CHAPTER - 2

Financial Management and Budgetary Control

2.1 Introduction

- 2.1.1 Appropriation Accounts are accounts of the expenditure, voted and charged, of the Government for each financial year compared with the amounts of the voted grants and appropriations charged for different purposes as specified in the schedules appended to the Appropriation Act. These Accounts list the original budget estimates, supplementary grants, surrender and re-appropriations distinctly and indicate actual capital and revenue expenditure on various specified services vis-à-vis those authorized by the Appropriation Act in respect of both charged and voted items of budget. Appropriation Accounts, thus, facilitate management of finances and monitoring of budgetary provisions and are, therefore, complementary to Finance Accounts.
- 2.1.2 Audit of Appropriation Accounts by the Comptroller and Auditor General of India seeks to ascertain whether the expenditure actually incurred under various grants is within the authorization given under the Appropriation Act and that the expenditure required to be charged under the provisions of the Constitution is so charged. It also ascertains whether the expenditure so incurred is in conformity with the law, relevant rules, regulations and instructions. This chapter contains audit observations in respect of the Appropriation Accounts prepared by the Controller of Accounts, Government of NCT of Delhi for the year 2010-11.

2.2 Summary of Appropriation Accounts

The summarized position of actual expenditure during 2010-11 against 13

grants/ appropriations was as given in the Table 2.1 below:

Table 2.1: Summarized position of actual expenditure vis-à-vis original/supplementary provisions

(₹ in crore)

	T.	1	ı			(t in crore)
	Nature of Expenditure	Original grant/ Appropriation	Supplementary Grant/ Appropriation	Total	Actual expenditure	Saving (-)/ Excess (+)
Voted	I Revenue	12063.23	787.43	12850.66	11731.31	(-)1119.35
	II Capital	4847.85	191.53	5039.38	3986.38	(-)1053.00
III Loans and Advances		5472.65	616.64	6089.29	6364.73	(+)275.44
Total Vot	ed	22383.73	1595.60	23979.33	22082.42	(-)1896.91
Charged	IV Revenue	2816.22	4.12	2820.34	2687.69	(-)132.65
	V Capital	800.00	0	800.00	793.06	(-)6.94
	VI Loans and Advances	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cha	rged	3616.22	4.12	3620.34	3480.75	(-)139.59
Appropris Continger	ation to ncy Fund (if any)	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
Grand Total		25999.95	1599.72	27599.67	25563.17	(-)2036.50

The overall saving of ₹ 2036.50 crore was a result of saving of ₹ 1252.00 crore in 12 grants and 1 appropriation under Revenue Section, ₹ 1059.94 crore in 8 grants and 1 appropriation under Capital Section and one grant/appropriation (Public Debt-Repayments) under Loan Section, offset by excess of ₹ 275.44 crore (in Voted Section- ₹ 275.44 crore and Charged Section-Nil).

2.3 Financial accountability and budget management

2.3.1 Appropriation vis-à-vis allocative priorities

While framing the estimates, the department should take into account the past performance, the stages of formulation/implementation of the various schemes, the institutional capacity of the implementing agencies to execute the schemes, the constraints on spending by the spending agencies etc. with the objective of minimizing the scope for surrenders at a later stage. It was evident from Appropriation accounts for the year 2010-11 that in 93 cases, there were savings exceeding ₹ 5.00 crore (₹ 1 crore for Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS)/Schedule Caste Sub-Plan (SCSP)) or more than 20 *per cent* of total provision (**Appendix-2.1**). Against the total savings of ₹ 3128.36 crore, savings of ₹ 2303.02 crore (73.62 *per cent*) exceeding ₹ 50.00 crore in each

case occurred in 16 cases relating to 5 grants and 1 appropriation as indicated in table below:

Table 2.2: List of grants with savings of ₹ 50 crore and above.

Sl. No	No. and Name of the Grant/Appropriation	Total grant / appropriation	Supplementary	Actual Expenditure	Savings	Reasons
	Revenue - Voted					
	Grant No. 9-Industries				•	
1	3456 D.1(4)(2)—Subsidy to consumers on domestic LPG	168.00	-	21.68	146.32	Release of less subsidy on LPG
	Grant No.11 – Urban Dev	elopment & PWI	D			
2	2217 A. 8(2)(1)(26)-GIA for municipal reforms	207.45	-	143.00	64.45	Release of less grant to MCD
3	2217 A. 8(3)(1)(6)- Provision of essential services in unauthorised colonies	502.00	-	129.34	372.66	Slow progress of scheme
4	3054 A. 12(1)(1)(2)(4)- CWG-MCD	150.00	-	70.50	79.50	Receipt of less claims
5	3054 A. 12(1)(1)(2)(5)- Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission	100.00	-	-	100.00	Non-receipt of requests for release of funds from the implementing agencies.
6	2801 E.2(2)(1)(2)-Power: Subsidy to consumers through DISCOMS	250.00	-	169.93	80.07	Release of less subsidy to DISCOMS
7	2071 A.1(1)(1)-Ordinary Pensions	2071 A.1(1)(1)-Ordinary 100.00		-	100.00	Non-finalisation of transfer of pension from G.O.I to Delhi Government.
	Capital-Voted					
	Grant No.8 – Social Welfa	re				
8	5055 DD.1(2)(4)- Equity Capital to Delhi Transport Corporation for JNNURM	645.00	-	219.34	425.66	Less equity to DTC for JNNURM
9	7055 DD.4(2)(2)-Loan for Decongestion and Rationalization of Interstate Bus Station in Delhi	55.00	-	-	55.00	Non-release of loan

	Grant No. 10 – Developme	ent Department				
10	4515 BB. 4(1)(3)-Rural Development Board for works to be carried out under IDRV	123.00	-	38.09	84.91	Slow progress of work
	Grant No.11 – Urban Dev	elopment & PWI	D			
11	6215 AA 2(2)(1)(13)- Loans to DJB for JNNURM	250.00	-	62.50	187.50	Release of less loan to DJB
12	5054 BB.11(1)(1)(1)- Construction of Roads and Bridges	377.99	0.01	318.87	59.13	Non-receipt of sanction in time hence slow progress of work
13	5054 BB.11(1)(1)(5)- Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission	558.00	-	439.56	118.44	Slow progress of work
14	5054 BB.11(1)(1)(7)- CWG	657.00	-	428.10	228.90	Slow progress of work.
15	4801 EE.2(1)(1)(5)- Equity contribution to JVC Power Plant at Jhajjar, Haryana	80.00	-	-	80.00	Non-release of equity contribution
	Public Debt- Charged					
16	2049 A.1(1)(1)-Interest on Loans for State/U.T. Plan Schemes	2700.00	-	2579.52	120.48	Receipt of less loan under the scheme
	Total				2303.02	

