

*PRESENTED TO THE
ODISHA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
ON THE 3RD OCTOBER, 2020*



सत्यमेव जयते

**ODISHA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE**

**2020-2021
SIXTEENTH ASSEMBLY**



**6TH REPORT
ON
C & A. G OF INDIA (GENERAL AND SOCIAL SECTOR)
FOR THE YEAR 2013-14
OF
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**SECRETARIAT
OF
THE ODISHA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY,
BHUBANESWAR-751001**

Sl. No.	<u>CONTENTS</u>	Page
1.	Composition of the Public Accounts Committee, 2020-21	i
2.	Composition of the Public Accounts Committee, 2019-20	ii
3.	Composition of the Public Accounts Committee, 2018-19	iii
4.	Composition of the Sub-Committee-V of the Public Accounts Committee, 2018-19	iv
5.	Introduction	v
6.	Report	1-8
7.	Minutes of the meeting held on 20.03.2020	9
8.	Minutes of the meeting held on 20.03.2020	10

COMPOSITION OF THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE, 2020-21

CHAIRMAN

Shri Pradipta Kumar Naik,
Leader of Opposition.

MEMBERS

Smt. Pramila Mallik,
Hon'ble Government Chief Whip.

Shri Mohan Charan Majhi,
Hon'ble Chief Whip, Bharatiya Janta Party

Shri Narasingha Mishra, M. L. A.

Shri Debiprasad Mishra, M. L. A.

Shri Jaya Narayan Mishra, M. L. A.

Shri Sarada Prasad Nayak, M. L. A.

Shri Pranab Prakash Das, M. L. A.

Shri Rajendra Dholakia, M. L. A.

Shri Braja Kishore Pradhan, M. L. A.

Shri Priti Ranjan Gharai, M. L. A.

Shri Ananta Narayan Jena, M. L. A.

SECRETARIAT

Shri Dasharathi Satapathy, I. A. S., Secretary.

Smt. Sushila Mallick, Deputy Secretary.

Smt. Baijayanti Pattanayak, Under Secretary.

Shri Partha Sarathi Das, Section Officer.

Smt. Manjushree Tripathy, Assistant Section Officer.

COMPOSITION OF THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE, 2019-20

CHAIRMAN

Shri Pradipta Kumar Naik,
Leader of Opposition.

MEMBERS

Smt. Pramila Mallik,
Hon'ble Government Chief Whip.

Shri Mohan Charan Majhi,
Hon'ble Chief Whip, Bharatiya Janta Party

Shri Narasingha Mishra, M. L. A.

Shri Debiprasad Mishra, M. L. A.

Shri Jaya Narayan Mishra, M. L. A.

Shri Sarada Prasad Nayak, M. L. A.

Shri Pranab Prakash Das, M. L. A.

Shri Rajendra Dholakia, M. L. A.

Shri Braja Kishore Pradhan, M. L. A.

Shri Priti Ranjan Gharai, M. L. A.

Shri Ananta Narayan Jena, M. L. A.

SECRETARIAT

Shri Dasharathi Satapathy, I. A. S., Secretary.

Smt. Sushila Mallick, Deputy Secretary.

Smt. Baijayanti Pattanayak, Under Secretary.

Shri Partha Sarathi Das, Section Officer.

Smt. Manjushree Tripathy, Assistant Section Officer.

COMPOSITION OF THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE, 2018-19

CHAIRMAN

Shri Narasingha Mishra
Leader of Opposition.

MEMBERS

Shri Debiprasad Mishra, M. L. A.

Smt. Pramila Mallik, M. L. A.

* Shri Dilip Kumar Ray, M. L. A.

Shri Pravata Kumar Tripathy, M. L. A.

Shri Pravat Ranjan Biswal, M. L. A.

Shri Mahesh Sahoo, M. L. A.

Shri Saroj Kumar Samal, M. L. A.

** Shri Naba Kishore Das, M. L. A.

Shri Samir Ranjan Dash, M. L. A.

Shri Chiranjib Biswal, M. L. A.

