

Annexe-I

(Referred to in paragraph No.4.2)

Details of non-realisation of pension/family pension dues

(in lakh)

Name of HPO	Period for which debits not raised	Amount for which debits not raised		Total
		IEPF	CMPF	
Jharkhand Postal Circle				
B.S. City	April 2006 to January 2009	5.39	3.98	9.37
Jamshedpur	August 2006 to September 2008	53.60	0.16	53.76
Dhanbad	April 2004 to August 2008	10.66	164.50	175.16
Bihar Postal circle				
Munger	May 2008 to March 2009	3.62	1.20	4.82
Begusarai	July 2006 to April 2008	0.60	0.50	1.10
Total		73.87	170.34	244.21

Annexe-II

(Referred to in paragraph No. 6.3)

Details of the property teams visiting EOI Bangkok

Sl. No.	Date of visit	Composition of the property team	Purpose of visit	Recommendations	Action taken by MEA/Mission
1.	1982	-	Inspection of site.	Decided against building ER due to very narrow approach road.	No action
2.	May 1987	AS (FA) and JS (Establishment)	Transit visit to Bangkok.	Agreed with the assessment of Mission to prepare a feasibility report for construction of apartments	July 1988 -Ministry approved termination of building project and sale of the plot.
3.	May 1989	AS (FA) and DS (Project)	Examine offers for sale of plot.	Was of the view to wait further so as to command better price of the plot	No action
4.	January 1990	Director (Finance) and Director (Establishment)	Examine few offers received by Mission advertised for sale of plot in newspapers.	Favoured construction of some apartments for Assistants and Attaches.	No formal instructions were issued.
5.	May 1990	AS (FA) and JS (Establishment.)	Transit from Yangon to Delhi to inspect the plot.	No specific view was taken regarding the plot.	No action
6.	September 1992	Foreign Service Inspectors	To consider various options to make full use of the plot.	Were of the view that maximum advantage should be taken by developing this plot of land.	No action
7.	April 1994	AS (FA) and JS (Establishment)	To study various options.	Decided, with the approval of FS that a portion of the land may be retained for construction of around 3000 sq mts of residential space and the remaining land may be sold to highest bidder.	March 1995 -It was decided to hire a consultant for preparation of Master Plan.
8.	December 1995	Foreign Service Inspectors	To examine options for utilisation/disposal of plot.	Recommended two options (i) selling the plot (ii) invite local developer for construction of residence complex who in turn should offer certain fully furnished apartments for IB officials.	June 1996 -Ministry conveyed its approval for consultant and asked for negotiation for selection of architect. Mission worked out economics of construction vs purchase of built up flats, and latter was found to be better option
9.	November 1996	JS (Establishment) and Director (Finance)	To study alternative and have discussions with real estate agents, property developers and financial institutions to assess the best course of action	Recommended the sale of plot.	April 1997 - Ministry approved in principle the sale of plot. Process was initiated but due to crash in real estate prices, the sale was deferred. March 2004 - Mission recommended immediate sale of plot. May 2004 - sale of plot was advertised. Two Bids amounting to Baht 135

					million and Baht 120 million were received. An earlier open offer of Baht 85 million was also on table. However, no final decision was taken.
10.	February 2005	AS (AD), AS (FA) and Senior Architect	For disposal of Government owned plot of land and purchase of a plot of land adjacent to the chancery	Recommended that the best use of plot would be to construct an Embassy Residence and if feasible, a cultural centre.	On approval of FS, Mission approached and received host government's permission for construction of ER and Cultural Centre in June 2005 . Mission short-listed four architects for the project and in March 2006 four models submitted by them were sent to Ministry for consideration. An architectural consultancy and construction management agreement was signed with M/s SJA 3 D in October 2006. Conceptual drawings were worked out and sent to Ministry.
11.	April – May 2008	AS (FA), JS (Projects) and Sr. Architect	To examine the issues and have meeting with the architectural firms	Recommended that in view of traffic and circulation problem involved with a public building like a cultural centre, a residence of DCM in place of cultural centre may be constructed on the plot. .	M/s SJA 3 D worked out changed design which was sent to Ministry in July 2008
12.	January 2011	AS (FA) and JS (Projects)	for on the spot assessment of the possible utilization of the GOI owned plot of land	Recommended getting a concept design developed by the architects/ consultant for construction of residences for India based officers and staff on the plot as per the revised residential area norms with adequate recreational facilities and parking. Secondly, to pursue the possibility of acquiring a property adjacent to the plot for construction of DCM's residence and for easy access from and exit to their other nearby plots ¹	No action