The primary reasons of savings of ₹ 2303.02 crore are explained below:

- A provision of ₹ 168.00 crore was made for subsidy on domestic LPG. However, an expenditure of only ₹ 21.68 crore was incurred resulting in saving of ₹ 146.32 crore due to release of less subsidy on LPG.
- Savings of ₹ 64.45 crore out of total provision of ₹ 143.00 crore for municipal reforms were due to release of less grant to MCD
- A provision for essential services in un-authorized colonies amounting to ₹ 502.00 crore was proposed for 2010-11, out of which ₹ 372.66 crore (74.24 per cent) remained unutilized due to slow progress of scheme.
- A provision of 100.00 crore was made for Ordinary Pensions, however, entire amount remained unspent. The reason attributed was non-finalisation of transfer of pension from Government of India (GOI) to Delhi Government. Department in its reply stated that the matter of

transfer of pension from GOI to Delhi Government was a policy decision and the decision was to be taken by the higher authorities.

- In Jawahar Lal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) scheme, a provision of ₹ 645 crore was made for purchase of 1500 DTC buses for the year 2010-11. Out of provision of ₹ 645 crore an amount of ₹ 219.34 crore was released to DTC in the form of equity. Thus, due to release of less funds the target could not be achieved.
- Again under JNNURM, Government of India approved 3 sewerage projects of DJB, namely:
 - > Laying of Interceptor Sewers along major drains
 - Rehabilitation of Trunk Sewers
 - > STPs at Nilothi and Dwarka, SPS at Possangipur and Raja Garden

For this purpose ₹ 250.00 crore were allocated during 2010-11, out of which ₹ 187.50 crore (75 per cent) remained unutilized due to release of less loan to Delhi Jal Board.

- A provision of ₹ 80.00 crore made in 2010-11 for the joint venture with Government of Haryana and National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC) for Power Plant Project at Jhajjar remained wholly unutilized due to non-release of equity contribution.
- Savings of ₹ 120.48 crore out of total provision of ₹ 2700.00 crore for Interest on Loans for State/U.T. Plan Schemes were due to receipt of less loan under the scheme.

2.3.2 Persistent savings

According to Rule 48(2) of the General Financial Rules, Ministries/Departments are required to prepare their estimates, keeping in view the trends of disbursements during the previous years and other relevant factors like instructions on economy issued by the Ministry of Finance, from time to time. Scrutiny of Appropriation Accounts for the years 2006-11 revealed that there were persistent savings of more than ₹ 1.00 crore in five cases which were more than 20 *per cent* of the total grant indicating unrealistic budgeting, deficient financial management and slackness on the part of the departments

in implementing the schemes as detailed in **Table 2.3** below:

Table 2.3: List of grants indicating persistent savings during 2006-11

(₹ in crore)

SI. No.	Head No. and name of the grant		2006- 07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	Reasons
Reve	nue-Voted							
Gran	it No. 07 Medical and Pu	ıblic I	lealth					
1	2211 K 1 (3)(1)Urban	0	11.00	11.00	13.16	15.00	10.00	Saving was mainly due to
	Family Welfare Centres (CSS)	S	5.24	3.03	10.83	12.22	2.04	non release of grant to MCD and NGOs from Govt. of
		9/0	47.64	27.55	82	81.47	20.40	India
2	2210 O 1 (1) (1)	0	15.00	15.00	15.00	6.00	3.00	Saving was mainly due to
	(1)Rajiv Gandhi Super specialty Hospital	S	14.58	14.53	12.95	2.98	1.23	non-finalization of purchase proposals for procurement of
		%	97.20	98.87	86	49.67	41.00	store items in time, non- filling of vacant posts and non receipt of bill/claims
Capi	tal-Voted							
	Grant No. 08 Social W	elfare						
1	5055 DD1.(3)1 Introduction of	О	148.00	250.00	120.00	60.00	10.00	Saving was mainly due to slow progress of work owing
	Electronic Trolley Buses-Alternative	S	118.00	169.74	46.71	30.00	10.00	to shifting of bus lane from centre to left side in BRT
	Transport	%	79.73	67.90	38.93	50.00	100.00	and non-implementation of scheme
	Grant No. 9 Industries	5						
1	4851 AA 1(1)(1)-	О	40.00	15.00	10.00	1.10	6.82	Saving was mainly due to
	Upgradation, improvement handing	S	40.00	15.00	9.00	1.10	5.55	non-implementation of scheme, slow progress of
	over Civic Services of Industrial Estate Flatted Factories Complex	%	100.00	100.00	90.00	100.00	81.38	work. Non-receipt of approval in time.
	Grant No. 11 Urban Development & PWD							
1	6801 EE 1(1)(2)-	0	10.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	Saving was mainly due to
	GENCO for renovation/modificati	S	2.00	0.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	less/non-release of loan to GENCO and non-
	on of Rajghat Power House	%	20.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	implementation of scheme.

O - Original Provision, S - Savings, % - Percentage

The above table shows that entire provision remained unutilized during the year 2006-07, 2007-08 and 2009-10 under sub-head 4851 AA 1(1)(1)- upgradation, improvement handing over Civic Services of Industrial Estate

Flatted Factories Complex. Similarly under sub-head 6801 EE 1(1)(2)-GENCO for renovation/modification of Rajghat Power House, entire provision remained unutilized during years 2008-09 to 2010-11. Thus there was a need for review of budgetary assumptions in respect of the above heads.

Under Grant-Medical and Public Health, there were persistent savings. An amount of ₹ 10.00 crore and ₹ 3.00 crore was provided for Urban Family Welfare Centres and Rajiv Gandhi Super Specialty Hospital respectively in 2010-11 budget, however, ₹ 2.04 crore and ₹ 1.23 crore (20 per cent and 41 per cent) respectively could not be utilised due to less grant released to MCD under "Urban Family Welfare Centres" (Centrally Sponsored Scheme) and non-finalization of purchase proposals for procurement of store items in time, non-filling of vacant posts and receipt of less claims/bills.

Further, out of total appropriation/grant of ₹ 72.92 crore during the years 2006-11 made for Industries, the unspent balance/savings were to the tune of ₹ 70.65 crore i.e. 96.89 per cent of the total budget made during the five years, which were indicative of over-estimation of fund requirement and failure of the department to take effective remedial measures to avoid persistent unspent provisions.