Shri Debasish Samantaray, M. L. A.

SECRETARIAT

Shri Amiya Kumar Sarangi, Secretary.

Shri S. K. Swain, Joint Secretary.

Shri M. Dungdung, Under Secretary.

Shri Prafulla Kumar Parida, Desk Officer.

Smt. Baijayanti Pattanayak, Desk Officer.

N. B.:-

* The Membership of Shri Dilip Kumar Ray, M. L. A. was ceased w.e.f. 30.11.2018 vide notification No. 10486/L. A., dated 5th December 2018.

** The Membership of Shri Naba Kishore Das, M. L. A. was ceased w.e.f. 28.01.2019 vide notification No. 742/L. A., dated 30th January 2019.

**COMPOSITION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE-V OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
COMMITTEE, 2018-19**

CHAIRMAN

Shri Saroj Kumar Samal, M. L. A.

MEMBERS

Shri Pravat Kumar Tripathy, M. L. A.

Shri Mahesh Sahoo, M. L. A., M. L. A.

SECRETARIAT

Shri Amiya Kumar Sarangi, Secretary.

Shri Shishir Kanta Swain, Joint Secretary.

Shri M. Dumdung, Under Secretary.

Shri Prafulla Kumar Parida, Desk Officer.

Smt. Baijayanti Pattanayak, Desk Officer.

INTRODUCTION

I, the Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee having been authorised by the Committee on their behalf present this 6th Report of the C & A. G of India (General & Social Sector) for the year 2013-14 relating to Rural Development Department.

The Public Accounts Committee had examined the above subject in its meeting held on 11.10.2019. The findings and conclusions based on the result of the examination of the Committee, are presented herewith.

The Public Accounts Committee, 2019-20, finalized the Report in their sitting held on 20.03.2020 and reapproved by the Public Accounts Committee 2020-21 on 01.10.2020.

The Committee place on record their appreciation of the assistance rendered by the officers of the Departments of Rural Development, Finance and the Office of the Accountant General (G & SSA), Odisha during the course of examination.

The Committee further express their thanks to the Officers and Staff of the Odisha Legislative Assembly Secretariat for their Secretarial assistance.

Sd/-

Bhubaneswar
Date: 01.10.2020

(PRADIPTA KUMAR NAIK)
CHAIRMAN
PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE

REPORT

Report of the C & A. G. of India (General & Social Sector) Report No.4 of the year 2015 of Rural Development Department-2013-14

Para-3.5 Implementation of Biju Setu Yojana.

1. Para-3.5.2.1 Selection of sites in deviation from guidelines.

Biju Setu Yojana guidelines provide that bridges should be constructed on such sites of the river/nallah where no other bridge is existing within 10km upstream or downstream of the proposed site and length of bridge should not be less than 25 meters.

It has been pointed out by Audit that selection of sites for construction of 13 bridges with estimated cost of ₹ 20.62 Cr. were made violating the above guidelines and ₹ 10.58 Cr. were expended as of January 2015. Audit further noticed that construction of 12 bridges, which were earlier, proposed under other plan scheme including there under PMGSY and not taken up for execution were included without examining their eligibility under BSY guidelines.

The Department stated that Biju Setu Yojana had been embarked upon by the Govt. when the existing schemes are unable to cater to the requirement of the state to bridge all the missing links on roads of R. D. Departments and some important Panchayat Samiti roads. Although, one of the selection criteria stipulates that the bridges beyond length of 25m are to be taken up on BSY scheme, that some of the identified bridges could not be taken up under any other scheme. Hence, the said bridge works were taken up under BSY to provide all weather communication to the villagers of tribal area, so as to bridge the missing link. The existing guidelines will be reviewed to address such issues.

The Department also stated that out of three bridges as pointed out regarding non- adherence to the selection criteria under Biju Setu Yojana, the proposed bridges in Baragarh and Rourkela RW Division i.e. 1) Pathurimunda and 2) Turturi bridges were both on RD roads. These projects were taken up under Plan Scheme during 2010-11 with a view to provide all weather connectivity to the large no of population deprived of such basic facility. Subsequently, after implementation of Biju Setu Yojana on 9th Oct' 2011, the above said bridge projects under plan scheme were included under Biju Setu Yojana during 2011-12. Thus the selection of the above said two projects were based on the total population served by providing all weather road connectivity.

