CHAPTER III
COMPLIANCE AUDIT

ANIMAL HUSBANDRY, DAIRYING AND FISHERIES
DEPARTMENT

3.1 Medical Services by Animal Husbandry Department

3.1.1 Introduction

The Policy Note (2015-16) of the Government of Tamil Nadu (GoTN) contemplated that Animal Husbandry Department (AHD) played a major role in implementing various programmes effectively and providing veterinary assistance, health care to the livestock and poultry population of the State. Medical services were provided by qualified veterinarians through wide network of Veterinary Institutions and Sub-centres located in all the districts. The medical services provided in these institutions include disease prevention, diagnosis and control through vaccination, testing and medical treatment.

Principal Secretary to Government is administrative head of Animal Husbandry, Fisheries and Dairy Development Department at Government level. Director of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Services (DAH) is the head of the Animal Husbandry Department. The veterinary services in the State, were provided through six Poly Clinics, 22 Veterinary Clinician Centres (VCC), 139 Veterinary Hospitals, 2,581 Veterinary Dispensaries, 56 Veterinary mobile units and 775 Sub-centres, as on 31 March 2017. In addition, 22 Animal Disease Intelligence Units (ADIU), two Poultry Disease Diagnostic Laboratories (PDDL), Institute of Veterinary Preventive Medicines (IVPM) and Central Referral Laboratory were also functioning in the State. AHD procured medicines, equipment, etc. through Tamil Nadu Medical Services Corporation (TNMSC), established under Companies Act, 1956.

Audit was conducted between April 2017 and August 2017 covering the period 2014-15 to 2016-17 to assess the effectiveness of delivery of medical services to the livestock and poultry population with reference to 12th Plan documents (2012-17) and scheme guidelines issued by Government of India (GOI) and GoTN. We test checked the records of the Offices of DAH, Regional Joint Directors of Animal Husbandry (RJDAH) and veterinary institutions in 10 districts36, which were selected on the basis of expenditure incurred for medical services including infrastructure through stratified sampling method. 46 Veterinary institutions37 in these 10 districts were also selected for detailed scrutiny by adopting simple random sampling with replacement method.

Audit objectives, criteria, scope and methodology were discussed in the Entry Conference held with the DAH on 15 June 2017. Audit findings were also discussed with Principal Secretary to Government, Animal Husbandry, Fisheries and Dairy Development Department in the Exit Conference held on

36 Coimbatore, Erode, Madurai, Pudukottai, Theni, Tirunelveli, Tiruppur, Tiruvanur, Vellore and Villupuram.
37 Seven ADIU, Three Poly Clinics, Five VCCs (50 per cent), 12 Veterinary Hospitals (20 per cent) and 19 Veterinary Dispensaries (two per cent).
25 October 2017 and their views were considered while finalising the report. We acknowledge the co-operation extended by Directorate of Animal Husbandry and other field offices in providing necessary records and information.

3.1.2. Planning

3.1.2.1 Diagnostic services

Twelfth Plan (2012-17) document envisaged that disease control and monitoring mechanism needs to be strengthened by establishing one Animal Disease Intelligence Unit (ADIU) in each district. It also envisaged upgrading the existing ADIUs and PDDLs to adopt Good Laboratories Practices (GLP) for effective disease control and to minimise the losses due to mortality of livestock.

The total number of ADIUs available in the State as on 1 April 2012 was 20 which was increased to 22 in December 2014 and 24 in January 2017. Though the Department stated that ADIUs played a key role in providing early warning signals of outbreaks of animal diseases, the same was not available in all the districts during the 12th Plan period and 10 districts remained without ADIUs. We observed that two ADIUs created in January 2017 were not operational and 14 out of 32 districts functioned with exclusive ADIUs and the balance 18 districts were managed by eight ADIUs.

It was seen from the eight test checked ADIUs that four districts were having exclusive ADIUs with the sanctioned and effective manpower strength of 22 and 13 respectively. The balance four ADIUs catered to eight districts with the sanctioned and effective manpower strength of 21 and 13 respectively. This resulted in functioning of four ADIUs rendering services to eight districts with inadequate manpower.

We observed that the targets for diagnostic services were fixed on the basis of ADIUs irrespective of the number of districts to which they catered to. For instance, Erode district with 4.28 lakh cattle units and Coimbatore and Tiruppur Districts with 6.91 lakh cattle units were catered by one ADIU each. This resulted in short delivery of diagnostic services to the animal population of the districts which did not have exclusive ADIUs.

We also observed that the Department did not propose for upgradation of existing, 22 ADIUs and two PDDLs, for adoption of GLP standards.

Thus, Department did not establish adequate number of ADIUs as envisaged in the 12th Plan document to develop disease surveillance system.

Government replied (November 2017) that efforts would be initiated for establishing exclusive ADIUs in the balance districts.

38 Erode, Pudukottai, Vellore and Villupuram.
39 Manpower in the cadre of Assistant Director, Veterinary Assistant Surgeon and Laboratory / Animal Health Assistant.
3.1.2.2 Emergency Mobile Veterinary Service

State Planning Commission during the plan formulation of the 12th Five Year Plan (2012-17) recommended for introduction of veterinary emergency services at the door steps and also to transfer the critically ill animals to the nearby veterinary institutions for specialised treatment.

Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (TANUVAS) proposed (2015-16) for introduction of emergency service in five districts\(^{40}\). During discussion of the project proposals in the State Level Sanctioning Committee meeting, it was recommended for execution of the project by TANUVAS as the required infrastructure and separate centre was available. It was also recommended for maintenance of the project as a permanent facility. The project was sanctioned (2015-16) by GoTN at a cost of ₹ 6.34 crore under Centrally Sponsored Scheme, National Agriculture Development Programme with 40 per cent State share, and implemented in five districts by TANUVAS during 2016-17 and provided emergency services to 892 animals upto March 2017.

The proposal of TANUVAS for extension of the scheme in the remaining 27 districts of the State at a cost of ₹ 37.88 crore, was discussed by the State Level Sanctioning Committee and approved (February 2016) for execution by AHD. GoTN accorded (September 2016) sanction for implementation of the project within one year by creating central unit of Call Emergency and procurement of 54 ambulances. The project was yet to be implemented due to non-finalisation of service provider (August 2017).

Thus, the project of providing emergency treatment to animals though commenced and operational in five districts with creation of permanent facility in TANUVAS was not extended to the remaining districts despite sanction of funds. Implementation of Emergency Mobile Veterinary Service to 27 districts was delayed due to change of Implementing Agencies.

Government replied (November 2017) that procurement of 22 vehicles was completed and fabrication of them as ambulances would be undertaken in due course. The fact, however, remains that the Department failed to finalise the service provider even after one year from the sanction.

3.1.3 Financial Management

The total expenditure of the Department and the expenditure pertaining to veterinary medical services and creation of medical infrastructure during the period from 2014-15 to 2016-17 is indicated in Table No. 3.1.

---

\(^{40}\) Kancheepuram, Madurai, Namakkal, Thanjavur and Tiruchirappalli.
Table No. 3.1: Details of total expenditure and expenditure for medical services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total expenditure of the Department</th>
<th>Expenditure incurred towards veterinary medical services</th>
<th>Percentage of expenditure on medical services and infrastructure to the total expenditure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Plan</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>404.03</td>
<td>453.53</td>
<td>857.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>818.68</td>
<td>28.33</td>
<td>847.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>442.58</td>
<td>246.24</td>
<td>688.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,665.29</td>
<td>728.10</td>
<td>2,393.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Details furnished by the Department)

It may be seen from the above:

- The expenditure incurred towards medicines and infrastructure was in the range between 11.20 and 14.68 per cent of the total expenditure of the Department.

- The expenditure on creation of infrastructure for the veterinary institutions was reduced from ₹ 92.06 crore during 2015-16 to ₹ 44.54 crore (52 per cent) during 2016-17.

- Though the total expenditure of the department was reduced from ₹ 847.01 crore in 2015-16 to ₹ 688.82 crore (19 per cent) during 2016-17, the expenditure towards medicines and infrastructure reduced from ₹ 124.30 crore to ₹ 77.16 crore (38 per cent) indicating insufficient budgetary support towards provision of medical services for the animal population of the State.

Government stated (November 2017) that the allotment of funds for medicines was static during three year period and proposal for enhancement of budget estimates was under consideration of the Government. It was also stated that the sanction of funds for infrastructure was increased to ₹ 62 crore and ₹ 75 crore during 2015-16 and 2016-17 respectively. The reply is not tenable as the actual expenditure for infrastructure decreased from ₹ 92.06 crore in 2015-16 to ₹ 44.54 crore in 2016-17.

3.1.3.1 Non-availing of Government of India funds

GoTN implemented various Centrally Sponsored Schemes under Livestock Health and Disease control programme. GOI periodically released funds for the programme with a stipulation to refund the unspent balance while furnishing the utilisation certificate (UC).

The details of year-wise release of funds by GOI for the two programmes, the expenditure incurred by the Department, the unutilised balance and the fund not released are detailed in Table No. 3.2.
Table No. 3.2: Details of release and expenditure of GOI funds

(₹ in lakh)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Programme - National control programme of Pestedes Petits Ruminants</th>
<th>Fund released by GOI</th>
<th>Fund utilised by GoTN</th>
<th>Balance unspent with GoTN</th>
<th>Amount not-released</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GOI share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matching share of GoTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td></td>
<td>383.20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>321.40</td>
<td>61.80</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td></td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>128.61</td>
<td>21.39</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>50.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>533.20</td>
<td>450.01</td>
<td>83.19</td>
<td>210.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Programme - Brucellosis Control Programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fund released by GOI</th>
<th>Fund utilised by GoTN</th>
<th>Balance unspent with GoTN</th>
<th>Amount not-released</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GOI share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matching share of GoTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>64.20</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>51.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>49.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>59.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>64.20</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>160.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>625.90</td>
<td>514.21</td>
<td>110.99</td>
<td>370.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>178.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source – Details furnished by the Department)

As may be seen from the above:

- Out of ₹ 533.20 lakh released for implementation of National control programme of Pestedes Petits Ruminants, GoTN utilised ₹ 450.01 lakh and failed to utilise ₹ 83.19 lakh. The unutilised fund was not refunded to GOI despite reminders (May 2011 and October 2015) from GOI. Due to non-refund of unutilised fund, GOI did not release funds of ₹ 210.19 lakh during 2014-15 to 2016-17 and matching State share of ₹ 90.06 lakh was also not released.

- GoTN utilised ₹ 64.20 lakh out of GOI fund of ₹ 92 lakh in 2011-12 for implementation of Brucellosis Control Programme and ₹ 27.80 lakh remained unutilised. The unutilised fund was not refunded to GOI despite reminders (July 2015 and December 2016) resulting in non-release of GOI funds of ₹ 160.17 lakh during 2014-15 to 2016-17 and matching State share of ₹ 88.91 lakh was also not released.

- Though GoTN provided a token allotment in the Budget towards matching share for the schemes, the same were withdrawn in the Revised Estimates indicating absence of efforts to implement the programme and to avail the GOI funds.

Thus, failure to refund the unspent GOI funds along with UC resulted in non-availing of GOI fund of ₹ 370.36 lakh and non-release of matching State share of ₹ 178.97 lakh during 2014-15 to 2016-17 in respect of two programmes, resulting in non-vaccination of animals against the acute diseases, which were discussed in Paragraph Nos. 3.1.6.2 and 3.1.6.3.

---

Vaccination to control acute viral disease in sheep and goats.
3.1.3.2 Blocking and Parking of funds

GOI approved (November 2013) ₹ 2.15 crore and released (November 2013) ₹ 1.61 crore (75 per cent) for procurement of a freeze drier\(^{42}\) under Assistance to States for Control of Animal Diseases. GoTN released (August 2014) ₹ 2.15 crore to AHD which was transferred (June 2015) to TNMSC for procurement of freeze drier. The tenders invited (March 2016) by TNMSC, for procurement, was cancelled due to change of specification by AHD. No tenders were invited thereafter and the funds of ₹ 2.15 crore was blocked with TNMSC for the last three years.

Similarly, GoTN accorded (August 2012) approval of ₹ 11.31 crore for establishment of a new Anthrax Spore Vaccine Production Laboratory at IVPM, Ranipet and ₹ 2.62 crore for establishment of PDDL at Palladam through TNMSC. DAH transferred (April 2013) ₹ 13.74 crore out of ₹ 13.93 crore to TNMSC. GoTN changed the implementing agency as TANUVAS. TNMSC transferred (September 2015 and January 2016) ₹ 13.38 crore (after adjusting the preliminary expenses) to TANUVAS and funds were parked in the savings bank account of TANUVAS. The work of establishment of both the laboratories was yet to commence (June 2017) leading to non-achievement of the objective as discussed in Paragraph Nos. 3.1.5.2 and 3.1.6.1.

Thus, GOI and GoTN funds of ₹ 15.53 crore was blocked and parked for more than one year without utilisation for disease prevention.

Department accepted and stated (November 2017) that finalisation of drawings and procurement process of equipment in IVPM and PDDL were under progress.

3.1.3.3 Non-reconciliation with TNMSC

AHD procured medicines and equipment through TNMSC based on annual indents. DAH released ₹ 93.97 crore to TNMSC for the same during the years 2014-15 to 2016-17. As against the release of ₹ 93.97 crore, TNMSC supplied medicines for a value of ₹ 77.98 crore as on 31 March 2017 leaving a difference of ₹ 15.99 crore. A cross check of UCs furnished by TNMSC indicated that balance amount available towards non-supply of indented medicines as on 31 March 2017 was ₹ 4.12 crore. Department did not undertake periodical reconciliation of funds transferred and value of medicine supplied by TNMSC. This indicated absence of financial control over the Government funds and non-reconciliation of the actual expenditure.

Department replied (August 2017) that reconciliation would be undertaken at an early date.

3.1.4 Programme Management

The livestock and poultry census were taken once in five years through a project sanctioned by GOI. The 18th census was taken during 2007 and 19th

\(^{42}\) Freeze drier is used to convert liquid product into solid pellets in the manufacture of vaccines.
census in 2012. A comparison of these two census reports in respect of Cattle, Buffalo, Sheep and Goat is as detailed in Table No. 3.3.

**Table No. 3.3: Comparison of census**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the animal</th>
<th>As per 2007 census</th>
<th>As per 2012 census</th>
<th>Percentage of growth over previous census</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>111.89</td>
<td>88.14</td>
<td>-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo</td>
<td>20.09</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>-61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>79.91</td>
<td>47.87</td>
<td>-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>92.75</td>
<td>81.43</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Livestock Census Report)

As may be seen from the above that the animal population in the State did not show an encouraging trend as the number of livestock and poultry registered a negative growth rate. The livestock population reduced between 12 and 61 per cent during 2012 as compared to 2007.

Taking this into consideration, the effectiveness of delivery of medical services in the State was analysed and audit observations in this regard are detailed in the subsequent paragraphs:

3.1.4.1 Non-upgradation of Veterinary institutions

The National Commission of Agriculture recommended (1976) that the Veterinary Dispensaries were required to treat at least 30 cases per day and those treating more than 40 cases per day were eligible for upgradation as Veterinary Hospital. Institutions treating more than 60 cases per day were eligible for upgradation as VCC and those treating more than 80 cases per day were eligible for upgradation as Veterinary Poly Clinic.

The average number of cases treated per day by the Veterinary Dispensaries, Veterinary Hospital and VCC during 2014-15 to 2016-17 in 10 test checked districts are detailed in Annexure -2.