2.3.3 Excess over provisions during previous years requiring regularization

Article 205 of the Constitution of India, provides that if any money has been spent for any services during a financial year in excess of the amount granted for that service for that year, the excess expenditure should be regularized by the State Legislative Assembly. Although no time limit for regularization of expenditure has been prescribed under the Article, as a practice the excess expenditure is required to be regularized after the completion of discussion of the Appropriation Accounts by the Public Accounts Committee (PAC). However, the excess expenditure amounting to ₹ 42.83 crore for the years 2006-10 was yet to be regularized (**Appendix 2.2**). The year-wise amount of excess expenditure pending regularization is summarized below.

Table 2.4: Excess over provision relating to previous years requiring regularisation

Year	Number of grants	Amount of excess over provision	Status of regularization
2006-07	7 (38 sub heads)	9.12	Not yet
			regularized
2007-08	5 (30 sub heads)	10.76	- do -
2008-09	5 (29 sub heads)	17.35	- do -
2009-10	3 (3 sub heads)	5.60	- do -
Total		42.83	

2.3.4 Excess expenditure over provisions during 2010-11 requiring regularization

It was observed from the head wise appropriation accounts for the year 2010-2011 that in five cases of two grants and appropriation, though the expenditure had exceeded the available provisions by ₹ 3.98 crore (**Appendix 2.3**) under various heads, the authority administering the concerned grant/appropriation did not issue re-appropriation orders to accommodate the final excess expenditure over the available provision. This indicated weak budgetary control. The excess expenditure of ₹ 3.98 crore required regularization under Article 205 of the Constitution.

Significant excess over sanctioned grant occurred under the following heads of account.

- For the Grant No. 8- Social Welfare 3452 E.1(1)(3)(10)- GIA to DTTDC for celebration of Incredible India Festival (CSS) (₹ 0.80 crore)
- For Grant No. 8- Social Welfare 4235 BB 1.(1)(1)(2) Women's Welfare-Working Women's Hostel (₹ 0.16 crore)
- Frant No. 10- Development 4711 DD2(2)(2)(3) Trans Yamuna Area Development Board (₹ 0.86 crore)
- For Grant No. 10 -Development 2711 D 3(1)(2)(2) Maintenance (Flood Control & Drainage) (₹ 2.12 crore)

2.3.5 Unnecessary supplementary provision

While obtaining a supplementary grant, department has to keep in view the resources available or likely to be available during the year and exercise due caution while forecasting its additional budgetary requirement of funds. Resort to supplementary demand should only be made in exceptional and urgent cases.

Audit scrutiny of Appropriation Accounts for the year 2010-11 revealed that supplementary grant amounting to ₹ 122.09 crore in 51 sub-heads were obtained in anticipation of higher expenditure. However, the final expenditure was less than even the original grant/appropriation (**Appendix 2.4**). The main reasons for non-utilisation of supplementary grant were attributed to non-clearance of bills of salaries, Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme (MACPS) arrears and non-receipt of Expenditure Finance Committee memo. The unnecessary supplementary grants in the following cases need special attention of the department.

Under Grant No. 8- Social Welfare an amount of ₹ 3.62 crore was provisioned as supplementary grant for Development of Soft Adventure park at Sanjay Lake. However, the entire supplementary grant remained unutilized due to non-receipt of Expenditure Finance Committee memo from Delhi Tourism and Transportation

Development Corporation Ltd., New Delhi as a result of which the complete proposal could not be forwarded to Planning/Finance Department for release of requisite funds for the proposed project.

- There was original provision of ₹ 790.45 crore under Grant No. 6-Education for Government Secondary Schools, out of which ₹ 785.74 crore was incurred resulting in saving of ₹ 4.71 crore. However, an amount of ₹ 26.30 crore was obtained as supplementary for expenditure on salaries, but the entire supplementary grant remained unutilized due to Non- clearance of MACPS cases/bills of teachers and others.
- Dut of ₹281.00 crore which was the original provision for Assistance to Non-Government Secondary Schools under Grant No. 6- Education, expenditure of only ₹265.21 crore was incurred. However, department obtained supplementary grant of ₹19.00 crore for additional expenditure on salaries for employees, but the entire supplementary grant remained unutilized due to non-clearance of bills of salaries and MACPS.
- In the same grant, out of ₹ 87.25 crore which was the original provision for pre-primary/primary classes in existing Government Schools, only ₹ 85.40 crore was spent. However, department obtained supplementary grant of ₹ 2.00 crore for expenditure on salaries, but the entire supplementary grant remained unutilized due to non-clearance of bills of MACPS.

Thus, in the above cases the entire supplementary provisions remained unutilized, which reflects deficient budgeting.

2.3.6 Excessive/unnecessary re-appropriation of funds

Re-appropriation is transfer of funds within a grant from one unit of appropriation, where saving is anticipated to another unit where additional funds are needed. Injudicious re-appropriation proved unnecessary and resulted in savings of over ₹ 55.81 crore under 16 sub heads as detailed in **Appendix 2.5**. The main reasons for savings were:

- i. An amount of ₹ 1.97 crore was re-appropriated under head Staff Selection Board for Delhi Government, but ₹ 2.66 crore was saved due to non-filling up of vacant posts, procurement of less store items and conduct of less examinations,
- ii. An amount of ₹ 0.82 crore was re-appropriated under the head GIA to Delhi Dispute Resolution Society, but an amount of ₹ 1.25 crore remained unutilized due to release of grant to the society at the end of the financial year,

- iii. An amount of ₹ 1.45 crore was re-appropriated under the head Computerisation System, but ₹ 2.04 crore was saved due to non-implementation of scheme,
- iv. An amount of ₹ 0.42 crore was re-appropriated under the head Police: Forensic Science Laboratory (FSL), but ₹ 2.85 crore remained unutilized due to non-finalisation of proposal for purchase of Machinery and Equipments,
- v. An amount of ₹ 9.99 crore was re-appropriated under the head Rajiv Avas Yojna (DUSIB), but ₹ 10.00 crore was saved due to non-release of grant as no request was received from DUSIB, and
- vi. An amount of ₹ 0.15 crore was re-appropriated under the head New Delhi Municipal Council: GIA, but ₹ 9.80 crore remained unutilized due to release of less grant owing to non-receipt of UCs and Audit Report from NDMC.

Thus, the excessive/unnecessary re-appropriation of funds pointed towards deficient budgeting.

2.3.7 Substantial surrenders

Substantial surrenders involving ₹ 233.54 crore (more than 40 *per cent* of total provision) were made in respect of 12 sub-heads (**Appendix 2.6**) out of which in eight sub-heads 100 *per cent* grant amounting to ₹ 190 crore was surrendered on account of non-implementation of schemes, non-release of loan and non-finalisation of transfer of pension from GOI to Delhi Government.