Similarly, bridge over river Bhaskel of R.W. Division-II, Nawarangapur was identified under Biju Setu Yojana to provide all weather connectivity to the people of Dhodra Adivasi GP & Gobari NK GP to Jharigaon Block headquarters which will

improve the socio - economic condition of the tribal locals deprived of basic amenities due to want of an all-weather road connectivity .As such the selection of bridge over river Bhaskel under Biju Setu Yojana was made with the object of serving the tribal people of remote interior pocket which was cut off from the mainstream due to want of all-weather communication facility.

Also, there was traffic disruption during heavy rain/high flood on the vented cause ways. The very objective of BSY is to provide an effective all weather road network across the length and breadth of the State.

The Committee considered the Departmental compliance and dropped the para.

2. Para-3.5.2.2 Award of work without acquisition of land/ improper site survey.

Audit noticed that the works were awarded without acquisition of land, site verification, improper site investigation and OPWD code was not followed.

In R.W Division, Rourkela, the work of “Construction of small bridge over Jamsara Nallah at 3.700 km on Bandhamunda-Jhirpani Road” was awarded (June 2012) at ₹ 1.22 Cr. with stipulation to complete the work by May 2013. However, the work could not be commenced due to non-availability of land. As a result, the contract was terminated (January 2015) on the request of the contractor. Further, the estimate was prepared after conducting preliminary site survey and investigation, but the survey report did not indicate non-availability of land.

Four works were awarded at an agreement value of ₹ 7.68 Cr. for completion during October 2012 to December 2014. However, GAD of the bridge were revised requiring change of foundation from isolated footing to combined footing, open foundation to raft foundation due to poor soil strata and different soil conditions encountered during execution. This resulted in midway change in design and the works were under progress even after 2 to 26 months from the stipulated date of completion.

The work of ‘Construction of Bridge over Dolposh on Jhiripani- Dolposh Road’ under R.W. Division, Rourkela was awarded (March 2014) at an agreed cost of ₹ 5.31 Cr. with stipulation to complete the work by September 2015. During execution of work, existence of high tension electricity line on the bridge site was noticed. However, the survey report did not indicate existence of such line. As a result, the GAD was revised (December 2014) reducing the length of the bridge. Thus, due to deficient survey report, the GAD of the bridge which is required to be finalized prior to award of work was finalized after nine months of award of work.

The Department stated that the work “construction of S.B. over Jamsara Nallah at 3/700 Km on Bandhamunda-Jhirpani Road” was awarded as the local

people had initially agreed to part with their land during the survey for construction of the bridge. But when the bridge layout was given, the locals formed two groups i. e. for and against construction of the bridge. Many a round of discussions were held with them in coordination with revenue authorities to resolve the matter, but of no avail and eventually apprehending law and order problem, the closure proposal of the same was approved by the S.E.R.W Circle, Sundargarh. The bridge was required at that location and it was expected that in due course of time the attitude of the local people might get changed and the bridge might be constructed in future.

The preliminary soil investigation was done by drilling holes of diameter about 75 to 100 mm only which was indicative of soil/rock strata at tentative 2 or 3 locations which was analyzed for preparation of GAD. However, the exact strata at the specified foundation locations were assessed by conducting confirmative soil exploration at the time of execution by the agency. In case of all four nos of bridges, the foundations were revised from open to raft, based on confirmative soil exploration data which was inevitable.

While preparing the GAD for the work “Construction of bridge over Dolposh nallah on Jhiripani Dolposh road all aspects including the existence of high tension electricity line of PGCIL was taken into consideration. The Electricity Department was previously contacted, but shifting of High Tension line was time consuming and of high cost. Hence it was reconsidered to change the bridge site. Such instance is rare one and beyond control. The bridge work had already been completed.

