
Less Provision for tax	1.74	3.09	2.81	1.29		
Net Profit(+)/Loss(-)	4.23	6.29	5.81	2.68		
Dividend paid to State Government	0.06	0.06	0.06	NA		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Shareholders' funds plus Long term liabilities. <sup>2</sup> Total assets less total liabilities.