Substantial surrenders amounting to ₹ 4.99 crore were made under 2403 B 3(2)(5) and B.3(6)(1)(SCSP)-Veterinary Services and Animal Health. Scrutiny of records revealed that surrender was attributed mainly to non-finalisation of procurement procedure through e-tendering for purchase of medicines, vaccines, instruments, etc. for supplying them to the dispensaries and hospitals providing veterinary services and control of contagious diseases.

2.3.8 Anticipated savings not surrendered

Rule 56 (2) of General Financial Rules stipulates that savings as well as provisions that cannot be profitably utilized should be surrendered to Government as soon as these are foreseen without waiting till the end of the year. The objective is to minimize the scope for avoiding surrenders at a later stage. At the close of the year 2010-11, there were, six grants and one appropriation in which savings occurred but no part of which had been surrendered by the concerned department. The amount involved in these cases was \raiset 1237.19 crore (60.75 per cent of the overall savings i.e. \raiset 2036.50 crore (Appendix 2.7).

Further, out of the savings of \mathbb{Z} 697.41 crore under six grants (savings of \mathbb{Z} 1 crore and above were indicated in each grant), amount aggregating to \mathbb{Z} 226.91 crore (32.54 *per cent* of total savings) were not surrendered, details of which are given in **Appendix 2.8**. Besides, in 19 cases, (surrender of funds in excess of \mathbb{Z} 1 crore), \mathbb{Z} 566.07 crore were (**Appendix 2.9**) surrendered on the last working day of March 2011 indicating inadequate financial control besides the fact that these funds could not be utilized for other development purposes.

2.3.9 Unrealistic budgeting

As per Rule 48 (2) of the General Financial Rules, Ministries/ Departments have to prepare their estimates keeping in view inter alia the trends of disbursements during the previous years and other relevant factors like the economy instructions issued by the Ministry of Finance from time to time. Scrutiny of records for the 2010-11 revealed that provision under various subheads under CCS and SCSP scheme was made during the year, but in 64 subheads in 9 grants/appropriation, the entire provisions of ₹ 12.62 crore (Appendix 2.10) remained unutilized defeating the original purpose for which the budget provisions were passed by the Legislative Assembly indicating that the budget estimates were not prepared after adequate pre-budget scrutiny of projects and schemes. The main reasons for un-utilisation of funds were attributed to non-implementation of schemes.

A provision of $\overline{\xi}$ 150.00 crore for CSS in the budget during the year 2010-11 was made, however, the receipt during the year 2010-11 was $\overline{\xi}$ 140.77 crore and the expenditure incurred in implementation of scheme was $\overline{\xi}$ 120.04 crore. The accumulated balance of $\overline{\xi}$ 83.75 crore lying with the implementing agencies as on 31 March 2011, was not surrendered to the Government.

2.3.10 Rush of expenditure

As per Rule 56(3) of the General Financial Rules, rush of expenditure, particularly in the closing months of the financial year, shall be regarded as a breach of financial propriety and should be avoided. Contrary to this, expenditure incurred by the department in the month of March 2011 under the following sub-heads ranged between 41.87 per cent and 100 per cent of the

total expenditure as given in Table 2.5 below:

Table 2.5: Rush of expenditure in the end of the year 2010-11

S. No.	Grant No.	M.H. /Sub-head	Total provision	Total expenditure		irred during quarter	Exp. i	n March
					Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage
1	04	3454I.1(1)(1)(2)(11)- Preparation of Geo-Spatial data base for Delhi	40.00	40.00	40.00	100.00	40.00	100.00
2	06	2203B.1(5)(17)-G.I.A to Delhi Technological University	54.00	54.00	24.00	44.44	24.00	44.44
3	06	4202 JJ. 1(1)(1)(1)- Allotment of land and construction of building of Indraprastha Vishwavidyalaya	20.00	17.12	17.12	100.00	17.12	100.00
4	07	2210 A. 1(1)(3)(4) Contribution to the Employee Insurance Corn.	20.00	20.00	20.00	100.00	20.00	100.00
5	07	2210A.1(1)(1)(4)(6) GIA to Delhi State Cancer Institute	45.00	45.00	36.75	81.67	36.75	81.67
6	07	2210A 1(1)(5)(6) Grant to MCD for Health Purpose	68.55	68.55	28.70	41.87	28.70	41.87
7	07	2210A. 1(3)(3)(7) GIA to Institute for Liver and Billary Sciences	180.00	180.00	105.00	58.33	105.00	58.33
8	07	2210K 1(10)(2) GIA to State Health Society (Delhi)	20.00	20.00	10.01	50.03	10.01	50.03
9	07	2210M. 1(1)(1)(12) GIA to Delhi Ayurvedic Charak Sansthan at Khera Dabur	37.00	27.07	27.07	100.00	27.07	100.0
10	08	2235B.2(1)(5)(1) Bhagidari-new initiative in Social Development	19.00	13.09	6.55	50.00	6.55	50.00
11	09	*		74.50	67.05	90.00	67.05	90.00

12	11	2202A. 4(2)(1)(2) GIA to MCD for Maintenance of	29.20	21.27	14.60	68.65	14.60	68.65
		School Building						
13	11	2216A 7(1)(1)(2) Grants to MCD for construction of houses for weaker section (JNNURM)	50.00	50.00	43.65	87.30	43.65	87.30
14	11	2216A 7(1)(1)(3) Grants to D.S.I.D.C. for construction of houses for Weaker Section (JNNURM)	112.00	112.00	56.61	50.54	56.61	50.54
15	11	2217A8(2)(1)(25)(1) MCD	29.13	21.23	14.57	68.60	14.57	68.60
16	11	2217A. 8(3)(1)(5) SPV redevelopment of Walled City	5.00	4.99	4.99	100	4.99	100.00
17	11	3054A. 12(1)(1)(2)(1) Urban Roads	224.50	224.50	149.50	66.59	149.50	66.59
18	11	3604A. 15(3)(4) Basic Tax Assignment to Delhi Cantonment Board	10.56	5.28	5.28	100.00	5.28	100.00

The reasons for huge expenditure incurred in the last quarter were awaited from the Government as of January 2012.

2.4 Advances from Contingency Fund

Advances from the Contingency Fund are to be made only for meeting expenditure of an unforeseen and emergent character, postponement of which, till its authorization by the legislature would be undesirable. There were no such cases noticed during the audit of appropriation accounts of Government of NCT of Delhi for the year 2010-11.