The Committee went through the compliance, had a detailed discussion on the para and dropped the para.

3. Para-3.5.3 Preparation of estimate.

(a) Excess provision of cement.

According to Audit observation preparation of estimate was not accurate due to various deficiencies like excess provision of cement inclusion of unwarranted items, provision of wider carriage way etc.

Audit pointed out that due to adoption of State analysis of rates as regards consumption of cement instead of IRC-21-2000 resulted in extra expenditure of ₹ 12.68 lakh which was not justified.

The department explained that the State scheduled of rates and State analysis of rates were the sources to arrive at the rates of various items of work for Govt. work, which was mandatory for all Departments to adopt. Accordingly, the estimates for R.D. Department works had been framed. As regards execution of works, the specifications provided in IRC were to be followed. In the specification, it might be seen that there was provision of minimum quantity of cement to be used in the work in a particular grade of concrete. The actual quantity of cement to be used in the work

was decided as per mixed design done in the laboratories by utilizing local sand, stone products and cement to fulfil the requirement/specifications stipulated in the agreement. The contractor quoted the rates for execution of the works as per his mixed design. Hence it could not be concluded that extra expenditure had been incurred by adopting the quantity of cement on the basis of State Analysis of Rates which was for estimate purpose. Since estimate had been prepared as per State S/R and analysis of rates and work executed as per specification, there was no violation of OPWD code.

(b) Preparation of estimate for bridges with wider carriageway.

The Audit pointed out that estimates for three bridges under three RW Divisions were prepared for ₹ 9.63 Cr. considering the carriage way width of 7.5 meter instead of 5.5 meter though the formation width of the roads was 7.5 meter. Accordingly, expenditure of ₹ 5.10 Cr. was incurred as of December 2014. Thus, the bridge was constructed with excess width of two meter which was not required as per the IRC standards of specification.

The department stated that As per IRC: SP-20-2002, the overall width of small bridge on rural roads with road way width 7.5m should be 6m having carriageway width 5.5m. But it is a fact that after improvement of roads and bridges, the traffic in the roads had increased considerably for which carriage way of 5.5m was not sufficient. After improvement of roads and bridges, many roads are being transferred to P.W.D for further up grading. If the bridge is of 5.5m carriageway, it cannot be further widened to 7.5m. Anticipating steep rise in vehicular traffic after improvement of road, bridge and future up gradation, the carriageway width of 7.5m in GAD has been done. While approving GAD by competent authority all such facts were taken into consideration pragmatically in addition to the provision made in SP-20-2002.

(c) Construction of a bridge with reduced length.

The Audit pointed out that as per hydraulic particulars of the bridge over Banjari Nallah on Nileswarpur to NH-6 via Pathanla Road the line are waterway at high flood level was required to be minimum 86.79 meter which was also proposed by the E. E. RW. Division Bargarh to the CE. However the CE prepared and approved (February 2012) GAD for 53.85 meter length which was not in conformity with specification as prescribed by the Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MORTH), Gol.

In response the Department explained that though the Executive Engineer, Rural Works Division, Baragarh proposed a span of 86.79m for preparation of GAD, the design data submitted to the E.I.C office were scrutinized and GAD for 53.85m span was approved to satisfy the discharge and other criteria.

(d) Inclusion of unwarranted items.

The Audit pointed out that in RW Division Angul, estimates of four bridges included provision of ₹ 7.58 lakh for construction of diversion roads which were of temporary nature despite the fact that the cost of such diversion road was to be borne by the contractor as per Clause 16 of the contract. This resulted in extension of undue favour to the contractors.

The Department stated that the contractor's liabilities to construct temporary works requisite which were proper for execution of the work at his cost, as per Clause-16 of contract documents, included construction of mixing platform, vat, barricading, temporary store room, lighting arrangements during night etc.