We observed the following:

- Of the 955 Veterinary Dispensaries, 539 dispensaries treated cases less than the norms fixed (30 cases), 125 dispensaries (13.09 per cent) treated more than 40 cases per day were eligible for upgradation as Veterinary Hospital for providing additional medical facilities like inpatient treatment, availability of veterinary surgeon, etc. However, they continued to function as Veterinary Dispensary without upgradation.

- Sixty four out of 955 dispensaries (6.70 per cent) had treated more than 60 cases per day during 2014-15 to 2016-17 and were eligible for upgradation as VCC or Poly Clinic for providing advanced medical facilities like diagnostic facilities, clinical laboratory and round the clock service. Despite the same, they were functioning as Veterinary Dispensary without upgradation.

- Of the total 72 Veterinary Hospital and VCCs available, 33 Hospitals and VCC (45.83 per cent) treated more than 60 and 80 cases,
respectively, per day during 2014-15 to 2016-17, but there was no proposal for upgradation.

Thus, Department failed to analyse the animal population-wise requirement of medical services and no efforts were made to upgrade the facilities.

Principal Secretary to Government replied in the Exit Conference that the establishment and upgradation of veterinary institutions was being done in a phased manner depending on availability of funds. However, fact remains that the veterinary institutions should have been upgraded in accordance with the recommended norms.

3.1.4.2 Existence of Veterinary Institutions

The National Commission of Agriculture recommended (1976) the need for one Graduate Veterinary Institution\(^ {43}\) for every 5,000 cattle units\(^ {44}\) in a locality.

The total number of veterinary institutions available in the State as on 1 April 2014 was 2,523 besides 850 sub-centres. During 2014-15 to 2016-17, 225 sub-centres were upgraded as Veterinary Dispensaries and 150 new sub-centres were established.

The number of cattle units catered by the individual veterinary institutions of 25 districts was analysed and the following observations are made:

- There were 2,022 institutions in these 25 districts. Of the same, 580 dispensaries (28.68 per cent) catered to more than 5,000 cattle units. The cattle units covered by these veterinary institutions ranged between 5,100 and 21,382 per institution.

Thus, Department did not make adequate efforts to establish additional veterinary institutions in the State in order to adhere to the recommended norms of National Commission of Agriculture.

3.1.4.3 Conduct of Surgeries

Veterinary Dispensaries (VDs) which were managed by Veterinary Assistant Surgeons provided outpatient treatment to animals. These centres were not provided with inpatient facilities.

We observed from the scrutiny of records that these institutions performed surgeries during 2014-17 despite absence of essential facilities like surgery theatre, clinical lab, x-ray unit and Veterinary Surgeons. The details of surgery conducted in Veterinary Dispensaries in test checked districts are as given in Table No. 3.4.

---

\(^{43}\) Veterinary institutions headed by qualified veterinary specialists like Assistant Surgeon or Surgeon.

\(^{44}\) One cattle or one buffalo or one equine is equal to one cattle unit; one sheep or one goat is equal to 0.1 cattle unit; one pig is equal to 0.2 cattle unit and one bird is equal to 0.01 cattle unit.
Table No. 3.4: Details of surgery conducted in Veterinary Dispensaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>No. of VDs</th>
<th>No. of VDs conducted surgeries</th>
<th>No of surgeries conducted during 2014-15 to 2016-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coimbatore</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>41,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erode</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madurai</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>40,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pudukottai</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>51,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theni</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirunelveli</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiruvarur</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiruppur</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vellore</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villupuram</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>955</strong></td>
<td><strong>238</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,67,465</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Details furnished by the Department)

We observed from the above that out of 955 dispensaries headed by Veterinary Assistant Surgeon in the test checked districts 238 dispensaries (24.92 per cent) conducted 1.67 lakh surgeries during 2014-15 to 2016-17 despite absence of basic facilities.

Thus, the field institutions headed by Veterinary Assistant Surgeons conducted surgeries without adequate facilities resulting in inadequate medical facilities to the livestock population.

Government stated (November 2017) that Assistant Surgeons working in the dispensaries were qualified and they were bound to perform minor surgical procedures and major surgeries in case of emergency. The reply is not acceptable as the dispensaries lacked essential infrastructure like surgery theatre and X-ray facilities to conduct surgical procedure and major surgeries in aseptic condition.

3.1.5 Infrastructure

A comment was made about the absence of proper infrastructure in veterinary institutions in the CAG Audit Report (Civil) for the year 2004-05 (Para 3.2.10). The Public Accounts Committee (PAC) recommended that since the veterinary institutions played a very crucial role in maintaining the cattle wealth and more importantly for adequate health cover of the cattle, the Department should ensure that funds provided be utilised in full and in time towards provision of infrastructure for effective functioning of the institutions.

The deficiencies in creating adequate infrastructure are discussed in succeeding paragraphs.

3.1.5.1 Facilities at Veterinary institutions.

The National Commission of Agriculture recommended (1976) that for the establishment of dispensaries the availability of at least 20 cents of land for future construction of permanent building was to be ensured. The Commission also recommended for availability of testing Lab facilities and diagnostic with X Ray facilities at the VCC and Poly Clinic.
The position of land availability and diagnostic facilities in the dispensaries as of March 2017 is detailed in Table No. 3.5.

### Table No. 3.5: Details of land availability and diagnostic facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Total No. of institutions</th>
<th>No of VDs with less than 20 cent of land</th>
<th>VDs upgraded during 2014-15 to 2016-17</th>
<th>PC or VCC without Lab facilities</th>
<th>Status of X Ray unit in PC or VCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VD</td>
<td>VCC</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coimbatore</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erode</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madurai</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pudukottai</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theni</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirunelveli</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiruvarur</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiruppur</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vellore</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villupuram</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Details furnished by Department)

It is seen from the above that

- Out of 955 dispensaries in 10 test checked districts, 299 dispensaries (31 per cent) did not possess the recommended land availability of 20 cents to ensure future expansion. Of these 299 dispensaries, 86 Sub-centres were upgraded as dispensaries during 2014-15 to 2016-17 for which land was not available for future expansion.

- Out of 10 VCCs/ Poly Clinics in the eight test checked districts seven VCCs/ Poly Clinics (70 per cent) were not provided with mandated laboratory facility and four VCCs/Poly Clinics did not provide X-Ray diagnostic services to the animal population due to non-availability/ faulty machines.

Government replied (November 2017) that few dispensaries were constructed without adequate area based on demand. The reply is not acceptable as it was against the recommended norms and the area was inadequate for its future expansion.

### 3.1.5.2 Delay in establishment of Poultry Disease Diagnostic Laboratory at Palladam

DAH proposed for establishment of Poultry Disease Diagnostic Laboratory with Bio-Safety and Good Laboratory Practice at Palladam to monitor the incidence of bacterial and viral diseases in birds and to provide immediate disease diagnostic aid under the Centrally Sponsored Scheme NADP. The proposals also indicated that the independent broiler production units were expanding at Palladam. GoTN sanctioned (August 2012) the execution of project at ₹ 2.62 crore through Public Works Department for completion within 17 months. The project was entrusted (August 2012) to TNMSC.

---

45 Biosafety Level II facility prescribed by GOI is essential to prevent contamination and to ensure safe working for conducting hazardous experiments in controlled manner; GLP was made mandatory by GOI from November 2010.
funds of ₹ 2.44 crore were released (April 2013) and deposited with TNMSC. TNMSC invited (February 2014) tender for establishment of Poultry Disease Diagnostic Laboratory in two cover system. TNMSC finalised (August 2014) the technical bid and recommended (January 2015) for selection of the lowest bidder who quoted ₹ 9.15 crore. However, the bid was not accepted (March 2015) by GoTN citing that the cost was high. The project was reviewed (July 2015) by DAH and GoTN (August 2015) decided for execution by TANUVAS with technical advice from National Dairy Development Board and funds were transferred to TANUVAS. After protracted deliberations on alternative proposals right from drawing and design to funding options, it was decided (March 2016) to execute the PDDL project with the funds of ₹ 4.34 crore. The project cost was again revised as ₹ 6.90 crore and a tripartite agreement was executed only in March 2017. The work was not commenced (July 2017).

Thus, failure of the Department to identify proper implementing agency and inadequate project cost resulted in non-commencement of the project even after four years which was envisaged to monitor viral and bacterial diseases among birds. This also resulted in continued testing of the collected samples by the existing two PDDLs which were not established with Good Laboratory Practice standards.

Government replied (November 2017) that finalisation of project drawings and other related works were under progress. However, the fact, remains that the objective of the project was not achieved even after a lapse of five years from the sanction.

### 3.1.6 Vaccination

**3.1.6.1 Non-adoption of Good Manufacturing Practice for vaccine manufacturing unit**

Based on the stipulations in the Drugs control and Licensing Act for adoption of Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) Standards\(^{46}\) in the Veterinary Biological manufacturing units, DAH proposed (March 2012) for establishment of Anthrax Spore Vaccine\(^{47}\) production with GMP standards at IVPM, Ranipet under Centrally Sponsored Scheme of NADP. DAH stated that renewal of manufacturing Licence of the unit was pending from 2002-03 due to non-availability of GMP standards. Accordingly, GoTN sanctioned (August 2012) ₹ 11.31 crore for the project aiming at adoption of GMP standards through PWD, which was to be completed within three years.

DAH constituted (August 2012) High Level Committee and requested (December 2012) GoTN to consider involving TNMSC instead of PWD as the works involved High-tech Civil structure. DAH drew (April 2013) ₹ 11.30 crore and deposited with TNMSC. The Committee referred (October 2015) the project to National Dairy Development Board (NDDB) for technical opinion. NDDB re-engineered the project with revised estimates of ₹ 90.00 crore.

---

\(^{46}\) A standard to get the Manufacturing License extended for Anthrax Spore Vaccine as per amendment in the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940.

\(^{47}\) To control Infectious and Bacterial Diseases of livestock and to eradicate Anthrax in endemic areas.
GoTN (March 2015) suggested to combine the project with the other sanctioned project of Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) implemented by TANUVAS. TANUVAS mobilised (February and March 2016) the funds available in various projects\(^{48}\) and finalised the proposal in October 2016. A tripartite agreement for the project was executed (March 2017) between AHD, TANUVAS and NDDB and the funds were transferred to TANUVAS. The work was pending commencement (July 2017).

Thus, delay in commencement of the project for more than five years from sanction and release of funds due to incorrect selection of implementing agency resulted in non-adopter of Good Manufacturing Practice Standards. This also led to non-renewal of manufacturing licence of the units under Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940, for the last 15 years.

Government replied (November 2017) that finalisation and approval of drawings and equipment was under progress. The reply is not acceptable as non-commencement of the project despite release of funds resulted in non-adoption of GMP and manufacture of vaccines without valid drug licence.

### 3.1.6.2 National Control Programme of Peste des Petits Ruminants

The Peste des Petits Ruminants (PPR) is a viral disease in sheep and goats characterised by high fever and inflammation of gastro-intestinal tract leading to complications and mortality. The programme involved vaccination of all susceptible goats and sheep and their three subsequent generations.

Department procured 57 lakh vaccines utilising the GOI sanction of ₹ 1.50 crore during 2013-14 and completed the vaccination for 57 lakh\(^{49}\) goats and sheep. As the Department failed to refund the unspent grant of earlier years, as discussed in Paragraph No. 3.1.3.1, GOI funds for the programme were not released during 2014-15 to 2016-17. Hence, Department did not get matching funds from the GoTN and consequently failed to undertake vaccination during 2015-16 to 2016-17.

Thus, Department failed to provide vaccination to sheep and goats under the National Control Programme for PPR disease which resulted in inadequate efforts in the eradication of disease from the country.

Government accepted and stated (November 2017) that the vaccination was not undertaken due to non-release of funds by GOI and vaccination utilising State funds was made to goats and sheep supplied under Free Distribution of Sheep and Goat scheme. The reply, however, does not address the issue of non-refund of earlier years unspent balances, due to which the subsequent GOI funds were not released.

### 3.1.6.3 National Control Programme on Brucellosis programme

Brucellosis is a reproductive disease affecting the livestock. The disease can be prevented over a period of time by one time vaccination to all eligible female calves. The technical guidelines of GOI on Brucellosis envisaged

---

\(^{48}\) Quality Control lab project - ₹ 19.92 crore; NABARD fund ₹ 14.67 crore.

\(^{49}\) 10 lakh vials procured and vaccinated in 2013-14 and 47 lakh vials procured and vaccinated in 2014-15.
adulthood vaccination by covering all un-vaccinated adult female bovines in a phased manner during 12th Plan period.

Department conducted extensive surveillance of 22 districts to assess the incidence of Brucellosis during 2012-13 and identified 101 villages in six districts\(^{50}\) with positive cases for the disease. Based on the incidence of positivity reported, Department administered 2.60 lakh doses of Brucellosis vaccination to female calves in the age group of four to eight months during 2014-15 through loan from Tamil Nadu Livestock Development Agency.

We observed the following from the scrutiny of records:

- Though Department identified 101 villages in six districts during 2012-13, no efforts were made to vaccinate the female calves in the age group of four to eight months during 2012-13 and 2013-14 and the new born calves during 2015-16 and 2016-17 resulting in deprival of immunisation, against the reproductive ailments due to Brucellosis disease, in the absence of vaccination.

- Department did not undertake any fresh surveillance of the new born calves in the remaining districts of the State to identify positive cases for administering vaccination during 2015-16 and 2016-17.

Thus, Department failed to provide necessary vaccination to the female calves in six districts immediately after identification of positive cases for the disease and to conduct fresh surveillance in the remaining districts to prevent spreading of the reproductive disease in the animal population of the State.

Government accepted and stated (November 2017) that surveillance and vaccination of female calves against Brucellosis was not carried out due to non-release of GOI funds. The reply is not acceptable as GoTN failed to ensure vaccination to the animal population of the State with State funds.

### 3.1.7 Medicine and equipment

#### 3.1.7.1 Receipt and issue of medicines

Para 323 (5) of AHD manual stipulated that veterinary institutions should prepare annual indents for veterinary medicines and appliances required for the animals under their jurisdiction and forward to Director through Regional Joint Director of Animal Husbandry (RJDAH).

RJDAH prepared the indents of medicines and consolidated indent was forwarded to TNMSC for procurement of medicines and vaccines from Institute of Veterinary Preventive Medicine, Ranipet. The procured medicines and vaccines were distributed to the field institutions through RJDAH.

We observed from the scrutiny of records that:

- The annual indents were prepared by RJDAHs without indents from the field institutions based on actual animal population and demand. Department did not ensure that the indented quantity was supplied by TNMSC in that year.

---

\(^{50}\) Cuddalore, Kancheepuram, Karur, Tiruvarur, Tiruvannamalai and Villupuram.
Audit Report (Economic Sector) for the year ended March 2017

- A test check of indents and supplies revealed that TNMSC supplied medicines in excess (60 categories) or lesser than (47 categories) the indents during the three year period. The quantity of excess supply of medicines ranged between 0.52 lakh and 83.63 lakh. The short supply ranged between 0.20 lakh and 32.61 lakh.

- It was also observed that AHD indented for 81 lakh doses of Anthrax vaccine during 2014-15 to 2016-17 from IVPM, Ranipet. As against the same, IVPM supplied 108.37 lakh doses. However, the Department administered vaccines to an extent of 83.10 lakh during the three year period and the excess supplied quantity of 25.27 lakh doses of Anthrax vaccine valuing ₹ 1.26 crore, was utilised during the next year.