2.5 Recoveries in reduction of expenditure

The demands for grants presented to the Legislature are for gross expenditure including credits and recoveries, which are adjusted in the accounts as reduction of expenditure. The anticipated recoveries and credits are shown separately in the Budget Estimates. During 2010-11 such recoveries were anticipated at ₹ Nil. However, actual recoveries during the year were ₹ 38.85 crore. Position of estimated and actual recoveries during the previous five

years is depicted in the table below:

Table 2.6: Recoveries in reduction of expenditure

(₹ in crore)

Year	Estimated recoveries	Actual recoveries	Excess (+) shortfall (-)	Percentage of variation excess (+) short fall
2010-11	-	38.85	(+) 38.85	(+) 100.00
2009-10	-	35.89	(+) 35.89	(+)100.00
2008-09	16.67	27.92	(+) 11.25	(+) 67.49
2007-08	17.49	58.86	(+) 41.37	(+) 236.54
2006-07	919.36	1070.97	(+) 151,61	(+) 16.49

2.6 Unnecessary provision for vacant posts

As per instructions issued by the Department of Finance, Government of NCT of Delhi, no provision should be kept for the post lying vacant for one year or more and likewise no provision should be kept in respect of such posts, which have been kept in abeyance. However, scrutiny of records revealed that the Departments were making provision of funds in the Budget Estimates in violation of extant instructions with the result that savings occurred in the following Departments due to provisions for vacant posts as detailed below:

Table 2.7: Unnecessary provision for vacant posts

Sl. No.	Grant No. & name	Department	Major Head/Sub Head	Description	Original grant	Savings/re- appropriation
1	1- Legislative Assembly	Parliament/State/U.T . Legislature	2011 A. 1(1)(2)(1)-	Legislative Secretariat: Salaries	6.02	1.06
2	3- Administration of Justice	Civil & Session Courts	2014 B.1(1)(1)(1)	Session Courts: Salaries	202.86	1.63
3	- do -	- do -	2014 B. 1(2)(1)(1)	Criminal Courts: Salaries	25.04	2.81
4	- do -	Law Department	2014 E. 1(2)(1)	Legal Advisors and Counsels: Law Officers	3.50	1.57
5	- do -	- do -	2014 E.1(2)(2)(1)	Delhi Legal Services Authority: Salaries	4.60	1.02
6	5 - Home	Dte. Of Civil Defence & Home Guards.	2070 B. 1(1)(1)(1)	Other Admn. Services: Salaries	14.57	1.00
7	- do -	Delhi Fire Serivce	2070 C. 1(1)(2)(1)	Protection & Control: 91.47 Salaries		10.27
8	6- Education	Dte. of Education	2202 A. 1(2)(2)	Secondary Education- Inspection: Salaries	13.83	1.12

9	- do -	- do-	2202 A. 1(4)(1)(1)	General-Direction & Admn.: Salaries	13.44	1.51
10	- do -	Labour and Employment	2230 B. 2(1)(1)(1)(1)	Directorate of Industrial Training: Salaries	4.68	1.61
11	-do-	- do -	2230 B. 2(1)(2)(1)(1)	Craftsman Training Scheme: Salaries	54.37	7.24
12	- do -	DTE of N.C.C	2204 D. 1(1)(1)(1)	NCC/ACC: Salaries	12.99	1.62
13	7-Medical & Public Health	Dte. of Health Service	2210 A.1(1)(1)(1)(1)	Urban Health Services-Allopathy: Salaries	12.16	1.08
14	- do -	- do -	2210 A.1(1)(4)(1)(1)	Hospital & Dispensaries, Govt. Dispensaries: Salaries	103.03	9.43
15	- do -	- do -	2210 A. 1(1)(4)(4)	New Hospitals in Delhi	3.20	3.20
16	- do -	- do -	2210 A.1(1)(7)(2)(1)	Health Centres (SCSP): Salaries	39.39	1.59
17	- do -	- do -	2210 B.1(1)(1)(1)(1)	Lok Nayak Hospital: Salaries	200.26	8.76
18	- do -	- do -	2210 D.1(1)(1)(1)(1)	Arna Asaf Ali Hospital: Salaries	32.42	2.50
19	- do -	- do -	2210 T. 1(1)(1)(1)(1)	Sardar Ballabh Bhai Patel Hospital: Salaries	20.87	2.81
20	- do -	- do -	2210 AE.1(1)(1)(1)(1)	Babu Jagjivan Ram Hospital: salaries	27.55	3.23
21	- do -	- do -	2210 AH 1(1)(1)(1)(1)	A & U Tibbia College: Salaries	17.13	1.22
22	9- Industries	Industries Department	2851 A. 2(1)(1)(1)	Village and Small Industries: Salaries	10.84	1.25
23	- do -	Food & Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs	3456 D.1(1)(1)	Civil Supplies: Salaries	29.36	4.05
24	- do -	- do -	3456 D. 1(2)(2)(1)	Distt. Forum & State Commission: Salaries	5.67	1.35
25	10- Development	Co-operative Dept.	2425 C.1(1)(1)(1)	Co-operation: Salaries	8.67	1.08
26	- do-	Irrigation & Flood Control Deptt.	2711 D. 3(1)(1)(1)	Flood Control & Drainage: Salaries	22.08	1.03
27	- do -	Dy. Commissioner Office	2030 E. 2(2)(2)(1)	Cost of Stamps, Secy Revenue: Salaries	9.00	1.74
28	11-Urban Development & PWD	PWD	2059 B.1(1)(1)(1)(1)	Public Works: Salaries	154.85	10.50
29	- do -	Land & Building Deptt.	2251 C. 3(1)(1)(1)	Secretariat Social Services: Salaries	9.24	3.34

Further, it was also noticed that in grant No. 6-Education, there was persistent saving of over one crore for the last three years, i.e. 2008-09 to 2010-11 on account of vacant posts as shown below:

(₹ in crore)

	Sl. No.	Grant no. & name	Department	Major head/Sub head	Description	Original grant/Total grant	Savings/re- appropriation
Ī	1	6- Education	Labour and	2230	Craftsman	2008-09 - 35.60	2008-09 - 3.85
			Training Scheme	2009-10 - 54.31	2009-10 - 4.99		
						2010-11 - 54.37	2010-11 - 7.24

2.7 Other audit observations

- (a) While seeking approval for Supplementary Demand for grant of ₹ 1599.72 crore in March 2011, the total Revised Estimate (RE) stood at ₹ 27029 crore which included ₹ 15417 crore revenue expenditure and ₹ 11612 crore capital expenditure but it was observed that total expenditure of ₹ 25563.17 crore (revenue expenditure ₹ 14419 crore + capital expenditure ₹ 11144.17 crore) was incurred. This shows that the expenditure was over estimated for seeking supplementary grant. It is also noticed that in 2009-10 and 2010-11 Government of NCT of Delhi had passed the Supplementary Demand for Grants only in the fag end of the financial year in February 2010 and March 2011 which violated the Rule 48 and Rule 56 (3) of the General Financial Rules and encouraged heavy expenditure in last quarter/month of March.
- (b) Under the head 2210 M 1(1)(1)(1) Directorate of Indian Systems of Medicine & Homoeopathy (ISM & H), saving of ₹ 41.99 lakh already existed due to non-finalisation of LTC bills and drug testing lab bills. An amount of ₹ 45.00 lakh was provided by the Department of Finance (Budget) as Supplementary Grant in the modified allotment for the year 2010-11(March 2011) under Plan and Non-Plan though the ISM&H did not require it. Therefore, the total saving increased from ₹ 41.99 lakh to ₹ 45.00 lakh.