The construction of diversion road though temporary in nature; is an integral part of the bridge work during construction period covering more than one year/one rainy season in most cases. Provision for construction of Diversion Roads had been kept in the estimates as the contractor had to spend money to construct such diversion road. The Department could not impose on the contractor to execute diversion road free of cost. Diversion roads were also used during bridge construction work for smooth movement of the vehicular traffic of general public otherwise the connectivity of the road/village would have been cut off. The same should not be treated as an inadmissible item in the estimate. Moreover, this item was not covered under Clause -16 of the contract document.

The Committee accepted the Departmental compliance and dropped the para.

4. Para-3.5.4.1 Delay in finalization of tender

It has been pointed out by the Audit that CE, RW, Bhubaneswar invited tender for the work of "Construction of HL bridge over River Devi near Dahanikhia on Machhagaon-Asilo Road" with an estimated cost of ₹ 22.73 Cr. in July 2011. After evaluation (August 2011) of the tender, the CE sought (September 2011) approval of Government which was accorded in July 2012 for ₹ 25.19 Cr., after nine months. Due to delay in approval of tender, the price validity of the tender had expired (November 2011) and the lowest bidder did not turn up to sign the agreement. As a result, the work was re-tendered (March 2013) and awarded at ₹ 28.23 Cr. leading to avoidable expenditure of ₹ 3.04 Cr. Thus delay on approval of tender by the Government after lapse of validity of tender led to avoidable expenditure.

The departmental compliance stated that there had been inadvertent delay in approval of tender by Govt. in R.D. Department as the tender was also to be got approved from Finance Department, tender premium being 12.89% excess over the corresponding estimated cost of SoR-2010 and 1st time tender. Such a decision cannot always be taken within the prescribed time schedule as the justification of

higher rate need to be thoroughly examined at different level. In the meantime, the SoR-2012 came into force with effect from 12.06.2012. The bidder, after receipt of acceptance offer from the Department, requested to award the work at 12.89% excess over the SoR-2012. This was not permissible as per codal provision. Hence, Department had no alternative but to go for retender. After retender the work was awarded at 11.70% excess on SoR-2012. This tender premium was less than the corresponding previous tender premium. Even if the work could have been started after 1st time tender, the cost of the project would have escalated on account of revision of price of labour, material, POL etc due to change in the SoR.

The Committee after discussion with the departmental representatives and officials of the Office of the Accountant General dropped the para with the recommendation that adequate steps should be taken for improvement in the system to avoid delays.

5. Para-3.5.4.2 Bridge remained incomplete due to invitation of part tender

As per audit observation the E.E RW Division, Raygada proposed (June 2013) to take up five Steel Decking Bridge with estimated cost of ₹ 9.72 Cr. to provide connectivity in hilly terrain and inaccessible areas. The work involved construction of civil structure (foundation and substructure) and Steel deck span (Super structure). The EIC instructed (October 2013) EE to invite tender for civil structure only excluding construction of steel structure. Accordingly, the civil works were awarded (January-February 2014) for ₹ 4.14 Cr. with stipulation to complete the work by April –May 2014. Out of five bridges, civil works for three bridges were completed by June 2014 and in two other cases, the works were completed by December 2014. Though, civil works for all the five bridges were completed, tender for super structure was not finalized due to which the bridges could not be completed.

The Department stated (May, 2015) that the steel super structure works were awarded to a Central Public Sector Undertaking after completion of civil works. The fact, however, remained that the tender was finalized only in February 2015 after a delay ranging from two to eight months from completion of civil works which ultimately delayed completion of the bridges.

The Departmental compliance stated that earlier, the department was executing conventional R.C.C bridges. But it was observed that in remote areas of some of the difficult IAP districts, many big contractors were not interested to take up R.C.C bridges. To tide over such problems, it was decided that at some of such locations, construction of bailey bridges would be tried out. In bailey bridges, it was decided to take up steel superstructure through CPSUs who had the expertise. Hence, tender of civil works involving less amount were invited and awarded. The construction of

civil structure in respect of all the five cases had been completed since December 2014.

The superstructure work had been awarded to CPSU after obtaining the offer on completion of civil works. This was the normal practice where 2 agencies were involved. All the bridges had been completed since March 2016.