Thus, there was shortcomings in determination of requirement of medicines based on inputs from the field veterinary institutions inadequate monitoring in receipt of indented quantity of medicines. This also indicated that the delivery of medical services was managed with the available stock and not on need basis.

3.1.7.2 Issue of expired medicines

The field veterinary institutions maintained the manual stock register for issue of medicines to the treated animals. A scrutiny of the stock registers in the test checked Veterinary Dispensaries, VCC and Veterinary Hospitals revealed that six Veterinary Dispensary/Veterinary Hospitals did not indicate the expiry date of the medicines in their registers, three Veterinary Dispensary/Veterinary Hospitals indicated the expiry date of the latest batch of medicines received and not batch wise expiry date. The field officials did not indicate the expiry date of few medicines alone in three Veterinary Dispensary/Clinician Centre/Poly Clinic due to which the expiry date of medicines could not be checked in audit.

We also observed that 13 categories of expired medicines were issued to animals for treatment during the period 2014-15 to 2016-17, in three Veterinary Dispensaries/Veterinary Hospitals, resulting in ineffective treatment to the animals in the State. (Annexure - 3).

Government accepted and stated (November 2017) that necessary instructions would be issued to the field offices to dispose of the expired medicines.

3.1.7.3 Non-procurement of alternative medicines

With a view to sustain and promote indigenous alternate medicines, GoTN decided (January 2016) to purchase Siddha and Ayurveda medicines. Accordingly, GoTN issued instructions to procure alternative medicine and sanctioned (2015-16 and 2016-17) ₹ 2.76 crore. The amount was disbursed to Tamil Nadu Livestock Development Agency (TNLDA) for procurement of medicines at Alathur, Arakkonam, Kodurilarpatti, Senthangal and Veterinary Hospital at Bodinayakanur and Vellore. Madathur Veterinary Dispensary, Pollachi VCC and Coimbatore Poly Clinic.

A list of 11 items on Siddha – Ayurvedha standard preparations for common ailments of livestock was recommended by TANUVAS and accepted by GoTN.
alternative medicines only in July 2017. In the absence of indent from DAH and pending identification of medicines the objective of promoting indigenous alternative medicines remained unachieved till date.

Government accepted and stated (November 2017) that the procurement process was entrusted to TNLDA and indents were placed in October 2017.

3.1.8 Adequacy of manpower

The qualified veterinary service providers play a pivotal role in determining the effectiveness of medical service provided to the animal population of the State. State Planning Commission in their evaluation report highlighted that the primary reason for increased waiting time at the veterinary institutions for receiving treatment was mainly due to inadequate number of service providers. It was also highlighted that in many cases, the veterinarians looked after the duties of ministerial staff like registration, disposal of the case and maintaining the sanitation in the vicinity of the centre.

The details of sanctioned strength versus the actual persons-in-position for the years 2014-15 to 2016-17 in respect of qualified veterinary service providers are indicated in Table No. 3.6.

Table No. 3.6: Sanctioned strength and actuals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post</th>
<th>2014-15</th>
<th>2015-16</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Assistant Surgeon</td>
<td>2,635</td>
<td>2,177</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock Inspector</td>
<td>2,926</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>1,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Husbandry Assistant</td>
<td>4,521</td>
<td>3,190</td>
<td>1,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio grapher</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Detailed furnished by Department)
(S – Sanctioned strength; PIP – Persons-in-position; V – vacancy and P – percentage of vacancy)

As may be seen from the above:

- The vacancy position of Veterinary Assistant Surgeon (VAS) increased from 17 per cent in 2014-15 to 30 per cent in 2016-17. As the Veterinary dispensaries in the State were managed by VAS, availability of 1,958 VAS in 2016-17 indicated that 623 out of the total 2,581 Veterinary dispensaries was not provided with a full time VAS. This would also hamper the services in other 623 Veterinary dispensaries who were provided with additional charge to these institutions resulting in absence of full time service provider in 1,246 Veterinary dispensaries (48 per cent).

- The technical assistants like livestock inspectors and Animal Husbandry Assistants were required for vaccination of animals besides helping the veterinarians in providing other allied services. The vacancies in these
categories ranged between 28 and 41 per cent during the three year period resulting in overburdening the veterinarians with other routine work relating to the centre as highlighted by the State Planning Commission.

Government replied (November 2017) that action would be taken to fill up the vacant posts. However, non-filling of vacancies over the period of three years resulted in insufficient availability of medical services.

### 3.1.9 Non-adherence to the norms on Bio-waste management

Bio-Medical Waste (Management and Handling) Rules, 1998, stipulated that the treatment and disposal options\(^\text{54}\) for Bio-medical wastes classified into 10 categories and Schedule II thereof stipulated colour coding and type of container to be used for disposal of each prescribed category of waste.

The veterinary institutions in the State conducted 50.16 lakh minor surgeries, 5.52 lakh major surgeries and 101.43 lakh number of Obstetrics and Gynaecology surgeries during 2014-15 to 2016-17, thereby generating at least three categories of bio wastes \(viz.,\) animal\(^\text{55}\), Microbiology and Biotechnology\(^\text{56}\) requiring disposal by incineration/deep burial and waste sharps\(^\text{57}\) requiring disposal by autoclaving, chemical treatment and destruction.

We observed from the test checked districts that Department did not formulate any system for proper disposal of bio-waste and the waste sharps generated in surgeries were disposed of by throwing in to the dustbins of local bodies, violating the norms. Non-adherence of the prescribed norms by the veterinary institutions in identifying, categorising and disposal of bio-medical wastes would cause damages to the environment, physically or biologically.

Department stated (November 2017) that the bio-waste management was not implemented due to high capital investment and requirement of separate dedicated trained skilled manpower and infrastructure development for proper operation and maintenance. It was also assured that bio-medical waste management would be taken up in all veterinary institutions.

### 3.1.10 Internal Control and Monitoring

The National Animal Disease Reporting System (NADRS), an online system evolved by GOI for collecting and maintaining information related on the animal diseases on real time basis in the field level \(viz.,\) Block, District and State. The information collected would be helpful in monitoring outbreaks and to arrest spreading to other areas. The programme commenced in February 2014 was not continued after April 2015 due to inadequate

---

\(^{54}\) Category-wise disposal like incineration, deep burial, autoclaving, microwaving, mutilation, disinfection by chemical treatment, etc.

\(^{55}\) Includes animal tissues, organs, body parts, carcasses, bleeding parts, fluid and animal blood.

\(^{56}\) Wastes from laboratory cultures, stocks or specimen of micro-organism, infectious agents from research and industrial laboratories and devices used for transfer of cultures.

\(^{57}\) Comprise of needles, syringes, scalpels, blades, glass etc. that may cause puncture and cuts.
manpower and absence of internet connectivity. This resulted in absence of monitoring of animal diseases on real time basis despite sanction of funds from GOI.

Government replied (November 2017) that due to inadequate manpower and frequent breaks in internet connectivity, the disease outbreak was reported whenever they occurred. The reply is not acceptable as the objective of real time monitoring of animal outbreaks was not achieved.

3.1.10.1 Management Information System

AHD proposed for development of application software and integration of dash board with the objective of creation of website along with content management system, farmer information system and to integrate the application with bovine breeding and web based Management Information System (MIS) through Electronics Corporation of Tamil Nadu, a Government company. Based on the suggestion of ELCOT, vendor for software development was identified in February 2016. Based on the proforma invoice the department released ₹ 48.96 lakh to ELCOT towards development of application. Department noticed certain defects in the developed MIS software and addressed (September 2016) the vendor through ELCOT and defects were pending rectification (November 2017).

Government replied (November 2017) that defects would be rectified early. The fact, however, remains that the objective of web based MIS system to monitor the services remained unachieved.

3.1.10.2 Quality of medicines supplied

Department procured the medicines through TNMSC and the medicines supplied by the contractors were tested for quality by the laboratories empanelled by TNMSC. In order to ensure the independent testing of the quality of drugs, GoTN directed (April 2014) for constitution of a Quality Assuring Committee headed by an Additional Director. However, no Committee was constituted by DAH even after three years (till July 2017) from date of orders indicating absence of independent verification of the quality of medicines and drugs supplied by TNMSC.

3.1.11 Conclusion

The prime objective of Animal Husbandry Department was to provide efficient medical services through veterinary institutions which include disease prevention, diagnosis and control. Department did not establish adequate number of Animal Disease Intelligence Units to develop disease surveillance system. Implementation of Emergency Mobile Veterinary Service to 27 districts was delayed due to change of Implementing Agencies. Government of Tamil Nadu could not avail Government of India grant of ₹ 3.70 crore as unspent Government of India funds were not refunded and Utilisation Certificates not furnished. An amount of ₹ 15.53 crore was blocked and parked without utilisation for disease prevention. Absence of periodical upgradation of institutions based on number of cases treated, delay in adoption of Good Laboratory and Manufacturing Practices for vaccines despite release
of funds resulted in ineffective disease diagnosis and control. Department failed to ensure supply of indented quantity of medicine resulting in either accumulation of medicines or non-availability of indented categories of medicine for effective treatment. Acute shortage of qualified veterinarians and absence of adequate infrastructure impacted the delivery of medical service to the livestock and poultry. There were shortcomings in monitoring framework for delivery of services.

**PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT**

### 3.2 Deficiencies in the operation of sand quarries

#### 3.2.1 Introduction

Based on the recommendations of the High Level Committee\(^{58}\), Government of Tamil Nadu (GoTN) amended (October 2003)\(^{59}\) the Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 (TNMMCR) with the stipulation that the quarrying of sand in the State by the private agencies would be stopped. GoTN undertook the quarry operations to ensure:

- elimination of indiscriminate and unscientific sand quarrying;
- un-interrupted availability and supply of sand in an orderly manner to the common public;
- availability of the sand at affordable prices to common public thereby reducing the cost of construction; and
- augmentation of State Government revenue.

GoTN empowered\(^{60}\) the Water Resources Department of Public Works Department, (PWD) for carrying out sand quarry operations in the State. GoTN prescribed (July 2006)\(^{61}\) transportation of two units (5.66 cu m) of sand per lorry trip besides ensuring prevention of water table depletion and preventing hazards to ecology/environment near river. GoTN subsequently permitted (May 2008) transportation of three units (8.49 cu m) of sand per lorry.

GoTN constituted (November 2009) Taluk Level Task Force (TLTF) and District Level Task Force (DLTF) comprising of officials from Departments of Revenue, Geology and Mining (G&M), Police, Transport, PWD and Forests to make frequent/surprise checks in the mining/quarrying field, and on vehicles transporting minerals so as to arrest illicit quarrying/mining/transportation of minerals.

GoTN prescribed (February 2011)\(^{62}\) the detailed procedure for storage and transportation of sand through stockyards. GoTN authorised (September

---

\(^{58}\) High Level Committee constituted in G.O.2D No.46, Industries Department dated 25 September 2002. Committee consisted of Geologists, Environmentalists and Scientists.

\(^{59}\) G.O. Ms No.95, Industries Department dated 1 October 2003.


Assistant Engineer or Assistant Executive Engineer of PWD to authenticate transport permits issued for transportation of sand from quarry and sale slip issued by stockyard licensees, for the sale of sand.

It was observed that 16,178 vehicles were seized for transporting sand without valid documents during the years 2014-15 to 2016-17 involving a quantity of 36.11 lakh lorry loads which was valued at ₹ 302.55 crore.

### 3.2.2 Scope and Methodology

There were 62 sand quarries functioning in the three Regions\textsuperscript{64} of the State as on 31 May 2016. Of these 62 quarries, 11 quarries were in possession of operational licence in the three years period (2014-15 to 2016-17) and others less than three years. Four\textsuperscript{65} out of 11 quarries in Chennai Region and Mayanur quarry in Tiruchirappalli Region, where sand was transported in lorries, were selected for detailed scrutiny. The sales made by the Kodikalam stockyard in Chennai Region was also selected for detailed scrutiny. The transport permits issued by PWD from five quarries and sale slips issued by the Kodikalam stockyard was collected and a database created. Besides the data, the records of the PWD and G&M Departments, Google Earth Maps, Vehicle Registration Data of State Transport Department and Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MoRTH) were also analysed with reference to the TNMMCR, Government orders, Environmental Clearance by State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board (TNPCB), instructions issued by GoTN and approved Mining Plans. We also engaged an external consultant, Centre for Aerospace Research, Madras Institute of Technology, Chennai for quantifying the extent of area and volume of mining of sand in Neyvasal quarry using Unmanned Aerial Vehicle based mapping technology. The audit findings were discussed with the Principal Secretary to Government, Public Works Department in the Exit Conferences held on 04 October 2017. We acknowledge the co-operation extended by the Department in providing us the necessary records and information.

### 3.2.3 Planning

Government of India, Ministry of Environment notified (September 2006) that projects and activities relating to mining of minerals with lease area of less than 50 ha required prior Environmental Clearance from SEIAA and for mining in lease area exceeding 50 ha by Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India (MoEF). Rule 41 of TNMMCR stipulated the requisite conditions for obtaining mining licence from the District Collector viz., preparation of Mining Plans by the recognised and qualified persons, approval of Mining Plan by G&M Department, grant of Environmental Clearance from the SEIAA, etc.

The licences for four new quarries in Cuddalore District and one new quarry in Karur District were granted by the respective District Collectors between

\textsuperscript{63} G.O. Ms.No.158, Industries Department dated 4 September 2012.
\textsuperscript{64} Chennai (31), Madurai (2) and Tiruchirappalli (29).
\textsuperscript{65} (i) Neyvasal - 19 ha (ii) Edaicheruvooi - 6.31.80 ha (iii) Pennadam - 9.87.40 ha and (iv) Vasistapuram - 5.39.30 ha.
March 2014 and June 201566 based on the approved Mining Plans and Environmental Clearances.

The proposed area of mining with reference to the geographical co-ordinates of latitude and longitude was detailed in the Environmental Clearances and the approved Mining Plans of these five quarries. The correctness of the approved geo co-ordinates was verified with reference to the Google Earth map and the same revealed the following:

3.2.3.1 Correctness of the approved geo co-ordinates

SEIAA issued (February 2014) Environmental Clearance for mining in an area of 19 ha in Neyvasal quarry. We plotted the latitude and longitudinal co-ordinates shown in the approved mining plan on the Google Earth map as shown in Figure No. 3.1.

Figure No. 3.1: Mining area as per mining plan and actuals

As may be seen from the above:

- The actual area of mining differed from the area arrived on the basis of geo co-ordinates furnished in the approved mining plan.
- The measurement of area of geo co-ordinates represented in the approved mining plan worked out to 15 ha as against the area of 19 ha approved for the sand quarry in the Mining Plan and Environmental Clearance.

66 Neyvasal and other quarries were approved in March 2014 and Mayanur quarry in June 2015.
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- A cross verification of the geo co-ordinates available in the mining plan with the google earth map also revealed that 10.77 out of 15 ha were not in the river bed. The area as per geo co-ordinates represented private patta lands and only 4.23 ha was in the river bed.

Similarly, MoEF issued (May 2015) Environmental Clearance for mining in an area of 452.31 ha for Mayanur cluster quarry. The area calculated on the basis on the geo co-ordinates represented in the Environmental Clearance worked out to 403 ha only.