2.8 Outcome of review of grant No. 3 – Administration of Justice

2.8.1 Introduction

Grant No. 3 "Administration of Justice" of Government of NCT of Delhi covers grant for Delhi High Court, Civil & Session Courts, Directorate of Prosecution, Small Causes Court, Law Department, Election Office and Delhi

Judicial Academy. A brief of these departments/organizations is given below:

(A) Delhi High Court

In view of the importance of Delhi, its population and other considerations, Parliament thought it necessary to establish a new High Court of Delhi. This was achieved by enacting the Delhi High Court Act 1966 on 5 September 1966 and the High Court of Delhi was established on 31st October 1966.

(B) Civil & Session Courts

Delhi has been divided into nine districts being headed by the District & Session Judge. There are nine court complexes located at Tis Hazari (three complexes), Patiala House, Karkardooma (two complexes), Rohini, Dawarka and Saket Courts under the District & Sessions Judge. The High Court of Delhi is the organizational Head of the District & Sessions Court. Main activities of the District & Sessions Court are judicial and providing justice to the parties coming for the same.

(C) Directorate of Prosecution:

Directorate of Prosecution came into effect in October 2010 by Notification for Delhi Excise Act, 2009 (Notification No. F.10 (5)/Fin (T & E)/2009-10-SSF/103, Dated 04/10/2010)

(D) Law Department

The Law Department is primarily responsible for rendering legal advice in respect of various matters of law referred to it by various departments of Government of NCT of Delhi. For this purpose, a set up consisting of Secretary, Joint Secretary, Deputy Secretary, Assistant Legal Advisor and Legal Assistants is formed. It also undertakes various other functions having legal bearing such as vetting of various statues, rules, regulations, bye-laws, notifications under various statues as referred to by the various departments.

(E) Election Office

This office works under the control and direction of Election Commission of India. Its main activities are the preparation of photo electoral rolls, and conduction of elections for Delhi Assembly and the Lok Sabha Seats.

2.8.2 Budget and expenditure

The overall position of budget provision, actual disbursement and unspent

provision under the grant for the last three years is given below:

Table 2.8: Budget and expenditure

(₹ in crore)

Sl.	Year	Provision		Actual disb	ursement	Unspent provision		
No.		Revenue	Capital	Revenue	Capital	Revenue	Capital	
1	2008-09	301.78	Nil	255.02	Nil	46.76	Nil	
2	2009-10	428.47	Nil	355.17	Nil	73.30	Nil	
3	2010-11	390.66	Nil	351.22	Nil	39.44	Nil	

Note: includes 'Charged' appropriation and disbursement

2.8.3 Large savings under various sub-heads

While framing the estimates the department should take into account the past performance, the stages of formulation/implementation of the various schemes, the institutional capacity of the implementing agencies to implement the scheme, the constraints on spending by the spending agencies etc., with the objective of minimizing the scope for surrenders at a later stage.

Scrutiny of the head-wise appropriation accounts for the year 2010-11 revealed that the department made excessive provisions under various subheads, which resulted in large savings. A list of savings of ₹ one crore and more is tabulated below:

Table 2.9: Savings of ₹ one crore or above

SI. No.	Sub-head	Total budget provision	Actual disbursement	Savings	Percentage of savings with reference to the total provision
1	2014 A1(1)(1) Direction & Adınıı.	102.08	94.47	7.61	7.45
2	2014 A1(1)(3) Computerization of High Court	3.30	0.75	2.55	77.27
3	2014 B1(1)(1) Session Court .	203.36	197.04	6.32	3.11
4	2014 B1(1)(2) Computerization of District & Session Court	1.80	0.08	1.72	95.56
5	2014 B1(2)(1) Judicial Magistrate Courts	25.69	19.19	6.50	25.30
6	2014 C1(1)(1) Prosecution wing	11.02	9.83	1.19	10.79
7	2014 E1(2)(1) Law Officers	3.50	1.76	1.74	49.71
8	2014 E1(2)(2) Delhi Legal Services Authority	4.60	3.40	1.20	26.09
9	2014 E1(3)(1) Establishment of Family Courts	5.00	3.08	1.92	38.40
10	2015 F1(1)(1) Expenses on Election	2.00	0.57	1.43	71.50
11	2015 F1(2) Electoral Officers	6.97	3.57	3.40	48.78
12	2015 F1(4)(1) Lok Sabha Election	1.20	0.10	1.10	91.67

Large savings occurred due to non-filling up of vacant posts, receipt of less claims/bills and non-finalization of 10G LAN Project. Large savings indicated that expenditure could not be incurred as estimated and were pointers to deficiencies in the system of public expenditure management.

2.8.4 Persistent savings

According to Rule 48(2) of the General Financial Rules, Ministries/Departments are required to prepare their estimates, keeping in view the trends of disbursements during the previous years and other relevant factors like instructions on economy, issued by the Ministry of Finance, from time to time. Scrutiny of Appropriation Accounts for the years 2008-11 revealed that there were persistent savings under the following sub-heads, which indicated unrealistic budgeting, deficient financial management and slackness on the part of the department in implementing the schemes. The details of sub-heads are given in the following table:

Table 2.10: Persistent savings

Sl.	Sub-Head	2008	-09	2009-10		2010-11	
No.		Total provision	Savings	Total provision	Savings	Total provision	Savings
1	2014 A1(1)(1) Direction & Admn.	69.10	3.52	92.00	0.97	102.08	7.61
2	2014 A1(1)(2) Grant to Infrastructure Facility for Judiciary	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.10	0.10
3	2014 A1(1)(3) Computerization of High. Court	2.30	1.02	3.30	1.70	3.30	2.55
4	2014 B1(1)(1) Session Court .	136.44	8.52	230.79	42.45	214.22	17.18
5	2014 B1(1)(2) Computerization of District & Session Court	2.00	0.69	2.00	0.68	1.80	1.72
6	2014 B1(1)(3) Purchase of Furniture for District Court at Rohini	1.00	1.00	1.15	1.15	0.15	0.15
7	2014 B1(2)(1) Judicial Magistrate Courts	22.45	7.25	29.46	8.49	25.69	6.50
8	2014 C1(1)(1) Prosecution wing	8.25	1.23	11.26	1.49	11.02	1.19
9.	2014 D1(1) Small Causes Courts	1.32	0.27	1.60	0.24	1.70	0.31
10	2014 E1(1)(1) Director &	0.03	0.03	0.35	0.34	0.05	0.05