The Committee accepted the explanation and settled the para.

6. Para-3.5.4.3 Delay in execution of agreement

As per Paragraph 3.5.18 (vii) of OPWD Code, volume-I and instruction of RD Department (July 2010) agreement should be executed within 15 days of approval of tender.

Audit noticed that in two out of eight R.W. Division (Angul and Rayagada), tenders for five works were accepted during March 2012 to October 2013, but the concerned EEs executed agreement between July 2012 and January 2014 i.e with a delay ranging from 85 to 250 days. Thus, delay in execution of agreement contributed to delay in commencement of work.

The Departmental representative in their explanation stated that as per codal provision agreements were to be drawn within 15 days from finalization of tender. However, due to some inevitable reasons the agreements could not be executed in time. Such instances were rare ones.

All the Executive Engineers had been instructed to draw the agreements in time and avoid delay.

The Committee expressed its displeasure over delay in commencement of work with the assurance of Secretary, Rural Development Department that the mistake would not be repeated in future, the Committee settled the para.

7. Para-3.5.4.4 Idle expenditure due to non-construction of approach road

Audit pointed out that in RW Division, Rourkela, Construction of the bridge over Turture Nallah including approach road was awarded (November 2011) at ₹ 1.28 Cr.. Although the Construction of bridge was completed in December 2012 at an expenditure of ₹ 1.23 Cr., due to non-construction of approach road by EE, the bridge could not be made trafficable rendering the entire expenditure idle.

The Department stated that the construction of bridge over Turturi Nallah was taken up by R.W. Division, Rourkela under BSY. But the approaches were already taken up under other scheme. Hence the provision of approaches was not included in bridge project. However, in the meantime the bridge approaches had already been completed.

The Committee dropped the para.

8. **Para-3.5.5 Monitoring and supervision**

Audit noticed that Paragraph 7 of BSY Guidelines prescribes two tier quality assurance mechanisms for bridge. The field officers form the first-tier and independent State Quality Monitors (SQMs) who form the second tier were to be appointed by the Government to ensure quality. Though the scheme was implemented in October 2011, the Department initiated the process of engaging independent SQM only during 2013-14 which was not finalized till January 2015 due to which no inspection was done by SQM. Though EEs were required to conduct minimum prescribed 10 per cent check measurement, no such check measurement was done in eight test checked divisions.

The Department explained that Third Party Independent Quality Monitoring System for non-PMGSY work had been established. This will ensure quality monitoring of bridge work and other major works taken up under Rural Works organization. There was no provision of SQM for inspection of non-PMGSY works in the OPWD code. The departmental engineers such as J.E., A.E. A.E.E & E.E. were supervising all the projects. Superintending Engineer of the concerned Circle were also regularly visiting the bridge sites. Besides, the Chief Engineer/Engineer-in-Chief was also visiting the sites as and when required.

The Executive Engineer was required to check measure 10% of the measurements of important items in respect of work costing more than ₹ 2.00 lakh. The Executive Engineers had been instructed to adhere to the provision strictly.

The Committee accepted the departmental explanation and discussed in detail the paragraph 3.5 of C & A. G (For the year 2013-14) on implementation of Biju Setu Yojana. The Committee recommended that the paragraph be dropped with the observation that in future whatever objections raised by C & A. G should be taken into account. At the same time, the Department was directed that the guidelines for taking-up BSY for the year 2019 should be immediately prepared and the copy of the same be sent to the Odisha Legislative Assembly Secretariat for information of the Committee members at the earliest.

**MINUTES OF THE TENTH MEETING OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE, 2019-20
HELD AT 04:00 P. M. ON 20.03.2020 IN ROOM NO. 54 OF THE ODISHA LEGISLATIVE
ASSEMBLY BUILDINGS, BHUBANESWAR.**

PRESENT

Shri Pradipta Kumar Naik.

CHAIRMAN

Leader of Opposition.

Shri Mohan Charan Majhi,

Hon'ble Chief Whip, Bharatiya Janta Party

Shri Debiprasad Mishra, M. L. A.