Further, SEIAA issued (February 2014) Environmental Clearance for mining in an area of 5.39 ha in Vasistapuram quarry. The geo co-ordinates indicated in the approved mining plan and the Environmental Clearance of SEIAA for the Vasistapuram quarry were different as shown in Figure No. 3.2.

**Figure No. 3.2: Geo co-ordinates in mining plan and Environmental Clearance**

A- Blue polygon indicated area for quarrying as per geo co-ordinates in mining plan
B- Green and Red polygon indicated area of quarrying as per geo co-ordinates in the Environmental Clearance.

It may be seen from the above that the area approved for quarrying of sand as per the Environmental Clearance did not fall in the river bed but in private patta lands.

Thus, the correctness of the geo co-ordinates referred to in the mining plan or Environmental Clearance were not verified by G&M Department or SEIAA at the time of approval of the mining plan or Environmental Clearance respectively. Based on these plans with incorrect geo co-ordinates, licence for operation of sand quarry was issued by District Collector resulted in failure of

---

67 Mayanur cluster quarry included Mayanur, Sriramamudram and silaipillaiyaputtur quarries with the total area of 452.31 ha.
system to ensure the same area of operation of sand quarry, as area of mining differed in approvals of various Authorities.

Superintending Engineer (SE), PWD, Vellar Basin Circle stated (September 2017) that the quarry coordinates were recorded manually based on physical measurement of the area using chain links. The reply is not acceptable as the Department failed to verify the correctness of the geo co-ordinates represented in the approved mining plan and Environmental Clearance to ensure mining operations in the permitted area.

3.2.3.2 Sand quarrying in Neyvasal

GoTN permitted (January 2011)\(^68\) District Collectors for restricted and judicious use of not more than two poclains in each of the quarry sites in the State and instructed that poclains should not be used between 7 p.m and 6 a.m. Rule 38 C of the Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 envisaged that Assistant Engineer or Assistant Executive Engineer of PWD were empowered to authenticate transport permits and sale slips used for transportation of the sand from quarries and stockyards respectively. District Collector instructed (November 2013) that the quarry operations should be undertaken in the presence of Assistant Engineer, PWD or higher authorities.

The Vellar river flowed through Neyvasal village of Cuddalore District and Sannasinallur village of Ariyalur District as depicted in Figure No. 3.3. The sand quarry licence for the Neyvasal quarry was approved (March 2014) by the District Collector for an area of 19 ha for excavation of sand not more than

---

one metre from the bed level. District Collector also permitted for utilisation of two poclains in the quarry and for transportation of sand through lorries as against bullock carts approved initially.

A Joint Inspection of Neyvasal quarry was conducted in August 2017 with the officials of the PWD, G&M and Revenue Department, which revealed extensive mining in additional areas and excessive depth. Considering the same, a consultant was appointed to ascertain the extent of mining in the Neyvasal quarry area of Vellar river using Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) technology. The consultant obtained the village maps with survey numbers, collected Ground control points from the Survey of India Department, geo referenced latitude and longitude co-ordinates of the area. The actual area of sand mining including the depth of mining in the Vellar river of Neyvasal sand quarry and adjacent areas was observed by the consultant through UAV images. The data received from the UAV images was processed and the actual volume of sand mined from the area was calculated by the consultant using different software modules like Global Mapper, Virtual Surveyor, Bentley Context Capture and Digital Terrain Model.

It was seen from the details available in the Google Earth Map that four to seven poclains were used (February 2015 to February 2017) for sand quarry operations on atleast three occasions in Neyvasal quarry in deviation of the orders of the District Collector and GoTN as indicated in Figure No. 3.4.

The operation of the poclains was also undertaken at 5 a.m at Neyvasal quarry well before the permitted time. This indicated inadequate monitoring in the sand quarrying operations by the PWD and the District Collectors.

This was also substantiated from the site inspection report of Neyvasal quarry carried out by the Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board during July 2015 which reported usage of eight poclains at the quarry site.

---

69 Five poclains were deployed on 18 February 2015; seven poclains were deployed on 8 June 2015 at 5 a.m; and four poclains were deployed on 23 February 2017 at 5 a.m.
Figure No. 3.4: Operation of poclains in Neyvasal quarry

8 June 2015 - 7 Poclains

Excess quarrying of sand

The report of the consultant detailed that the quarrying operation at Neyvasal quarry extended beyond the Cuddalore district and undertaken in the adjacent Sannasinallur village of Ariyalur district. The extent of mining and the volume of sand mined in the quarry were as detailed below:

- As against the approved area of 19 ha, mining was undertaken in the area admeasuring 42.37 ha in Neyvasal and 26.44 ha in adjacent Sannasinallur village in the Vellar River. We also observed that no sand quarrying operation was permitted by PWD or District Collector after 2011 in Sannasinallur village.

- The google map of the Sannasinallur village in Vellar River as on 25 March 2014 and the UAV mapping of the area during November 2017 are shown in Figure Nos. 3.5 and 3.6 respectively. These images substantiated that sand mining was carried out in areas of Sannasinallur village without approved mining permit.
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Figure No. 3.5: Google image View as on – 25 March 2014

Figure No. 3.6 UAV Ortho Image as on – 03 November 2017

- It was reported by the consultant that the depth of sand quarrying undertaken was more than the permitted depth of 1.00 m. It was reported that the actual depth of quarrying ranged to a maximum of 6.5 m (Figure Nos. 3.7 and 3.8) with reference to the river bed level.
Figure No. 3.7: 3D image showing height difference 5.90 m

Figure No. 3.8: 3D image showing height difference 6.23 m

- The consultant reported the volume of sand excavated from the site using the data processed based on actual depth of the individual pockets of mine. The volume of sand mined was reported after analysing the data with reference to the four models adopted. We relied on the volume of sand calculated on the basis of the Bentley Context Capture Method using the UAV data as it was the lowest. The total volume of sand excavated in Neyvasal and its adjacent Sannasinallur village worked out to 13.34 lakh cum as against the permitted quantity of 1.90 lakh cum. The additional volume of sand mined was 11.44 lakh cum valued at ₹ 21.02 crore adopting the PWD ex-quarry rates of ₹ 1,040 for 5.66 cum of sand. The value of additional quantity of 11.44 lakh cum of sand worked out on the basis of stockyard rate of ₹ 3,100 for 5.66 cum was ₹ 62.66 crore.

- Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules provides for levy of penalty for transportation of sand without valid transport permits at the rate of ₹ 25,000 per lorry load. The additional quantity of 11.44 lakh
cum of sand reported as excavated at the quarry site worked out to 2,02,120 lorry loads (5.66 cum per lorry load with two unit capacity). The penalty leviable for transportation of the additional quantity of sand without valid transport permits worked out to ₹ 505.30 crore\(^7\).

- Incidentally it was observed from the test check of records of Cuddalore District that the total value of sand ceased by the enforcement authorities during 2014-15 to 2016-17 was meagre ₹ 3.11 lakh and the penalty collected was ₹ 1.75 crore.

- The consultant also observed that excess excavation of sand resulted in topographical changes in the river bed of Vellar river leading to ground water changes and degradation of ecology.

Thus, the District collector and PWD failed to comply with the GoTN instructions of using the poclains judiciously and to ensure removal of sand in the approved area of quarry resulting in excess utilisation of poclains and removal of additional quantity of sand as observed from UAV technology besides loss of revenue of ₹ 21.02 crore calculated at PWD rates.

Government agreed (September 2017) in the Exit Conference that more poclains might have been used for levelling purpose. The reply is not tenable as the directions of Government prohibited use of more than two poclains in the sand quarry site. Government did not furnish reply regarding additional area of sand mining and excess removal of sand.

**Sand quarrying in Mayanur**

District Collector, Karur District permitted (June 2015) operation of sand quarry at Mayanur village based on the approved mining plan and Environmental Clearance from MoEF. We also observed that six to 11 poclains were used in the Mayanur sand quarry on three occasions during February 2016 to April 2017 as observed from the Google Earth map and illustrated in Figure No. 3.9.

\(^7\) \(2,02,120\) lorry loads x penalty of ₹ 25,000 per lorry load = ₹ 505.30 crore.
3.2.4. Operation of sand quarries

Rule 38 C of the Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 envisaged that Assistant Engineer or Assistant Executive Engineer of PWD were empowered to authenticate Transport Permits and sale slips used for transportation of the sand from quarry and stockyard respectively. District Collector instructed (November 2013) that the quarry operation need to be in the presence of Assistant Engineer, PWD or higher authorities.

The details of transport permits issued by the Department for the five test checked sand quarries from December 2014 to March 2017 are indicated in Table No. 3.7.
Table No. 3.7: Details of transport permits issued by the Department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the quarry</th>
<th>Transport permits analysed</th>
<th>Permits signed by subordinates</th>
<th>Permits without any signature</th>
<th>No. of days of quarry operation</th>
<th>No. of working days during which permits were signed by subordinates</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neyvasal</td>
<td>32,901</td>
<td>31,706</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>90.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennadam</td>
<td>9,743</td>
<td>9,243</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>92.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edaicheruvoi</td>
<td>7,069</td>
<td>3,402</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>63.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasistapuram</td>
<td>5,772</td>
<td>3,590</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>63.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayanur</td>
<td>49,673</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,05,158</strong></td>
<td><strong>47,941</strong></td>
<td><strong>115</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Details furnished by the Department)

As seen from the above:

- We observed that 55,485 transport permits were issued in four test checked quarries\(^{71}\). Of the same, only 7,429 permits were authenticated by Assistant Engineers in charge and the 47,941 permits were issued by sub-ordinates of Assistant Engineers indicating deficient authentication.

- The authentication by the sub-ordinate officers on 571 days of quarry operations indicated that the authorised officer was not available to ensure removal of correct quantity of sand from the quarries.

- We also observed that only one Assistant Engineer was in charge of three sand quarries\(^{72}\).

- It was seen from the office copy that 114 permits were issued without the signature of any official of PWD in Neyvasal quarry indicating movement of sand outside the quarry area without valid permits.

Government accepted and stated (November 2017) that the authorised officers were assigned additional responsibilities of supervision of other quarries and works. It was also stated that exclusive offices to monitor the mining were formed. The fact, however, remains that absence of the authorised officer in the sand quarry was in violation to the licence conditions and led to removal of quantity of sand from the Neyvasal quarry as detailed in Paragraph No 3.2.3.2.

---

\(^{71}\) Neyvasal (32,901), Pennadam (9,743), Edaicheruvoi (7,069) and Vasistapuram (5,772).

\(^{72}\) Neyvasal, Edaicheruvoi and Vasistapuram.
3.2.4.1 Transportation of sand

Rule 38 of TNMMCR envisaged utilisation of non-transferrable transport permits printed in the prescribed format containing the requisite details viz., quarry, quarry permit, vehicle number, time of issue, name of the consignee, destination, validity period of permit, etc.

The verification of the transport permits issued for the movement of sand in test checked five quarries revealed the following:

- The transport permits were printed in the prescribed format in respect of Mayanur quarry and the other four quarries adopted different formats. The formats used by other quarries did not have the required details viz., name of the consignee and validity period of the permit.

- It was seen that 1,05,158 permits were issued in five test checked quarries during December 2014 to March 2017 and these permits were utilised for transportation of the sand in 19,021 vehicles. The registration details of 7,906 out of 19,021 vehicles were cross verified with the database of State Transport Department and MoRTH. The verification revealed that 3,381 out of 7,906 vehicles numbers (42.76 per cent) were not registered as transport lorries but as two wheelers, auto rickshaws, cars, etc. and PWD issued 8,714 transport permits to these 3,381 lorries for transportation of sand. The registration numbers of 445 out of 7,906 vehicles were not available in the database of the State Transport Department or MoRTH indicating possible movement of sand through lorries with fictitious registration numbers for which 2,625 transport permits were issued.

- It was seen that the time required to reach the declared destination was recorded in the transport permits issued to the consignees. We observed that 135 transport permits were issued to vehicles bearing the same registration numbers either at the same time (30 numbers) or within a time gap of one to ten minutes (105 numbers) even though the permits indicated the time required for the declared destination as one to three hours.

- Similarly, a cross verification of the registration details of the vehicles transporting sand through sale slips from Kodikalam stockyard with the database of State Transport Department or MoRTH revealed that out of 1,500 vehicles, 164 vehicles were found to be motor cycle, cars etc.

Government agreed (September 2017) that there was no mechanism to verify the genuineness of the vehicle registration number at quarry site. It was also stated that the re-entry of the vehicles in short gap could not be scrutinised at site with limited manpower. The fact, however, remains that these system deficiencies resulted in partial supervision of removal of sand from the approved sand quarries leading to possible removal of sand without valid documents.
3.2.4.2 Non-compliance of instructions

In order to curb illegal mining/transportation of minerals, GOI issued (January 2010) instructions for affixing holograms on the permits issued to the transport vehicles by the State Governments. Accordingly, Commissioner of Geology and Mines issued instructions (November 2010)\(^{73}\) to affix holograms on the Transport Permits issued by the PWD for transportation of sand for curbing illegal mining and transportation of minerals in the State.

We observed that PWD did not initiate action to procure holograms from the Government press to affix on the transport permits despite issue of 1,05,158 permits for transportation of sand in the test checked five quarries during the year 2014-15 and 2016-17.

Government accepted (November 2017) that usage of holograms was not implemented in any of the sand quarries in the State. The fact, however, remains that GoTN did not undertake adequate steps for curbing illegal mining and transportation of minerals despite instructions from GOI.

3.2.4.3 Loading of sand in lorries

GoTN permitted (May 2008)\(^{74}\) loading and transportation of sand upto three units (8.49 cum) based on the provisions of Motor Vehicle Act, 1988. High Level Committee recommended (September 2008) for encouraging the sale of sand as three units to rule out the possibility of loading excess units but charging for lesser units. GoTN instructed (September 2008) the field officers to load the sand to the maximum capacity of the lorry subject to the relevant provisions of Motor Vehicle Act, 1988.

We obtained the carrying capacity of 4,080 lorries used for transportation of sand from the State Transport Department and MoRTH. An analysis of the data revealed that 597 out of 4,080 lorries were issued transport permits for transporting two units (19,220 permits) though the carrying capacity of these vehicles exceeded two units.

Incidentally, it was observed that 49,673 transport permits were issued by Mayanur quarry for transportation of sand for three units (8.49 cum) and the permits were also authenticated by the Assistant Engineer of the quarry.

Thus, absence of authorised officer at the quarry site also resulted in non-monitoring of the actual quantity of sand loaded in the vehicles with higher carrying capacity as instructed by the Government.

Government replied (November 2017) that the loading of sand in the vehicle was based on the quantity for which paid and also the carrying capacity of the vehicles. The reply is not acceptable as the carrying capacity of the vehicles indicated by audit was more than two units as against the charges received for two units, besides, it was in violation of the instructions of the Government.

---

\(^{73}\) Commissioner of G&M Department Proceedings dated 12 November 2010.

3.2.4.4 Non-achievement of the objective

Based on the recommendations of the High Level Committee, GoTN banned the quarrying of sand in the State by the private agencies. Sand quarrying was undertaken by PWD to ensure un-interrupted availability and supply of sand at affordable prices to common public thereby reducing the cost of construction.

In this regard, we observed the following:

- In deviation to the objective, GoTN permitted operation of stockyard by private persons from February 2011. It was seen that 32,901 transport permits issued (December 2014 to March 2017) from Neyvasal quarry comprising of 65,802 units of sand was transported to the Kodikalam stockyard for sale to the ultimate consumers. There was no direct sale of sand to the ultimate consumer from sand quarry during the period.

- Sale slips issued in the Kodikalam stockyard contained the vehicle number and the name of the driver and it also did not reveal the details of the ultimate consumers to ascertain the genuineness of the transaction.

- The sale slip did not indicate the sale price of sand to ensure the sale of sand at affordable prices to the common public.

An analysis of 32,901 transport permits and the sale slips issued from the stockyard revealed the following:

- The value of 35,051 units of sand purchased by Kodikalam stockyard from the PWD quarries at the rate of ₹ 520 per unit was ₹ 1.82 crore. The sand was sold to the consumers at a price of ₹ 1,550 and 1,650 per unit of sand during the month of December 2016 and January 2017 respectively as observed by DLTF. A cross verification of the sales details of the stockyard with the Tax returns filed with the Commercial Taxes Department for the period from January 2015 to May 2016 revealed that sale value of sand made was reported as ₹ 8.09 crore.

Thus, the abnormal variation in the value of sand purchased from the PWD and the sale value reported to the Commercial Taxes Department by the stockyard operator indicated non-achievement of the objective of the Government to supply sand to the common public at affordable prices.

Government replied (November 2017) that PWD did not have control over operation of stockyard. The reply is not acceptable as GoTN instructed (June 2015) for inspection of sand stockyards by PWD authorities on fortnightly basis.

3.2.5 Monitoring

GoTN constituted (November 2009) 75 Taluk and District Level Task Force besides State Level Appellate Forum (SLAF) (February 2015) 76 to conduct surprise checks for prevention of illegal sand mining/sand transportation.

75 G.O.No.135, Industries Department dated 13 November 2009.
76 G.O.No. 27, Industries Department dated 17 February 2015.
District Level Task Force need to meet once in a month to discuss illegal quarrying/mining/transportation, damages caused to the environment and send a report to SLAF once in two months. SEIAA mandated submission of replenishment Report, Mine Closure Plan after the closure of the quarry and digital processing of the entire quarry site using remote sensing technique for monitoring the change of river course.

We observed that no action was taken to prepare Annual Replenishment Report, Mine Closure Plan and digital processing through remote sensing technique for the four selected quarries resulting in inadequate monitoring despite directions of SEIAA.

It was seen that the State Level Appellate Forum met four times as against the requirement of 12 meetings for the years 2015-16 and 2016-17. Absence of periodical meetings indicated partial monitoring of the work executed by the District Level Task Force.

3.2.5.1 Non-submission of Compliance Report by PWD

Clause 10 of Environment Impact Assessment Notification September 2006 stipulated submission of half-yearly compliance report by the project management to the SIEAA every year as post environment clearance monitoring. It was also envisaged that the compliance reports submitted by the project management were public documents and to be displayed in the website of the Regulatory authority, SEIAA. It was, however, observed that PWD failed to submit half-yearly compliance reports to SEIAA to ensure post environment clearance monitoring.

3.2.6 Conclusion

The sand quarry operations in the State revealed that the Department failed to verify the correctness of the geo co-ordinates available in the mining plan or Environmental Clearance at the time of approval of the mining plan or Environmental Clearance. It was also seen that the licences for operation of sand quarries were issued by District Collectors based on these incorrect geo co-ordinates. The Department failed to comply with the instructions of Government regarding judicious use of the poclains and to ensure removal of sand in the approved area of quarry resulting in excess utilisation of poclains and removal of additional quantity of sand as observed from UAV technology resulted in loss of revenue of ₹ 21.02 crore calculated at PWD rates. Major deficiencies in issue of transport permits and sale slips, non-ensuring authenticity of vehicles and end users, increase in recurrence of illicit quarrying as evidenced by seizure of vehicles/sand, deficient supervision, absence of monitoring hampered the objectives of elimination of indiscriminate and unscientific quarrying. This also led to non-achievement of the objective of supply of sand to consumers at affordable prices and prevention of degradation of ecology.
3.2.7 **Recommendation**

Government may ensure compliance of Rules/procedures framed for the purpose in mining activities, to ensure adoption of modern technology and better supervision and monitoring to achieve its intended objectives.

**AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT**

3.3 **Information Technology Audit on Agri Reporting System**

3.3.1 **Introduction**

National e-Governance Plan for Agriculture (NeGP-A) formulated (2014-15) by Government of India (GOI) envisaged effective management of schemes for Agriculture Department through process redesign for effective monitoring, reducing the time required for data consolidation and reporting at all levels through Information and Communication Technology (ICT). ICT played significant role in improving speedy implementation of activities and seamless access to information on cultivation. Accordingly, Government of Tamil Nadu (GoTN) decided (2016-17) to develop new software application for effective and speedy delivery of extension service to farmers.

Agriculture Department implemented (September 2016) Agri Reporting System (ARS) as a holistic web portal to monitor all activities of the Department of Agriculture viz., State Seed Farms, Coconut Nurseries, Testing laboratories for soil, pesticide and fertiliser. ARS also monitored implementation of GOI and GoTN schemes, involving 273 components, on fortnightly basis, in 385 Blocks of 31 Districts in the State.

3.3.2 **Scope and Methodology**

Information Technology Audit on ARS software of Agriculture Department was conducted, from April to July 2017, to ascertain whether (i) the system was designed and developed in line with the business processes and user requirements (ii) Testing for program, system and user acceptance was adequate; (iii) Proper input, validation and process controls existed to ensure the authenticity, completeness and accuracy of the data and (iv) the database provided sufficient, complete, reliable and authorised information for management action and security controls besides achieving the intended objectives. Policy Notes, Information Technology Act 2000, e-Security Policy 2010, NeGP-A Guidelines, Government Orders and Manuals of GoTN were referred as Audit criteria.

The ARS data for the period from 2016-17 was examined using CAATs\(^\text{77}\) to check its adequacy and reliability. The provisions and controls available in the application software were examined using data entry screens and reports of the software with reference to the manual records of six test checked districts\(^\text{78}\) and Directorate.

---

77 Interactive Data Extraction and Analysis (IDEA) software.
78 Coimbatore, Kancheepuram, Thanjavur, Tirunelveli, Tiruvarur and Vellore selected by random sampling method.
Scope, methodology and audit findings were discussed in the Entry and Exit Conferences held with the Agriculture Production Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government (APC) and the Head of Agriculture Department on 14 June 2017 and 23 October 2017 respectively and their views were considered. We acknowledge the co-operation extended by the Department in production of necessary records and information.

Audit Findings

3.3.3. General controls

General controls relate to the environment within which the development and implementation of Information Technology systems are carried out. The objectives of the controls are to ensure effective development, implementation and maintenance of the systems. An assessment of these controls in the ARS software revealed the following deficiencies:

3.3.3.1 Planning of the system design and development

Department did not have any User Requirement Specification (URS) documentation and the requirements of the Department were ascertained based only on discussions with limited officials instead of actual users.

Application was developed in-house by the engineers appointed through NeGP-A without documented System Requirement Specification (SRS), System design and implemented without Program testing, System testing and User acceptance testing. This resulted in various deficiencies as pointed out in Paragraph Nos. 3.3.7 and 3.3.8.

Government while accepting the non-availability of documented procedures, stated (October 2017) that the system was designed after discussion with the selected officials and user acceptance test was carried. However, the fact remains that the software was modified subsequently based on the inputs from the field indicating inadequate user acceptance testing of the software.

3.3.3.2 Implementation of the application

Department implemented (September 2016) the application for all the monitoring activities in 385 blocks of 31 districts. Department consolidated and fed the manual data of all the activities for the period from April to August 2016 in the software for the purpose of Annual Reporting. Scrutiny of records and data of ARS reports revealed that 35 out of 44 reports was incomplete and Department depended on the manual reports for Budget reporting of Central/State schemes.

Thus, the prime objective of reducing the time required for data consolidation and reporting of schemes at all levels could not be achieved.

3.3.4. Financial and physical achievement of schemes

Scheme module\(^{80}\) of ARS was developed with an objective to monitor district-wise financial and physical progress of schemes on real time basis by generating 29 output reports. In addition to monitoring by the Department, the scheme-wise progress was also disseminated in AGRISNET portal for the benefit of farmers and general public. Audit analysis of the ARS data revealed the following:

- There were variations in the data related to scheme progress in 210 out of 273 components, in the output reports generated on fortnightly basis as of March 2017.

- In order to depict the factual position, scheme progress made during the period of reporting need to be reported and shown only against the actual fortnight during which the progress was made. However, it was observed that the subsequent period achievements made, cumulated with the existing figure of the last fortnight of previous year. This resulted in incorrect exhibition and reporting of scheme progress of the last fortnight of the previous year.

A comparison of the ARS data furnished to audit for the year ended March 2017 with the final consolidated manual reports of the Directorate relating to the expenditure incurred for 24 out of 29 schemes indicated the following:

- The expenditure incurred was reported as ₹ 274.80 crore in 23 schemes and ₹ 0.07 crore in respect of one scheme whereas the reports of the ARS showed the expenditure details as ₹ 141.11 crore and ₹ 1.15 crore respectively. Thus, an expenditure of ₹ 133.69 crore (49 per cent) was not captured in the ARS.

- The physical achievement in ARS data of the 131 out of 134 components relating to 20 schemes was reported lesser than that of the manual reports of the Directorate.

Thus, the reports generated through the ARS software did not provide correct and complete data for reviewing the performance and achievements of the components of the schemes due to the absence of well documented application development.

Government accepted and stated (October 2017) that suitable instructions were issued to the field officials for capturing correct data in the system. The fact, however, remains that the Department failed to prepare proper documentation for enabling the field official to capture the correct data.

3.3.5 Area Coverage Reporting System

The Area Coverage Reporting System module of ARS was developed for village level monitoring of crop-wise area coverage of paddy, pulses, millets and oil seeds. Area coverage under different crops was being collected by Assistant Agriculture Officers of the respective blocks and updated weekly in ARS. Further, area coverage under different crops was obtained monthly by

\(^{80}\) Consisting of 273 components.
the District Offices during Crop Area Reconciliation Meeting with the Department of Economics and Statistics and Department of Revenue. The weekly data collected and the monthly data obtained from other Departments was compared and reconciled by Agriculture Department. We observed that there was no provision in the ARS software to capture the reconciled figures which necessitated the manual intervention in final report.

The area coverage of the paddy crop in the selected six districts, as per the manual records after reconciliation with Revenue Department and data available in the ARS for the year 2016-17 is given in Table No. 3.8.

Table No. 3.8: Achievement of the area coverage of paddy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the district</th>
<th>Area covered (in ha)</th>
<th>District data after reconciliation</th>
<th>ARS data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thanjavur</td>
<td>1,49,212</td>
<td>1,24,179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiruvallur</td>
<td>96,967</td>
<td>47,016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kancheepuram</td>
<td>69,369</td>
<td>47,881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vellore</td>
<td>51,597</td>
<td>17,323</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirunelveli</td>
<td>28,896</td>
<td>10,936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coimbatore</td>
<td>1,189</td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,97,230</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,47,431</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Details furnished by Department)

It may be seen from the above that non-capturing of 1,49,799 ha of area covered under paddy cultivation in the ARS software was due to absence of provision to capture reconciled figures.

3.3.5.1 State Seed Farms

ARS software included SSF module to monitor the progress of activities\(^{81}\) of State Seed Farms. Comparison of SSF data in ARS with the test checked districts and Directorate manual data revealed incompleteness of the data captured in the system, as indicated in Table No. 3.9.

Table No. 3.9: Achievement of area covered and seed produced in Seed Farms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Area covered (in acre)</th>
<th>Seed produced (in MT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Directorate Data</td>
<td>District Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paddy</td>
<td>190.00</td>
<td>157.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulses</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>79.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oilseeds</td>
<td>91.13</td>
<td>91.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millets</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Details furnished by Department)

As may be seen from the above it was evident that the field offices failed to capture the progress of the schemes in ARS and the same was also not monitored by the higher authorities, as the information from these incomplete

---

81 Crop wise area programmed, covered and harvested under different seasons, breeder / foundation seeds utilised for covered and harvested area, production of foundation seeds, seed multiplication ratio and yield obtained.
output reports generated by utilising ARS data was not helpful to aid policy decisions of the Department.

- Apart from the above, it was observed that though the data captured and reports were generated for the State as a whole on fortnightly basis, the software did not have provision to generate reports at District level and periodical reports by the District heads and at higher levels to monitor the progress.

An analysis of the reason for such data variation and non-reliability of report generated through ARS, revealed that

- Uniform method was not adopted for data entry as the system accepted both numeric and characters for mandatory numeric field type

- The information which was to be automatically arrived based on the inputs already available in the software was provided with the provision for fresh data entry or editing the data already uploaded, as detailed in the succeeding Paragraph No. 3.3.8.1.

On this being pointed out, Government accepted (October 2017) and assured that necessary action would be taken.

3.3.6 Security and End User controls

The Tamil Nadu e-Security Policy, 2010 envisaged that each Department need to formalise a set of processes that would manage users effectively. The level of users being able to access information as against accessing and modifying information would need to be determined by the Head of Department and/or Secretary concerned. The passwords are most commonly used to ensure authorised access to an information system or service and hence proven password management practices are essential to ensure that the IT system is secure.

3.3.6.1 Logical access and User management

- **Password Controls:** Department did not envisage a well-defined password policy. Audit observed that same user names and passwords were being used by all users in blocks and districts. Passwords were not frequently changed.

- **Segregation of duties, user roles and privileges not defined:** Department created three roles for 417 users for accessing the ARS software. As data in the application necessitated data entry by users, the correctness of such data were not verified and authenticated by the next level user. System also allowed editing the critical data without approval by appropriate authority.

---

82 Monthly, quarterly, half yearly and annual.
83 Role 1: Fixing the target and report viewing at State level; Role 2: View and edit data, generation of MIS report at District level and Role 3: Data entry, view and editing at Block level.
84 Samples received, analysed in laboratories, number of seed nuts procured, germinated and seedlings certified at Coconut laboratories, etc.
Chapter III – Compliance Audit

Same login credentials were provided to all the users of the district for various activities under different units and the system allowed the users to access any data within the particular district. The application did not ensure accountability of users who entered or modified the data, exposing the application to the risks of data manipulation. This affected the integrity and reliability of data adversely.

- **Audit Trails:** The application did not have audit trail to identify and detect unauthorised access to data, since there was no provision to capture the user details automatically to link to the field officials. Further, there was no provision to capture the date of creation and date of updation automatically from the server date. As the user was accessing Government information system, access of each user needs to be monitored and recorded.

Government accepted (October 2017) the observations and stated that password controls and authentication would be carried out.

**3.3.6.2 Program change management controls**

Audit observed that many modifications were carried out in the modules like Coconut Nurseries, State Seed Farm, Tamil Nadu State Seed Development Agency without any written requests from the users. Department also failed to document requests and modifications made in the application due to lack of documented change management procedures *viz.*, testing, approval and migration. Application version log was also not maintained.

**3.3.6.3 Business Continuity Plan and Disaster Recovery Plan**

As per the best practices, the Department need to have plans for off-site backups and to frame business continuity and disaster recovery plans to prevent interruption and disruptions due to natural disasters or man-made disruptions for Government process and procedures. This was required in view of the fact that the application was to capture vital information such as achievement of various Central / State schemes.

Government accepted (October 2017) and stated the observations were noted and would be corrected in near future.

