#### **CHAPTER-I**

#### INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Budget profile

There are 29 departments and 37 autonomous bodies in the State. The position of budget estimates and actuals thereagainst by the State Government during 2008-13 is given in **Table 1.1**.

Table-1.1
Budget and expenditure of the state government during 2008-13

(₹ in crore)

Particulars	2008-	2008-09		2009-10		2010-11		12	2012-13	
	Budget Estimates	Actuals								
Revenue expenditure										
General services	4005	5272	4306	6445	4463	7777	11080	9725	11098	10626
Social services	3268	3018	4229	4258	5047	5214	5988	6293	6921	6908
Economic services	3704	3757	6191	4621	7943	5476	5369	6663	6572	7583
Grants-in-aid <sup>1</sup> and contributions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total (1)	10977	12047	14726	15324	17453	18467	22437	22681	24591	25117
Capital expenditure								,		
Capital Outlay	5553	4964	6982	6234	7327	6064	7286	5899	8863	5224
Loans and advances disbursed	59	42	65	49	81	72	80	66	70	93
Repayment of Public Debt	611	703	808	731	959	3932	1174	1211	1317	1343
Contingency Fund										
Public Accounts <sup>2</sup> disbursements	1464	35696	1673	43301	1896	54735	2158	17106	2789	17722
Closing Cash balance	-	63	-	67	-	99	-	960	-	91
Total (2)	7687	41468	9528	50382	10263	64902	10698	25242	13039	24473
Grand Total (1+2)	18664	53515	24254	65706	27716	83369	33135	47923	37630	49590

Source: Annual Financial Statements and Finance Accounts of the State Government.

#### 1.2 Application of resources of the State Government

As against the total outlay of the budget of ₹37,630 crore, total expenditure was ₹49,590 crore during 2012-13. The total expenditure<sup>3</sup> of the state increased from ₹17,053 crore to ₹30,434 crore during 2008-13, the revenue expenditure of the State Government increased by 108 *per cent* from ₹12,047 crore in 2008-09 to ₹25,117 crore in 2012-13. Non-Plan revenue expenditure increased by 101 *per cent* from ₹11,734 crore to ₹23,560 crore and capital expenditure increased by five *per cent* from ₹4,964 crore to ₹5,224 crore during the period 2008-13.

The revenue expenditure constituted 71 to 83 per cent of the total expenditure during the years 2008-13 and capital expenditure 17 to 29 per cent. During this period, total

Grant-in-aid paid by the State Government is included in the above sectors

Actuals exclude transactions of investment of cash balance and departmental cash balance

Total expenditure includes revenue expenditure, capital expenditure and disbursement of loans and advances

expenditure increased at an annual average rate of 14 *per cent*, whereas revenue receipts grew at an annual average growth rate of 15 *per cent* during 2008-13.

## 1.3 Persistent savings

In 11 cases, there were persistent savings of more than ₹one crore in each during the last five years as per the details given in **Table-1.2.** 

Table-1.2 List of grants with persistent savings during 2008-13

(₹ in crore)

						(\m crore)		
SI.	Grant number and		Ar	nount of Saving	gs			
No	name	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13		
Revenu	ie (Voted)							
1.	03-Planning and Development	41.74 (43)	98.31 (72)	38.88 (56)	20.48 (14)	89.06 (36)		
2.	04-Information	3.78 (18)	3.21 (12)	6.04 (19)	6.13 (15)	10.43 (25)		
3.	10-Law	25.34 (19)	43.00 (38)	22.31 (17)	77.17 (38)	62.01 (33)		
4.	11-Industries & Commerce	9.16 (9)	19.14 (14)	20.06 (13)	36.84 (18)	32.34 (15)		
Revenu	Revenue charged							
5.	10-Law	1.97 (19)	5.32 (29)	2.18 (14)	6.51 (28)	12.54 (39)		
Capita	l (Voted)							
6.	07-Education	57.59 (30)	121.95(43)	317.82 (59)	128.18 (27)	209.29 (43)		
7.	08-Finance	432.23 (82)	222.22 (27)	387.82 (74)	207.67 (92)	248.65 (93)		
8.	14-Revenue	145.22 (88)	72.05 (53)	45.64 (42)	52.71 (51)	41.76 (75)		
9.	19-Housing and Urban	279.90 (63)	96.10 (26)	156.78 (38)	262.38 (43)	235.05 (31)		
	Development							
10.	21-Forest	24.00 (35)	47.94 (50)	50.69 (52)	39.41 (46)	16.36 (18)		
11.	25-Labour, Stationery and	74.44 (95)	69.80 (97)	140.44 (99)	71.84 (97)	78.16 (98)		
	Printing							

Source: Appropriation Accounts

Reasons for persistent savings under these heads were not intimated (January 2014) by the State Government. However, this indicated inadequate financial control.

## 1.4 Funds transferred directly to the State Implementing Agencies

The Government of India directly transferred ₹2667 crore<sup>4</sup> to various State implementing agencies without routing through the State Budget during the year 2012-13. Consequently, these amounts remained outside the scope of Annual Accounts (Finance Accounts and Appropriation Accounts) of the State Government during the year.