Shri Jaya Narayan Mishra, M. L. A.

Shri Rajendra Dholakia, M. L. A.

Shri Ananta Narayan Jena, M. L. A.

MEMBERS

SECRETARIAT

Shri Dasharathi Satapathy, I. A. S., Secretary.

Smt. Sushila Mallick, Deputy Secretary.

Smt. Baijayanti Pattanayak, Under Secretary.

Shri Partha Sarathi Das, Section Officer.

The Committee met as scheduled and approved the following Reports:-

1. 1st Report of PAC, 2019-20 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (Revenue Receipt) for the year 2007-08 relating to Finance Department.
2. 2nd Report of PAC, 2019-20 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (Revenue Receipt) for the year 2015-16 relating to Finance Department.
3. 3rd Report of PAC, 2019-20 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA - Report No. 4 of the year 2016) relating to Electronics & Information Technology Department for the year, 2014-15.
4. 4th Report of PAC, 2019-20 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA) for the year 2016-17 relating to Higher Education Department.
5. 5th Report of PAC, 2019-20 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA) for the year 2014-15 relating to Home and Women & Child Development Department.
6. 6th Report of PAC, 2019-20 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA) for the year 2013-14 relating to Rural Development Department.
7. 7th Report of PAC, 2019-20 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA) for the year 2013-14 relating to Rural Development Department.
8. 8th Report of PAC, 2019-20 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA) for the year 2014-15 relating to School & Mass Education Department.

The Committee also authorized the Chairman to present the same to the Assembly.

The meeting of the Committee adjourned *sine-die*.

Sd/-

PRADIPTA KUMAR NAIK

CHAIRMAN

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE

**MINUTES OF THE TENTH MEETING OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE, 2020-21
HELD ON 01.10.2020 AT 03:00 P. M. IN ROOM NO. 54 OF THE ODISHA LEGISLATIVE
ASSEMBLY BUILDINGS, BHUBANESWAR.**

P R E S E N T

Shri Pradipta Kumar Naik.

CHAIRMAN

Leader of Opposition.

Shri Mohan Charan Majhi,

Hon'ble Chief Whip, Bharatiya Janta Party

Shri Debiprasad Mishra

MEMBERS

Shri Jaya Narayan Mishra, M. L. A.

Shri Pranab Prakash Das, M. L. A.

Shri Braja Kishore Pradhan, M. L. A.

S E C R E T A R I A T

Shri Dasharathi Satapathy, I. A. S., Secretary.

Smt. Sushila Mallick, Deputy Secretary.

Smt. Baijayanti Pattanayak, Under Secretary.

Shri Partha Sarathi Das, Section Officer.

The Committee met as scheduled and approved the following Reports finalized previously by the Public Accounts Committee, 2019-20 on 20.03.2020 for presentation in the House during the 4th Session of the 16th Assembly.

1. 1st Report of PAC, 2020-21 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (Revenue Receipt) for the year 2007-08 relating to Finance Department.
2. 2nd Report of PAC, 2020-21 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (Revenue Receipt) for the year 2015-16 relating to Finance Department.
3. 3rd Report of PAC, 2020-21 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA - Report No. 4 of the year 2016) relating to Electronics & Information Technology Department for the year, 2014-15.
4. 4th Report of PAC, 2020-21 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA) for the year 2016-17 relating to Higher Education Department.
5. 5th Report of PAC, 2020-21 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA) for the year 2014-15 relating to Home and Women & Child Development Department.
6. 6th Report of PAC, 2020-21 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA) for the year 2013-14 relating to Rural Development Department.
7. 7th Report of PAC, 2020-21 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA) for the year 2013-14 relating to Rural Development Department.
8. 8th Report of PAC, 2020-21 on the Report of the C & A. G of India (G & SSA) for the year 2014-15 relating to School & Mass Education Department.

The Committee also authorized the Chairman to present the same to the Assembly.

The meeting of the Committee adjourned *sine-die*.

Sd/-

PRADIPTA KUMAR NAIK

CHAIRMAN

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE