

OVERVIEW

This report includes four Chapters. Chapter I deals with an overview of the Panchayati Raj Institutions containing the introduction, organizational set-up, financial position of PRIs, functioning of PRIs, maintenance of accounts, auditing arrangements, non-clearance of audit paras of Annual Technical Inspection Report (ATIR) and Inspection Reports (IRs) and Comments on Accounts. Chapter III deals with an overview of the Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) containing the organisational set-up, accounting and auditing arrangements, sources and flow of funds to ULBs and audit coverage including comments on accounts maintained by the ULBs. Chapter II and IV deal with audit findings on the financial transactions of the PRIs and ULBs respectively.

Important audit findings are indicated below.

(A) Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs)

❑ Expenditure of ₹76.56 lakh incurred on 678 incomplete dwelling units under IAY and MO KUDIA rendered unfruitful for over two to six years.

(Paragraph 2.1)

❑ Non-completion of 31 Anganwadi Centres (AWCs) over two years and non-commencement of eight units led to unfruitful expenditure of ₹35.39 lakh and blockage of ₹23 lakh.

(Paragraph 2.2)

❑ Non-allotment of 16 completed market complexes and non-completion of nine market complexes even after six years led to unfruitful expenditure of ₹31.89 lakh.

(Paragraph 2.3)

❑ The expenditure of ₹5.59 crore incurred against 954 incomplete projects over 18 months to 60 months in spite of availability of allotted fund of ₹20.23 crore and resulted in blockage of fund for ₹14.63 crore.

(Paragraph 2.4)

❑ Non-commencement of 199 projects over two to six years under RSVY, TFC, BRGF, NREGS, SGSY and MPLAD on availability of fund led to blockage of fund of ₹5.92 crore.

(Paragraph 2.5)

❑ Inordinate delay in adjustment of advances paid for ₹19.29 crore.

(Paragraph 2.6)

❑ Payment of ₹19.81 lakh was made to the labours engaged in the GGY work without muster rolls and irregular muster rolls.

(Paragraph 2.7)

In five PSs payment of wages of ₹30.59 lakh was delayed under NREGS up to 155 days.

(Paragraph 2.8)

Non-maintenance of asset register against the creation of assets for ₹112.43 crore

(Paragraph 2.9)

Diversion of funds from one scheme to other for ₹9.41 crore in violation of departmental guidelines

(Paragraph 2.10)

Non-accountal of revenue in the schemes amounting to ₹1.08 crore as accrued interest on bank accounts during 2009-10.

(Paragraph 2.12)

Retention of ₹1.52 crore under closed/defunct schemes in violation of departmental guidelines.

(Paragraph 2.13)

(B) Urban Local Bodies (ULBs)

Due to surrender of 630 dwelling units, expenditure of ₹17.94 lakh incurred on preparation of Detail Project Report and Biometric Study on these units turned infructuous.

(Paragraph 4.1)

Purchase of Hook Loader lorries with bins and non utilization of the same on the ground of narrow town road rendered expenditure of ₹40.32 lakh incurred thereon unfruitful.

(Paragraph 4.2)

There was loss of Revenue of ₹70.19 lakh due to non-realization of license Fees / renewal Fees / penalties from the Telecom Agencies towards installation of telecom towers within CMC area.

(Paragraph 4.3)

Delayed finalization of tender led to award of work at higher cost without calling tender resulting in excess expenditure of ₹71.15 lakh.

(Paragraph 4.4)

In violation of Government Orders, incentive allowances of ₹85.56 lakh were paid to the employees.

(Paragraph 4.5)

Due to privatization of cleaning and sweeping work of all the wards in two municipalities the existing sweepers of the municipalities were left with no work but were paid wages of ₹87.94 lakh which proved nugatory.

(Paragraph 4.6)

□ Due to non handing over of the teaching establishment to Government, undue burden of ₹42.12 lakh towards pay and allowances were borne by the Municipality

(Paragraph 4.7)

□ Non recovery of service tax of ₹181.23 lakh from service providers resulted in extending undue benefit to the contractors.

(Paragraph 4.8)

□ Non deduction of penalty from the suppliers for delayed delivery of solid waste management materials, resulted a loss of ₹18.18 lakh

(Paragraph 4.9)