#### 1.5 Grants-in-aid from Government of India

The Grants-in-aid received from the GoI during the years 2008-09 to 2012-13 have been given in **Table-1.3.** 

Table-1.3 Grants-in-aid from GoI

(₹ in crore)

					(\ m crore)
Particulars	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Non-Plan Grants	3460	3404	5966	4551	4080
Grants for State Plan Schemes	5495	8287	8625	9990	10274
Total	8955	11691	14591	14541	14354
Percentage of increase over previous year	10	31	25	(-) 0.34	(-) 1
Percentage of Revenue Receipts	63	66	66	59	55

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Details in Report on State Finances of Government of Jammu and Kashmir at page 9.

2

Total grants-in-aid from GoI increased from ₹8955 crore to ₹14,354 crore during the period 2008-13. There was percentage increase which ranged between (-) 0.34 and 31 *per cent* during the period 2009-13 over the previous year whereas its percentage to revenue receipts ranged between 55 and 66 *per cent*.

## 1.6 Planning and conduct of audit

The Audit process starts with the risk assessment of various departments, autonomous bodies, schemes/ projects, etc., criticality/ complexity of activities, level of delegated financial powers, internal controls and concerns of stakeholders and previous audit findings. Based on this risk assessment, the frequency and extent of audit are decided and an Annual Audit Plan is formulated.

After completion of audit, Inspection Report containing audit findings is issued to the head of the office with request to furnish replies within one month. Whenever replies are received, audit findings are either settled/ or further action for compliance is advised. The important audit observations pointed out in these Inspection Reports are processed for inclusion in the Audit Reports of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, which are submitted to the Governor of Jammu Kashmir under Article 151 of the Constitution of India.

During 2012-13, compliance audit of 846 drawing and disbursing officers of the State and 37 autonomous bodies was conducted by the office of the Principal Accountant General (Audit), Jammu Kashmir. Besides, seven Performance Audits were also conducted.

### 1.7 Response of Government to Audit Report

In the last few years, Audit has reported on several significant deficiencies in implementation of various programmes/ activities as well as on the quality of internal controls in selected departments, which have negative impact on the success of programmes and functioning of the departments. The focus was on auditing the sepecfic programmes/ schemes and to offer suitable recommendations to the executive for taking corrective action and improving service delivery to the citizens.

The draft audit paragraphs proposed for inclusion in the Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India are forwarded by the Principal Accountant General (Audit) to the Principal Secretaries/ Secretaries of the Department concerned, drawing their attention to audit findings and requesting them to send their response within six weeks. The fact of non-receipt of replies from the departments/ Government is invariably indicated at the end of such paragraphs included in the Audit Report. 14 paragraphs and five Performance Audits proposed to be included in the Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the year ended 31 March 2013 were sent to the Principal Secretaries/ Secretaries of the respective departments. No reply of the Government has been received (January 2014).

#### 1.8 Recoveries at the instance of Audit

The audit findings involving recoveries that came to notice in the course of test audit of accounts of the Departments of the State Government were referred to various departmental Drawing and Disbursing Officers (DDOs) for confirmation and further necessary action under intimation to audit. The details of recoveries pointed out by Audit during the year 2012-13, those accepted by the Departments and recoveries effected are given in **Table-1.4**.

Table-1.4 (₹ in crore)

Department	Recove	ries pointed o 2012-13	out in	Recover	ries Accepted of 2012-13	during	Recoveries effected during 2012-13		
	Audit Report*	Inspection Reports	Total	Audit Report*	Inspection Reports	Total	Audit Report*	Inspection Reports	Total
Government Departments	20.60	167.35	187.95	20.43	17.78	38.21	2.29	0.12	2.41
Total	20.60	167.35	187.95	20.43	17.78	38.21	2.29	0.12	2.41

\*The recoveries relate to CAG's Audit Report for the year ended March 2012.

#### 1.9 Lack of responsiveness of Government to Audit

The Hand Book of Instructions for speedy settlement of Audit observations/ Inspection Reports (IRs), etc., issued by the Government (Finance Department) provides for prompt response by the executive to the IRs issued by the Principal Accountant General (Audit) to ensure remedial/ rectification action in compliance with the prescribed rules and procedures and accountability for the deficiencies, lapses, etc. brought out in the IRs. The Heads of offices and next higher authorities are required to comply with the observations contained in the IRs and rectify the defects promptly and report their compliance to the Principal Accountant General (Audit).