	Admn.						
11	2014 E1(3)(1) Establishment of Family Courts	0.10	0.10	2.00	1.59	5.00	1.92
12	2015 F1(1)(1) Expenses on Election	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	2.00	1.43
13.	2015 F1(2) Electoral Officers	9.56	4.05	6.88	2.36	6.97	3.40
14	2015 F1(4)(1) Lok Sabha Election	6.00	5.74	18.24	2.90	1.20	1.10

The above table shows that there is a need to review budgetary assumptions and to improve the efficiency of the programme management. The reasons for persistent savings included the followings:

- Non-filling up of vacant posts and receipt of less claims/bills,
- Non-finalization of 10G LAN Project,
- Release of grant to the society at end of the financial year,
- Non-finalization of purchase proposals, and
- Less procurement of stores.

2.8.5 Schemes affected by persistent unspent provisions

Some of the schemes affected by persistent under-spending are discussed below:

(a) Establishment of Family Courts

The Family Courts in Delhi have been started in pursuance of Family Court Act, 1984 read with Delhi Family Court Rules, 1996. The first Family Court in Delhi started functioning w.e.f. 15 May 2009. At present eight Family Courts are functioning in Delhi (two Family Courts in Dwarka Court Complex, four in Rohini Court Complex and two in Saket Court Complex).

The main functions of Family Courts are as under: -

- Matrimonial relief, including nullity of marriage, judicial separation, divorce, restitution of conjugal rights, or declaration as to the validity of marriage or as to the matrimonial status of any person.
- The property of the spouses or of either of them.
- Declaration as to the legitimacy of any person.
- Guardianship of a person or the custody of any minor.
- Maintenance, including proceedings under chapter IX of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

During the scrutiny of records (Re-appropriation Accounts and Monthly Expenditure Statements), it was noticed that provisions of ₹ 0.10 crore, ₹ 2.00 crore and ₹ 5.00 crore were made in the Original Budget Estimate (OBE) for the year 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11 respectively under the sub-head MH 2014 E1(3)(1) Establishment of Family Courts. Out of these, ₹ 0.10 crore (100 per cent), ₹ 1.59 crore (79.5 per cent) and ₹ 1.92 crore (38.40 per cent) respectively remained unspent due to non-filling of vacant posts and receipt of less claims.

(b) Dispute Resolution Society

The dispute Resolution Society was establish under the Department of Law & Justice, Government of NCT of Delhi as mechanism for alternate dispute redressal (ADR) on 27 November 2009 with the following aims:

- help parties resolve their disputes amicably, economically and quickly.
- increase social harmony in the society.
- save cost of litigation to the state and the courts.
- clear backlog of petty cases from the courts.

During the scrutiny of records, it was noticed that provisions of \gtrless 1.00 crore and \gtrless 4.00 crore were provided in the Original Budget Estimate (OBE) for the year 2009-10 and 2010-11 respectively. However \gtrless 0.86 crore (86 *per cent*) and \gtrless 2.23 crore (56 *per cent*) remained unspent indicating that the purpose of setting up of Society was not well served.

2.8.6 Surrender of unspent provision at the end of financial year

Rule 56(2) of the General Financial Rules provides that unspent provisions in a grant or appropriation are to be surrendered to the Government as soon as these are foreseen without waiting for the end of the financial year. Unspent provision should also not be held in reserve for any possible future excess. Test-check revealed that contrary to this, the Department surrendered unspent provisions of ₹ 7.06 crore to the Finance Department, Government of NCT of Delhi on the last day of the financial year. The details are given in the following table:

Sl. No.	Year	Sub-head	Amount surrendered (₹ in lakh)	Date of surrender
1	2010-11	2014 A1(1)(1)(1) Salaries	6.43	31.03.2011
2.	2010-11	2014 A1(1)(1)(5) Office Expenses	0.40	31.03.2011
3	2010-11	2014 A1(1)(1)(7) OTA	0.02	31.03.2011
4,	2010-11	2014 A1(1)(3) Computerization of	0.21	31.03.2011
		High Court		
		Total	7.06	

Table 2.11: Surrender of unspent provision

However, the surrender orders dated 31 March 2011 issued by the Finance (budget) Department, Govt. of NCT of Delhi, above unspent amount of ₹ 7.06 crore due to receipt of less claims/bills & slow progress of works. Had the above unspent provisions been surrendered timely, these could have been fruitfully utilized in other schemes/projects/ programmes of the Government instead of being allowed to lapse.

2.8.7 Rush of expenditure

As per Rule 56(3) of the General Financial Rules, rush of expenditure, particularly in the closing months of the financial year, shall be regarded as a breach of financial propriety and should be avoided. Contrary to this, major expenditure was incurred by the departments in last quarter of the year during last three years ranging between 50 per cent and 100 per cent of total expenditure illustrated in the **Table 2.12** given below:

Table 2.12: Expenditure in last quarter of the year

Sl. No.	Year	Sub-head	Total provision	Total expenditure	Exp. Incurred during last quarter	
					Amount	Percentage
1	2008-09	2015 F1(1)(1) Expenses on Election	12.57	11.89	7.85	66
2	2008-09	2015 F1(4)(1) Lok Sabha Election	0.02	0.26	0.26	100
3	2008-09	2014 E1(2)(3)(1) Professional Services	0.35	0.11	0.11	100
4	2008-09	2014 B1(1)(1)(5) Office Expenses	22.32	20.84	11.71	56
5	2008-09	2014 B1(1)(2) Computerization of District & Session Court	2.00	1.31	0.80	61
6	2009-10	2014 E2(1)(1)(1) Salaries	0.60	0.28	0.28	100
7	2009-10	2014 E2(1)(1)(2) O.E.	0.03	0.02	0.02	100
8.	2009-10	2014 B1(1)(2) Computerization of District & Session Court	1.45	1.32	1.16	88
9	2009-10	2015 F1(2)(2)(5) O.C.	1.93	1.83	1.70	93
10	2009-10	2014 A1(1)(1)(8) Other Admin. Exp.	0.34	0.33	0.19	58
11	2010-11	2015 F1(2)(4)O.E.	1.30	1.11	0.55	50
12	2010-11	2015 F1(2)(2)(5) O.C.	2.29	2.00	1.42	71
13	2010-11	2014 E1(2)(5) GIA	2.75	2.75	2.00	73
14	2010-11	2014 B1(2)(1)(3) Travel Exp.	0.06	0.05	0.03	60
15	2010-11	2014 B1(1)(2) Computerization of District & Session Court	1.65	0.17	0.09	53
16	2010-11	2014 A1(1)(4) DHC Arbitration Centre	0.47	0.37	0.19	51
17	2010-11	2014 A1(1)(3) Computerisation of High Court	3.0	0.75	0.46	61