¹ Soi 23 and Soi 27

Annexe-III

(Referred to in paragraph No. 6.6)

Expenditure without sanction on visiting delegations during 2008-09 to 2010-11

Sl. No	Name of the Mission	Number of cases	Amount in `
1.	EI Paris	2	674870.00
2.	CGI Munich	13	787509.78
3.	EI Warsaw	1	20697.60
4.	EI Moscow	1	46392.00
5.	EI Bratislava	3	41919.00
6.	EI Rome	1	22580.00
7.	HCI London	67	3470772.40
8.	EI The Hague	1	112600.97
9.	CGI Milan	1	5685.12
10.	EI Berlin	1	6586.74
11.	EI Berne	2	28154.55
12.	HCI Port of Spain	1	318049.00
13.	CGI Chicago	1	247428.00
14.	CGI New York	2	747067.00
15.	EI Brasil	1	342365.00
16.	HCI Ottawa	1	89233.00
		99	6961910.16
Expenditure in-violation of laid down scales			
1.	EI Paramaribo	1	6415000.00
Expenditure on ICCR sponsored troupe without sanction			
1.	CGI Milan	2	156425.00
2.	EI Vienna	1	30659.30
3.	EI Bratislava	2	87441.58
4.	EI Prague	5	73228.00
5.	Nehru Centre London	1	655928.00
		11	1003681.88
Non-deduction of 10 percent for breakfast from Daily Allowance			
1.	EI Paris	14	25947.78
2.	CGI Milan	3	5431.08
3.	EI Brussels	2	1101.23
4.	EI Zagreb	4	8762.00
5.	EI Budapest	1	779.90
6.	EI Berne	3	6243.16
7.	EI Oslo	4	8446.95
8.	EI Lisbon	9	14386.09
9.	EI Helsinki	6	21476.00
10.	EI Prague	68	78965.07
11.	HCI London	10	18752.57

12.	CGI Hamburg	8	14177.74
		132	204469.57
Expenditure on VIP lounge without sanction			
1.	CGI Frankfurt	17	671986.16
2.	EI Sofia	1	17186.00
3.	CGI Munich	21	860960.07
4.	EI Warsaw	1	40373.00
5.	EI Vienna	1	113061.00
6.	HCI London	47	2343252.00
		88	4046818.23
		Total	18631879.84

Annexe-IV

(Referred to in paragraph No. 6.7)

Office Space required for Mission at Minsk

	Area in square meters
Head of Mission	35
Head of Chancery	25
Attache	16
Personnel Secretary	10
India-based Staff (3 No.)	12
Security Guard	4
Local-based staff (8 No.)	32
Area for officials - A	134
Reception Room for HOM	19
Conference Room	35
Visitor's Waiting Lobby	13
Main Lobby	45
Telex Room	5
Cipher Room	10
Shredding Room	5
Film Store	22
Library	43
Duplicating Room	10
Tele-printer Room	4
Switch Board for PBX	5
Pantry	15
Toilets	50
Stationery Store	16
Furniture Store	18
Machine Room	21
Maintenance Store for Gardeners	6
Quarter for Security Guard	40
Reception Room for Consular	13
Area for other uses - B	395
Total Area C=A+B	529
Area for circulation (33 %) - D	174.57
Area for future expansion (10%) - E	70.36
Total area required for a Mission like Minsk = C+D+E	773.93