Based on the results of test audit, 29,751 Audit observations contained in 8037 IRs pertaining to the period 1998-2013 and outstanding as on 31 March 2013 are given in **Table 1.5**:

**Table: 1.5** 

Name of sector	Opening Balance (01 April 2012)		Additions during the year 2012-13			ring the year 2-13	Closing Balance (31 March 2013)	
	No of Inspection Reports	No of Paragraphs	No of Inspection Reports	No of Paragraphs	No of Inspection Reports	No of Paragraphs	No of Inspection Reports	No of Paragraphs
Social Sector (Non PSUs)	2834	10039	158	1636	78	348	2914	11327
General Sector (Non PSUs)	1428	5127	365	1341	14	240	1779	6228
Economic Sector (Non PSUs)	3228	11508	152	1137	36	449	3344	12196
Total	7490	26674	675	4114	128	1037	8037	29751

The pendency of large number of paragraphs of serious financial irregularities and loss to Government even after being pointed out in audit, indicated lack of response of the Government departments to Audit.

The Government may look into this matter and revamp the system to ensure proper response to the audit observations from the departments in a time-bound manner.

### 1.10 Follow-up on Audit Reports

#### 1.10.1 Non-submission of *suo-motu* Action Taken Notes

To ensure accountability of the executives to the issues dealt with in various Audit Reports, the State Government (Finance Department) issued instructions in June 1997 to the administrative departments to furnish to Public Accounts Committee (PAC)/Committee on Public Undertakings (COPU), *suo-motu* Action Taken Notes (ATNs) on all the audit paragraphs featuring in the Audit Reports irrespective of the fact that these are taken up for discussion by these Committees or not. These ATNs are to be submitted to these Committees duly vetted by the Principal Accountant General (Audit) within a period of three months from the date of presentation of Audit Reports in the State Legislature.

It was, however, noticed that out of 393<sup>5</sup> audit paragraphs featuring in the Civil Chapters of Audit Reports from 2000-01 to 2011-12, *suo-motu* ATNs in respect of 222 audit paragraphs had not been received upto 30 September 2013.

#### 1.10.2 Action taken on recommendations of the PAC

Action Taken Notes, duly vetted by the Principal Accountant General (Audit) on the observations/ recommendations made by the PAC/ COPU in respect of the audit paragraphs discussed by them are to be furnished to these Committees within six months from the date of such observations/ recommendations. Out of 371 audit paragraphs featuring in the Civil chapters of Audit Reports for the years from 2000-01 to 2010-11, 145 audit paragraphs have been discussed by the PAC up to 30 September 2013. Recommendations in respect of 138 audit paragraphs have been made by the PAC, however, ATNs on the recommendations of the Committees is pending from the State Government in respect of 116 paragraphs.

# 1.11 Non-submission/ delay in submission of Annual accounts by Autonomous Bodies

A total of 333 annual accounts of 32 Bodies were awaited in Audit as on 31st March 2013. Six Autonomous Bodies required to be audited by the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) of India under Sections 19 (3) and 20 (1) of the said Act had also not furnished the annual accounts as given in **Table 1.6**.

\_

<sup>25</sup> Audit paragraphs featuring in the Audit Report 2004-05 being of introductory/ routine nature not considered/skipped over from discussion during the Orientation course held in July 2013 with the members of the Public Accounts Committee.

Table 1.6
Non-submission of accounts by Autonomous Bodies

Name of Body/Authority	Delay in number of years	No of accounts	Grants during 2012-13 (₹ in crore)
Ladakh Autonomous Hill Development Council, Leh	1-18	18	353.45
Ladakh Autonomous Hill Development Council, Kargil	1-9	09	354.61
Sher-i-Kashmir University of Agricultural Science and Technology, Srinagar	1-3	03	114.36
Sher-i-Kashmir University of Agricultural Science and Technology, Jammu	1-2	03	45.55
Jammu & Kashmir Legal Services Authority, Srinagar	1-16	16	9.67
Provident Fund Organization, Srinagar	1-12	12	Nil
		61	877.64

The audit of Ladakh Autonomous Hill Development Council (LAHDC), Leh and LAHDC, Kargil has been entrusted to the C&AG of India upto 2012-13. LAHDC, Leh has failed to submit accounts for audit since its inception i.e. 1995-96 although substantial sums are being released to the Council and unspent balances at the end of the year remain credited in a non-lapsable Fund in the Public Account of the State. Same is the position in respect of LAHDC, Kargil which came into existence in the year 2004-05 and the accounts are in arrears since inception.

Non-submission/ delay in submission of accounts by these Bodies receiving substantial funding from the State Budget is a serious financial irregularity persisting for years.

# 1.12 Year-wise details of reviews and paragraphs appeared in Audit Report

The year-wise details of Performance reviews and Audit paragraphs that appeared in the Audit Report for the last two years alongwith their money value is given in **Table-1.7**.

Table-1.7

Details regarding Performance reviews and Audit paragraphs appeared in Audit Reports during 2010-12

Year	Perform	nance Audit	Audit	Paragraphs	Replies received	
	Number	mber   Money value		Money value	Performance	Draft
		(₹ in crore)		(₹ in crore)	Audit	paragraphs
2010-11	5	560.91	14	25.87		
2011-12	4	3675.01	17	41.01		04

During 2012-13, five performance audits involving money value of ₹2881.34 crore and 14 Audit paragraphs involving ₹74.83 crore have been included in this Report.