2.8.8 Unrealistic budgeting

As per Rule 48(2) of the General Financial Rules, Ministries/Departments have to prepare their estimates keeping in view inter alia the trends of disbursements during the previous years and other relevant factors like the economy instructions issued by the Ministry of Finance from time to time. Scrutiny of records for the years 2008-09 to 2010-11 revealed that entire provision remained unutilized under a number of sub-heads. A few such cases are shown in the **table 2.13** below:

Table 2.13: Unrealistic budgeting

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Year	Sub-head	Total provision	Unspent provision
1	2008-09	2014 A1(1)(2) Grant to Infrastructure Facility for Judiciary	0.16	0.16
2	2008-09	2014 B1(1)(3) Purchase of Furniture for District Court at Rohini	1.00	1.00
3	2008-09	2014 B1(1)(4) District Court at Dwarka	0.10	0.10
4	2008-09	2014 E1(3)(1) Establishment of Family Courts	0.10	0.10
5	2008-09	2015 F1(1)(1) Expenses on Election	0.05	0.05
6	2009-10	2014 A1(1)(2) Grant to Infrastructure Facility for Judiciary	0.16	0.16
7	2009-10	2014 B1(1)(3) Purchase of Furniture for District Court at Rohini	1.15	1.15
8	2009-10	2014 B1(1)(4) District Court at Dwarka	0.10	0.10
9	2009-10	2015 F1(1)(1) Expenses on Election	0.05	0.05
10	2010-11	2014 A1(1)(2) Grant to Infrastructure Facility for Judiciary	0.01	0.01
11	2010-11	2014 B1(1)(1) Session Court .	0.10	0.10
12	2010-11	2014 B1(1)(3) Purchase of Furniture for District Court at Rohini	0.15	0.15
13	2010-11	2014 E1(1)(1) Direction & Admn.	0.05	0.05

The reasons stated in the appropriation accounts revealed that in most of the cases, the entire provision remained unutilized due to non filling up of vacant posts, receipt of less claims/bills and non finalization of 10G LAN Project etc. This indicates that the budget estimates were not prepared after adequate prebudget scrutiny of schemes.

2.8.9 Unnecessary supplementary grants

While obtaining a supplementary grant, the department has to keep in view the resources available or likely to be available during the year and exercise due caution while forecasting its additional budgetary requirement of funds. Resort to supplementary demands should only be made in exceptional and urgent cases.

Audit scrutiny revealed that, in the following cases, the department sought supplementary provisions in anticipation of higher expenditure, but the final expenditure was less than the original grant /appropriation which shows that supplementary provisions were unnecessary, indicating deficient budgeting.

Table 2.14: Unnecessary supplementary grants

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Year	Sub-head	Provision	Actual expenditure	Unspent provision
1	2008-09	2015 F1(1)(1) Expenses on Election	O –12.56 S-5.31	11.89	5.98
2,	2008-09	2015 F1(1)(2) Issue of Identity Card to Voters	O-1.35 S-0.03	1.29	0.09
3	2009-10	2014 A1(1)(3) Computerization of High. Court	O-2.30 S-0.85 R-0.15	1.60	1.70
4,	2009-10	2015 F1(3) Preparation and Printing of Electoral Roll	O-5.45 S-5.91	4.65	6.71
5	2009-10	2015 F1(4)(1) Lok Sabha Election.	O-15.70 S-0.58 R-1.96	15.34	2.90
6	2010-11	2014 B1(1)(1) Session Court	O-202.86 S-0.50 R-10.86	197.04	17.18
7	2010-11	2014 B1(1)(2) Computerization of District & Session Court	O-1.50 S-0.30	0.08	1.72
8	2010-11	2014 B1(2)(1) Judicial Magistrate Courts	O-25.04 S-0.64 R-(-) 2.82	19.19	3.68

Reasons for not using the supplementary grant were stated to be receipt of less claims/bills and slow progress of work etc. Thus, estimation of expenditure was unrealistic.

2.8.10 Injudicious re-appropriation of funds to sub-heads

Re-appropriation of funds to the following sub-heads was injudicious as the original provision under the sub-heads was found to be more than adequate. Consequently, final savings under the sub-heads were more than the amount re-appropriated to sub-heads as detailed in **table 2.15** below:

Table 2.15: Injudicious re-appropriation of funds to sub-heads

(₹ in crore)

SI. No.	Year	Sub-head	Original (O)	Re- appropriation	Total provision	Actual expenditure	Savings
1	2008-09	2014 D1(1) Small Causes Courts	1.27	0.05	1.32	1.05	0.27
2	2008-09	2014 E1(2)(2) Delhi Legal Services Authority	3.55	0.61	4.16	2.45	1.71
3	2009-10	2014 B1(1)(3) Purchase of furniture for District Court Rohini	1.00	0.15	1.15	Nil	1.15
4	2009-10	2014 G1(1)(1) Delhi Judicial Academy .	1.52	0.15	1.67	1.49	0.18
5	2010-11	2014 A1(1)(2) Grant to Infrastructure facilities to Judiciary	0.01	0.09	0.10	Nil	0.10
6	2010-11	2014 B1(1)(1) Session Court	Nil	0.10	0.10	Nil	0.10

2.9 Conclusion

Against total provision of ₹ 27599.67 crore during 2010-11, an expenditure of ₹ 25563.17 crore was incurred. This resulted in an unspent provision of ₹ 2036.50 crore (7.38 per cent). An excess expenditure of ₹ 3.98 crore during 2010-11 and ₹ 42.83 crore relating to the period 2006-07 to 2009-10 required regularization under Article 205 of the Constitution. While, supplementary provision of ₹ 122.09 crore in 51 cases was unnecessary, re-appropriation of funds in 16 cases where final savings were more than ₹ one crore was made injudiciously resulting in un-utilised/excessive provision. In seven grants, ₹ 566.07 crore was surrendered on the last working day of the financial year.

2.10 Recommendations

Budgetary control needs to be strengthened in all the Government Departments, where saving/excess persisted for last five years. Reappropriation/surrender order should not be issued at the end of the year as earlier pointed out in previous audit reports. Re-appropriation/supplementary grant is to be provided after proper assessment in such heads where actual additional funds are needed. Budgeting process must include information on the relationship between expenditure and corresponding performance in producing results.