**3.3.7 Mapping of business Rules**

- Scheme progress made in respect of seed nut procured and seed recovery percentage at coconut nurseries was to be calculated separately with reference to the target fixed for seed nut procurement and seedlings certified respectively. However, it was observed that the seed recovery percentage was calculated by comparing seedlings certified with that of seed nuts procured which depicted incorrect picture of scheme progress.

- The targets were fixed based on the annual analytical capacity of the laboratories. The ARS software was designed to depict the achievements of testing in the laboratories with reference to the actual
number of samples analysed to the samples received instead of targets indicating incorrect mapping of business rules.

- The ARS software did not have provision to capture the data of samples rejection on physical observation. These rejections were also treated as analysed in the reports generated.

The above deficiencies indicated that the relevant business rules and procedures required to be identified and suitably incorporated in the application were not mapped. Government replied (October 2017) that the deficiencies would be addressed shortly.

### 3.3.8 Application Controls

Analysis of ARS data, examination of data entry screens and generation of reports was made to verify completeness, accuracy and reliability of data and to check the existence of built-in controls like input, validation, processing and output controls and the observations are detailed below:

#### 3.3.8.1 Input and Validation controls

- The system accepted both numeric and characters irrespective of field type\(^5\).

- It also accepted negative numbers in respect of progress made in testing the samples received and seed multiplication ratio.

- The system allowed to edit the cumulative progress of schemes made up to the end of the fortnight during the subsequent fortnight. This resulted in incorrect exhibition of scheme progress made in the previous fortnight as even though they were completed with delay.

- The area harvested for cropping need to be equal to or less than the area covered under cropping. It was seen from the State Seed Farm module data that area harvested was higher than the actual area covered indicating absence of validation control.

- Similarly, in Lab modules, the total number of samples analysed was more than the total number of samples received.

#### 3.3.8.2 Process Controls

- System was designed for capturing the financial figures in lakh of rupees instead of actual expenditure resulting in variation in the Annual financial reporting.

- In schemes module, target and achievement with respect to various schemes was entered at Directorate and field level respectively. The system allowed data entry of achievements without corresponding data entry of targets. In respect of cases where there were no targets, the percentage of achievement was shown as zero.

- The software generated Crop Cutting Experiment Report under ACRS module detailing the minimum, average and maximum area covered

\(^5\) Air-curing, seedlings-certified, coconut nurseries as they represent numbers.
under cropping. It was seen that the report generated for minimum area covered also included the unharvested area without restricting to the harvested area. This resulted in incorrect generation of the report on the progress of area coverage for cropping.

- The information already available in the software was not utilised and the module was designed for fresh input of data as indicated below:
  
  - Seed Multiplication Ratio need to be arrived on the basis of available data of Foundation Seed Produced and Seed utilised for harvested area.
  
  - The actual yield of seed in State Seed Farm need to be arrived based on foundation seed produced and area harvested.

Thus, Department failed to incorporate adequate input, validation and processing controls in the ARS software resulting in ineffective monitoring of the progress of various schemes besides generation of reports.

Government accepted (October 2017) the observations and stated that the input, validation and process controls would be taken into consideration and the software modified accordingly.

### 3.3.9 Conclusion

Development of ARS software was finalised without adopting the User Requirement Specification, System Requirement Specification and User Acceptance Testing. A comparison of the data available in the ARS software and the reports generated therefrom with the manual records of the Department indicated incorrect and incomplete data capturing of physical and financial progress of the schemes implemented by the Department and were not useful for effective monitoring and decision making. The ARS application lacked adequate input controls, validation checks and process controls resulting in reports generated becoming unreliable for decision making by the Management and continued dependence on manual records. Thus, the objective of effective management of schemes by reducing the time required for data consolidation and reporting of schemes at all levels remained largely unachieved due to deficiencies in the design and implementation of the application software.
Government of Tamil Nadu (GoTN) sanctioned (April 1986) formation of new tank near Chinnavedampatti Village in Coimbatore District to benefit 560 acres of dry land. As the work involved land acquisition (LA), Public Works Department (PWD) requested (July 1987) the Revenue Department to acquire 67.13.0 ha of land. The Special Tahsildar appointed by Revenue Department for acquiring land under this scheme, acquired the entire land and passed (February 1990) the award for ₹ 75.62 lakh86. The land owners accepted the award under protest and received (April 1990) the compensation payment. Aggrieved over the award, land owners preferred (April 1990) an application to Special Tahsildar under section 18 of Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for referring the matter to the Court to determine the enhanced compensation amount. The Office of Special Tahsildar of the scheme was closed during 1993 without referring the application of land owners to the Court. In the meantime, PWD continued the tank work and completed (December 1993) it incurring expenditure of ₹ 6.78 crore.

As the earlier application was not referred to the court, the aggrieved land owners again submitted (October and November 2004) application and the same was referred (February and March 2005) to the Sub-Court, Coimbatore (SCC) for adjudication by the Land Acquisition Officer (Revenue Divisional officer) (LAO). The SCC, ordered (March 2008) to pay enhanced compensation87 along with interest88 thereof. Against the SCC order, LAO filed (October 2008) an appeal in the Hon’ble High Court of Madras (HC). HC directed (March 2009) the Department to deposit the entire amount within eight weeks. District Collector requested (December 2009) Principal Secretary and Commissioner of Land Acquisition, Chennai for obtaining expeditious sanction of the entire compensation amount from GoTN. On reference by Revenue Divisional Officer, Coimbatore seeking the opinion for filing appeal against the HC orders, the Special Government Pleader opined (September 2011) that it was not a fit case to appeal. On further reference by the Secretary to Government, PWD, the Additional Advocate General of HC advised (April 2012) against filing Special Leave Petition (SLP) in the Hon’ble Supreme Court (SC) considering the delay of three years from the appeal orders which was required to be condoned by the Court besides increased interest commitment for delayed payment.

86 ₹ 30,000 per acre plus 30 per cent solatium and 12 per cent additional market value.
87 ₹ 2.40 lakh per acre plus 30 per cent solatium and 12 per cent additional market value.
88 Nine per cent per annum interest with effect from 27 April 1988 (from the date of notification for acquisition of land) for first one year and thereafter 15 per cent per annum till the date of payment.
Despite the same, Secretary, PWD after consulting Law Department, decided (July 2012) to file SLP considering the heavy amount involved. Based on the directions from GoTN, LAO filed SLP (December 2012) in the SC. The SC dismissed (April 2013) the SLP on the ground that there was inordinate delay of 1,254 days in filing appeal and also on maintainability\(^89\) with the comments that all these petitions were filed at the cost of public exchequer without any sense of responsibility and the same filed to waste public money.

The proposal of PWD for payment of compensation was agreed (December 2013) by Finance Department subject to fixing responsibility on the persons responsible for delay in filing appeal. GoTN accorded\(^90\) (June 2014) sanction for ₹ 21.70 crore towards payment of enhanced compensation which included interest of ₹ 16.82 crore and the amount was deposited in June 2014. The completion certificate for the work was not yet recorded (April 2017) due to delay in finalisation of the revised estimate including the revised cost of the land for the project by PWD. Revised Administrative Sanction was not issued (September 2017) by GoTN pending receipt of revised proposals from PWD.

In this connection, we observed the following:

- The PWD failed to co-ordinate with Special Tahsildar appointed for acquiring the land in referring the application seeking enhanced compensation to the SCC leading to delayed reference of the applications by Revenue Department after 14 years.

- Despite the receipt of orders of HC in March 2009, Department obtained the legal opinion for preferring an appeal in September 2011 and April 2012 after a delay of more than two and three years respectively resulting in non-condonation of delay by SC.

- Though PWD with the permission of GoTN, decided to file SLP considering the involvement of heavy amount, failure to follow the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, resulted in dismissal of SLP on maintainability.

- The PWD failed to pursue the Government to deposit the amount as directed by the HC within eight weeks by taking into consideration the legal opinion on the merits of the case which led to additional interest burden of ₹ 3.66 crore\(^91\) by the State exchequer.

- Despite the directions of Finance Department to fix responsibility on the persons responsible for the delay, there was no documentary evidence to prove that necessary action has been initiated in this regard.

\(^89\) As per Section 79 of the code of Civil Procedure, 1908, in a suit by or against the State Government, the authority to be named as plaintiff or defendant, as the case may be, should be State Government.

\(^90\) G.O.Ms.No.97, Public Works Department dated 02 June 2014.

\(^91\) Balance enhanced value to be paid to the land owners = ₹ 4,88,52,605 x five years [HC orders (March 2009) + eight weeks (April and May 2009) amount deposited in the Court in June 2014. Hence period of interest June 2009 to May 2014] x 15 per cent = ₹ 3,66,39,454 or ₹ 3.66 crore.
Thus, absence of inter-departmental co-ordination in pursuance of application of aggrieved land owners seeking enhanced compensation, inordinate delay and failure to adhere to the prescribed provisions resulted in dismissal of appeal and additional interest burden of ₹ 3.66 crore to the State exchequer.

Government replied (September 2017) that PWD was not aware of the representation made by the land owners before the land acquisition officer during April 1990 and it was known only during 2004. It was also stated that certain administrative and social reasons had attributed for the delay and efforts were made to file SLP to safeguard the interest of the Government. The reply is not acceptable as the PWD, being the nodal Department engaged in the project, failed to co-ordinate with Revenue Department to speed up the process of LA settlement and did not ensure timely payment of compensation to aggrieved land owners.

### 3.5 Non-renewal of lease

Failure to renew the lease period, non-enhancement of lease rent resulted in short collection of lease rent of ₹ 3.29 crore besides non-eviction of encroachment from Government land.

Para 172 (iv) of Tamil Nadu Public Works Departmental Code prescribes that the period of temporary occupation of the lands of Public Works Department (PWD) needs to be determined carefully in each case with reference to the nature of property. Para 172 (v) *ibid* prescribes that the grant\(^{92}\) in each case, after sanction by competent authority\(^{93}\) should be embodied in an order. Further Para 172 (x) *ibid* prescribes that renewal of grants can be sanctioned only by the authority competent to sanction the grant in the first instance.

Para 109 of Chapter VIII of the Tamil Nadu Budget Manual (August 1992) (Manual) prescribes that it is the duty of the Chief Controlling Officer to see that all the sums due to Government are regularly and promptly assessed, realised and credited into Government account.

The instances of non-observance of the provisions of Manual resulting in non-realisation of Government dues were as detailed below:

**Vivekananda Rock Memorial Committee, Kanyakumari**

Government of Tamil Nadu (GoTN) ordered\(^{94}\) (August 1981) leasing of 0.30 acre (1,214.50 sq m) of PWD land in Kanyakumari village to the Vivekananda Rock Memorial Committee (VRMC) for 10 years at a lease rent of ₹ 500 per annum for putting up the exhibition and information centre on the life of Vivekananda. The Order envisaged enhancement of lease rent once in every five years. GoTN extended\(^{95}\) (November 1993) the lease for a further period of five years (January 1992 to January 1997) and instructed PWD to finalise the quantum of lease rent before entering into agreement.

---

92 The grant includes all rights, easements and appurtenances belonging to the land or reputed to belong to it or usually held or enjoyed with it (Appendix XIII-C (21)).

93 Chief Engineer, PWD is the authority for grant of lease upto the value of the property of ₹ 1.00 lakh and for value exceeding ₹ 1.00 lakh by GoTN.


VRMC requested (July 1997 and January 1999) GoTN for leasing the PWD land on permanent basis. Though GoTN made protracted correspondence with the field officials of PWD, Special Commissioner Land Administration and Revenue Officials for finalisation of lease rent, the lease period and lease rent payable were not finalised for more than 10 years after the expiry of lease period. GoTN belatedly extended (June 2012) the lease for a period of 15 years (January 1997 to January 2012) for lease rent of ₹ 12,240 per annum adopting 12 per cent of the Government guideline value (2007), as VRMC was rendering a non-profit service and lease agreement was entered (July 2013) with VRMC.

On expiry of lease period in January 2012, CE, PWD, Madurai recommended (October 2013) for renewal of lease agreement based on the current guideline value of land. Orders of GoTN for renewal of lease agreement and revision of lease rent was pending (July 2017).

We observed from the scrutiny of records the following:

- GoTN failed to adhere to the provisions of the Manual for prompt realisation of lease rent and its own Order for enhancement of lease rent once in five years. VRMC was allowed to pay the lease rent fixed initially for 15 years without revision.

- Even though the lease agreement expired in January 1997, no efforts were made to renew the lease immediately and renewal of lease agreement was made in June 2012 by GoTN for a period upto January 2012 only, thereby permitting occupation of Government lands by VRMC without renewal of lease agreement. The proposal (October 2013) of PWD for renewal of lease agreement and revision of lease rent were pending approval of Government for more than four years.

- Considering the Government guideline value (April 2012) of ₹ 17,225 per sq m for the land, the lease rent short collected for the period from January 2012 to June 2017 worked out to ₹ 1.37 crore.

**Sunnad Jamat Mosque Annadhanapatti, Salem**

Land measuring 13,631 sq ft of PWD land in Annadhanapatti Village in Salem District was occupied by Sunnad Jamat Mosque (SJM) for more than 50 years without any permission. GoTN accorded (May 1989) permission for leasing of 13,631 sq ft of PWD land to SJM for 12 years from the date of occupation, with lease rent of ₹ 7,920 calculated at seven per cent of land cost. The managing trustee of SJM expressed (June 1989 and January 1991) their inability to pay the lease rent due to less income generation and requested GoTN to transfer the land free of cost. Department did not execute lease agreement as envisaged in the Departmental code. After the receipt of

---

98 Leased out area of 1,214.50 sq m; Guideline value = ₹ 17,225 per sq m from April 2012; Lease amount per annum = 12 per cent of (1,214.50 sq m x ₹ 17,225) = ₹ 25.10 lakh; Lease amount for the period January 2012 to June 2017 (5 ½ years) = ₹ 25.10 lakh x 5.5 years = ₹ 1.38 crore; Amount already paid = ₹ 67,320; Arrear to be collected = ₹ 1.38 crore - ₹ 67,320 = ₹ 1.37 crore.
demand notice (February 1991) from the Superintending Engineer (Buildings), PWD, Salem, the lease rent of ₹ 7,920 was paid (November 1991) by SJM for the period from 1989 to 2000.

Chief Engineer, PWD (Building) Tiruchirappalli (CE) requested (March 1995) GoTN for notifying the exact date of commencement of the lease period as the actual date of occupation of the land was not ascertainable and recommended for considering May 1984 as the date of occupation. CE also intimated (March 1995) that SJM authorities had encroached an additional area of 5,770 sq ft of PWD land. SJM remitted (February 2009) a sum of ₹ 7,920 as lease rent for the subsequent 12 years period (January 2001 to January 2013).

Engineer in Chief, PWD reiterated (November 2011) that the lease rent of ₹ 7,920 was payable by SJM on annual basis and requested GoTN to issue suitable amendment to the Government Order for collection of remaining lease rent. After being reminded (November 2011 and June 2012) by the officials of PWD for payment of lease rent of ₹ 11,272 per annum, SJM remitted (January 2013) ₹ 11,272. PWD issued periodical demand notices to SJM and the latest (April 2017) being a demand for payment of lease rent of ₹ 1.92 crore for the period from May 1984 to March 2017. Final orders of Government on the date of commencement of lease period, periodicity of payment of lease rent and extension of lease was awaited (July 2017).