Annexe-V

(Referred to in paragraph No.6.8)

Details of excess expenditure incurred by the Missions on purchase of stationery

(` in lakh)

Sl. No.	Name of the Mission	Year	Expenditure incurred	Permissible limit*	Excess expenditure	Percentage of excess expenditure
1.	CGI, Dubai	2009 - 2010	13.24	2.94	10.30	350
2.	EI, Abu Dhabi	2009 - 2010	8.34	2.94	5.40	184
3.	EI, Kuwait	2009 - 2010	7.81	2.94	4.87	166
4.	HCI, Dhaka	2009 - 2010	5.12	2.94	2.18	74
5.	EI, Tokyo	2009 - 2010	4.53	2.94	1.59	54
6.	HCI, Colombo	2009 - 2010	4.39	2.94	1.45	47
7.	EI, Tehran	2009 - 2010	3.99	2.94	1.05	36
8.	PMI, New York	2009-2010	15.74	5.86	9.88	169
9.	EI, Brasilia	2009-10	5.43	2.94	2.49	85
10.	EI, Panama	2009-10	4.77	2.94	1.83	62
Total			73.36	32.32	41.04	

* US\$ 5967 = ` 293158 and US\$ 11935 = ` 586367 (for PMI New York) @ 1 US\$ = ` 49.13 for March'2009

Annexe-VI

(Referred to in paragraph No. 6.10)

Details of rent paid in excess of the prescribed ceiling

A- HCI-Singapore

Sl. No.	Name of the Officers/Officials	Designation	Monthly rental Ceiling	Actual Rent Paid	Excess Rent Paid per month	Months of retention	Total excess rent paid	Total excess rent paid in ₹ @ 1 ₹=S\$0.03 in March'2010
1.	Shri Mahendra Kumar	Attache	S\$3000	S\$4700	S\$1700	12	S\$20400	₹ 6,80,000
Total								₹ 6,80,000

B- EI-Tokyo

Sl. No.	Name of the Officers/ Officials	Designation	Monthly rental Ceiling	Actual Rent Paid	Excess Rent Paid per month	Months of retention	Total excess rent paid	Total excess rent paid in ₹ @ 1 ₹=JPY1,914 in March'2010
1.	Shri Anil Kumar	P.A.	JPY275000	JPY280000	JPY 5000	9	JPY45000	₹ 23511
Total								₹ 23,511

C- HCI- Port Moresby

Table-I

Sl. No.	Name of the Officers/Officials	Designation	Monthly rental Ceiling	Actual Rent Paid	Excess Rent Paid per month	Months of retention	Total excess rent paid	Total excess rent paid in ₹ @ 1 ₹=Kina0.057 in March'2010
1.	Shri D.Tirkey	Attache	Kina 5000	Kina 6500	Kina1 500	9	Kina 13500	₹ 236842
2.	Shri D.N.Deswal	P.S.	Kina 5000	Kina 6500	Kina1 500	8	Kina 12000	₹ 210526
3.	Shri R.S.Palyal	Assistant	Kina 4500	Kina 6500	Kina 2000	9	Kina 18000	₹ 315789
Total								₹ 7,63,157

Table-II

Sl. No.	Name of the Officers/Officials	Designation	Monthly rental Ceiling	Actual Rent Paid	Excess Rent Paid per month	Months of retention	Total excess rent paid	Total excess rent paid in ₹ @ 1 ₹=Kina0.057 in March'2010
1.	Shri D.Tirkey	Attache	Kina 5000	Kina 7150	Kina 2150	5	Kina 10750	₹ 188596
2.	Shri R.S.Palyal	Assistant	Kina 4500	Kina 7150	Kina 2650	5	Kina 13250	₹ 232456
3.	Shri V.G.Joshy	P.A.	Kina 4500	Kina 7150	Kina 2650	5	Kina 13250	₹ 232456
Total								₹ 6,53,508
Grand Total (A+B+C)								₹ 21,20,176