

OVERVIEW

The Report Contains eight chapters as detailed below:

No. of chapter 1st chapter	Particulars	No. of Paragraphs
I	Introduction	6
II	Accounting procedures and Financial management	15
III	Municipal Revenue	8
IV	Performance review on property Tax	12
V	Establishment	3
VI	Implementation of schemes.	5
VII	Performance review on National Slum Development Programme	12
VIII	Conclusions and Recommendations	4

A synopsis of the findings contained in the reviews and paragraph is presented in this overview:

1. ACCOUNTING PROCEDURES AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT.

- 15 Urban Local Bodies did not prepare Budget estimates between 2000-01 and 2004-05.
(Paragraph 2.1)
- Un-authorized expenditure of Rs.27.55 crore was made without making provisions in Budget estimates.
(Paragraph 2.2)
- 26 ULBs did not prepare Annual Accounts ranging from 2 years to 29 years.
(Paragraph 2.3)
- 12 ULBs did not reconcile cash balance with Bank/ Treasury.
(Paragraph 2.4)
- 9 ULBs diverted Grants of Rs.1.11 crore released for specific purposes towards payment of salary
(Paragraph 2.10)
- In 25 ULBs advance of Rs. 18.17 crore granted during 1999-2005 was outstanding for adjustment
(Paragraph 2.11)
- In 27 ULBs and Patna Water Board excess and irregular payment of Rs. 8.78 crore was noticed.
(Paragraph 2.14)
- Most of the ULBs did not maintain Basic records.
(Paragraph 2.15)

2. MUNICIPAL REVENUE

- In 26 ULBs misappropriation of Rs. 3.23 crore in collection account was noticed
(Paragraph 3.1)
- 18 ULBs did not revise assessment list even after 6 to 37 years of it becoming due.
(Paragraph 3.5)
- None of the 27 ULBs prepared Demand and Collection Register of Taxes (Paragraph 3.7)
- Three ULBs made short realisation of Bid money of Rs.21.14 lakh
(Paragraph 3.8)

3. PERFORMANCE REVIEW ON PROPERTY TAX

- By changing situation of road and use of buildings irregularly, underassessment of Rs. 1.01 crore in Patna Municipal Corporation was made.
(Paragraph 4.7.1.)
- Non-levy of Water rates deprived Gaya Municipal Corporation of revenue of Rs. 9.95 crore.
(Paragraph 4.7.3.)
- Due to arbitrary fixation of annual rental value, undervaluation of 63.19 lakh was noticed in two Nagar Parishads
(Paragraph 4.8.1.)

4. ESTABLISHMENT

- Rs. 1.40 crore was spent over unauthorised appointment of Casual Labour in 17 ULBs.
(Paragraph 5.2.)
- Inadmissible/excess payment of pay and allowances amounting to Rs. 34.29 lakh was made in three ULBs
(Paragraph 5.3.)

5. IMPLEMENTATION OF SCHEMES

- Grant of Rs. 7.11 crore received for specific purposes under 11th Finance Commission was spent on inadmissible items by Patna Municipal Corporation.
(Paragraph 6.1.)
- Ineffective execution of schemes of Rs. 54.03 lakh was made in Patna Municipal Corporation.
(Paragraph 6.2.)
- Due to abandonment of works unfruitful expenditure of Rs. 28.72 Lakhs was made in Patna Municipal Corporation.
(Paragraph 6.3.)
- Due to non-refund of loan with interest by Patna Municipal Corporation to HUDCO and HSCL, extra liability of Rs. 4.30 crore was created.
(Paragraph 6.4.)
- Blocking up of Grant of Rs. 2.51 crore received under NSDP was made by Patna Municipal Corporation.
(Paragraph 6.5(I))
- State Development Grant of Rs. 21.43 lakh was not utilised by Patna Municipal Corporation.
(Paragraph 6.5(II))

6. PERFORMANCE REVIEW ON NATIONAL SLUM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

- Excess release of funds of Rs. 8.64 crore to 41 ULBs and Short release of funds of Rs. 8.64 crore to 80 ULBs were noticed (Paragraph 7.8.)

- Blockage of funds of Rs. 84.48 lakh was made by Patna Municipal Corporation.
(Paragraph 7.8.2)
- Diversion of grant of Rs. 39.34 lakh was made by two ULBs.
(Paragraph .7.8.2.1) & (Paragraph 7.8.2.2)
- Selection of schemes without survey of availability of basic services in slum area was made.
(Paragraph 7.9.1.)
- Execution of scheme in non-slum area involving expenditure of Rs. 2.69 crore was made.
(Paragraph 7.10.2.)
- Funds of Rs. 1.16 crore provided for upgradations/construction of houses for urban poors were not utilised
(Paragraph 7.10.3.)
- 55 Schemes amounting to Rs. 34.50 lakh remained incomplete till September 2006
(Paragraph 7.10.4.)