We observed the following from the scrutiny of records:

- Government failed to ascertain and notify the actual date of occupation of the PWD land by SJM at the time of grant of lease. The periodicity of payment of lease rent was also not clearly envisaged in the Order. Despite specific provision in the Manual, the lease was not renewed for 26 years after the initial period.

- Department failed to evict encroachment of additional area of 5,770 sq ft by SJM indicating absence of efforts to maintain the property of Government.

- Delay in issue of amendment to the original orders resulted in raising of huge demand notice for ₹ 1.92 crore by the Department.

Thus, failure of Government to renew the lease period in time, non-enhancement of lease rent based on revised guideline value resulted in occupation of Government land without lease agreements and short collection of lease rent of ₹ 3.29 crore in respect of VRMC and SJM besides non-eviction of encroachment from Government land in respect of SJM.

Government replied (October 2017) that action would be taken for renewal of lease agreement with VRMC from January 2015 with enhanced lease rent. It was also stated that the proposal for waiver of pending lease rent and fixation of nominal lease rent would be considered. However, the fact remains that the Government lands were continued to be occupied without lease agreements.
HIGHWAYS AND MINOR PORTS DEPARTMENT

3.6 Avoidable extra expenditure due to incorrect preparation of estimates

Incorrect preparation of estimates by suppressing the Benkelman Beam Deflection test results and incorrect inclusion of seasonal variation factor, in violation to Indian Road Congress specifications, resulted in additional work and avoidable extra expenditure of ₹ 8.75 crore.

Indian Road Congress (IRC) prescribes guidelines for evaluating the strengthening requirements\(^99\) of existing roads by adopting Benkelman Beam Deflection (BBD) technique\(^{100}\). The specification also stipulates that the overlay design\(^{101}\) for existing road is arrived on the basis of the deflection values of BBD tests besides considering the factors like design life, design traffic and correction for temperature and seasonal variations. It is always desirable to take deflection measurements during the monsoon season, when the pavement is in its weakest condition. When deflections are measured during dry months, they would require a correction factor\(^{102}\) for seasonal variation to determine the overlay design.

Based on the proposals (October 2015) of Chief Engineer (Highways), Construction and Maintenance, Chennai (CE) Government of Tamil Nadu, (GoTN) accorded (January 2016) administrative sanction for taking up initial rectification, improvements and maintenance of roads for five years through Performance Based Maintenance Contract (PBMC) in Tiruvallur District for ₹ 630.38 crore. This sanction included the improvement of 32 works for a length of 213.49 km for ₹ 285.85 crore. Test check of records of 12 out of these 32 works revealed the following:

Based on the request (October 2015) of Divisional Engineer, Tiruvallur, (DE), Highways Research Station (HRS) of Highways Department conducted BBD test for a stretch of 107.25 km in the 12 works during the monsoon\(^{103}\) period (4 November 2015 to 26 December 2015) and forwarded (2 January 2016) the test results to the DE. DE prepared (7 January 2016) the estimates for requirement of overlay thickness for the stretch in these 12 works on the basis of the deflection values of BBD test results conducted during monsoon period, the traffic volume on the road in terms of Commercial Vehicles Per Day and also incorrectly included the correction factor for seasonal variation.

DE also prepared estimates (7 January 2016) for partial reaches of four out of 12 works (9.9 km) by adopting IRC 37: 2012 specification\(^{104}\) with provisions

---


\(^{100}\) A.C. Benkelman devised the simple deflection beam for measurement of pavement surface deflection which is used for evaluation of the requirements of strengthening of flexible pavements.

\(^{101}\) In terms of thickness of Bitumen Macadam required for strengthening work.

\(^{102}\) Ratio of maximum deflection immediately after the monsoon to that of the minimum deflection during the dry months.

\(^{103}\) As per the data furnished by Indian Meteorological Department, North East Monsoon for the year 2015 commenced on 28 October 2015 and concluded on 31 December 2015 in Tamil Nadu. The estimates also indicated significant rainfall in Tiruvallur District from 1 November 2015 to 10 December 2015.

\(^{104}\) IRC: 37-2012 is applicable for designing the new flexible pavements in which the work involved formation of new roads from sub base to bituminous surface.
for laying of Wet Mix Macadam (WMM), Dense Bitumen Macadam (DBM) and Bituminous Concrete (BC) recording that these four stretches were in damaged condition and it was not possible to conduct BBD test on these stretches. However, it was seen from the estimate files that BBD tests were conducted by HRS for these reaches during November and December 2015 and the test results communicated (2/4 January 2016) to DE which indicated laying of DBM and BC. Technical sanction for the estimates of these 12 works was accorded (8 January 2016) by CE, which included the copy of the BBD test results.

Tenders were invited (January 2016) for the works and contractors quoted rate contract on the basis of approved estimates. The work was entrusted to the lowest tenderer (February 2016). The Department incurred ₹ 103.26 crore (December 2016) out of the total value of contract of ₹ 285.85 crore and the balance works were under progress (March 2017).

We observed the following from the test check of records:

**Suppression of BBD test results**

Despite conduct of BBD tests by HRS and availability of test results for a reach of 9.9 km in four works, DE suppressed the same and prepared the estimates for unnecessarily providing WMM and excess thickness of DBM which calls for fixing responsibility for the lapses. As the contractor quoted rate contract on the basis of Bill of Quantity arrived in the estimates, incorrect preparation of estimates suppressing the BBD test result, resulted in additional and avoidable expenditure of ₹ 6.55 crore as detailed in **Annexure - 4**.

**Additional liability on inclusion of seasonal variation**

Though seasonal variation correction factor was required for tests conducted during dry months, DE incorrectly included it for tests conducted in monsoon season resulting in adoption of excess thickness of five to 55 mm DBM for the stretch of 47.050 km in nine works and avoidable extra expenditure of ₹ 2.20 crore as shown in the **Annexure - 5**.

CE, while according technical sanction for these works, failed to notice the suppression of facts and incorrect inclusion of seasonal variation factor.

Thus, incorrect preparation of estimates by suppressing the BBD tests results in four works and provision of additional thickness by including seasonal variation factor, in violation of IRC specifications, resulted in avoidable extra expenditure of ₹ 8.75 crore.

Government stated (September 2017) that the deflection for these works were taken during December 2015 *i.e.* during monsoon, which was the period when the pavement was in its weakest condition. However, the seasonal correction factor was included as per IRC Clause 4.5.2 and 4.5.4 considering the sub-grade soil and field moisture. The reply is not acceptable as Clause 4.5.2 and 4.5.4 were applicable in cases BBD tests were conducted during dry months and in the instant case it was conducted during monsoon.
3.7 Non-realisation of revenue

Delay in recovery of additional expenditure incurred for completion of balance bridge works from the defaulted contractors resulted in non-realisation of revenue of ₹ 6.23 crore.

Clause 109.06 of Tamil Nadu Highways Manual, 1995 (Manual) stipulates that in the event of default of a contractor to complete the work in time, the Engineer of the Highways Department may determine (terminate) any part of the contract, after the issue of notice, and to proceed with the execution of the balance portion of the work through any other agency.

Clause 109.09 of Manual stipulates that the Engineer shall determine the amount recoverable from the original contractor towards the excess expenditure incurred by the Department in completing the works after issuing a notice in writing for payment within 30 days.

The work of construction of five bridge works in two divisions of Highways Department was administratively sanctioned for a total amount of ₹ 12.39 crore and revised to ₹ 24.74 crore by Government of Tamil Nadu (GoTN) (Annexure - 6) which included land acquisition and shifting of utilities.

Scrutiny of records relating to these works revealed that tenders were invited by the Department between October 2009 and May 2010 for these works. The construction of four bridge works was awarded (April 2010 and August 2010) to M/s. Rose Valley Infrastructures (P) Ltd (Tender premium of 11 to 15 per cent Above Estimate Rate (AER)) and the other bridge work to M/s. PTR Lane (P) Ltd (Tender premium of 12 per cent AER) (Annexure- 6) as they were the lowest tenderers for the respective works. The total value of the five civil works put to tender was ₹ 16.85 crore and the value finalised was ₹ 18.86 crore including tender premium. These works were to be completed between 12 and 18 months and the construction commenced between February and August 2010 by the contractors. As the execution of works by contractors was not in line with the terms of the agreement, the works were delayed and Divisional Officers issued notices (between June 2011 and June 2013) to enhance the pace of the work. Even after issue of notices, there was no significant improvement in the execution (works completed ranged between 24 and 60 per cent) and Divisional Officers terminated (between March 2012 and July 2013) the contracts with instructions that the incomplete works were to be completed at the risk and cost of these contractors as per agreement terms. Department invited (between June 2013 and December 2013) the tenders for the balance works of ₹ 8.83 crore and finalised at a rates with higher premium (tender premium of 73 to 98 per cent of AER). These balance value of works were executed by the new contractors and completed between May 2014 and September 2015. The additional expenditure incurred by the Department to complete the balance works was ₹ 6.23 crore.

Divisional Officers issued notice (between January and June 2015) to the defaulted contractors for payment of the same within 30 days. However, the additional expenditure of ₹ 6.23 crore was pending recovery from the

105 Divisional Engineer (H), (Construction and Maintenance) Division, Chengalpattu and Divisional Engineer (H), (NABARD and Rural Roads) Division, Chengalpattu.
contractor for more than two years due to ineffective pursuance by the Divisional Officers despite stipulations in the manual.

Thus, delay in recovery of additional expenditure incurred by GoTN for completion of balance bridge works from the defaulted contractors resulted in non-realisation of revenue of ₹ 6.23 crore to Government for more than two years.

Government accepted (September 2017) and assured that efforts were being made to recover the cost from the defaulted contractors under revenue recovery Act.

### 3.8 Non-observance of Manual provisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation of Manual provisions and absence of effective pursuance resulted in short collection of consultation fee of ₹ 2.18 crore, avoidable interest burden of ₹ 1.31 crore and non-realisation of lease rent of ₹ 2.36 crore for four years.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Para 77 of Tamil Nadu Port manual, 1997 (Manual) envisages assessment of rent (Licence fee) in accordance with standard rates for the occupation of port lands and the same is recoverable in advance. The Manual also stipulates that the rent due shall not be allowed to accumulate. Para 13 of Form 26 under Appendix IV of Manual, permit the Port authority to revoke this licence at any time if the licence fee or any part thereof shall remain unpaid for 15 days after it has become due.

Para 6 of Form 32 (amended in 1993) under Appendix IV of Manual stipulates that the Licensee of the port user shall carry out all preliminary arrangements for the execution of works in consultation with the Tamil Nadu Maritime Board (TNMB) and a sum of 0.5 per cent of the estimated project cost should be paid in advance towards consultation fees to the TNMB.

The lease rent and licence fee collected by TNMB for the occupation of port lands is shared with GoTN on annual basis at a certain percentage (Normally 50 per cent) as communicated by GoTN every year.

Based on the proposal (May 1998) from M/s. Pennar Refineries Limited, (which was renamed as M/s. Nagarjuna Oil Corporation Limited in June 1999) Chennai (NOCL), GoTN notified (August 1998) Thiruchopuram in Cuddalore District as Minor Port. TNMB executed licence agreement for use of the entire minor port area of 42.29 acre by NOCL for handling petroleum products, after the receipt (March 1999) of advance annual licence fee of ₹ 34.23 lakh for a year (1999-2000).

Though the licence was granted and land handed over in March 1999 for allotment of 42.29 acre of port land, NOCL finalised the Detailed Project Report for creation of various marine structures only in October 2010 with the project cost of ₹ 555 crore, seeking permission to commence construction of marine facilities and execution of agreement. TNMB advised (12 November 2010) NOCL to remit 0.5 per cent of the estimated project cost as consultation fee for the agreement of licence for the use of lands within port limits for marine purposes.

---

106 Form for the agreement of licence for the use of lands within port limits for marine purposes.

fee. NOCL, citing the huge project cost, requested (18 November 2010) for payment of consultation fee on estimated construction cost of the jetty amounting to ₹ 120 crore and the associated facilities. TNMB accepted (19 November 2010) the request of NOCL in violation of Manual provisions. NOCL remitted (7 December 2010) ₹ 60 lakh as licence fee on estimated value (₹ 120 crore) to TNMB. The agreement for licence of erection of marine structure was finalised (16 December 2010) with NOCL for construction of open sea trestle Jetty in the coastal area at Thiruchopuram for handling bulk liquids. NOCL intimated (February 2011) TNMB, that they had spent ₹ 150 crore in setting up of port at Thiruchopuram which was more than ₹ 120 crore as mentioned in the DPR for which the consultation charges were paid. It was also intimated that the construction activities were not continued from the first quarter of 2012 for want additional equity infusion.

NOCL also failed to pay the licence fee for port land for the period 31 March 2013 to 30 March 2017 which worked out to ₹ 2.36 crore⁹⁸.

TNMB issued periodical reminders (January 2014 to January 2016) to NOCL for the payment of licence fee on port land and consultation fee for the balance project cost of ₹ 435 crore⁹⁹. As the same was not received, TNMB issued (November 2016) a Show Cause Notice for the withdrawal of the licence granted for utilising the minor port area. Further action in this regard was pending (May 2017).

In this connection we observed as under:

- Though the Manual provisions stipulated that the collection of consultation fee at 0.5 per cent on the estimated project cost of marine structures was to be made in advance, action of TNMB to accept the request of NOCL for payment of consultancy fee pertaining to a part of the estimated project cost, in violation of Manual provision resulted in undue favour to the licensee and short collection of ₹ 2.18 crore to the Board towards the project cost of ₹ 435 crore.

- Despite specific provision in the Manual not to accumulate port land rent (licence fee), TNMB failed to effectively pursue and to collect the licence fee of ₹ 2.36 crore for port land for the period 31.3.2013 to 30.3.2017. This resulted in non-realisation of ₹ 2.36 crore of revenue to the Board and non-transfer of 50 per cent of the amount (₹ 1.18 crore) to the GoTN.

---

⁹⁸ 31 March 2013 to 30 March 2014 = ₹ 0.51 crore; 31 March 2014 to 30 March 2015 = ₹ 0.62 crore; 31 March 2015 to 30 March 2017 = (₹ 61.62 lakh x two years) = ₹ 1.23 crore (₹ 0.51 crore + ₹ 0.62 crore + ₹ 1.23 crore = ₹ 2.36 crore).

⁹⁹ Navigational Channel Dredging - ₹ 110 crore; Pipe line / Single point mooring Installation - ₹ 200 crore; Submarine Pipelines Supply - ₹ 55 crore; Single point mooring and Components Supply - ₹ 70 crore.

⁹⁰ 0.5 per cent of ₹ 435 crore = ₹ 2,17,50,000.
• We observed that TNMB paid ₹ 4.26 crore as interest to GoTN at the rate of 10 \textit{per cent} per annum during 2005-06 to 2014-15 pending settlement of dues for the value of assets transferred to TNMB. Non-collection of consultation fee by TNMB from NOCL as per Manual provisions led to payment of interest of ₹ 1.31 crore\textsuperscript{111} to settle its dues.

Thus, action of TNMB in extending undue favour to the licensee in violation of Manual provisions and ineffective pursuance resulted in short collection of consultation fee of ₹ 2.18 crore, avoidable interest burden of ₹ 1.31 crore and non-realisation of lease rent of ₹ 2.36 crore for four years.

Government replied (November 2017) that consultation fees was collected for the estimated project cost of the open sea trestle portion. It was also stated that the licensee did not commence execution of other components \textit{viz.}, single point mooring, pipeline supply system and hence, consultation fee for these components were not paid despite periodical reminders. It was assured that continuous efforts were being made to collect the pending arrears. The reply is not acceptable as the Department failed to collect the licence fee for the project cost prior to execution of agreement as envisaged in the Manual.

### 3.9 Non-remittance to labour welfare fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-remittance of ₹ 14.63 crore to Tamil Nadu Construction Workers’ Welfare Board in violation of Act provisions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Government of Tamil Nadu (GoTN) enacted Tamil Nadu Manual Workers (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Work) Act, 1982 (State Act) and framed thereunder the Tamil Nadu Manual Workers (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Work) Rules, 1986 (State Rules) for regulating the employment and conditions of work of the manual workers in the State. In accordance with Section 6 of the State Act, GoTN established (November 1994) the Tamil Nadu Construction Workers’ Welfare Board (Board) to maintain and administer the Manual Worker General Welfare Fund for welfare measures of the manual workers engaged in construction or maintenance of dams, bridges, roads or in any building operations.

Section 8A (b) of the State Act stipulates that every person who undertakes or is in-charge of, any construction work shall be liable to pay the contribution to the Board, not exceeding one \textit{per cent} of the total estimated cost of the building or construction works proposed to be constructed, as may be fixed by the Government by notification. GoTN notified (December 2013) one \textit{per cent} of total estimated cost of the building or construction work as contribution payable to the Board.

Rule 20 A of the State Rules stipulates that remittance of such contributions to the Board be made within 30 days from the date of commencement of work.

Scrutiny of records of Highways Department revealed that lump sum provisions were made in the technical sanctions of the construction of bridges, roads and other maintenance works towards the contributions payable to the

\textsuperscript{111} ₹ 2,17,50,000 x 10 \textit{per cent} x six years (December 2010 to November 2016) = ₹ 1.31 crore.
Board for the welfare of manual workers. These contributions were subsequently required to be remitted to the Board after obtaining the necessary financial sanction from the GoTN.

We observed from the test check of records that the Divisional Officers of the Highways Department failed to adhere to the provisions of the Act in remittance of the contributions to the Board. As against the total contribution of ₹ 83.91 crore for the works executed during 2014-15 to 2016-17 in 50 Divisional Offices under the control of seven circle offices, only ₹ 69.28 crore was remitted to the Board as on 31 March 2017 and contributions to an extent of ₹ 14.63 crore was pending remittance by 21 Divisions. Of the non-remitted contribution of ₹ 14.63 crore, ₹ 1.66 crore pertained to 2014-15 (11 per cent); ₹ 4.49 crore for 2015-16 (31 per cent) and the balance ₹ 8.48 crore related to 2016-17.

We also observed that remitted contribution of ₹ 69.28 crore relating to the works undertaken by 50 Divisions was not transferred to the accounts of the Board within time limit of 30 days from the date of commencement of work, as stipulated in the Rules. The delay in transfer of contributions ranged from one month to 24 months.

Thus, non-remittance of contributions of ₹ 14.63 crore coupled with delayed remittance of ₹ 69.28 crore by the Highways Department to the Board, in violation of the provisions of the Act, resulted in non-contribution and delayed contribution of funds to the Board to undertake the envisaged objective of providing welfare measures to the manual workers in the State of Tamil Nadu.

Divisional Officers stated (April and June 2017) that the non-remittance and delay in remittance of the amount was due to non-receipt of the financial sanction from the Government.

In this regard, we further observed the following:

Government of India, with a view to regulate the employment and conditions of service of building and other constructions workers and to provide for their safety, health and welfare measures, enacted (August 1996) “The Building and Other Construction Workers (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Act, 1996” (Central Act), “The Building and Other Construction Workers’ Welfare Cess Act, 1996” and the Building and Other Construction Workers’ Welfare Cess Rules, 1998 (Central Rules) with the approval of the Parliament for applicability to the whole of India.

Rule 4 (3) of the Central Rules provided that in case of Government Departments or Public Sector Undertakings, the cess towards welfare of the Buildings and other construction workers had to be deducted from the bills payable to the contractor and deposited in the account of the Welfare Board formed as per the provisions of the Central Act.

112 Through cheque, demand draft and electronic mode.
113 Chennai, Coimbatore, Madurai, Tiruchirappalli, Tirunelveli, Tiruppur and Villupuram.
As the State Act and Rules for the welfare of manual workers were enacted prior to the notification of the Central Act and Rules, the contributions to the Board were being approved and paid from Government funds, whereas the Central Act provided for recovery of contributions to the Board from the contractors.

We recommended the State Government to consider amendment of the State Act and Rules in line with the Central Act for providing recovery of the contribution to the fund from the contractors towards contribution to facilitate the timely availability of funds for the welfare of the manual workers.

Government replied (November 2017) that necessary instructions were being issued to all the officials stating not to make delay in remittance of labour welfare fund and also stated that suitable steps had been initiated to study the issue in detail and based on a consensus, the Government would be approached with proposals for amending the Act, if need be. However, the fact remains that ₹ 14.63 crore was not remitted to Tamil Nadu Construction Workers’ Welfare Board.

**AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT**

**3.10 Parking of funds**

| Parking of Government funds outside Government account in violation of Government instructions resulted in loss of interest of ₹ 1.11 crore besides partial achievement of the envisaged objective. |

Para 178 of Tamil Nadu Budget Manual envisaged drawal from the treasury of money not required for immediate use as a budgetary irregularity and also indicated that these arise due to absence of co-ordination between departments and lack of proper control over expenditure. The Public Accounts Committee (PAC) also in their recommendation on an observation included in the Audit Report for the year 1987-88, relating to parking of funds outside Government accounts, instructed that such financial irregularities should be strictly avoided in future.

Government of Tamil Nadu (GoTN) accorded administrative sanction for construction of Integrated Agricultural Extension Centres (IAECs) in 100 Blocks at a cost of ₹ 150 crore, under the financial assistance from National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD). GoTN also directed that the work was to be executed by the Public Works Department and Agriculture Engineering Department. GoTN authorised Director of Agriculture (DoA) to draw the amount and credit into the departmental Personal Deposit (PD) Account and not in the savings bank account.

---

114 PAC 134th Report presented to the Legislative Assembly on 03 May 1994 for Para No. 3.1.6 of Report of the CAG (Civil Audit), Government of Tamil Nadu for the year 1987-88.

115 G.O.Ms.No.73, Agriculture Department dated 23 March 2015.

116 IAECs are centres under one single roof for providing Agriculture, Horticulture, Agricultural Marketing, Agricultural Engineering and Seed Certification extension service and distribution of inputs in order to benefit the farmers at block level.
Director of Agriculture issued (January and October 2016) fund availability certificate to PWD for utilising the grant directly from treasury for ₹ 75 crore and released (January 2016) ₹ 50 crore to the PD account of Executive Director, Tamil Nadu Watershed Development Agency (TAWDEVA) with instruction to issue the amount to Chief Engineer, Agriculture Engineering Department (CE/AED). In turn, TAWDEVA issued (February 2016) a cheque of ₹ 50 crore to CE/AED which was deposited (February 2016) in the savings bank account in the name of CE/AED. TAWDEVA also released (June 2016 to April 2017) ₹ 12.16 crore which was also deposited in the same savings bank account.

Scrutiny of records revealed that CE/AED transferred between April 2016 and April 2017 funds of ₹ 62.06 crore to the savings bank/current account of the Executive Engineers (EEs) of the Divisions of the AED. It was also seen from the records of the five test checked divisions\(^\text{117}\) that the funds of ₹ 14.70 crore received during April 2016 to April 2017 were deposited in the savings/current account. Department completed (October 2017) 37 out of 50 buildings and of the completed 37 buildings, only 25 buildings were handed over by EE/AED to the Agriculture Department.

In this regard, we observed the following:

- DoA not only failed to adhere to the instructions of GoTN for drawal and credit of funds for the scheme in the departmental PD account but also released funds in advance of requirement in contravention to the provisions of Budget manual and the recommendations of the PAC.

- Despite specific instructions from the Government not to deposit the amount in the savings Bank account, DoA instructed TAWDEVA to release the amount to CE/AED which resulted in parking of Government funds outside the Government account and in violation of the instructions issued by Government in the Administrative Sanction.

- Parking of funds outside the Government account during April 2016 to April 2017 resulted in loss of interest of ₹ 0.81 crore (Annexure -7) to Government being the difference between the interest earned on the retention of money in the savings Bank account and the average open market borrowing rates of the Government (8.11 per cent for the year 2016-17) as GoTN had resorted to borrowing of ₹ 37,250 crore during 2016-17.

- The funds transferred to the Divisional Executive Engineers were also parked in the Savings bank account/current account, outside the Government account, resulting in loss of interest to Government. The loss of interest in respect of five test checked divisions worked out to ₹ 0.30 crore (Annexure - 8).

\(^{117}\) Dharmapuri, Salem, Tirunelveli, Tiruvallur and Vellore.
• Though the funds were released well in advance, CE/AED could complete and handover only 25 out of 50 buildings to the Agriculture Department resulting in partial achievement of the envisaged objective of the project.

Thus, release of funds in advance of actual requirements in violation of provisions of Budget manual, instructions of the Government and recommendations of PAC resulted in parking of funds outside the Government account which led to loss of interest of ₹ 1.11 crore to Government besides partial achievement of the objective.

Government accepted and stated (October 2017) that a proposal had been received for opening of PD account from CE/AED and the matter was under examination.

TOURISM DEPARTMENT

3.11 Non-availing of Government of India grant

| Lack of monitoring the progress of work and delayed furnishing of Utilisation Certificates by State Government for development of tourism infrastructure resulted in non-availing of Government of India grant of ₹ 17.40 crore. |

Government of India (GOI) formulated (September 2006) Product/Infrastructure Development for Destinations\(^\text{118}\) and Circuit\(^\text{119}\) (PIDDC) scheme for integrated infrastructure development of tourism in the country with 100 per cent Central Assistance. The scheme guidelines envisaged release of GOI funds to the implementing States in three installments for the mega projects of destination and circuit development. The pattern of release was 50 per cent on approval of the project, 30 per cent on receipt of Utilisation Certificate (UC) relating to the previous installment and the balance 20 per cent on the completion of project. For other than mega projects, the pattern of release was 80 per cent on approval and balance 20 per cent on the completion of work and receipt of the UC.

Government of India sanctioned ₹ 56.39 crore and released ₹ 45.11 crore to GoTN for PIDDC under other than mega projects category during 2007-08 to 2012-13. Out of the released amount of ₹ 45.11 crore, GoTN furnished UC for ₹ 26.94 crore and UC for ₹ 18.17 crore was pending submission to GOI.

\(^{118}\) Destination is a place of tourist interest. The destination development is the development of most visited sites in the State and the heritage monuments located in the particular area.

\(^{119}\) Circuit Development is the development of a route on which at least three major tourist destinations were located in different town, village or city.
In the meantime, based on the proposal (November 2009) of GoTN for ‘Development of Thanjavur Palace’ under Mega Tourism Project’, GOI sanctioned (December 2010) Central Assistance of ₹ 14.75 crore under PIDDC scheme and released (December 2010) the first installment of ₹ 7.38 crore for completion of the work within 12 months. The sanction order of GOI envisaged (i) submission of UC within six months of the closure of the financial year along with physical progress achieved in implementation of the project for release of second installment, (ii) surrender of GOI funds along with interest which were kept unutilised for more than six months from the date of release, and (iii) Monitoring the physical and financial progress of work on quarterly basis by GoTN.

Ten months after the release of GOI funds, GoTN accorded (October 2011) administrative sanction for ₹ 14.75 crore for the Project and released (October 2011) ₹ 7.38 crore authorising Commissioner of Tourism (COT) to draw and disburse the amount to Chief Engineer (CE), Public Works Department (PWD), Chennai, instead of the executing agency, Executive Engineer, PWD, Thanjavur (EE). Based on the request (January 2012) of EE, GoTN accorded necessary amendment (February 2012) to the administrative sanction for disbursal of funds to EE, who was the executing agency, and the funds were transferred (March 2012) for execution of works.

Tenders were invited (December 2011) for all the 12 components of work. The single tenders were received for these components from different contractors and the same were approved (February and March 2012) by the competent authority. Agreements were executed (April and May 2012) with the contractors. Works for 11 components were commenced by the contractors and one component was dropped by the PWD due to non-commencement of work by the contractor. GoTN forwarded (March 2013) the UC to GOI, without physical progress achieved in implementation of the project components as envisaged in the sanction order. After being pointed out by GOI, COT forwarded (December 2013) revised UCs in respect of the release of funds for ₹ 7.38 crore along with the physical progress and requested release of second installment. The value of work done for the execution of 11 project component of the project was ₹ 11.72 crore (November 2014).

---

120 The project comprised execution of 12 components which included Refurbishing and renovation of existing Maratha Palace, Saraswathi Mahal Library and Art Gallery in the palace complex and Integrated development of Thanjavur.

121 The value of tenders exceeding ₹ one crore was approved by Tender Award Committee and less than ₹ one crore by Chief Engineer.

122 Construction, Restoration and Renovation of Bell Tower – Agreement value ₹ 28.50 lakh.
In the meantime, GOI instructed (February 2012) GoTN to liquidate all the pending UCs for the release of funds before 31 March 2012 failing which no further release would be made. Despite the same, GoTN failed to furnish UC for ₹ 18.17 crore released under PIDDC scheme during 2007-08 to 2012-13. GOI conveyed (November 2014) that no further funds would be sanctioned due to non-submission of UCs. GoTN submitted (March 2016) pending UCs and requested for release of final installment of the balance 20 per cent funds under category other than mega projects (₹ 10.03 crore) and second and final installment (50 per cent) of ₹ 7.37 crore for mega project, Development of Thanjavur Palace.

GOI intimated (May 2016) that PIDDC scheme had been delinked from Central Assistance from 2015-16 on recommendations of the XIV Finance Commission and hence, the sanctioned projects were to be implemented by the State Governments from their own resources.

Scrutiny of records also revealed that COT requested (February and June 2016) GoTN to release ₹ 16.67 crore for settlement of dues to contractors for the completed/ongoing projects under PIDDC. GoTN released (November 2016 and April 2017) ₹ 13.69 crore (inclusive of State share of ₹ 3.93 lakh) to settle the dues to contractor which included ₹ 4.36 crore for Development of Thanjavur Palace as a special case. Considering the availability of released funds, 10 components in the work of Development of Thanjavur Palace were foreclosed (February 2017) by PWD.

Thus, failure to monitor the progress of work by the Department and delayed submission of UCs (March 2016) by GoTN for the projects sanctioned during 2007-08 to 2012-13 resulted in non-release of GOI grant of ₹ 17.40 crore due to delinking of PIDDC scheme from Central Assistance from April 2015. This also led to expenditure of ₹ 13.65 crore as an additional burden on the State exchequer.

Government replied (August 2017) that the delay in completion of projects were due to obtaining necessary permissions from the Archaeological Department and delicate structures of tourism importance. It was also stated that the GOI guidelines were modified in 2013-14, which stipulated liquidating the existing sanctions for availing new grants. The reply is not acceptable as the delay in furnishing UCs by GoTN for the funds released

123 ₹ 13.69 crore minus State Share of ₹ 3.93 lakh = ₹ 13.65 crore.
during 2007-08 to 2012-13 resulted in non-release of funds by GOI. The State did not get an opportunity to avail these funds after belated submission of UCs due to delinking of the scheme from Central Assistance.